





IN THE CUSTODY OF THE BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY.



250.1



Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2010

# HISTORY

OF

# GREAT BRITAIN,

FROM THE

FIRST INVASION OF IT BY THE ROMANS UNDER JULIUS CÆSAR.

WRITTEN ON A NEW PLAN.

## BY ROBERT HENRY, D.D.

ONE OF THE MINISTERS OF EDINBURGH, MEMBER OF THE SOCIETY OF ANTIQUARIANS OF SCOTLAND, AND OF THE ROYAL SOCIETY OF EDINBURGH.

. THE SECOND EDITION.

VOLUME THE FOURTH.

### DUBLIN:

PRINTED FOR P. BYRNE, NO. 108, AND J. JONES, NO. 111, GRAFTON-STREET.

MDCCLXXXIX.

A H OF T B T H

ADAMS 250.1-4

internal our value of reasons of the

tentral or a sign of

Brandert hauny, D.B.

o location characters to contract the total contract the contract of the contract that the contract th

MOITIGE GMCDES SH

name and round

walle be

senotat man another transfer and assessed

Tipe of Karen

# CONTENTS

#### OF THE

# FOURTH VOLUME.

# BOOK IV.

Сп А Г. 1.	150
The Civil and Military History of Great Britain, from the death of king John, A. D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D. 1399.	
Sect. 1. From the death of king John, A. D. 1216, to the death of Henry III. A. D. 1272,	I
Sect. 2. From the death of Henry III. A. D. 1272, to the death of Edward I. A. D. 1307,	43
Sect. 3. From the accession of Edward II. A. D. 1307, to the accession of Edward III. A. D. 1327,	81
Sect. 4. From the accession of Edward III. 24th Janua-	

ry A. D. 1327, to the accession of Richard II. 21st

June A. D. 1377,

118

Sect. 5. From the accession	on (	of Richa	rd II	June 21, A	. D.	
1377, to the accession	of	Henry	IV.	September	30,	
A. D. 1399,			-			195

# C H A P. II.

History of Religion in Great Britain, from the death of king John, A. D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D. 1399.

Sect. 1.	From A. D.	1216,	to A. D. 1307,	-	246
Sect 2	From A. D.	1207	to A. D. 1200.	-	271

#### C H A P. III.

History of the Constitution, Government, and Laws	
of Great Britain, from the death of king John,	
A. D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D.	
1399.	37

Sect. 1. Changes in the conflictation, government, and laws of Britain, in the reign of Henry III. from A. D. 1216, to A. D. 1272, - 302

Sect. 2. Changes in the conflitution, government, and laws of Britain, in the reign of Edward I. from A. D. 1272, to A. D. 1307,

Sect. 3.

Sect. 3. Changes in the conflitution, government, and laws of Britain, from the accession of Edward II. A. D. 1307, to the accession of Edward III. A. D. 1327.	328
Sect. 4. Changes in the constitution, government, and laws of Britain, from the accession of Edward III.  A. D. 1327, to the accession of Richard II. A. D.  1377,	335
Sect. 5. Changes in the conflitution, government, and laws of Britain, from the accession of Richard II.  A. D. 1377, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D.  1399,	349
C H A P. IV.	
listory of Learning in Great Britain, from the death king John, A. D. 1216, to the accession of He IV. A. D. 1399.	
Sect. 1. An account of the sciences that were cultivated in Britain, from A. D. 1216, to A. D. 1399,	358
Sect. 2. History of the most learned men who flourished in Britain, from A. D. 1216, to A. D. 1399,	383
Sect. 3. History of the chief feminaries of learning in Great Britain, from A. D. 1216, to A. D. 1399,	409

# CHAP. V.

History of the Arts in Great Britain, from the death of king John, A. D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D. 1399.

Sect. 1.	History of	the	necessary	arts	in (	Great	Britain,	1
	. D. 1216,					arail e	6	417

Sect. 2. History of the fine and pleasing arts of sculpture, painting, poetry, and music, in Britain, from A. D. 1216, to A. D. 1399,

### C H A P. VI.

Britain, from the death of king John, A. D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D. 1399, 455

#### C H A P. VII.

History of the Manners, Virtues, Vices, remarkable Customs, Language, Dress, Diet, and Diversions of the people of Great Britain, from the death of king John, A. D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D. 1399,

## APPENDIX TO BOOK IV.

NUMBER I. Magna Carta Regis Henrici III. xii die Novembris MCCXVI, anno regni 1. - 523

NUMBER II. Translation of the Great Charter of king Henry III. granted November 12, A. D. 1216, in the first year of his reign,

NUMBER III. Provisions, &c. at the installation-feast of Ralph de Borne, abbot of St. Austin's abbey, Canterbury, with their prices, A. D. 1309, - 534

NUMBER

528

NUMBER IV. Charter of Henry III. A. D. 1258, in the vulgar English of that time, with a literal translation interlined, - 535

THE



THE

# HISTORY

OF

# GREAT BRITAIN.

## B O O K IV.

#### CHAP. I.

The civil and military history of Great Britain, from the death of king John A.D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A.D. 1399.

### SECTION I.

From the death of king John, A. D. 1216, to the death of Henry III. A. D. 1272.

HE death of king John was very seasonable, and A.D. 12.5. faved both his family and his country from the ruin with which they were threatened, by the confederacy of the Death of king John revolted barons of England with prince Lewis of France. seasonable.

William, marshal of England, and earl of Pembroke, Coronation the chief support and ornament of the royal cause, con-of Heary ducked young Henry, eldest son of the late king, to Glou-III. cester, where he had called a meeting of the nobles; and placing the infant prince (then only in his tenth year) in the midst of the assembly, he addressed them in a speech, at once so full of wisdom, loyalty, and patriotism, that it gained every heart. All the barons and clergy who Vol. IV.

A. D. 1216. were present, acknowledged Henry for their lawful king, and proceeded to his coronation on the 28th of October (1). In another assembly of the barons, at Bristol, Earl of on the 11th of November, the earl of Pembroke was Pembroke unanimously chosen protector of the kingdom; a trust appointed protector. which he had well deferved, and which he discharged with the greatest honour, wisdom and success (2).

Popular the protector.

One of the first acts of the protector's administration measures of was to renew the great charter of liberties, the darling object on which the English had fet their hearts; a wife measure, which brought great popularity to the royal cause (3). At the same time he wrote letters to all the discontented barons, earnestly intreating them to submit to the government of young Henry, against whom they could have no complaint, folemnly promifing them indemnity for all past offences, and all possible fecurity for the future enjoyment of their liberties, honours, and estates (4). Thefe letters produced a great effect. Several powerful barons, as the earls of Salifbury, Arundel, and Warrenne, with the protector's eldest fon, deferted Lewis, and came over to Henry; and many others waited only for a convenient opportunity to follow their example (5).

A.D. 1217. Military transacciens.

While thefe things were doing in the cabinet, the war was going on in the field with various fuccess; but without any decifive action. Lewis failed in all his attempts upon Dover, through the incorruptible fidelity and invincible resolution of Hubert de Burgh, its heroic governor (6). In the beginning of the year 1217, Lewis received a considerable reinforcement from France, which, together with the citizens of London (who still warmly espoused his cause against their native prince), enabled him for fome time to maintain the dispute. At length, on the 19th May, A. D. 1217, a decifive battle was fought in the streets of Lincoln, in which the army of prince Lewis was entirely defeated, the earl of Perche, its commander in chief, was killed, and many of the English barons of that party were taken prisoners (7). On

(7) Id. p. 204. Chron. Dunit. p. 81.

<sup>(1)</sup> M. Paris, p. 200. Heming, l. 3, c. 1. (2) M. Paris, p. 200. Chron. Petriburgen. Trivit, p. 168. (3) Blackstone's Introduction to the great charter, p. 43. (4) Rymer, vol. 1. p. 215, 216. Brady, Append. No. 143.

<sup>(6)</sup> Id. p. 200. (5) M. Paris, p. 2021

# Ch. 1. \$ 1. CIVIL AND MILITARY.

the news of this defeat, prince Lewis, who was then be- A. D. 1217 sieging Dover, haftened to London; but some reinforcements which he expected being destroyed by the English Peace befleet, and the royal army approaching, he entered into a tween Heanegociation with the protector, which foon terminated prince in a peace (8). By this peace, Lewis, having stipulated Lewis. for a full indemnity to the English of his party, renounced his pretentions to the crown of England; and foon after departed with all his forces into France. In this manner, by the courage, wisdom, and moderation of the protector, the flames of a destructive civil war were happily extinguished, and young Henry was feated in peace on the throne of his ancestors.

After the departure of the French, the protector faith-Death of fully performed every article of the treaty with the Eng-the protection, by putting them in full possession of their fuccession estates and honours (9). He fent itinerant judges into all of Peter de parts of the kingdom, to fee that the great charter, and Roches and the charter of the forests, were fully executed. In a Burgh. word, he omitted nothing that might contribute to the true honour of his royal master, and to the peace and prosperity of his country. But while this great and good A. D. 1219. man was thus nobly employed, he was carried off by death about the middle of March A. D. 1219, to the unspeakable loss both of the king and kingdom. He was fucceeded in the regency by Peter de Roches bishop of Winchester, a Poictiven, and Hubert de Burgh, high justiciary (10).

One of the worst consequences of the late civil wars Conduct of was, that they greatly increased the lawless licentious the new spirit of many of the great barons, who were little better regente. than great robbers; and the mutinous disposition of the citizens of London, who were still very much disaffected to the prefent government. The new regents employed the three first years of their administration in reducing the earl of Albemarle, and some other turbulent barons, to order, and in quelling and punishing some dangerous mutinies of the Londoners. In doing this they exercifed fome acts of power and feverity, by which they gave

<sup>(8)</sup> Rymer, vol. 1. p. 221. M. Paris, p. 210.

<sup>(9)</sup> M. Paris, p. 210. Annal. Waverlien. p. 184.

A. D. 1219. great offence; particularly, by commanding one Conftantine, an audacious incendiary, and fome other ringleaders of the London mob, to be hanged without a formal trial (11).

A. D. 1223. Henry declared of age.

Hubert de Burgh, who had the chief direction of affairs, thought it would diminish the general odium which his great power, and the spirited exertion of it, had drawn upon him, to have the king, who was now in his fixteenth year, declared of age. He therefore obtained a bull from the pope (who was still considered as superior lord of the kingdom), declaring Henry of age, and commanding all the barons to deliver up the roval castles, which they held, into the king's hands (12).

Refractory barons reduced.

The high justiciary set an example of obedie se to this bull, by giving up the tower of London, and Dover castle, two royal fortresses, which had been committed to his custody during the king's minority. But this was an example which many of the barons did not incline to follow. The earls of Chester and Albemarle, and several others, refused to give up the royal castles which were in their custody, raised forces to support their resusal, and the nation was threatened with another civil war; which was happily prevented by the interposition of the archbishop of Canterbury, who, by threatening the refractory barons with excommunication, brought them to fubmit (13).

A.D. 1224. War with France concluded by a truce.

Some events had lately happened in France, which engaged the attention of Henry and his ministers, particularly the death of Philip Augustus, and the succession of his fon Lewis. That prince had engaged, by a fecret article in the treaty which he made with Henry at his departure out of England (as some of our historians affirm), to restore Normandy at his accession to the crown of France (14). Ambaffadors were fent to demand the performance of this article; but Lewis was fo far from complying with this demand, that he raifed an army, with which he fell into the province of Poictou, which fill belonged to England, took feveral places of strength, and at last the city of Rochelle, the capital of the pro-

<sup>(11)</sup> M. Paris, p. 214. 218. Chron. Dunft. p. 129. Annal. Waverlien. p. 187. (12) M. Paris, p. 220. Trivit. p. 174. (13) M. Paris, p. 221. Chron. Dunit. p. 138. (14) M. Paris, p. 207.

vince (15). On the news of these losses, Henry called a A. D. 1224. parliament at Westminster, from which he requested an aid to enable him to put a stop to the progress of the French arms, which threatened the total expulsion of the English from the continent. The parliament at first shewed no great disposition to comply with this request; but upon the king's confenting to confirm the charters of their liberties, they granted him a fifteenth of all the moveables, both of the clergy and laity (16). With this money the king raifed a confiderable army, which he fent into France, under the command of his brother prince Richard earl of Poictou and Cornwall, and the earl of Salisbury. These generals having landed with an army at Bourdeaux, A. D. 1225, recovered fome places, and, in A. D. 1227, brought the king of France to confent to a truce for three years (17). By this means peace was restored both at home and abroad.

Henry, in a parliament held at Oxford in February A.D. 1227, A.D. 1227, was declared of full age for government, Henry afand the regent, Hubert de Burgh, divefted of his office; governbut still retaining the favour of the king, he was made ment.

earl of Kent (18).

A violent quarrel broke out this year between king A.D. 1228. Henry and his brother Richard earl of Cornwall. Rich-Quarrel beard had feized a manor belonging to one Walleran, affir-ry and his ming it belonged to his earldom of Cornwall; and when brother the king commanded him to reftore it to its former own-prince er, he refused to obey; and forming a confederacy with several great barons, raised a powerful army. The king being quite unprepared to resist so great a force, and knowing his brother's covetous disposition, entered into a negotiation with him, and gained him over by a grant of lands of much greater value than those in question. The consederates being thus deprived of their head, were obliged to dismiss their forces, and remain quiet (19).

Lewis VIII. of France, after a very short reign, was A.D. 1229, now dead; and having been succeeded by an infant fon, Expedition that kingdom became a scene of great confusion, and tinent.

(15) Rymer, vol. 1, p. 269.

(19) M. Paris, p. 233.

<sup>(16)</sup> M. Paris, p. 223. Rymer, vol. 1. p. 277.

<sup>(17)</sup> Rymer, vol. 1. p. 294, 295. (18) M. Paris, p. 232.

Fall of

Burgh.

A. D. 1229 presented Henry with a favourable opportunity of recovering his French dominions. The Normans even importuned him to come over with an army, and promifed to receive him with open arms (20). But Henry being engaged in trifling disputes with his English subjects, neglected this favourable opportunity. At length, however, when the troubles in France were composed, and queen Blench established in the regency, Henry very unseasonably resolved to make a vigorous attempt for the recovery of these dominions. But this attempt was as ill conducted as it was ill timed. In the year 1229, Henry fummoned all his military tenants, both in England and Ireland, to attend him at Portsmouth on Michaelmas day, in order to embark for France. In confequence of this fummons, a very numerous and gallant army appeared at the time and place appointed; but fuch was the negligence or treachery of Henry's ministers, that fufficient numbers of ships were not provided for their transportation. This occasioned the expedition to be delayed. Henry having spent the winter in raising money by very illegal and oppressive methods, reassembled his army in the fpring, and on the last day of April A. D. 1230, he embarked for France, and in a few days arrived at St. Malo's. The arrival of the English army revived the spirits of the malecontents in France; the duke of Britanny joined the English, with all his forces; and every thing wore a promifing aspect. But all these blooming hopes were blasted by the misconduct of Henry, who spent the whole campaign without any action of moment, in a continued course of expensive pleasures; fo that many of the poorer knights were obliged to fell their horses and arms to defray their expences. About the end of October, Henry returned to England, covered with difgrace (21).

The history of England for some years after this, Hubert de consists of little else, but some court-intrigues, and violent contests for power, between the bishop of Winchester and the high jufficiary. The late miscarriages abroad had rendered the ministry of Hubert de Burgh exceedingly odious, both to the nobility and common people.

<sup>(20)</sup> M. Paris, p. 243.

<sup>(21)</sup> M. Paris, p. 249. 251, 252. Annal. Waverlien. p. 192.

The king, who was naturally fickle, being teafed with A. D. 1232. continual complaints against his minister, began to withdraw his affection from him; which being observed by his enemies, they redoubled their clamours against him, and at last wrought his downfall (22). Hubert was removed from his place of high justiciary, though it had been granted him for life, and he was commanded to give an account of the disposal of the revenues of the crown during his administration. The fallen minister, perceiving his ruin was refolved upon, and even his life in danger, took fanctuary in the priory of Merton; from whence the king commanded the mayor of London to bring him either dead or alive. The mayor and citizens of London, to whom Hubert had always been peculiarly odious, were preparing to execute these orders with great pleasure, and had affembled to the number of twenty thousand for that purpose; when some of the most prudent barons representing to the king the danger of fuch tumultuary proceedings, and of committing the execution of justice to an enraged mob, he recalled his orders. Hubert, some time after, having privately left his fanctuary to vifit his wife, who was fifter to the king of Scots, was discovered and pursued by some soldiers into a fmall church; from whence they dragged him; and having loaded him with infults and indignities, carried him to the tower of London. But the church interposing, obliged the king to return him to his fanctuary; where he was fo strictly guarded to prevent his escaping, or receiving any victuals, that he furrendered himself, and was once more lodged in the tower (23). When he was every moment expecting the worst effects of the malice of his enemies, the king's refentment began to cool, and he politively refused to consent to the death of a man who had adhered fo steadily to his father and himfelf in their adversity. Hubert, after many various turns of fortune, at last recovered some degree of the king's favour; but wifely abstained from all concern in the administration of public affairs (24).

<sup>(22)</sup> M. Paris, p. 376. (23) Id. p. 258-261. (24) Chron. T. Wikes, p. 41, 42. Chron. Dunft. p. 222.

A.D. 1233. Whatever were the faults of the late minister, the nation reaped no advantage from his fall. He was sucof the great ceeded by his great rival and enemy Peter de Roches. bishop of Winchester, a man of a very bold and enterprifing spirit. This minister invited over many of his own countrymen from Poictou, on whom, by his perfuation, Henry bestowed all offices of honour and prefit, procured them the richest heiresses in marriage, and gave them the wardship of the richest of the royal wards (25). These foreigners, elated by prosperity and court-favour, treated the English nobility with contempt. But the great barons were not of a temper to bear such treatment with patience: a number of them, with the earl of Pembroke at their head, boldly remonstrated to the king against this preference given to foreigners before his own nobility. To this remonstrance the bishop of Winchester, in the king's name, returned a haughty answer; with which the barons were so much provoked, that they withdrew from court. The king foon after fummoning a parliament to meet at Oxford 24th June, A. D. 1233, the barons by concert refused to attend. Nor did they pay any greater regard to a fecond fummons, to meet, July 11, at Westminster. They even went so far as to fend the king a message, that if he did not immediately difmifs the bishop of Winchester and the Poictivens from court, they would drive both him and them out of the kingdom, and place the crown on a worthier head (26). This daring language greatly alarmed the king and his minister; who plainly feeing that the barons were formidable while they were united, laid a scheme to divide them; in which they were fuccessful. Richard earl of Cornwall, the king's brother, together with the earls of Chester and Lincoln, being gained by the court, fo many deferted the confederacy, that the earl of Pembroke was almost left alone, to bear the weight of the royal indignation. That valiant nobleman, after defending himfelf very bravely for fome time in England, was decoved into Ireland, by a contrivance of the bishop of Winchester, and there basely betraved and murdered (27). Thus did that bold and

<sup>(25)</sup> Chron. Dunft. p. 151. M. Patis, p. 258. (26) M. Paris, p. 265. (27) id. p. 265, 164, 265, &c. An-wal. Waverfied p. 196. Chica. Dwaft, p. 219.

cunning minister diffipate this formidable confederacy, A.D. 1234. and triumph over his enemies by the most wicked arts.

But this traumph of the bishop of Winchester was but Peter de of faort duration Edmund archbishop of Canterbury Roches, represented to the king, in such strong and lively terms, bihop of Winchester, the great injury which he did to himself and his subjects, by placing fuch unbounded confidence in fo hated a mimiffer, and loading firangers with fuch unmerited honours, that Henry's eyes were opened; the bishop of Winchester was commanded to retire to his diocefe; the Poictivens were turned out of all their places; which were filled by Englishmen. The primate, by whole influence this change was brought about, had a great fway in the new administration; from which the people entertained the

most fanguine hopes (28).

King Henry, who was now in his twenty-ninth year, A.D. 1236. had been as unfortunate in love as in war, having paid Henry's his addresses to several ladies without success. At last, and its conhowever, in the beginning of the year 1236, he was lequences. married to Eleanora, fecond daughter to the count of Provence; which marriage foon became the occasion of new diffraicts (20). The queen was followed into England by many of her relations and countrymen, who became great favourites with Henry, who on all occasions discovered an extravagant fondness for strangers. William of Savoy, bishop of Valence, the queen's maternal uncle, became prime minister, and had the chief direction of all affairs (30). Peter de Savoy was made earl of Richmond, and Bomface de Savoy was raifed to the fee of Canterbury, and almost all other places of power and traft were again filled by foreigners. These proceedings did not fail to revive the discontents of the English bacons; and the history of England for some years after the king's marriage confifts chiefly of the remonstrances of the English nubility against the foreign favourites, and their attempts to remove them from the king's prefence and councils, and the arts of thefe favourites to maintain their ground. Whenever Henry was hard prefled and threatened, or flood in need of money from his parliament, he made the most foleran promises to dis-

<sup>(28)</sup> M. Paris, p. 2/1, 272.

<sup>(2)</sup> M. Fals, p. 293, Sc. M. Paris, p. 276, Rymer, 1can, 1. p. 448, (3) M. Fals, p. 298, Sc. M. Well, p. 338.

A.D. 1238 miss all foreigners, and to govern only by the advice of his barons; but as soon as the danger was over, and his wants supplied, he wantonly violated all his promises (31).

Simon de Montfort marries the king's filter. Among other foreigners who at this time crowded the court of England, was Simon de Montfort, fecond fon of the famous earl of Montfort, general of the croifade against the Albigenses. This young nobleman enjoyed so great a degree of Henry's favour, that he ventured to pay his addresses to his sister Eleanora, countess-dowager of Pembroke, whom he married with the king's consent, and was created earl of Leicester February 2, A. D. 1239: for which great favours this nobleman did not make a very grateful return, as will appear from the sequel of this history (32).

A. D. 1240, &c. C Expedition r to the continent.

The person and government of Henry were now become exceedingly unpopular, by his incorrigible attachment to foreigners, -his violation of the most solemn promifes,-his many illegal and arbitrary exactions of money, - and the affiftance which he gave to the papal legates in the like exactions; -by all which the kingdom was oppressed and sleeced in the most intolerable manner. While Henry was on fuch ill terms with his subjects at home, he very imprudently entered upon a foreign expedition. Isabella, the queen-mother of England, foon after the death of king John, married the earl of Marche, to whom she had been betrothed in her youth. The estates of that earl lay in that part of Poictou which was fubject to France; and Lewis IX having bestowed that country on his brother Alphonfo, to him he commanded the barons of these parts to pay homage. Queen Isabella perfuaded her husband to refuse this homage as below his dignity, to shake off his allegiance to France, and call in her fon the king of England to his protection. Henry accepted the invitation, and raifed an army, with which he invaded France A. D. 1242. But this expedition was neither better conducted, nor more successful, than his former one into that country. Lewis foon reduced that part of Poictou which belonged to England, and obliged the earl of Marche to implore his mercy; and if that good king had not been restrained by scruples of conscience, he would have deprived Henry of his few re-

maining dominions on the continent (33). The king L. D. 1240. of England, after buying a truce of five years with France, and expending an immense sum of money in this disgraceful expedition, returned to England in September A. D. 1243; and in order to conceal his shame, he commanded all his military tenants to meet him at Portfmouth, and conduct him to London in great pomp, as if he had returned victorious (34).

A government at once fo weak and fo profuse, could A.D. 1244 not fail to become daily more and more odious and con-A parliatemptible. The king, whose prodigality rendered him ment. always indigent, foon after his return fummoned a parliament to supply his wants. The parliament, far from granting his request, being now fully convinced of his incapacity for government, formed a scheme to deprive him of the administration, and commit it to four great barons chosen by themselves: but by suddenly dissolving the parliament, he prevented the execution of that

scheme (35).

Still further to increase the miseries of the kingdom, A.D. 1247. and to render the king and his government, if possible, the king to more odious, a new company of foreigners arrived A. D. uterine 1247 (36). These were three of the king's uterine bro-brothers. thers, fons of the earl of Marche and queen Isabella, who was now dead. These young noblemen, at their arrival, were extremely indigent. Henry received them with great kindness; and, without considering either his own circumstances, or the discontents of his subjects, made haste to load them with wealth and honours (37). This continual profusion had now brought Henry into such straits, that, to pay some part of his debts, he was obliged to fell his jewels; which were purchased by the citizens of London (38). He had broke his faith so frequently to his parliaments, that it was now become customary with these great assemblies, to answer all his demands of money with cutting reproaches for the violation of his promises, his profusion to foreigners, and his other

<sup>(33)</sup> M. Paris, p. 392, 393, &c. M. West. p. 306. Chron. Dunk. g. 153.

<sup>(34)</sup> M. Paris, p. 409. Chron. T. Wikes, p. 45.

<sup>(35)</sup> M. Paris, p. 432.

<sup>(36)</sup> Id. p. 491. 495. (37) Knyghton, col. 2436. (38) M. Paris, p. cor.

A. D. 1247 acts of male-administration. This obliged Henry to have. recourse to many illegal and oppressive methods of raising money to supply his wants. In order to furnish a plausible pretence for these exactions, he assumed the fign of the cross in the year 1250, and declared his resolution to go in person into Palestine, at the head of an army, for the recovery of the Holy Land (39). To defray the expences of this expedition, he extorted money from the Yews, the clergy, the cities, the merchants, and, in a word, from all kind of persons, by all kind of means; but having obtained the money, he talked no more of the expedition. Notwithstanding all these expedients for raising money, such was the insatiable avarice of those foreign harpies with whom Henry was furrounded, that he was thereby reduced to fuch straits as to fay, " that " alms given to him were more charitably bestowed than " on the wretch who begged from door to door (40)." Nay (if we may believe a cotemporary historian), the officers of the king's household acted the part of common robbers and highwaymen, with the knowledge of their roval master, who shared in their booty.

A.D. 1252. Quarrel between Heavy and the earl of Leicelter.

The province of Gascony, in France, still belonged to the crown of England; but feveral barons in that province had rebelled against the English government, and Henry had fent his brother-in-law Simon de Montfort earl of Leicester with an army to quell these rebellious barons. Montfort succeeded in his design, and reduced the revolted barons; but exercifed fuch feverity in his government, that the whole inhabitants of Gafcony were exasperated against him, and sent commissioners over to England, who accused him of many acts of oppression. Henry received these commissioners very favourably, and plainly discovered his wishes that Montfort might be found guilty. This obliged the earl to have recourse to the difcontented barons; among whom he made fo powerful a party, that when he came to his trial he was acquitted by his neers, in spite of all the Gascon commissioners, and the king himself, could fay against him. Henry was so much enraged at this, that forgetting the dignity of his character, he loaded the earl with opprobrious language, calling him a villain and a traitor. Montfort,

naturally

<sup>(39)</sup> M. Paris, p. 518. M. Weft, p. 338. Chron, Dunft, p. 293. (41) M. Paris, p. 517.

naturally proud and passionate, starting up in a violent A.D. 1212. rage, told the king he lied. Such were the sierce and rude manners of those times, and so much was majesty degraded by the weakness of this prince! This outrageous assiront however made so deep an impression on the king's mind, that he was never cordially reconciled to the earl (41).

Henry finding that all the violent, illegal, and dif-A.D. 1253. graceful methods of raising money, which he had used, ters conwere quite infusficient to supply his wants, resolved again firmed with to make trial of a parliament; and one was fummoned to great fomeet at Westminster on the 5th of April A. D. 1253. lennity. The king laid an account of his necessities before this affembly; and further informed them, that he defigned to fet out as foon as possible for the Holy Land, and earnestly entreated them to grant him such a supply as would enable him to accomplish that pious design. In order to obviate their usual reproaches, and to gain their confent, he made many acknowledgments of his former errors, and gave them the firongest assurances, that he would govern for the future according to their wishes, and would confirm the charters of their liberties in any manner they pleafed. Though the parliament was by no means convinced of his fincerity, yet, after fome deliberation, they wifely refolved to make one further trial. by taking him at his word; and agreed to grant him a tenth of all ecclefiaftical revenues for three years, and a fcutage of three shillings on every knight's fee, on his confirming the charters with fuch awful folemnities as might be deemed inviolable; to which the king confented. According to this agreement, the king, with the whole parliament, met, on the 4th of May, in the great hall at Westminster, the prelates and clergy in their robes, with each a lighted taper in his hand. The great charter, and charter of the forests, were read aloud to this august assembly; and then a fentence of excommunication, containing the most tremendous curses and denunciations of the divine wrath against all who should violate, or consent to the violation of thefe charters, in any particular, was pronounced: at the conclusion of which, the prelates and clergy threw their tapers on the ground, crying with one voice. 66 So

(41) M. Paris, p 507. 513. 559, 560.

A.D. 1253. " may every one be extinguished, and stink in hell, who " shall incur this sentence." To which the king, laying his right hand upon his heart, replied, "So help me "God, as I shall faithfully observe all these articles, as "I am a man, as I am a Christian, as I am a knight, and as I am a crowned, anointed king." These obligations, it must be confessed, were as solemn and awful as could well be divised a but they were very soon violated by this faithless and misguided prince (42).

A. D. 1254. into Gai-CODY.

The divefting Montfort earl of Leicester of his com-Expedition mand in Gascony, which followed soon after the violent guarrel above related, was attended with very ill effects. The Gascon barons, no longer overawed by that brave and active governor, became more and more turbulent; and even invited the king of Castile to take possession of their country, who pretended to have got a grant of it from Henry II. The Castilian, in conjunction with the disafiected barons, reduced feveral places, and threatened the reduction of the whole province. But Henry, being now reconciled to his English subjects by his late folemn confirmation of their charters, found himself in a capacity to undertake an expedition into Gasconv (43). Accordingly he fummoned all his military tenants to meet him in June at Portsmouth; and on the 15th of August he arrived at Bourdeaux with a gallant army, which foon recovered all the places which had been loft, and obliged the king of Cashile to make a formal renunciation of all his pretentions to Gascony. The reconciliation between the two courts was fo complete, that a marriage was concluded between Edward prince of England and Eleanor princess of Castile. But Henry, who delighted much in low dishonest cun-

decrive his railiament.

\*eavours to ning, carefully concealed all this, and fent over his commands to the queen, and his brother the earl of Cornwall, regents of England, to call a parliament, and demand a fupply for carrying on the war. A parliament was accordingly assembled on 27th January A. D. 1254; but, having got some hint of the pacification, refused to grant any money until Gasconv was actually invaded. Henry, not fatisfied with this denial, commanded the regents to

<sup>(42)</sup> M. Paris, p. 580. Annal. Burt. 323. M. Westmonst. p. 254. (43) M. Paris, p. 581. M. Westmonst. p. 256. Rymeri Fædera, t. 1.

P. 505.

reassemble the parliament fifteen days after Easter. But A.D. 1254. the earl of Leicester returned from Gascony before that time; and having made a full discovery of the state of affairs there, the parliament returned the fame answer to this fecond demand; and all Henry's dithonourable arts to impose upon his people ferved only to revive their former distrust of him, and contempt for him (44).

Lewis king of France having this year returned from Henry rehis unfortunate expedition into the Holy Land, Henry was to applied to him for leave to pass through France in his England. way to England. This favour was readily granted; and Henry, with all his numerous court and retinue, were magnificently entertained for fome time at Paris; and all possible honours were paid him in all places through which he passed. So much time was spent in this journey, that Henry did not arrive in England till the begin-

ning of the year 1255 (45).

The pope, who still acted as superior lord of England, A.D. 1255. had contributed very much, by the great authority he The pope offers the possessed, and the terror of his spiritual thunders, to crown of support Henry in all his illegal exactions, and to prevent Sicily to the discontented barons from proceeding to extremities. Henry's fe-But his holiness about this time led his royal vassal of England into an affair which involved him in great expence and trouble, by making him an offer of the crown of Sicily for his fecond fon prince Edmond (46). The pope pretended to dispose of that crown, both as superior lord of Sicily, and as vicar of Jefus Christ, to whom all the kingdoms of the earth belonged. He had offered this dangerous prefent to Richard earl of Cornwall, the king's brother, who wifely declined the offer; but Henry, not fo cautious, accepted of it; and his fon was stilled king of Sicily. This crown however was to be won before it could be worn. In order to this, Henry gave his holinefs an unlimited credit, to employ what fums of money he pleafed in wrothing the crown from Mainfroy, who was in possession of it, engaging to reimburse him (47). The pope, glad of an opportunity of making war on his mortal enemy Mainfroy at another's coft, spared no expence; and in a little time the unwary Henry found himself loaded with an immense debt of 250,000l (48).

<sup>(44)</sup> M. Paris, p. 592. 594. (46) Rymer, vol. 1. p. 512, &c. (45) Id. p. 600.

<sup>(47)</sup> M. Paris, r. 599. (48) Rymer, vol. 1. p. 587, &c. M. Paris, p. 617.

\* parlia-Mount,

A.D. 1155; The fitration of this prince, on this occasion, was truly perplexing : if he refused to pay this debt, besides lofing all hopes of the srown of Sicily, he would incur the indignation of the pope, whose favour was his greateft support; if he attempted to pay it, he must have recourse to new and greater acts of oppression, which might be dangerous. To extricate himself out of these difficulties, he refolved to call a passioment: but in doing this he wied a piece of craft, which defeated its own end. He furnmened only fetch barons as he hoped would comply with his defires, fending no writs to fuch as he apprefrended would be refractory. This parliament met on the 18th October A. D. 1255; and when the king laid before them a repreferration of his necessities, and requested a supply, they made answer, that they could grant no money without the confent of the abject barons. who had not been furnisoned (19).

Henry and the page oppress the dergy.

The church was now Henry's great resource for money; and by the affiftance of papal ambority he foreezed the slergy without mercy. The pope, by virtue of the plennude of his apostolical power, granted the king, by feveral buils, the goods of all elergymen who died inteltate; the revenues of all vacam benefices, and of all nonrefidents: he published a croifade against Mainfroy, whom he represented as a greater enemy to the Christian saish than any Saracen (50): he commanded all the money which had been granted by the English parliament for an expedition into the Holy Land to be employed in the conquest of Sicily; he released Henry, and all others who had taken the cross or promised money for the solv war. from their vows, on condition of their engaging in perfon in the war against Maintroy, or advancing money for its support. It would be endless to enumerate all the arts which the pupe and king craployed at this time to extort money from the people, especially from the clergy of England; but one of thefe arts was too remarkable for the villaint and impudence of it to be omitted. Walferan, bishop of Hereford, a creature of the pope, who resided at Rome as an agent for the church of England, drew hills of different values on all the billions, abbots. and confiderable clergymen of the kingdom, amounting on the whole to 150,540 marks: an immense sum in A.D. 1255. those days! These bills were granted to Italian merchants; who, it was pretended, had advanced the money

contained in them, for the Sicilian war (51).

When this exorbitant demand was first notified to the Resistance English clergy, they were filled with astonishment and of the indignation, and fome of them declared their resolution clergy. to fuffer any extremity rather than comply with it. They were threatened with deprivation; and one of the bishops had the boldness to fay, that if they took his mitre from his head, he would supply its place with a helmet (52). Yet, with fuch union and perfeverance did the pope and king urge their demand, that the clergy, after a long and spirited resistance, were constrained to submit to

this intolerable imposition (53).

Though Richard earl of Cornwall was of a very dif- A. D. 1257. ferent character from the king his brother, being as re-Richard markable for amassing money as the other was for squan-earl of. dering it; yet at last his ambition got the better of his chosen king prudence, and he embarked in an affair which proved of the Roas chimerical and expensive as that of Sicily. The Im-mans. perial throne being vacant, some of the electors cast their eyes on earl Richard, or rather on his riches, and he was chosen king of the Romans, and a deputation fent to invite him to come and take possession of that dignity. Richard, dazzled with the lustre of the Imperial crown, after some hesitation, accepted of the invitation; and in April A. D. 1257, he departed from England with a noble train of forty English gentlemen, and carried with him, if we may believe Matthew Paris, a contemporary historian, no less a sum of money than seven hundred thousand marks, equal in value and efficacy to eight millions of our money at prefent (54). But this prince, on his arrival in Germany, found that he had a powerful rival for the Imperial throne, in Alphonfo king of Castile; and expended all the money he carried with him, besides several remittances from England, without obtaining any thing in return but the empty title of King of the Romans.

<sup>(51)</sup> Rymeri Fordera, t. 1. p. 595.

<sup>(52)</sup> M. Paris, p. 615, 616.

<sup>(53)</sup> Id. p. 617. 619.

A.D. 1258. The departure of earl Richard from England at this time was very fatal both to his country and his family: Fatal con'e-quences of to his country, by draining it of fuch a prodigious mass the depar- of treasure, the want of which was very severely felt; ture of earl to his family, by depriving it of the support of the first prince of the blood, the richest and most powerful subject in Europe. For though Richard had often joined the discontented barons, in their remonstrances against the illegal and arbitrary measures of Henry's government; yet whenever the barons attempted to go too far, and to deprive the crown of its just prerogatives, he always deferted them, and put a flop to their proceedings. But as foon as the throne was deprived of this great fupport, the barons made bolder attacks upon it; and the misguided prince soon furnished them with a favourable opportunity.

A parliament.

Henry, still deluded by the pope, continued to profecute the ridiculous defign of conquering Sicily, called a parliament, and demanded supplies for that purpose. Never was any demand more imprudent or unfeafonable. It furnished the earl of Leicester, and the other discontented barons, with the fairest occasion of reproaching Henry with all the errors and abuses of his government; which they did in the strongest terms, concluding with a folemn declaration, that they were determined no longer to rely on his oaths and promifes, which had been so often violated, but were resolved immediately to drive all foreigners from his court and prefence, and to have the administration put into fuch hands as they could depend upon. In fine, they proposed, that twenty-four persons should be chosen, twelve from the king's council, and twelve from their own number, to whom full powers should be given to reform all the abuses in the government, and to make fuch regulations as should effectually prevent the return of fuch abuses. The king, intimidated by the determined air and martial appearance of the barons, who came into the parliament-hall in complete armour, confented to every thing proposed; and another meeting was appointed to bring this new model of government to perfection (55).

Accordingly, on the 11th June A. D. 1258, that A.D. 1258. famous affembly, afterwards called the mad parliament, The provisi-met at Oxford. The barons came attended with fuch an ons of Oxarmed force as rendered any opposition from the court ford. impracticable. According to agreement, twelve barons were chosen by the king's council, and twelve by the parliament; to whom was given an absolute authority, unlimited both as to time and power, to reform the state, and make what regulations they thought fit, for the future government of the kingdom; in a word, into their hands was committed the whole legislative and executive power: and the king himfelf, his eldest son prince Edward, and all persons in all stations, took a solemn oath to observe and obey all regulations which should be made by these twenty-four barons (56). As the earl of Leicester was the most considerable person in this junto, for riches, power, eloquence, boldness, and popularity, they acted chicfly by his direction and advice. Their first transactions bore a specious appearance of a real regard to the public good. They ordained, That three fessions of parliament should be held every year, in the months of February, June, and October (57): That four knights should be chosen in each county, to enquire into the peculiar grievances of that county, and lav the fame before each meeting of parliament; and that the expences of these knights in the performance of that service should be borne by their county: - That a new high sherisf should be elected every year, by the votes of the freeholders in each county :- That none of the royal wards should be committed to the custody of foreigners :- That no new ferests or warrens should be created :-- and, That the revenues of counties should not be let to farm. Such were the first regulations (commonly called the Provisions of Oxford) which were made by the twenty-four barons (58).

If these barons had proceeded in the same moderate A.D. 1259. and equitable course, and made all proper haste to finish Violations the work of reformation, there would have been no great fitation. reason to complain of their abuse of the unlimited authority with which they had been intrusted. But their subse-

<sup>(56)</sup> Rymer, vol. 1. p. 695. Chron. Dunft. p. 334.

<sup>(57)</sup> Ann. Burt. p. 425. (58) Rymor, Fædera, p. 660, &cc. Annal, Burton, p. 414, &cc.

الم الله الله

A.D. 1259 quent proceedings discovered a very interested spirit, and indicated an intention to perpetuate their own power, and turn it to their own private advantage. They got into their possession all the royal castles, which they either kept in their own hands, or committed to the custody of their creatures. They turned out all the great officers of state, and of the king's household, to make room for themselves and their dependents. They enriched themselves and their families, by the royal escheats and wardships. In a word, the twenty-sour barons engrossed the whole power, and a great part of the revenues of the crown; the king was a mere pageant of state, without the least shadow of authority, and the English constitution was entirely changed from a monarchy to an arisfocracy,

or rather an oligarchy (59).

Prince Edward, &c. obliged to jubmit. Prince Edward, the king's uterine brothers, the queen's relations, and fome of the English barons, made fome opposition to all these prodigious changes; particularly to the oath of unlimited submission to all the ordinances of the twenty-sour barons, made and to be made; and to the surrender of the royal castles: but the torrent ran so strong, that all opposition was in vain, and they were obliged to submit (60).

The king's uterine brothers

The foreign favourites, against whom Leicester, himfelf a foreigner, denounced the most terrible threatenings, seeing the king no longer able to protect them, betook themselves to slight, and escaped out of the kingdom (61). Even the king of the Romans, who paid a visit to his native country, A. D. 1259, was not allowed to set his foot in England, until he had folemnly engaged to take the oath of submission, and comply with all these changes (62). With such a high hand did the twenty-four barons exert their unlimited authority, that the pope himself, who made nothing of dethroning emperors, though greatly exasperated against them on many accounts, was obliged to smoother his resentment.

The a4 ba their exorbitant power in peace. They had lost much of ronsbecome their popularity by their arbitrary proceedings: they

(61) T. Wykes, p. 53.

<sup>(59)</sup> Ann. Burt. p. 413. T. Wykes, p. 53. (60) T. Wykes, p. 53. Ann. Burt. 411.

<sup>(61)</sup> M. Paris, p. 660. Ann Burt. p. 441.

were often called upon, both by king and people, to A.D. 1261. finish the intended reformation, that they might lay down their commission; but they made no haste to comply with these calls: and some trifling regulations which they published gave little fatisfaction (63). But what was most fatal to their power and interest, was some secret jealousies and disputes which arose amongst themselves, particularly between the two powerful earls of Leicester and Gloucester, the latter alleging, that the former assumed too great a share of authority, and acted many things without confulting his colleagues (64).

The king, who bore with great impatience the state A.D. 1262. of infignificancy to which he was reduced, hearing of The king endeavours these circumstances, began to entertain hopes of reco- to recover vering his former authority, and formed a scheme for his authothat purpose. But this, like many other schemes of that "ity. prince, was ill-concerted and unfeafonable; his fon, prince Edward, and his brother, the king of the Romans, who were most able to support him, being both out of the kingdom. Henry, however, having taken his refolution, came unexpectedly into parliament, which was held at London, April 23. A. D. 1262; and reproaching the twenty-four barons with the breach of their promifes to him, and the many abuses of their power, declared, that he would no longer pay any regard to the provisions of Oxford, but would immediately refume the exercise of his royal authority (65). Having made this bold declaration, he retired to the tower, whose governor he had gained, feized a confiderable treasure which was deposited there, and from thence, by proclamation, turned out all the great officers, judges, and sheriffs, which had been nominated by the twenty-four barons, and put others in their room (66). This occasioned infinite confusion in the kingdom; fome obeying the officers and magistrates nominated by the king, and others obeying those nominated by the barons; and many paving no regard to any magistracy, but living as if all government had been diffolved.

<sup>(63)</sup> Trivit. p. 209. Ann. Burt. p. 428-439.

<sup>(64)</sup> Chron. Dunft. p. 343.

<sup>(65)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 55. (66) Id. p. 56.

promiled.

A.D. 1262. The twenty-four barons, and their party, were prodi-Dispute between Hen- which they had received no previous notice. But after ry and the their first furprise was over, they began to consult what barons com- was necessary to be done for their own preservation, and that of their authority. In order to this, they refolved to bury all their private quarrels and animofities in oblivion; and the earls of Leicester and Gloucester were reconciled: they bound themselves anew, by the most folemn oaths, to fland by one another, and to support the provisions of Oxford with their lives and fortunes. Strengthened by this union among themselves, the twenty-four barons began to talk and act with their former authority. They fent the king a message, requiring him to recall his late declaration, and submit to the provisions of Oxford, declaring, that if he did not comply, they would compel him to it by force of arms (67). When things were in this strange unsettled state, prince Edward and the king of the Romans arrived in England. prince, very much to his own honour, but to the great furprise and disappointment of his father, declared, that though he had taken the oath of submission to the Oxford provisions, much against his will, yet he thought himself bound to observe that oath (68). The king of the Romans offering his mediation, it was accepted by both parties; and an agreement was brought about on the following terms: That Henry should once more submit to the provisions of Oxford; and that the barons should change and mitigate certain articles which were most displeasing to the king. But the earl of Leicester refused to fign this agreement, declaring, that he could no longer rely on any promifes of a prince who had so often violated his most folemn oaths; and he retired into France in great discontent. By this pacification, however, some degree of order and tranquillity was restored to the distracted kingdom (69).

Pacificati-OD.

A. D. 1263. Henry imprudently trusting to this appearance of tranquillity, or more probably in order to avoid fulfilling his part of the late treaty, hastened over to Bourdeaux, to fettle, as he pretended, some affairs in Guienne (70).

<sup>(69)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 57. (67) M. Paris, p. 667. (68) Id. ibid. (70) M. Well. p. 331.

The barons, displeased that the king had left the king- A.D. 1263. dom without confirming the Oxford provisions, were greatly incenfed at his endless prevarications; and the earl of Leicester, returning from France, so effectually inflamed them, that they became more united, and more determined to proceed to extremities, than ever. As foon as the king returned from Guienne, the barons addressed him in a body, demanding the immediate confirmation of the provisions of Oxford. But Henry having overcome the scruples of his fon prince Edward, and depending on the affiftance of his brother, and fome other barons, returned a rough answer to this demand; and even went fo far as to call them rebels, and threatened them with the feverest punishment. This answer was more than fufficient to drive the barons to extremities: they immediately flew to arms; and chufing the earl of Leicester for their general, they destroyed the lands of the king and his adherents, put to death all foreigners that fell in their way, and took feveral cities, before the king had any troops ready to oppose them (71). This brought Henry once more to confent to any terms the barons thought fit to prescribe; and a second pacification was made on the following conditions: 1. That all the king's castles should be delivered to the barons. 2. That the provisions of Oxford should be inviolably observed. 3. That all foreigners should be banished. 4. That the administration of affairs should be committed to such as the barons pleased (72).

But this pacification was no better observed than the Another paformer; and the whole year 1263 was spent in alternate cification. truces and hostilities between the king and the barons.

The citizens of London having in general embraced the party of the barons with the most ardent zeal, the mob of that city infulted the queen, as she was upon the river in her barge, with the most opprobrious language; and even put her in fear of her life, by throwing at her dirt and stones (73). Prince Edward was besieged in the castle of Bristel by the inhabitants of that city; and having got from thence by stratagem, he was again besieged by the barons in Windfor castle; and being taken prison-

(73) T. Wykes, p. 57. M. Paris, p. 668.

<sup>(71)</sup> Trivit. p. 211. M. West. p. 382. (72) Chron. Dunst. p. 358. M. Paris, p. 668, 669.

A.D. 1263. er in a conference with the earl of Leicester, he was obliged to purchase his liberty by the surrender of the castle (74). These, and some other unsavourable events, again discouraged the king, and obliged him to submit to more disadvantageous terms than any he had yet yielded to, in order to obtain a cessation of hostilities. A pacification was accordingly concluded, on the 18th of July A.D. 1263, by which the authority of the twenty-four barons was to continue, not only during the reign of the present king, but even during that of his successor (75).

A. D. 1264. Disputes between Henry and the barons referred to the king of France.

This last condition, as might be expected, was very displeasing to prince Edward; who, exerting himself with great vigour, gained over to the royal party feveral great barons, who either envied the authority, or difliked the violence, of the twenty-four. This brought the two parties much nearer to an equality than they had been, and made them both readily agree to refer all their differences to Lewis IX. king of France, a prince univerfally admired for his great wisdom and virtue. This reference being ratified by the oaths and fubfcriptions of all the great men in both parties, Lewis undertook the honourable and friendly office of umpire, and summoned the states of France to meet at Amiens on the 23d January A. D. 1264, in order to examine the merits of this great cause in their presence; and on the 3d of February he pronounced this equitable award: That the provisions of Oxford, being destructive of the royal authority, and fubverfive of the ancient conflitution, should be annulled, and the king restored to the possession of all his castles, lands, and revenues; to the nomination of the great officers of flate, and of his household; and in general, to all the royal rights and prerogatives which he had enjoyed before the meeting of the parliament of Oxford. On the other hand, he decreed, That a general amnesty should be granted to all the subjects of England, for all past offences; and that they should be maintained in the full enjoyment of all liberties and privileges which had been granted to them by any former charters (76).

Was be- As foon as this award was notified to the earl of Leitween Hencefter and his party, they rejected it with disdain; afry and the firming, that the one part of it was a contradiction to the

<sup>(74)</sup> Trivit. p. 213. (75) M. West. p. 383. (76) Rymer, vol. 1. p. 776, 777, 778. M. West. p. 383.

other; and that it was impossible the liberties of Eng- A.D. 1264. land granted by the charters could be maintained, without the provisions of Oxford (77). It now appeared evident to all the world, that this great quarrel could be decided only by the fword; and therefore both parties prepared for war with great eagerness. The carl of Leicester continued in London, the zeal and wealth of whose citizens was the great support of his party, and fent his fons and partifans into all parts of England to raife forces. The king fummoned his military tenants, and the barons of his party, from all quarters, and foon found himfelf at the head of a numerous and gallant army (78). roval arms were at first successful, having taken Northampton by affault on the 5th of April. Simon de Montfort, one of Leicester's fons, with some other barons, and the whole garrison, were made prisoners; and Leicester and Nottingham opened their gates to prince Edward (79). On the other hand, the earl of Leicester formed the siege of Rochester, in which the earl of Warrenne, and several barons of the royal party, had taken shelter (80). The king and prince, hearing of their danger, hastened to their relief; and Leicester, at their approach, raised the fiege, and retired with his army to London.

Here having received a powerful reinforcement of fif-Battle of teen thousand of the most zealous citizens, he thought Lewes. himself sufficiently strong to meet the royalists in the field (81). Leaving London, therefore, he directed his march towards Lewes in Sussex, where the king and prince, with their army, lay encamped. At this place, on the 14th of May A. D. 1264, was fought the famous and decifive battle of Lewes. The royal army was divided into three bodies, the van commanded by prince Edward, the main body commanded by the king of the Romans and his fon Henry, and the rear by the king in person, astisted by some of the chief barons of his party (82). The other army was divided into four bodies, the van, confifting entirely of Londoners, commanded by Nicholas de Segrave, the main body commanded by the earl of Leicester in person, and two bodies of re-

<sup>(77)</sup> Chron. Dunst. p. 363.

<sup>(78)</sup> Rym. 1. 1. p. 772, (50) Id. p. 61.

<sup>(79)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 60. (81) M. Well. p. 386.

<sup>(82)</sup> M. West, p. 387. T. Wykes, p. 63.

A.D. 1264 firve, the one commanded by the earl of Gloucester. and the other by Henry and Guy de Montfort, two of Leicester's fons. In the beginning of the action, victory declared for the royalists. Prince Edward made fo furious an attack upon the Londoners, that he put them to flight; and transported by his youthful ardour, and the refentment of the many injuries they had heaped upon his family, purfued them four miles with great eagerness and slaughter (83). Leicester, taking advantage of the great error the prince had committed, led on the bodies commanded by himfelf, by Gloucester, and by his fons, against the main body of the royalists, which was defeated with great flaughter, and the king of the Romans, who commanded it, taken prisoner; and soon after king Henry shared the same fate, the rear of his army, where he was, being also defeated, and pursued into the town of Lewes (84).

The Mile of Lewes.

Prince Edward at last returning from the pursuit of the Londoners, to his infinite furprise and grief, found the day entirely loft, and heard that the two kings, his father and uncle, with many of the chief barons of the party, were prisoners. He endeavoured to persuade the forces he had about him, to renew the battle while the victors were in some consustion; but they were too much confounded and dispirited to listen to his persuasions; and the artful Leicester, fearing some attempt of that kind, amused the prince with proposals for an accommodation (85). In the mean time the earl was bufy in fecuring his royal prisoners, and rallying his troops, with which he furrounded the prince on all hands. Edward, finding that there was hardly a possibility lest for his escape, was obliged to fubmit to these hard conditions: That the provisions of Oxford should be confirmed and executed; and that the prince and his coufin Henry, fon to the king of the Romans, should surrender themselves prisoners, and remain as hostages for their respective sathers, in the hands of Leicester and the barons, until all things were completely fettled (86).

Violated by Leicester.

This treaty is commonly known in the English history by the name of the Mise of Leaves: in consequence of which, prince Edward, and his cousin Henry, immedi-

<sup>(83)</sup> Hemming, p. 583. M. Paris, p. 670, 671.

<sup>(84)</sup> M. West. p. 387. (85) Hemming, p. 584. (86) M. Paris, p. 671. Kayghton, cd. 2451. T. Wykes, p. 63.

ately furrendered themselves to Leicester, who fent them A.D. 1264. under a strong guard to Dover castle. As the great defign of Leicester and the barons in making the mife or agreement of Lewes, was to get prince Edward into their hands, who was the chief object of their fears, and of the hopes of the royal party, as foon as they had accomplished this end, they paid no further regard to that agreement. The two kings who should have been set at liberty by that treaty, were still prisoners in effect, being furrounded by fuch only as were entirely devoted to Leicefter: who made the unfortunate Henry fend orders to all the governors of his castles to surrender them to the barons; and made use of the king as an instrument of destroying the royal authority, and advancing his own, and that of his party (87).

The earl of Leicester having got the chief persons of Avarice the royal family, and the whole royal authority, into his and ambitihands, became wanton with prosperity, and gave full cafter. scope to his two ruling passions, avarice and ambition. To gratify the former, he feized the estates of eighteen barons of the royal party, and appropriated to himself the greatest part of the money arising from the ransom of the prisoners which had been taken at the battle of Lewes: and took many other oppressive and dishonourable methods to fill his coffers (88). To fatisfy his ambition, he contrived a new plan of government, by which the royal authority was committed to three persons, viz. himself, the earl of Gloucester, and the bishop of Chichester; and as the bishop was entirely under his influence, he in reality had the supreme direction of all public affairs (89).

Such immense wealth and exorbitant power in any Effects of Subject could not fail to excite envy; and the natural Leicetter's haughtiness of Leicester, encreased by his great good conduct. fortune, rendered his exaltation still more offensive and invidious. He was generally fuspected, and even openly accused, of aspiring to the throne. The fallen and desolate state of the royal family, not only increased the tenderness and affection of their own party, but began to awaken compassion in the breasts of many who had contributed to their fall. The earl of Gloucester, in particu-

<sup>(37)</sup> Rymer, vol. 1. p. 790, &c.

<sup>(88)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 63. M. Paris, p. 671.

<sup>(89)</sup> Brady's Appendix, No. 213. Rymeri Fædera, t. 1. p. 693, 8:c.

J. 753

A.D. 1264. lar, feeing himfelf fo much eclipfed by his all-grasping and too powerful affociate, fecretly conspired his ruin (90).

A. D. 1265. A Parliament.

The earl of Leicester was too quick-sighted not to discern the existence, and dread the consequences, of these encreasing discontents, which prevailed chiefly among the better fort. In order to diminish this odium under which he had fallen, he put on an appearance of moderation, and called a parliament, in order, as he pretended, to fet prince Edward at liberty. To this famous parliament were fummoned not only the great barons, but every shire was ordered to send two knights, every city two citizens, and every burgh two burgesses, as their reprefentatives (Q1). This parliament affembled 28th January, A. D. 1265, and, by the persuasion of the earl of Leicester, made a decree to set prince Edward at liberty. but at the fame time commanding that he should remain near the person of the king his father. The prince was accordingly brought from Dover castle, and delivered to his father; but as the king was in reality a prisoner in the hands of Leicester, the prince was guarded with the most jealous care, and foon found that he was still a prisoner, only a little more at large (92). This gross imposition rather increased than diminished the hatred and jealousy of the public against Leicester. The earl of Gloucester. not daring to trust his person within the reach of his daring and powerful rival, retired to his estate, repaired and garrifoned his castles, and made all possible preparations for his own defence (93).

Prince Edh's escape.

Leicester, determined to crush the earl of Gloucester ward makes and his adherents, proclaimed them traitors in the king's name, raifed an army, and marched towards them, carrving the king and prince with him. As the two armies drew near to one another, the earl of Gloucester formed a scheme for the deliverance of prince Edward out of the hands of Leicester; he even found means of communicating this scheme to the prince, and of getting a horse of extraordinary fleetness conveyed to him. The prince, in confequence of this concert, feigned himself indisposed for fome days, and then pretending to recover he pro-

<sup>(90)</sup> M. Paris, p. 671. (91) Rymer, vol. 1. p. 108. (92) Annal. Waverlien. p. 216.

<sup>(93)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 66. M. Parli, p. 671. Annal, Waverlien, p. 216. posed

posed to take an airing on horseback, for the benefit of A.D. 1265. his health. Leicester suspecting nothing, and trusting to the fidelity and vigilance of the gentlemen he had placed about the prince's person, made no opposition. As the prince and his company, or rather guards, were riding along, he artfully proposed running matches between the feveral gentlemen who were best mounted; while he himself, as hardly recovered from his indisposition, moved gently along, on the horse conveyed to him by the earl of Gloucester. At length, when he observed the horses of his attendants fufficiently blown by their diversion, the prince, fuddenly clapping fours to his horfe, rode off at full speed. As soon as his attendants recovered from their furprise, they pursued him till they saw the prince received by a party of horse, which had been fent to savour his escape (94).

This fortunate escape of prince Edward gave incredi-Prince Edble joy to all the friends of the royal family; who flew to ward at the arms, and hastened to his standard; and being joined by army, the earl of Gloucester, Roger Mortimer, and the barons of these parts, he foon found himself at the head of a very gallant army (95). At the defire of the earl of Gloucetter, the prince made a folemn declaration to the army, That if God should grant him victory, he would perfuade the king his father to banish all foreigners, to preserve the liberties, and govern according to the laws, of England. This declaration inspired his army with the warmest attachment to his person, and the most ardent

zeal for the royal cause (96).

Though Leicester was greatly astonished at the prince's Battles of escape, he was not wanting to himself, but took every Kennel-worth and measure he could think of for his own preservation. Hav- Evenam ing the king still in his hands, he obliged that unhappy prince to iffue a proclamation, declaring his fon prince Edward, the earl of Gloucester, and all their adherents. traitors, and forbidding his fubjects to give them any assistance (97). He wrote to his eldest son, Simon de Montfort, to make all possible haste to join him with an army from London. But this junction never took effect:

<sup>(94)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 67. W. Hemming, p. 585.

<sup>(96)</sup> Id. ibid. (95) T. Wykes, p. 68. (97) Brady's Appendix, No. 221, 222. Rymeri Fædera, t. 1. p. 810. 8:1, 812, &cc.

16. ..

A.D. 1265. for prince Edward, making forced marches, furprifed young Montfort and his army at Kennelworth, and cut the greatest part of them in pieces, on the 1st of August A. D. 1265 (98). The prince, without losing a moment's time, turned about and directed his march towards the Severn, in order to meet and attack old Montfort, before he heard of his fon's defeat. Leicester had passed tho Severn, and was advanced as far as Evesham, expecting every moment to be joined by his fon with his army from London, of whose misfortune he had received no information. Prince Edward commanded one part of his army to approach Everham by the road from Kennelworth, difplaying the banners which had been taken from young Montfort's army; and the earl of Leicester's spies, deceived by that appearance, brought him word, that his fon, with his army, was at hand. But the earl did not long enjoy the pleasure of this mistake; for he foon difcovered with his own eyes, that they were enemies who advanced; and observing their great numbers, and excellent order, he had a prefage of his approaching fate; which made him cry out, "God have mercy on our fouls; for our bodies are prince Edward's (99)." The armies foon engaged, and, being animated by the example of their valiant leaders, fought with uncommon furv. In the heat of the action, king Henry was wounded, and in great danger of being flain by a foldier of his fon's army; but crying out, " I am Henry of Winchester, 66 thy fovereign; don't kill me," he was known, and conducted to a place of fafety (100). The Welsh troces in Leicester's army were the first who turned their backs: but even after their flight, his other forces for some time maintained their ground, until the earl himself, and his fon Henry Montfort, were flain; which put an end to the fierce dispute: and prince Edward obtained a most glorious and complete victory, near Evetham, on the 4th August A. D. 1265. Besides the earl of Leicester and his fon Henry, many other barons of that party were killed: Guy de Montfort, another of Leiceiter's fons, and several other barons, were taken prisoners (101).

Book IV.

<sup>(93)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 69. M. Paris, p. 672. Anogl. Waverlien. p. 219. (99) W. Hemming, p. 586. M. Paris, p. 672.

<sup>(100)</sup> W. Hemming, I. 3. c. 31. p. 526, 347. (101) Id. Ibid. M. Paris, p. 672.

Thus fell Simon de Montsort, the great earl of Lei- A.D. 1265. cefter, who raifed himself to a degree of greatness hardly Character inferior to royalty, and of wealth superior to that of some of the earl of our monarchs. Nothing is more difficult than to form of Leicelter. a just idea of the real character of this illustrious person, who was abhorred as a devil by one half of England, and adored as a faint and guardian angel by the other (102). He was unquestionably one of the greatest generals and politicians of his age; bold, ambitious, and enterprifing; ever confidered, both by friends and enemies, as the very foul of the party which he espoused. He was herce and clamorous in the cause of liberty, till he arrived at power, which he employed in aggrandifing and enriching his own family. But whether he did this in order to enable him to establish the liberties of his country on a folid foundation, or only to gratify his own avarice and ambition, is perhaps impossible to be determined.

The death of the earl of Leicester was followed by the A.D. 1266. tetal ruin of his family, and destruction of his party. The Confequences of great estates of the barons were confiscated without mer- the battle of cy; which drove such of them as had escaped from the Evenham. fatal battle of Evesham to despair. A number of these, under the command of Simon de Montfort, eldest son of the earl of Leicester, seized and fortified the isle of Axholm, and flood upon their defence; but after a brave resistance, they were obliged to surrender to prince Edward, and their leader, Simon de Montfort, was banished the kingdom (103). One Adam de Gurdon was at the head of another party of these desperadoes in Hampshire; and being a person of great strength and courage, he was reduced with fome difficulty, prince Edward having taken Adam prisoner with his own hand, after a very fierce and dangerous combat. The prince, charmed with the bravery of the man, though exerted against his own person, not only saved his life, but granted him his liberty: a favour which he returned by the most zealous and devoted services (104). The garrison of the castle of Kennelworth was not so easily subdued, holding out against the royal army several months, and were at last obliged by famine to furrender, in November A. D.

(104) T. Wykes, p. 76. M, Parie, p. 675.

<sup>(102)</sup> M. Paris, p. 672. Chron, Mailros, p. 233, &c. (103) W. Hemming, l. 3. c. 32. p. 587. T. Wykes, p. 73.

A.D. 1266. 1266 (105). But the most formidable body of the remains of the late powerful and triumphant faction had taken refuge in the ifle of Ely, and made great depredation on all the neighbouring country. In order, therefore, to extinguish these surviving sparks of civil dissension, a parliament was held in the town of Kennelworth. during the fiege of the castle. In this parliament more moderate counsels prevailed, and certain commissioners were appointed to compound with the rebellious barons. Many of the difinherited, as they were then called, made their compositions, and were restored to their estates (106). But the robels in the ifle of Elv, trusting to their own ffrength and that of the place, still continued to holdout.

A. D. 1267 . The earl of at Gloucelter discontented.

In order to their reduction, the king held a parliament St. Edmundsbury on the roth February A. D. 1267 (107). But the earl of Gloucester, who had contributed fo much to the deliverance of prince Edward, to the destruction of Leicester, and to the restoration of the king to his liberty and authority, refused to attend that parliament. This great nobleman, difgusted at the feverities exercifed towards the difinherited barons, and with the little regard that was paid to the folemn promifes which had been made to him by the prince before the battle of Evesham, had retired in discontent to his own estate: and the messengers who were fent to him by the parliament, to invite him to that affembly, found him busy in raising an army. He gave these messengers the throngest assurances, that these preparations were designed against his enemy Mortimer; and even put into their hands a declaration, under his own feal, that he never would bear arms against the king: with which declaration the king and parliament were fatisfied; a supply was granted, and an army raifed for the reduction of the ifle of Elv (108).

5 . Sec.

The earl of When the king was engaged in this expedition against Gracefler Ely, and prince Edward was employed in reducing some of the difinherited barons in the north, the earl of Gloucefter marched fuddenly with his army to London, into which he was received without opposition. The city of

Landon

<sup>(105)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 78. (tot) This act of parliament is called didum to Kenelworth. M. Paris, (107) T. Wykes, p. 78. . (108) Id, ibid.

London had been the chief support of the Leicestrian A.D. 1267. party; and the intemperate zeal of Fitz-Richard the mayor, and the lower rank of citizens, for that party, had driven them to commit many cruel outrages on the royalists, and to offer many indignities to the royal family. For these enormities the city was severely punished after the battle of Evesham; for which being full of resentment and disaffection, the earl of Gloucester was a welcome guest. Here the earl published a manifesto, declaring, that he had taken up arms, to procure more moderate terms for the disinherited, and to oblige the king and prince to keep their promises, of preserving all the liberties of England (109).

Henry was greatly alarmed with this new and dangerous Pacificati-

infurrection; and prince Edward arriving from the north on-with an army, and having joined the king, they directed their march towards London (110). At the approach of the royal army, which was very numerous, the earl of Gloucester made proposals for an accommodation; and having obtained an indemnity for himself, his followers, and the city of London, he laid down his arms, and returned to his duty. The isle of Ely surrendered on the 25th of July A. D. 1267, by which a period was put to the civil wars and dissensions with which England had been so long distracted. This happy event was chiefly owing to the desection of the earl of Gloucester from the Leicestrian party, and to the wisdom, valour, and activity of prince Edward.

The courts of England and Scotland had now for many Scotland years lived in the most cordial friendship with one another, and Wales, the two royal families being united, by the marriage of

king Henry's fister Joan to Alexander II. king of Scots, and of his daughter Margaret to Alexander III. Even the national antipathy between the two kingdoms was in a great measure extinguished by an almost uninterrupted peace of half a century. The English in this reign did not live in the same harmony with their neighbours of Wales, whose princes bore with great impatience the superiority of the crown of England over them and their country, and made frequent attempts to throw it off. But a

<sup>(109)</sup> Rymeri Fædera, t. 1. p. 41. T. Wykes, p. 81. (110) Chron. Dunft. p. 394, 395. T. Wykes, p. 79.

A.D. 1267: all these attempts were unsuccessful, and ended in fresh submissions to a power with which they were unable to contend. In the late civil wars Lewellyn prince of Wales warmly espoused the party of Leicester and the barons, and at length shared in the consequences of their deseat: for immediately after the surrender of the isle of Elythe royal army marched into Wales, which obliged Lewellyn to renew his homage and fealty to Henry, and to pay him besides the sum of twenty-sive thousand marks (111).

A. D. 1268. Croifade.

By the submission of the Welsh, England was restored to a state of perfect tranquillity; but the rage of civil discord was no sooner extinguished, than the soolish and pernicious spirit of croisading revived: for Henry having assembled his parliament in April A. D. 1268, at Northampton, both the king, and Ottobon, the pope's legate, warmly recommended a new expedition for the recovery of the Holy Land; and prince Edward, with several great barons, many knights, and a great multitude of common people, assumed the cross (112). While preparations were making for this expedition, another parliament was held at Marleborough, in November, in which several good laws were made, which are well known by the name of the Statutes of Marleborough (113).

A.D. 1270. Prince Edward's expedition to the Holy Land.

After two years had been spent in preparations, prince Edward embarked at Portsmouth, in May A. D. 1270, to join the king of France at Tunis (114); but that great and good king Lewis IX. dying there of the plague, and the French army returning home, the prince was so resolved on this romantic expedition, that he proceeded to Palestine with his own little army. There this brave prince gave many proofs of his undaunted courage and military skill, and so much alarmed the Saracens, that an affassin was employed to murder him, who was killed in making the attempt, but not till he had wounded the prince in the arm with a poisoned knife, by which his life was in great danger (115).

A.D. 1272. While prince Edward was gathering barren laurels, and Death of Henry III. encountering real dangers in the Holy Land, his family,

and

<sup>(111)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 84. (112) Ann. Waverly, p. 224. (113) T. Wykes, p. 85, 86. (114) M. West. p. 400. (115) M. Paris, p. 678. T. Wykes, p. 97. Chron. Mailros, p. 242,

and his native country, stood much in need of his pre-A.D. 1272. fence. In this interval the royal family fustained two great losses, by the death of Henry de Almaine, and of his father, the king of the Romans: the former being basely murdered at Viterbo, in Italy, by his two exiled cousins, Guy and Simon de Montfort (116); and the latter dying of grief for the loss of his fon, at Berkhamstead, 2d April A. D. 1272. King Henry, worn out by age and infirmities, was quite unequal to the talk of government, which under his feeble administration became utterly contemptible. The great barons oppressed the people at their pleasure, the highways were infested by robbers, and the inhabitants of London, and fome other cities, became very riotous and diforderly. As the king was returning from Norwich, where he had been fupprefling one of these riots, he was taken ill at St. Edmundibury, from whence being conveyed to Westminster by eafy journies, he there died, on the 16th November A. D. 1272, in the fixty-fifth year of his age, and the fifty-seventh of his reign (117).

Henry III. furnamed of Winchester, was in his person Character of middle stature, of a robust constitution, but unplea- of Henry fing countenance; his left eye-brow hanging down, and III. almost covering his eye (118). This prince was certainly not possessed of great intellectual abilities, much less of true wisdom, and the right art of governing; yet his understanding does not feem to have been remarkably defective, but had unhappily taken a turn towards low dishonest cunning. As the ends which he had in view were often bad, and fuch as could not be openly avowed, he endeavoured to attain them by the winding ways of treachery and deceit. Some of Henry's repartees are preserved in history, which do not bespeak him to have been that simple fool he is often represented. When the archbishop of Canterbury, with the bishops of Winches ter, Salisbury, and Carlisle, were fent by parliament in 1253, to prefent a very strong remonstrance against uncanonical and forced elections to vacant fees: " It is "true,"replied he, "I have been fomewhat faulty in that particular : I obtruded you, my lord of Canterbury,

<sup>(116)</sup> M. West, p. 400. T. Wykes, p. 95. (117) M. West, p. 401. T. Wykes, p. 98.

<sup>(118)</sup> M. Paris, p. 680.

A.D. 1272. 66 upon your fee: I was obliged to employ both entreaties " and menaces, my lord of Winchester, to get you elected, " when you should have been rather fent to school: my or proceedings were indeed very irregular and violent, my " lords of Salisbury and Carlisle, when I raised you from " the lowest stations to your present dignities. It will become you therefore, my lords, to fet an example of " reformation, by refigning your prefent benefices, and " try to obtain preferment in a more regular man-" ner (119)." But this prince was much more defective in personal courage than in understanding; and as appears from the whole course of his history, as well as from many anecdotes, was of a very cowardly and timorous nature. In the year 1258, when the royal authority was much eclipfed, and the earl of Leicester was in his glory, the king, in going to the tower by water, was overtaken in a ftorm of thunder and lightning, with which he was greatly terrified, and ordered his barge to be put a-shore at the first landing place. But being met by the earl of Leicester at his landing, his terrors redoubled, and he exhibited all the marks of the greatest consternation in his countenance, which made the earl observe, that the storm was now over, and he had no further reason to be afraid; to which the king replied, "I am indeed beyond " measure afraid of thunder and lightning; but, by God's " head, I fear thee more than all the thunder in the uni-" verse (120." Henry was still more destitute of the noble virtues of fincerity in making, and fidelity in observing, his engagements, than he was of courage. Whenever he was hard pushed by the discontented barons, he submitted to any terms they thought fit to prescribe, and confirmed them by all the most awful oaths and solemnities they could devife; but the moment he thought he could do it with fafety, he violated all his promifes and oaths without hefitation, fatisfying himfelf with the abfolution of his good friend the pope, which he easily obtained. This wicked prevarication was not more odious than it was pernicious to his affairs, and obliged the barons to proceed to much greater extremities than otherwise they would have done, plainly perceiving that nothing could make him keep his promifes, but putting it out of his

power to break them. But the most singular feature in A.D. 1272. this prince's character was his incorrigible partiality and affection to fereigners, which attended him through his whole life, and occasioned infinite vexations to himself and his subjects. No sooner was one set of these foreign favourites driven from the royal presence, by attacks which shook the throne itself, than others took their place, and were cherished with equal fondness, and displaced with equal difficulties and dangers. It is highly probable, that these foreigners, having their fortunes to make, were much more supple and infinuating, and more ready to comply with all his humours, than the English barons. confcious of their own power and importance. The piety of this prince is much extelled by the monkish writers of those times (121). He was no doubt a very ufeful and liberal fon to his holy father the pope, whom he affifted with all his might in fleecing his unhappy subjects. He was also a most devout worshipper of rusty nails and rotten bones, particularly those of his favourite, Saint Edward the Confessor, which he placed in a shrine of gold, adorned with precious stones (122). One of the most commendable parts of this prince's character is hardly ever mentioned by our historians, his leve of the arts; for the encouragement of which he expended great fums of money (123). It must further be owned, that he was a very warm and generous, though not a very constant friend, a faithful husband, and an affectionate parent.

Henry III. left two fons; Edward his fucceffor, and His chil-Edmund furnamed *Crouch-back*, titular king of Sicily, and dren. earl of Lancaster, Leicester, and Derby, and high steward of England: and two daughters; Margaret, married to Alexander III. king of Scots, and Beatrix, married to

John duke of Britanny (124).

As Alexander II. king of Scotland had been induced History of to enter into the confederacy with prince Lewis of France Scotland. and the revolted barons, by the prospect of obtaining possession of the three northern counties of Northumberland, Cumberland, and Westmorland; as soon as that prospect vanished, by the defeat of the confederates at Lincoln, 25th May A. D. 1217, he began to think of

<sup>(121)</sup> Erat bestialis homo, sed religiosus. Chron. Mailros, p. 242. M. Paris, p. 630. (122) T. Wykes, p. 88.

<sup>(123)</sup> See chap. 4, of this book, (124) M. Paris, p. 679.

A.D. 1217. making peace with the young king Henry III. which, after some time spent in negotiation, was concluded (125). By one article of this treaty, it was stipulated, that the king of Scotland should marry the princess Joan, the eldest fister of the king of England; and their nuptials (after fome delays, occasioned by the detention of the princess in France) were celebrated 25th June A. D. 1221 (126).

A. D. 1221. Peace with England.

This peace and marriage put a stop to all hostilities between the two nations for feveral years, and introduced a friendly intercourse between the two royal families, now fo nearly related. The king and queen of Scotland made frequent visits to the court of England; where they were nobly entertained, and received many valuable proofs of friendship from their royal brother (127). This external tranquillity gave Alexander leifure to suppress a dangerous infurrection in Argyle, A. D. 1222, and to punish the people of Caithness for the murder of their bishop, whom they had burnt to death in his own house (128). The internal peace of the kingdom was again disturbed, A. D. 1229, by Gillescop, a turbulent baron in the north, who was at last defeated and flain (129).

Disputes.

Though the intimate relation and pacific dispositions with Henry. of the two British monarchs prevented an open rupture, there were still feveral subjects of dispute between them, which now and then occasioned some disquiet. On the one hand, Henry fometimes discovered a disposition to revive the claim of homage from the king of Scotland, which had been given up by Richard I.; and on the other hand, Alexander still insisted on his claim to the three northern counties of England (130). This dispute was determined, A. D. 1237, by the mediation of the pope's legate; and Alexander accepted of certain lands in Northumberland and Cumberland, in lieu of all his claims (131).

<sup>(125)</sup> M. Paris, p. 204. Feed. tom. 1. p. 224. Chron. Mel. p. 155.

<sup>(126)</sup> M. Paris, p. 216. Rym. Fad. tom. 1. p. 240. (127) Ford. I. 9. c. 17. M. Paris, p. 250. Chron. Mel. p. 203. Rym.

Fæd. t. 1. p. 370. 379. (129) Ford. l. 9. c. 47.

<sup>(128)</sup> Ford. l. 9. c. 34. 37. (130) Rym. Fæd. t. 1. p. 334, 335. 374, &c.

<sup>(131)</sup> See Lord Hailes's most accurate Annals of Scotland, vol. 1. p. 133.

Joan queen of Scotland, who had contributed fo much A.D. 1221. to the peace of her family and her country, died 4th Death of March A. D. 1238, without having had any children, the queen, and Alexander married a French lady, Mary, daughter of Ingelram de Couci, 15th May A. D. 1239 (132).

Though the friendship between the two monarchs was Quarrel not immediately diffolved when the great bond of union with Engwas removed, yet it gradually declined, and national land prejealousies revived. After some time spent in mutual A.D. 1244. complaints and accufations, both princes raifed armies and prepared for war, A. D. 1244 (133). But that was happily prevented, and a peace concluded, by the mediation of Richard earl of Cornwall and other English barons, and Alexander engaged to live in amity with England, and not to assist herenemies, unless the English

did him fome wrong (134).

When Alexander was engaged in an expedition against Death and Angus of Argyle, who refused to do homage for certain character of islands he was foired with a fever of which he died in Alexander islands, he was seized with a sever, of which he died in H the small isle of Kirarry, 8th July A. D. 1249, in the fifty-first year of his age, and thirty-fifth of his reign (135). He was one of the wifest and best princes that ever filled the throne of Scotland: and though he maintained the independency of his crown abroad, and the authority of his government at home, with the greatest steadiness and spirit; yet in doing both he acted with so much temper and integrity, " that (to use the words of a contemporary English historian) "he was justly beloved by all the " people of England, as well as by his own fub-" jects (136)." He was succeeded by his only son, of the same name, a child in the eighth year of his age.

Alexander III. was both knighted and crowned by the Accession of bishop of St. Andrew's, at Scoon, 13th July, only five Alexander days after his father's death (137). This precipitation was used to prevent the king of England from interfering

in these ceremonies.

Alexander had been betrothed, A. D. 1242, when His marhe was only a year old, to Margaret, eldest daughter of riage. Henry III. a princess about the same age; and their

<sup>(132)</sup> Chron. Mel. p. 203, 204. (133)M. Paris, p. 432. 436.

<sup>(134)</sup> Rym. Fæd, tom. 1. p. 429.

<sup>(135)</sup> M. Paris, p. 515, 516. Chron. Mailros, p. 219. (137) Ford. 1. 10. c. 1. (136) M. Paris, p. 436.

A.D. 1251 nuptials were celebrated with great pomp, at York, 26th December A. D. 1251 (138). On that occasion Alexander did homage to Henry for his possessions in England; but Henry, taking advantage of his youth, and other circumstances, required him to do homage to him for his crown and kingdom of Scotland. To this unfeafonable and ungenerous requisition, Alexander, by the advice of his council, returned this prudent answer, "That he had been invited to York to marry the prin-" cess of England, not to treat of state affairs; and that " he could not take a step of so much importance, with-

out confulting his parliament (139)."

Civil broils. Scotland was a scene of much disquiet, and of various revolutions, during the minority of Alexander III. The great men were divided into two parties, the one composed of the powerful family of the Comvns, and their friends; the other of the rest of the nobility and their followers. Robert de Ros and John de Baliol, two of the Comyn party, were regents, and had the young king and queen in their hands, which gave them a great advantage over their rivals (140). They kept their fovereign and his confort in a kind of confinement in the castle of Edinburgh, without allowing them to cohabit; of which, and some other discourtesies, the queen made bitter complaints.

King of terpoles.

The king of England, being uncle to the king, and England in father to the queen of Scots, could not be an unconcerned fpectator of those transactions. Listening to the complaints of his daughter against the Comyns, he embraced the interests of the opposite party, who had the good fortune to take the castle of Edinburgh by surprise, and fet the king and queen at liberty (141). To support them, Henry came with an army to the borders of Scotland; but at the same time, August 25, A. D. 1255, he emitted a proclamation, declaring, that he did not defign to attempt any thing against the rights and liberties of that kingdom (142). He was visited by the king and queen, of Scotland, who fpent some time with him, first at Werk castle, and afterwards at Roxburgh. At this last place a plan for the government of Scotland,

<sup>(138)</sup> M. Paris, p. 395, 554. (139) ld. p. 554, 555. (140) M. Paris, p. 609. Chron, Dunft. p. 317. (142) Rym. Ford. tom. 1. p. 567. during during

during the king's minority, was fettled, 20th September, A.D. 1255. By this plan the Comyns and their friends were difmissed from the council, and deprived of all their places, and the administration was committed to fifteen of the chiefs

of the opposite party (143).

The tranquillity of their kingdom being thus reftored, Alexander the young king and queen, attended by a retinue of 300 viits the horse, visited the court of England, in August A. D. England. 1256; and on September 2, Alexander obtained a grant of the earldom of Huntingdon from his father-in-law (144). As a surther mark of his affection, Henry issued orders to all his military tenants in the five northern counties, to assist the king of Scotland with all their

forces (145).

The peace of Scotland was of short duration. Game-Broils relin, late chancellor, and bishop-elect of St. Andrew's, a newed. zealous friend of the Comyns, was confecrated by William de Bondington bishop of Glasgow, who was of the fame party, in direct opposition to an injunction of those in power. For this act of disobedience, the bishop of St. Andrew's was outlawed, and the revenues of his fee were seized. He flew to Rome, and complained to the pope, who espoused his cause so warmly, that he excommunicated all his enemies. The Comyns and their party, taking advantage of this, exclaimed loudly, that the king and government were in the hands of excommunicated persons; and that the kingdom was in danger of being laid under an interdist. Not contented with clamours, they flew to arms, and feized the king and queen at Kinrofs. They also made an alliance with Lewellyn prince of Wales, who was then (1257) at war A. D. 1257. with England, and, carrying the young king with them, they marched their army to the borders. But Henry having raifed an army in the north, a negociation was fet on foot, which produced a kind of coalition of parties, and a regency was formed, confishing of ten perfons, four of each party, with the queen-dowager and her fecond husband, John de Brienne (146).

Though this coalition of parties was probably not very Peace re-

<sup>(143)</sup> Rym. Fæd. t. 1. p. 566, 567. (144) M. Paris, p. 626.

<sup>(145)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 1. p. 605. (146) Chron. Mailros, p. 221. M. Paris, p. 644. Rym. Fæd. tom. 1. p. 670.

A.D. 1257 fincere, it produced an external calm, which gave the king and queen of Scotland an opportunity of vifiting the court of England, where the queen was delivered of a daughter, named Margaret, A.D. 1260 (147).

Norwegian invalion.

Alexander having now arrived at full age, took the reins of government into his own hands, and conducted the affairs both of peace and war with prudence and It was not long before his courage was put to the trial. Haco king of Norway, having collected a fleet of one hundred and fixty ships, embarked with a numerous army, and failed towards Scotland, in fummer. A. D. 1263, most probably with an intention to recover fuch of the western isles as had formerly belonged to his crown, but had been wrested from it by the Scots. He made himself master of the islands of Arran and Bute, and afterwards landed his army on the coast of Cunningham. By this time Alexander had raifed an army, with which he attacked the bold invaders of his country, at Largs, October 2. The battle was fierce and bloody; but victory at last declaring for the Scots, the greatest part of the invading army fell in the action or in the pursuit. To complete the misfortunes of the Norwegians, their fleet was diffipated, and many of their ships wrecked, by a storm, the day after the battle. Haco reached the Orkneys, where he landed, and foon after died, as it is faid, of a broken heart (148). This defeat of the Norwegians was followed by the reduction of almost all the western islands, and the submission of Magnus king of Man, to hold his country of Alexander, and to furnish him with ten gallies, when demanded (149).

A.D. 1264. Alexander, now enjoying perfect tranquillity at home, Alexander fent a choice body of his subjects, under the conduct of fents aid to John Comyn, John Baliol, and Robert Bruce, to the assistance of his father-in-law Henry III. against his revolted barons. These troops behaved bravely and suffered much, at the battle of Lewes: two of their leaders, John Comyn and Robert Bruce, were made pri-

foners, but foon obtained their liberty (150).

<sup>(147)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 1. p. 713. Chron. Mailros, p. 223. (148) Torfæi Hift. Norveg. vol. 4. 47. Ford. l. 10. c. 17. Chron. Mailro, p. 224. (149) Ford. l. 10. c. 18. (150) M. Paris, p. 669. Heming. p. 581. Knyght. col. 2447.

## Ch. r. § 2. CIVIL AND MILITARY.

43

Magnus king of Norway, discouraged by the disaster A.D. 1257. which had befallen his father, yielded all his rights to Western islands and the Isle of Man (A. D. 1266), to isles yielded the crown of Scotland, for the sum of 4000 marks, to be to Scotland. paid in sour years, and a quit-rent of 100 marks yearly (151). The Norwegians still retained the Orkney and Shetland islands.

Scotland enjoyed so perfect a peace during the rest of Great tranthe reign of Alexander III. which falls within this pe-quillity. riod, that it happily affords few materials for history. It was no small addition to the felicity of this good prince, that his queen was delivered of one son, who was named Alexander, A. D. 1263, and of another, who was named David, A. D. 1270 (152).

## SECTION II.

The civil and military history of Britain, from the death of Henry III. A. D. 1272, to the death of Edward I. A. D. 1307.

THOUGH Edward I. cldest son of the late king, was at a distance from England when his father died, the greatness of his character secured his peaceable succession, and persons of all ranks swore fealty to him with much alacrity (1). In an assembly of the nobility held on the day after the royal suneral, the archbishop of York, the earls of Cornwall and Gloucester, were chosen regents of the kingdom; and this choice was confirmed in a more full assembly or parliament, in January A. D. 1273.

Edward was in Sicily, on his return from the Holy tion. Land, when he received the news of his father's death, and of his own peaceable accession. Being informed at the same time, of the perfect tranquillity of his domini-

Accession of Edward L

A. D. 1274. His corona-

<sup>(151)</sup> Torfai Hist. Norveg. vol. 4. p. 343. (152) Chron. Mailros, p. 225. Boece, l. 13.

<sup>(1)</sup> Rymeri Fodera, t. 1. p. 888. Walfingham, p. 44. Westmonst.

A.D. 1274 ons, he made no great haste to take possession of the crown. After spending some time at Rome, and other parts of Italy, he visited the court of France, and performed his homage for the territories which he held of that crown. Having suppressed an insurrection in Gascony, and fettled fome commercial disputes with the earl of Flanders, he embarked for England, landed at Dover. August 2, A. D. 1274, and was crowned at Westminfter, on the 19th of the same month, together with his queen, Eleanora, the amiable and affectionate companion of his travels (2).

Edward's government.

First acts of As England at this time enjoyed a profound peace. Edward very wifely feized that favourable opportunity of enquiring into the state of the lands and revenues of the crown; and into the conduct of the sheriffs and other officers, who had both defrauded the king and oppreffed the people in the late reign (3). He was at no less pains to restore the internal police of the kingdom, and the vigorous execution of the laws, which the late troubles and the feeble administration of Henry had rendered contemptible. By the advice of his parliament, which met at Westminster in May A.D. 1275, many good laws were enacted, which have been ever fince diffinguished by the name of the Statutes of Westminster (4). But it was not long before Edward was interrupted in these salutary works of peace, and involved in scenes of war.

Dispute with the prince of Wales.

The only vaffal of the crown of England who had made any scruple of paying homage and swearing fealty to Edward at his accession, was Lewellyn prince of Wales. This prince had been feveral times summoned to come to court, and perform his homage; but, without directly refusing, he still delayed to do this, under While Edward was employed in various pretences. regulating the internal state of his kingdom, he winked at these delays; but that affair being now settled, he determined to bring this powerful and refractory vallal to obedience. The animofity of the prince of Wales against Edward was much increased by an incident which happened about this time. Lewellyn, who had been a faithful ally and zealous friend to the great earl of Lei-

<sup>(2)</sup> T. Walangham, p. 45, 46. T. Wyhes, p. 110.

<sup>(4)</sup> Coke's 2d Inilitute, p. 156. (3) Chron. Dunit, p. 426.

cester, in the days of his prosperity, still continued to A. D. 1276 cultivate the friendship of that family, after their banishment out of England, and had even entered into a contract of marriage with Eleanor de Montfort, a daughter of that earl; but the young lady being intercepted on her passage from France to Wales, was detained a prifoner in the court of England (5). When the prince was again fummoned to come and perform his homage, he made bitter complaints of the injury which had been done him, and refused to comply, unless his bride was immediately fet at liberty, and the king's fon, with feveral noblemen, were put into his hands as hostages for the fafety of his person. This last demand was thought infolent and unreasonable, both by Edward and the English parliament, which met after Easter A. D. 1276, at Westminster, to consider of this affair. The parliament further declared, that Lewellyn had forfeited his dominions, by refusing to do homage to his superior lord; exhorted Edward to reduce him by force of arms; and for that purpose granted him a fifteenth of the moveables both of the clergy and laity (6).

In confequence of this advice and fupply, Edward A.D. 1277, prepared in good earnest for the conquest of Wales. Invation of Every thing being prepared for this expedition, in the fpring A.D. 1277, Edward advanced towards Wales

at the head of a great army; and with equal caution and

courage penetrated into the heart of that country.

Lewellyn, as usual, retired with his army into the Peace with mountains of Snowden; but here he was soon assaulted Wales. by famine, which obliged him to sue to Edward for peace, which was granted, but on very hard terms. He agreed to pay 50,000 pounds for damages, and the expences of the war; to do homage to the crown of England, and even permit all the barons of Wales to do the same, except four; to give up all the country between Cheshire and the river Conway; and to settle suitable revenues on his two brothers Roderic and David (7), who had taken shelter in the court of England, and implored the protection of Edward against their own brother (8).

<sup>(5)</sup> Walfingham, p. 46, 47. T. Wykes, p. 104.

<sup>(6)</sup> Ann. Waverlien. p. 231. (7) T. Wykes, p. 105, 106. Rymer, vol. 2. p. 38.

<sup>(8)</sup> Trivit. Ann. 1277.

Though Lewellyn had been reduced to the necessity of fubmitting to these severe conditions, which hardly left Lenity of him a fhadow of fovereignty; Edward was not very Edward. rigorous in exacting the full performance of them. He remitted the payment of the 50,000 pounds (9); delivered to Lewellyn his betrothed wife; affisted at their marriage; and, conducting the prince to Westminker, he there performed homage to Edward, according to the late treaty, on Christmas day A. D. 1277, in presence of the bishops and barons of England (10).

The annals of England, in the two next years, are A. D. 1278. Punishment full of the severe punishments which were then inflicted of the Jews. upon the Jews for clipping the coin, and other iniquitous practices (11). An order was issued to feize the whole of that people in one day, the 12th November A. D. 1278 (12); and, after a very short trial, two hundred and eighty of them were hanged in London only, and all their lands, houses, money, and goods, to an immense

value, were confiscated (13).

Inquisition into the barons.

Edward at the fame time employed another method to fill his coffers, and increase the revenues of the crown; titles of the by appointing commissioners to examine the titles by which the barons and others held their lands. Thefe commissioners, by a vigorous exertion of their authority, gave great trouble and vexation to many, brought a great deal of money into the exchequer, by fines and compositions for defective titles, and added many estates to the royal demesnes. But a stop was put to their career by the boldness of the earl of Warren; who appearing before these commissioners, and being desired to produce the inftruments by which he held his estate, drew an old rusty sword out of its scabbard: "This," fays he, " is 66 the instrument by which my ancestors gained their estate, and by which I will keep it as long as I live." This answer being reported to Edward, he became fensible of the impropriety of pushing this inquisition any further, and wifely revoked the commission (14).

<sup>(9)</sup> Rymer, vol. 2. p. 92.

<sup>(11)</sup> Walfing. p. 48. (12) M. West, p. 367.

<sup>(10)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 106. (12) T. Wykes, p. 107.

<sup>(14)</sup> Ann. Waverlien. p. 235.

But it was not long before Edward was called again A.D. 1278. into the fields of war, in which indeed he too much delighted. Lewellyn prince of Wales and his fubjects Wules. were very uneafy in that state of subjection to which they were reduced; and this uneafinefs was much increased by the infolence of the victorious English settled in the conquered country between Cheshire and the river Conway; and by the haughtiness of the lords marchers, who flighted all the complaints of the Welsh (15). David, brother of Lewellyn, dissatisfied with Edward, inflamed the refentment of his brother, and exhorted him to make another brave effort to shake off the English voke, and recover the ancient freedom and independence A.D. 1281. of his country. Accordingly in the spring A. D. 1281, the Welsh flew to arms, and made inroads upon the English territories. Their first attempts were crowned with fuccess: they took the lord Clifford prisoner, and gained fome other flight advantages over the troops which were fent to oppose them (16).

of the Welsh, as it furnished him with a plausible pre-Conquest of tence for making a total conquest of their country. In Wales. order to this, he fummoned his barons and military tenants to meet him at Worcester about Midsummer; and having collected a great army from all parts of his dominions, he advanced towards Wales (17). Lewellyn, unable to face fo great a force in the open field, retired into the fastnesses of Snowden, whither he was followed by Edward, who, feizing all the passes, resolved once more to reduce the Welsh by famine. As he imagined this would be a work of some time, he gave the command of the army to Roger Mortimer, and, retiring to the caftle of Rudhlan, quietly waited the event. But the affair was brought to a speedier issue than he expected: for the Welsh having defeated a small party of the English who had rashly ventured over from the isle of Anglefey on a bridge of boats, were fo much elated with this trifling success, that they left their fastnesses, and

attacked the English in the open plain. They paid very dear for their prefumption; for they received a total

Edward was not ill pleased with this fresh insurrection A. D. 1282.

<sup>(15)</sup> Powell's Hiftory, p. 344, &c.
(16) Walfing, p. 49. Annal, Waverlien, p. 234.
(17) M. Weft, p. 411. T. Wykes, p. 110.

A.D. 1282 defeat, on the 11th December A.D. 1282, Lewellyn himself, and two thousand of his men, being left dead on the field of battle (18). Prince David made his escape, and skulked about the country for some time in various disguises; but being betrayed and taken prisoner, he was conducted to Shrewsbury, tried by his peers (probably as earl of Derby), condemned and executed as a trai-

A.D. 1283. tor (19). His head (with that of his brother) was exposed to public view on the walls of the tower of London, and his quarters fent to York, Bristol, Northampton, and Winchester. In this cruck manner did Edward shed the blood of the last of the ancient sovereigns of Wales,

derived from fo long a line of princes (20).

Effects of that conqueft.

After this decisive victory, and the death of their princes, the Welsh made no further resistance, but tamely, though not without much inward forrow and reluctance. fubmitted to the English voke; and an end was put to that long and bloody quarrel between the English and ancient Britons, which had fublished more than eight centuries. This, however shocking it was to the brave and independent spirits of the Welsh, was a very happy event, as it put a stop to those torrents of blood, and feenes of defolation, occasioned by the mutual enmity of the two nations; and as it made way for the introduction of the English laws, learning, and arts, into Some years after the conquest of Wales. Edward bestowed the title of Prince of Wales on his eldest fon Edward, which hath ever fince been the title of the eldest sons of the kings of England.

Peace.

The final reduction of Wales produced a profound peace, which continued several years without the least interruption, and gave Edward leifure to make further improvements in the laws and government of England, which will be taken notice of in their proper place (21).

Edward years in France.

Since the accession of Edward to the throne of Engspends three land, he had been several times called upon to attend the kings of France as one of their vaffals, by virtue of his territories on the continent; but being engaged at home, he had fent excuses, which were admitted. Be-

> (18) Powell's Hist Wales. (19) T. Wykes, p. 111. (18) Fowert sente water.
>
> (20) Knyghton, col. 2465. T. Walfrg. p 50, 51, 52. Chron. Triv t. an. 1281, 82, 83. Annal. Wa critical p. 235, &c. Hemingford,

(21) Chap. 3. t. 1. p. 7. 13.

ing

ing now at leifure, and receiving a fummons from Philip A. D. 1286. the Fair, who had lately mounted the throne of France, to come and perform his homage, and being also chosen mediator between the competitors for the crown of Sicily, he resolved to visit the continent. Having appointed the earl of Pembroke regent of the kingdom, he fet fail for France on the 24th June A. D. 1286 (22), attended by feveral English bishops and barons. The transactions of Edward during his long refidence abroad, belong more properly to the history of his foreign dominions than to that of England. It is enough to fay, that he was chiefly employed in profecuting some claims which he had to certain territories in France, as heir to his mother Eleanor of Provence, and in putting an end, by his mediation, to the long and bloody dispute between the houses of Anjou and Arragon about the crown of Sicily; and that in both these affairs he acted with great wisdom, honour, and fuccefs. He was by thefe things, however, detained rather more than three years in France, and did not arrive in England till the 12th of August A. D. 1289 (23).

Edward's long absence from England had been attend- A. D. 1289. ed with many inconveniencies. It had encouraged the Confe-Welfh, not yet well reconciled to the English govern-quences of ment, to raife an infurrection, which was suppressed absence. with fome difficulty. The kingdom was a scene of much violence and confusion; particularly one Thomas Chamberlain, a gentleman of desperate fortunes (in conjunction with feveral other desperadoes), was guilty of a most outrageous act of villainv, by fetting fire to the town of Boston in Lincolnshire, in the time of a great fair, and plundering the merchants and townsmen of money and goods to an immense value, in the confusion occasioned by the fire. Chamberlain was taken and hanged; but could not be prevailed upon to discover any of his accomplices (24). The very fountains of justice were polluted, and loud complaints were made of the corruption and venality of the judges. The king, foon after his return, called a parliament to examine these complaints; which were found to be true. Sir Thomas Weyland, the chief justiciary, being found guilty, was banished

VOL. IV.

the

<sup>(22)</sup> M. West. p. 412.

<sup>(23)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 118. Hemingford, t. 1. p. 14. Annal. Waverliez. (24) Heming. vol. 1. p. 16, 17.

A.D. 1289 the kingdom; the other judges of both benches, of the Tews, of the forests, the justices itinerant, several sheriffs and bailiffs, and others concerned in the administration of juffice, being also found guilty, were fined, according to the degrees of their demerits, or their wealth; which fines are faid to have brought no less than one hundred thousand marks into the royal treasury (25). The Jews, too, feem to have taken occasion, from the king's absence, and the venality of the judges, to push their exactions to a greater length than ever; for the cry against them was now become so vehement and univerfal, that the parliament assembled at Westminster on the

A.D. 1290. 14th of January A. D. 1290, came to a refolution to banish the whole race of these greedy and usurious Israelites out of the kingdom. In confequence of this refolution, all their real estates were confiscated, and no fewer than fifteen thousand Jews were at this time

expelled from England (26).

The long peace beland and Scotland

The kingdoms of England and Scotland had continued many years in the most perfect peace and harmony. tween Eng-The two royal families, strictly united by the ties of blood, had maintained a constant intercourse of friendly terminated visits and mutual good offices; the coin of each kingdom had been current in the other, and the merchants had enjoyed the greatest freedom of trade in both. But this happy period of peace and harmony was now near an end, and was fucceeded by the most fierce and lasting animofities, and a long feries of cruel and destructive wars, which brought many calamities on both kingdoms. In order to discover the fatal source of these national animolities and wars, it will be necessary to take a view of some events which had lately happened in Scotland.

Occasion of this rupture.

Alexander III. king of Scots, who was killed on the 19th of March 1286, by a fall from his horse, near Kinghorn, and left no children, but one grandchild, a female, an infant, and in a foreign country. This was Margaret, the only child of Alexander's daughter of the fame name, late queen of Norway, the undoubted heirefs of the crown of Scotland, and recognifed as fuch by the states of that kingdom, which met about three weeks after the king's death. The fame convention of estates made choice of fix noblemen to be regents of the A. D. 1290. kingdom during the absence of their young queen, then only about three years of age (27). For some time these regents acted with wisdom and unanimity, and their government gave universal content; but the earl of Buchan, one of the regents, dying, and the earl of Fife, another of them, being murdered, disputes arose among the remaining four; and every thing tended to confusion. Eric king of Norway, hearing of these distractions, began to be apprehensive for the interests of his daughter, the queen of Scotland; and in order to fecure to her the possession of that crown, he applied by ambassadors to Edward king of England, her grand unele, for his affiftance and protection (28). This application was very agreeable to Edward; who had already formed a scheme for uniting the two British kingdoms, by the marriage of his eldest fon Edward with the young queen of Scots; and had even privately procured a dispensation from the pope for that purpose. Conferences were held at Salifbury between the ambassadors of the king of Norway, some of Edward's ministers, and plenipotentaries from the regency of Scotland; in which all the preliminaries for the young queen's voyage into her dominions were fettled (20).

Edward, thinking all things now ripe for opening Marriage his grand scheme, sent at very honourable embassy to between the parliament of Scotland, met at Brigham, near Kelfo, ward and on the 18th of July 1290, to make a formal demand of the infant their young queen in marriage with his fon, and with queen of full powers to fettle all the conditions of the marriage. Scotland negociated. The parliament of Scotland readily agreed to the marriage, as advantageous to both kingdoms; but, in fettling the conditions, they took every possible precaution' to preferve the independency of their country, and to guard against every danger that might arise from for strict an alliance with such a powerful and ambitious neighbour. It was agreed, That the Scots should enjoy all their ancient laws, liberties, and customs:— That in cafe Edward and Margaret should die without issue of the body of Margaret, the kingdom of Scot-

<sup>(27)</sup> Buchan, Hist. Scot. 1. 8. p. 132. Rymeri Fæd. t. 2. p. 266. (28) Rymeri Fad. t. 2. p. 416. 272. 324. 327. 339. (29) H. Boeth. p. 191. Rymeri Fædera, vol. 2. p. 431, &c.

A. D. 1290 land should revert, free, absolute, and independent, to the next heir :- That in case Edward should die before Margaret without iffue by her, the body of Margaret should be remitted to Scotland free and independent: That the military tenants of the crown, and other fubiects, should not be obliged to go out of Scotland, to do homage, to fwear fealty, to elect or be elected to any office, or to do any fervice that had been usually performed in Scotland:-That the kingdom of Scotland should have its chancellor, officers of state, courts of justice, &c. as before:-That a new great feal should be made, and kept by the chancellor, with the ordinary arms of Scotland, and the name of none but the queen of Scotland engraved upon it:-That all papers and records belonging to the crown and kingdom of Scotland, should be lodged in a secure place within that kingdom, under the feals of the nobility:-That all parliaments called to treat of the affairs of Scotland, should be held within that kingdom:-That no duties, taxes, or levies of men, should be raised in Scotland, but such as had been usual:-That the king of England should pay the pope one hundred thousand pounds for the use of the holy wars:—and, That himfelf and his dominions should be excommunicated, and laid under an interdict, if he did not religiously observe all these articles (30). These articles were agreed to and confirmed by Edward: and as this is the first plan which was formed for the union of the British crowns, it is a great curiosity. The Scots in these times are represented by some of our historians as an ignorant and barbarous people; but it is hard to fay what better precautions could have been taken by the wifest nation, in the most enlightened age, for securing the freedom and independency of their country.

Death of the infant queen of Scotland. All these preliminaries being settled to the mutual fatisfaction of both nations, Sir Michael Scot and Sir David Weems were sent as commissioners from Scotland to Norway, to receive the young queen, and conduct her into her own dominions (31). But when all Britain was big with expectation of the arrival of this princes, who was to be the bond of lasting peace and union, a rumour

<sup>(30)</sup> Rymer, vol. 2. p. 482, 483, 484. (31) Buchan. Hift. Scot. 1. 8. p. 132.

of her death was first heard, and afterwards more cer- A.D. 1290. tain intelligence was received, that she had died in Ork-

nev, where, being fick, she had landed (32).

It will be difficult to find in history the death of any Fatal conone person attended with more fatal consequences than sequences that of this infant queen. It distipated in a moment of her, all the pleafing hopes of peace and union, and entailed long and bloody wars upon both the British kingdoms, which brought the weakest of them to the very brink of ruin.

Edward, in the course of the late negotiations, had A.D. 1291. gained a very powerful party in Scotland; and, amongst Death of others, Fraser, bishop of St. Andrew's, one of the re-Eleanor. gents, from whom he received the earliest intelligence of the young queen's death, with an advice to raife an army and approach the borders. He readily complied with this advice, which was fo agreeable to his own fecret views. But as he was conducting his army towards Scotland, he met with a very grievous affliction by the death of his beloved queen Eleanor, the faithful partner of all his cares and joys, and companion of all his travels. Ambition on this occasion yielded to tenderness and grief: he suspended his expedition, to accompany the remains of his queen, from Grantham in Lincolnshire, where she died, to Westminster, where she was interred with great funeral pomp (33).

In the mean time Scotland was a scene of great con- Edward fusion. The two chief competitors for the crown, John choien Baliol and Robert Bruce, were eagerly employed in judge in ftrengthening their parties, and preparing their forces the dispute about the to affert their claims. It foon became visible to all the crown of world, that this dispute could not be terminated within Scotland. the kingdom without a fierce and destructive civil war. To avoid this, the regents, the states, and even the competitors, agreed to refer this great controversy to Edward king of England; who had always professed the greatest respect and affection for the Scotch nation; who had lately acquitted himself with so much honour as an umpire between the competitors for the crown of Sicily; and who had power fufficient to put his fentence in execution. The bishop of St. Andrew's was fent into

<sup>(32)</sup> M. Westmonst. p. 381. W. Heming. t. 1. p. 30.

<sup>(33)</sup> M. Westmonst. p. 381. T. Walling. F. 54, 55.

A.D. 1291. England, to inform Edward of this reference, and intreat him to take upon him the office of an umpire between the competitors for the crown of Scotland (34).

Edward's artful conduct.

This office Edward accepted with the greatest pleafure, and managed with the most admirable policy; never disclosing his designs till he was almost secure of their fuccess, and through the whole proceedings observing all the external fliews and forms of justice, however much the essentials of it were violated.

Affembly

In consequence of his office of arbitrator, he sumat Norham. moned the flates of Scotland, and the competitors for the crown, to meet him at Norham, a small town on the fouth banks of the Tweed, a few miles from Berwick; and, that they might not hefitate at passing that river, he made a declaration, that it should not be drawn into precedent (35). Edward came to the place of meeting, attended by a splendid court and powerful army.

Foward claims the fuperiority of Scotland.

When all were affembled, on the 10th of May, A.D. 1291, Roger Brabazon, chief justiciary of England, made a speech to the states of Scotland; in which, after a very fmooth exordium, he told them, that king Edward was come to determine the great cause concerning the crown of Scotland, in virtue of his right of fuperiority and direct dominion over that kingdom, and required that this right should be immediately recognised, and folemnly acknowledged, by the states, as the first thep to be taken. The states, greatly assonished at this unexpected demand, asked some time to consider of it, and were allowed till the next day.

Grounds of that claim.

Edward had been at great pains in collecting arguments in support of his pretensions to the superiority over Scotland, which he hoped would foon draw after it the possession of that kingdom. A paper, containing thefe arguments, was read to the assembly at Norham. But after all the pains which he had taken, that paper had appeared to many a very weak performance, more like the work of a chicaning attorney than that of a great king. It consists chiefly of scraps out of abbey chronicles, and other English histories, many of them very unfairly

(35) Rymer, vol. 2, p. 528. Fordun, l. 11. c. 10.

<sup>(34)</sup> Buchanan, I. 8. p. 134. Fordun, l. 11. c. 42. W. Heming. t. t. p. 32, 33.

quoted, enumerating all the defeats which the Scots had A. D. 1291. received from the English, and all the disadvantageous treaties which they had made with them; together with a minute recapitulation of all the homages which had been paid by the kings of Scotland to the kings of England; though all the world knew, that these homages had been paid for the lands which the Scotch kings poffessed or claimed in England, and not for the kingdom of-Scotland. Edward was not even ashamed to mention the legendary stories of Brute and his fons, and of king Athelftan's cutting a yard deep with his fword into a rock near Dunbar, by the affiftance of his good friend St. John of Beverley, as proofs of the superiority of the kings of England over Scotland (36). He infifted at great length on the homage performed by William the Lion, king of Scots (when he was a prisoner), to Henry II. for the whole kingdom of Scotland, as one condition of his being fet at liberty; but with the greatest disingenuity, he took no notice of the renunciation of that homage and superiority granted by Richard I. to the fame king William (37). It had been easy for the states of Scotland to have answered these weak arguments, if they had been at liberty; but they were entirely in the power of Edward; and therefore, at the meeting on the 11th of -May, they earnestly requested a longer delay, that they might have an opportunity of confulting with the other bishops and barons who were absent, about a matter of fo great importance. With much difficulty they obtained a delay of three weeks; and Edward appointed them to meet him again at the same place on the 2d day of Tune.

In the mean time Edward was not idle, but employed Edward's every method in his power to strengthen his party in superiority Scotland, and both by threats and promifes to bring as ledged. many as possible to acknowledge his superiority (38), According to appointment, the guardians of Scotland, with the competitors for the crown, and many barons and prelates, met on the 2d of June, in a plain oppofite to the castle of Norham, where Edward then lay.

(38) Hemingford, vol. 1. P. 33.

<sup>(36)</sup> Walfing. p. 81. Knyghton, col. 2484, &c.

<sup>(37)</sup> Prynne, vol. 3. p. 489. Rymer. Fæd. t. 2. p. 559. Walfing. p. 55, 56.

A. D. 1291. The bishop of Bath and Wells, chancellor of England,

was fent by Edward to reprefent him in that meeting, and report the refult of their deliberations. Some of the Scots barons reprefented, that the question concerning the fuperiority of England could not properly be determined until Scotland had a king, his honour and interest being fo much concerned (39). But the competitors for the crown, afraid of offending Edward, by disputing a point which they faw he was resolved to carry, consented to acknowledge the superiority of the crown of England over the crown and kingdom of Scotland; and, by their influence and example, brought the rest of the states to acknowledge the same, or to remain silent (40). Edward was not even contented with this acknowledgment, but obliged all the competitors to give him letterspatent, under their hands and feals, owning his superiority, and promifing to submit to his decision (41). Thus did Edward, by his power and policy, gain this great point, on which his heart was very much fet, and with which he was greatly delighted. How short-sighted is the greatest human wisdom! Little did this prince imagine, that, instead of entailing the superiority of a kingdom, he was entailing nothing but a bloody and destructive quantel, on his country and his posterity.

Edward demands and obtains the castles.

No fooner had Edward fucceeded in his first pretenfion, than he disclosed another. That he might have a kingdom to bestow on the person to whom it should be adjudged, he demanded to have all the royal castles and places of strength in Scotland put into his hands; and

this demand was granted (42).

Competicrown of Scotland

The king of England, having thus obtained every tors for the thing he could defire, proceeded to take fome steps towards the decision of this great cause, and to determine which of the competitors had the best right to the crown of Scotland. These competitors were now multiplied to the number of thirteen; fome of them probably stirred up by Edward, in order to perplex the cause, and others perhaps prompted by their own vanity. The names of these competitors were as follows: John Baliol ford of Galloway, Robert Bruce earl of Annandale, John Hastings lord of Abergavenny, Florence earl of

Holland

<sup>(39)</sup> Walfing, p. 56. (40) Rymer, vol. 2. p. 548. (41) Rymer, vol. 2. p. 579. Heming. t. 1. p. 33, 34. 9. 56, 57. (42) Rymer, vol. 2. p. 556.

Holland, Eric king of Norway, Robert Dunbar earl of A. D. 1291. March, John Cummin earl of Badenoch, William de Vefev, Robert de Pinkeny, Nicolas de Soules, Patrick Galyhtly, Roger de Mandeville, Robert de Ross (43). The titles of the ten last of these competitors were either derived from baftard branches of the royal family, or fo trifling or ill supported, that they do not deferve a place in history. The three first were the only persons who had any plaufible pretentions: and in order to understand the foundations of their respective claims, it will be neceffary to take a view of a part of the genealogy of the

royal family of Scotland.

Henry prince of Scotland died before his father king claims of David, and left three fons, Malcolm, William, and the chief David. Malcolm fucceeded his grandfather David, and competidied without issue. William succeeded his brother Malcolm, and left iffue; but his posterity were now extinct, the last of them being Margaret of Norway, the late infant queen of Scotland. It is undeniable, therefore, that the crown of Scotland was now devolved to the posterity of prince David, younger brother of the kings Malcolm and William. David had been earl of Huntington in England, and left three daughters, Margaret, Isabella, and Ada. Margaret, the eldest daughter of earl David, married Allan lord of Galloway, by whom she had an only daughter, Dervorgilla, married to John Baliol, by whom the had John Baliol, the competitor; who, according to this account, was great-grandfon to David earl of Huntington, by his eldest daughter. Isabella, the second daughter of earl David, married Robert Bruce, by whom the had Robert Bruce the competitor, who was grandfon to the earl of Huntington by his fecond daughter. Ada, third and youngest daughter of earl David, married John Hastings, by whom she had John Hastings, the competitor, who was grandfon to that earl by his youngest daughter. Hastings could have no pretentions to the whole fuccetion of David earl of Huntington while the posterity of his two eldest daughters were in being; all he pretended to therefore was, that the kingdom of Scotland should be divided into three parts, and that he should inherit one of them, as heir to one of the three daughters of earl David. But the kingdom being declared impartible, the pretentions of Hastings were excluded,

A.D. 1291 and there remained only two competitors, Baliol and Bruce. Baliol claimed the whole kingdom of Scotland. as heir to David earl of Huntington by his eldest daughter; but Bruce pleaded, that though he was descended from the fecond daughter; yet, being grandfon to the earl of Huntington, he ought to be preferred before Baliol, who was only great-grandson to that earl. The whole controversy, therefore, between these two chief competitors turned upon this hinge, Whether the more remote by one degree, descended from the eldest daughter, or the nearer by one degree, descended from the fecond daughter, had the best title? To examine this, and every other question that might arise in this cause. it was agreed, that John Baliol and Robert Bruce should each name forty commissioners, to whom Edward might add twenty-four; which commissioners should sit at Berwick, and report their opinion to Edward, who was finally to judge and pronounce fentence (44).

These commissioners, appointed to examine the merits of this great cause, met at Berwick, for the first time, on the 2d of August A.D. 1292; and after three months spent in various meetings and deliberations, they gave their opinion in favour of Baliol. All things being now ripe, Edward appointed the 17th of November for pronouncing his award and judgment; and accordingly on that day, in the great hall of the castle of Berwick, in presence of all the prelates, earls, barons, and great men, of both kingdoms, he adjudged the crown and kingdom of Scotland to John Baliol (45). But this unhappy prince very soon found, that a dependent crown

was no very valuable possession.

Semity of Faward.

A. D. 1292.

Determi-

nation in

favour of John

Baliol.

As foon as Edward had thus obtained the superiority of the crown and kingdom of Scotland, he proceeded to exercise it with unrelenting severity, and in its sull extent. He obliged king John, on the day after the cause was determined in his savour, to perform his homage, and swear fealty to him and his heirs, kings of England, for the whole kingdom of Scotland: after which he permitted him to go and take possession of his kingdom (46). But that his royal vassal might not forget his dependency by sitting too long unmolested on his throne, Edward

(46) Rymer. Fed. toin. 2 p. 503.

(45) Id. ibid. 598.

<sup>(44)</sup> Rymer, vol. 2. p. 555.

recalled him into England immediately after his corona-A.D.1292. tion, and made him renew his homage and fealty at Newcastle, on St. Stephen's day, A. D. 1292. Besides this, that John might not imagine that this humiliating ceremony was all he had to suffer, Edward hastened to load him with fresh indignities; and in a little more than one year this shadow of a king received no fewer than six citations to appear before the king of England in his parliament, to answer the complaints of several private persons, on matters of no great importance (47).

In consequence of these citations, king John attended A.D. 1293. Edward in his parliament after Michaelmas A. D. 1293, Indignity at Westminster: and when one of the complaints against offered to him came to be tried, he offered to answer by his attor-Scotland, ney: but this privilege was not granted him; and, after a long struggle, he was obliged to descend from his seat, and stand at the bar like any common delinquent (48). Even the tame spirit of Baliol was roused by this affront: he selt the deepest resentment, and secretly resolved to embrace the first savourable opportunity of throwing off a yoke which was become intolerable. It was not long before a very promising opportunity offered.

While Edward was eagerly purfuing his defigns on war with Scotland, an accidental scuffle happened between the Prance. crews of an English and French ship, about a spring of fresh water near Bayonne. This scusse, in which a French failor was killed, being reported in both countries, became a national quarrel, and produced a kind of piratical war, trifling indeed in its beginnings, but very bloody and destructive in its progress. A fleet of two hundred Norman ships, sailing towards the south, feized all the English ships which they met with in their passage, hanged the crews, and made prize of the cargoes. The inhabitants of the cinque-ports hearing of this, fitted out a fleet of fixty flout ships, well manned, and waited for the enemy in their return. The two fleets met; and after an obstinate struggle the English obtained a complete victory, and took or destroyed the greatestpart of the French fleet. As no quarter was given, the

<sup>(47)</sup> Rymer, vol. 2. p. 605-616. (48) Ryley Placet. Parl. p. 152, 153.

Edward

A. D. 1294. action was very bloody; and the French, it was pretend-

ed, lost 15,000 men (49).

The two monarchs being otherwise employed, had fumnioned not directly intermeddled in this quarrel ; but this last by the king affair was too ferious to be overlooked. Philip the Fair. of France. king of France, fent ambassadors into England, to demand reparation; and Edward, not willing at this time to break with France, dispatched the bishop of London to that court, with feveral proposals for an accommodation. But all these proposals were rejected; and the war continuing, Philip cited the king of England, as duke of Guienne, to appear before him in his court of Paris (50). This citation was given to Edward in November A. D. 1294, about a year after he had treated the king of Scotland with fo great infolence in his parliament at Westminster: so that while he made the unhappy Baliol feel all the weight of feudal subjection, he was treated with the same haughtiness by his own liege lord. the king of France.

A. D. 1295. Alliance kings of Scotland.

The king of Scotland, feeing every thing tending to a rupture, determined to feize that opportunity of throwing between the off the English yoke, by entering into a strict alliance France and with the king of France. In order to this, he fent amballadors into France, to negotiate a treaty with that crown; which was figned and fealed on the 23d of October A. D. 1295. By this treaty, the kings of France and Scotland agreed to affift one another against their common enemy the king of England, and not to make peace

but by common confent (51).

Edward deceived by the king of France.

Edward did not think fit to obey the citation he had received from France; and yet, unwilling to come to an open rupture with that court, he fent his brother Edmund earl of Lancaster to Paris to negotiate an accommodation. Philip appeared exceedingly incenfed against Edward's subjects in Guienne (who had joined with the English), and would listen to no reasonable terms. But when the earl of Lancaster was ready to depart, the queen-dowager, and the reigning queen of France, interposed their good offices, and proposed, that if Ed-

<sup>(49)</sup> Walfing, p. 58-60. Hemine, t. 1. p. 39, 40, &c. (50) Walfing, p. 60. Triveti Appal, an. 1294.

<sup>(51)</sup> Rymer, vol. 2. p. 680. Prynne's Collect. vol. 3. p. 602, &c. Meming. t, 11 p. 76, 77.

ward would furrender Guienne into the hands of Philip, A. D. 1295. in order to fatisfy his point of honour, it should be immediately restored. The earl of Lancaster, with his brother's confent, figned a treaty with the two queens, on these terms, which was confirmed by the verbal declaration of king Philip, before feveral witnesses. In confequence of this treaty, the dukedom of Guienne was furrendered to the constable de Nisle, who took possession of it in the name of the king of France. But when the earl of Lancaster demanded the restoration of that dukedom, according to the treaty with the two queens, he received a flat denial. Edward was again fummoned to appear before Philip in his court at Paris; and upon his not appearing, the court declared, he had forfeited Guienne; which was accordingly confiscated (52). Thus Edward, who had used so many artifices to gain the superiority of Scotland, loft Guienne, his undoubted property, by a shameful fraud.

Though Edward was both ashamed and enraged, to Edward be thus outwitted by the court of France, he did not take prepares for any hasty step, but acted with his usual prudence. His war. first care was to collect money to defray the expences of a war with France and Scotland, which he faw was unavoidable. In order to this, he feized the large fums of money which had been collected for the holy war, and were deposited in several monasteries (53); and his parliaments granted him very large supplies. At one time the clergy granted him one half, the merchants one fixth and the rest of the laity a tenth, of all their moveables (54). Besides all this, he violently seized all the wool and hides which were ready for exportation, promissing to pay the owners at a convenient time. Edward being, by these and various other means, possessed of the finews of war, determined to carry it on with great vi-

This wife prince, though greatly irritated against the Edward deking of France, on account of his grofs prevarication in lays his inthe affair of Guienne, the invasion of England and burn-France, in

gour.

<sup>(52)</sup> Rymer, vol. 2. p. 620, &c. Walling. p. 61. Heming. t. 1. p. Scotland.

<sup>(53)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 126. Heming. t. 1. p. 51, 52. (54) M. West. p. 394, 395. Walking. p. 62. Heming, t. 1. p. 53, E4.

A.D. 1295 ing of Dover, A. D. 1295, and many other injuries, refolved to make his greatest efforts against Scotland. He contented himself, therefore, with sending his brother Edmund with a fmall army into Guienne to preferve the few places he still possessed in these parts, and to keep the war alive in France, while he resolved to attempt the total conquest of Scotland (55).

A. D. 1296. War with Scotland.

Edward, that he might not want a plaufible pretence for invading Scotland, required king John to deliver the castles of Berwick, Jedburgh, and Roxburgh, into his hands, as a security for his peaceable behaviour during the war with France (56). John having concluded the above-mentioned treaty with the king of France, and having also received from the pope an absolution from the oaths of fealty which he had fworn to Edward, refused to comply with this demand; and, as a further evidence of his hoffile dispositions, he banished all Englishmen out of Scotland. In the spring of the year 1296, Edward began to move northward with his army; and arriving at Newcastle in the beginning of March, he there held a parliament, to which king John received a citation, which he entirely flighted; and hostilities immediately commenced between the two kingdoms (57).

Advantages

The king of England began this war with every advanof Edward, tage that could promife certain and complete fuccess. He excelled in military skill and courage, and conducted a powerful, united people, against a weak dispirited nation, headed by an unpopular and unwarlike prince. To render this match still more unequal, Edward was joined by Robert Bruce earl of Carrick, and his fon, of the fame name, who was afterwards king of Scotland, with feveral barons of their party. King John was even for much despised by that part of his subjects who acknowledged his authority, that they did not think fit to trust him with the conduct of the war, but chose twelve guardians, who were to have the chief direction of all affairs (58).

the Scots.

Successes of In the beginning of the war the Scots had some success. Their fleet defeated an English squadron which blocked up Berwick by fea, and funk fixteen of their ships;-

<sup>(</sup>cc) Walfing. p. 63, 64. (50) Rymer, vol. 2. p. 692. Walling. p. 64.

<sup>(48)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 75. (57; Heming, vol. 1, p. 84.

the castle of Werk was betrayed to them by its gover- A.D. 1296. nor; and a thousand men whom Edward sent to preserve it, falling into an ambush, were cut in pieces; -a small army of Scots broke into Northumberland and Cumberland, plundered the country, and burnt feveral monaf-

teries, and the suburbs of Carlisle (50).

But these flight successes were followed by a long train Greater of grievous and irreparable losses. Edward crossing the victories of Tweed at Coldstream without opposition, invested Berwick; which he took by a stratagem, on the 30th of March, and put all the numerous garrison to the sword (60). The castle of Roxburgh was soon after surrendered by James, steward of Scotland, who submitted, and swore fealty to Edward. The earl of Warrenne, with a large detachment of the English army, besieged the castle of Dunbar; and the Scots army, which is faid to have amounted to 40,000 foot and 500 horse, approaching to raife the fiege, a battle was fought near that place, April 27, in which the Scots received a dreadful overthrow. leaving (as the English historians affirm) ten thousand men dead on the field of battle (61). This terrible defeat entirely dispirited the Scots; the castles of Edinburgh and Stirling furrendered almost without resistance; and the whole fouth of Scotland was subdued before Midsummer. Edward, determined to purfue his advantage, directed his march northward, having received a strong reinforcement of Welsh and Irish troops (62).

The unfortunate Baliol, after the fatal battle of Dun-King John bar, had retired with the shattered remains of his army surrenders. beyond the river Tay. But, distrusting the fidelity of his own troops, and despairing of making any effectual re-

fistance, he resolved to throw himself upon the mercy of the conqueror. He found means to communicate this refolution to Edward; who fent Anthony Beck, bishop of Durham, to confirm him in his design, and conduct him into his prefence; and that artful prelate, encouraging the fallen monarch with hopes of favour, brought him before the king of England, on the 2d of July, at a place called Stroutharrack (63). At this inter-

<sup>(59)</sup> Trivet, p. 88. Heming. t. 1. p. 87, 88. Knyghton, col. 2478, 2479.

<sup>(60)</sup> Heming. vol. 1. p. 89-97. (61) M. West. p. 404. Walfing. p. 67.

<sup>(63)</sup> Hector Boeth. Hift. 1. 14. (62) Heming. vol. 1. p. 96.

John behaving with the most abject meanness, and Edward with the most unrelenting selfishness. He obliged Baliol to make a solemn surrender, by letters-patent under his hand and seal, of his whole kingdom, and royal dignity, into his hands (64); after which he sent him prisoner to the tower of London. This pusillanimous and unfortunate prince had enjoyed so little peace and comfort since his accession to the throne, through the continual insults of Edward, and the disaffection of his own subjects, that he seems to have lost all relish for royalty, and never more intermeddled with affairs of government. After remaining some years a prisoner in England, he was sent to his own estate in France, where he died in a private station, at an advanced age (65).

A.D. 1297. Severity of Edward to the Scots.

Edward shewed as little lenity to the kingdom as to the king of Scotland. He fent all the nobility who fell into his hands prisoners into England; he destroyed or took away all the public records; he carried off the regalia, and that fatal chair in which their kings had been crowned, and for which they had fuch a superstitious veneration; and, in a word, he did every thing in his power to obliterate every monument of their former independency. All the chief offices of the kingdom were bestowed on Englishmen. John de Warrenne earl of Surry was appointed governor, with a fufficient force, as it was believed, to keep the country in fubjection: and every thing being fettled to his mind, Edward returned with the bulk of his army into England; concluding, that he had made a final conquest of Scotland (66). But the sequel will show how much he was mistaken.

War with France. While Edward was employed in Scotland, the war in Guienne had languished; but being now at leisure, he resolved to attempt the recovery of that province with all his power. On this occasion, however, he changed his plan of operations; and, instead of sending an army into Guienne, which was remote, he proposed to make a formidable attack upon France from the side of Flanders. In order to this, he concluded treaties with the emperor, the dukes of Austria and Brabant, the earl of

<sup>(64)</sup> Rym. Fad. t. 2. p. 718. Heming. t. 1. p. 99, &c. Walfing. p. 68. (65) Rymer, vol. 2. p. 848. (66) Walfing. p. 68. Trivet. p. 299. Heming. t. 1. p. 103. Flanders.

Flanders, and feveral other princes on the continent, who A.D. 1297. engaged, for certain fums of money, to furnish him with troops for his intended invasion of France (67).

The great difficulty was, to find money fufficient to Parliament.

fet this great machine in motion. He assembled a parliament, and obtained an eighth of their moveables from the cities and boroughs, a twelfth from the rest of the laity, and after a long and violent struggle, a fifth from

the clergy (68).

But this haughty prince foon found, that the clergy Edward were not the only persons who dared to dispute his com-quarrels mands; for having appointed Humphrey Bohun high constable constable, and Hugh Bigod earl marshal of England, to and marcommand a fmall body of troops which he defigned to shal. fend into Guienne, to create a diversion on that side, these noblemen refused to obey the appointment, alleging they were not obliged to ferve but where the king was in person. This refusal brought on a violent altercation' between the king and the high constable; in the courfe of which, Edward, transported with rage, cried out, " By the eternal God, fir earl, you shall either go or " hang;" to which the other replied, with equal fierceness, " By the eternal God, fir king, I will neither " go nor hang;" and immediately left the court, accompanied by the earl marshal and thirty other barons (69).

Though Edward was a prince of strong passions, his Edward's. great prudence kept them within due bounds; and he moderawifely concealed his refentment against the two earls, tion. until they became fo haughty that they refused to permit the king's officers to raife either men or money within their territories (70). Even then, being intent on his foreign expedition, he contented himself with depriving them of their high offices, and appointing others in their room (71). That he might leave his other subjects in good humour, he made a speech to the nobility, excusing his illegal exactions by the necessity of his affairs; folemnly promising, that at his return he would redress all grievances, and make compensation for all their losses;

<sup>(67)</sup> M. West, p. 421. Rymer, vol. 2. p. 761. (68) M. West, p. 422. Heming, t. 1. p. 105—110. (69) Heming, vol. 1. p. 112. (70) ld. t. 1. p. (70) ld. t. 1. p. 113.

<sup>(71)</sup> Heming. t. 1. p. 114.

A. D. 1297 and that he would for the future strictly observe the great charter of their liberties (72).

Expedition to the continent.

Having appointed his fon prince Edward regent of the kingdom, he embarked at Winchelfea, on the 22d of August A. D. 1297, and three days after landed at Sluys, with an army (as some historians affirm (73) of 50,000 men. The fuccess of Edward in this expedition was by no means answerable to his immense expences and mighty preparations. His allies, having received his money, were in no hafte to furnish him with troops. The inhabitants of the great towns in Flanders were more in the interests of France than of their own sovereign: Philip had already defeated the Flemings in the battle of Furnes, and taken the towns of Lisle, St. Omer, Courtrai, and Ypres. In this fituation of affairs, and the feafon far advanced, Edward found he could perform nothing worthy of his great name and high expectations, and was glad to conclude a truce with Philip, and refer all their differences to the arbitration of the pope. Having fpent near eight months in this expensive and unfortunate expedition, he returned to England in March A. D. 1208, where his prefence was much wanted (74).

Revolution liam Wallace.

If Edward gathered no laurels on the continent in his in Scotland late expedition, those which he had before gained by by Sir Wil-the conquest of Scotland were entirely blasted by a very fudden and furprifing revolution, which happened in that kingdom in the course of this year. The chief instrument of this great revolution was the celebrated Sir William Wallace, a young gentleman of an ancient family, but small fortune, in the shire of Ayr. Wallace is represented by the Scotch historians as the model of a perfect hero, superior to the rest of mankind in bodily stature, strength, and activity: in bearing cold and heat, thirst and hunger, watching and fatigue; no less extraordinary in the qualities of his mind, being equally valiant and prudent, magnanimous and difinterested, undaunted in advertity, modest in prosperity, and animated by the most ardent and unextinguishable love of his country (75). This extraordinary person, having his refentment against the enemies of his country sharpened

<sup>(72)</sup> Heming. t. 1. p. 114. (73) Knyghton, col. 2512. . (74) Rymer, vol. 2. p. 795-819.

<sup>(75)</sup> Buchanan, Hift, Scot. 1. 8. p. 137. Fordun, 1. 11. c. 28.

by fome personal affronts, neglected no opportunity of A.D. 1297. harassing the English; and becoming famous for his daring and fuccefsful adventures, he was foon joined by great numbers of his countrymen. The first attempts of this chosen and determined band were crowned with fuccess. Several of the nobility observing this, either fecretly favoured, or openly joined them (76).

But this first dawn of success was soon overcast. The Earl of earl of Surry, governor of Scotland, collected an army Surry's ex-of 40,000 men; which entering Annandale, and march-to Scotland, ing through the fouth-west of Scotland, obliged all the and battle barons of these parts to submit, and renew their oaths of of Stirling. fealty (77). Wallace, with his followers, unable to encounter fo great a force, retired northward, and were purfued by the governor and his army. When the English army reached Stirling, they discovered the Scots encamped near the abbey of Cambuskenneth, on the opposite banks of the Forth. Cressingham, treasurer of Scotland, whose covetousness and tyranny had been one great cause of this revolt, earnestly pressed the earl of Surry to pass his army over the bridge of Stirling, and attack the enemy. Wallace, who observed all their motions, allowed as many of the English to pass as he could defeat, when rushing upon them with an irresistible impetuolity, they were all either killed, drowned, or taken prisoners. In the heat of the action, the bridge, which was only of wood, broke down, and many perished in the river; and the earl of Surry, with the other part of his army, were melancholy spectators of the destruction of their countrymen, without being able to afford them any affistance (78). Such was the violent hatred of the Scots against Crestingham, that finding his dead body on the field of battle, they treated it with the most wanton infults (79). This fevere check, which the English received on the 11th September A. D. 1297, obliged them once more to evacuate Scotland.

Wallace, who after this great victory was faluted Wallace indeliverer and guardian of the kingdom by his followers, vades Engpursuing the tide of success, entered England with his land.

army,

<sup>(76)</sup> Heming. vol. 1, p. 118. Trivet. Ann. 1297. (77) Heming. p. 122, 123.

<sup>(78)</sup> Heming, vol. 1. p. 127—129. Trivet. Ann. 1297. 73. (79) Heming, vol. 1. p. 130. F 2 Walfing.

A. D. 1297 army, recovered the town of Berwick, plundered the counties of Cumberland and Northumberland, and returned into his own country loaded with spoils and glo-

A. D. 1298. Edward invades Scotland.

The news of these surprising events being carried to Edward in Flanders, accelerated his return. After his arrival, he issued orders to all the forces of England and Wales to march northward; and having held a parliament at York, about Whitfunday, A. D. 1208, and paffed feveral gracious and popular acts, to fecure the hearts of his own subjects, he hastened to join his troops at their general rendezvous near Roxburgh (81). Here he found himself at the head of a gallant army, consisting of 80,000 foot and 7000 horse (82). A fleet of ships, loaden with provisions, had orders to fail up the frith of Forth as the army advanced (83).

State of Scotland.

The Scots were not in a condition to refift fo great an army, commanded by fo brave a feader. Their country for feveral years, had been almost a continued scene of war, in which many of its inhabitants had perished. Some of their nobles were in the English interest, some of them in prison; and those few who had any power or inclination to defend the freedom of their country. were dispirited and divided. In particular, the ancient nobility began to view the power and popularity of William Wallace with a jealous eye; which was productive of very fatal confequences (84).

Battle of Falkirk.

About Midfummer Edward marched from Roxburgh to Berwick, which he entered without opposition; and from thence advanced into the country, by easy marches, taking fome castles, and destroying every thing as he proceeded (85). When he had reached Templeliston, now Kirkliston, his army began to be in so great distress for want of provisions, that he was on the point of marching back to Edinburgh. At this critical moment he received intelligence that the Scotch army were encamped near Falkirk, at about eighteen miles distance. English army then advanced to the fields near Linlithgow, where they lay on their arms all night. early in the morning, July 22, Edward, though he had

been

<sup>(80)</sup> Heming, vol. 1, p. 131-136. (81) Id. ibid. p. 158, 159. (82) Id. ibid. (83) Walfing. p. 75. (84) Fordun, (85) Heming, t. 1. p. 159, &cc. l. 11. c. 31.

been much hurt in the night by a blow from his horse, A.D. 1298. put his army in motion, advanced towards the enemy, and found them drawn up in order near the village of Falkirk. Here a battle was fought; the particulars of which are so variously related, that it is hardly possible to investigate the truth. All that can be faid with certainty is, that the Scots were defeated with great flaughter, and the English obtained a complete victory with little loss (86).

Edward, after this great victory, spent some time at Edward's

Stirling, for the recovery of his health, while his troops proceedings were employed in plundering the country, and burning battle. the towns of Perth and St. Andrew's (87). He then directed his march westward, and found the castle of Avr forfaken and burnt by Robert Bruce, who had lately abandoned the English interest. A scarcity of provisions prevented Edward from pursuing Bruce into Galloway, as he intended, and obliged him to march directly through Annandale (where he took the castle of Loch-

maben) into England (88).

Edward, before his return from the continent, had A.D. 1299. concluded a truce with the king of France, and had Edward's marriage, also referred all his disputes with that prince to the and peace pope (89). Boniface, who then filled the papal chair, with in order to lay a foundation for a lasting peace, propof-France. ed, that king Edward should marry Margaret, the sister, and his fon prince Edward should marry Isabella, the daughter, of the king of France; and that a congress should be held at Montreuil in Picardy, for difcussing and fettling all disputes between the two monarchs. A peace was accordingly concluded at that place June 9, and ratified by both kings August 3, A. D. 1299; and about a month after Edward married the princess Margaret of France (00).

While Edward was engaged in these negotiations, the Stirling Scots, a little recovered from the confusion into which faced bethey had been thrown by their late defeat, had collected taken by

the Scots.

<sup>(86)</sup> Walfing. p. 75, 76. Ypodegm. Neuftriæ, p. 489. Heming. t. 1. p. 163, &c. Trivet, Ann. 1298. M. Westmonst, p. 411. Knyghton, col. 2527. Buchan, Hill. Scot. l. 8. p. 139. Fordun, l. 11. c. 31. 34. J. Major, l. 4. c. 15. (87) Heming. t. 1. p. 165.

<sup>(89)</sup> Rymeri Fæd. t. 2. p. 817. Heming, t. 1. p. 168-179.

<sup>(88)</sup> Id. ib. t. t. p. 165. (90) Id. ib. p. 841-847.

A. D. 1299 fome forces, and invested the castle of Stirling. To preferve that important place from falling into their hands, Edward, soon after his marriage, set out to join his army in the north. But when he arrived at Berwick, and proposed to march into Scotland, his great barons resused to follow him, pretending that the season was too far advanced for such an expedition. This constrained him to abandon his design, and disband his army, having first sent a permission to the garrison of Stirling castle to surrender on such terms as they could procure (91).

A. D. 1300. Edward invades Scotland.

Edward, in order to remove the discontents of his barons, which had prevented his intended expedition into Scotland, held a parliament at London, in the time of Lent A. D. 1300; in which he confirmed the famous charters of their liberties, with some additions (92). About Midsummer he entered into the west marches of Scotland, at the head of a great army, took some castles, and penetrated into Galloway. Here a petition was presented to him from the guardians and community of Scotland, requesting him to permit their king John Baliol to reign over them in peace, and to allow their nobles to redeem their lands from those Englishmen to whom he had granted them. But he rejected their petition with disdain (93).

The pope claims the superfority of Scotiand. A few days after this (August 26), Edward's progress was interrupted by a very remarkable event. The archbishop of Canterbury arrived in the English camp, and presented to the king a bull from the pope; in which his holiness very clearly refuted Edward's pretensions to the superiority over Scotland; but advanced still more impudent and groundless pretensions of his own, affirming, that Scotland did, and always had belonged to the see of Rome; and commanding Edward, if he had any claim to that kingdom, to send commissioners to Rome to plead his cause within six months (94). Edward's army being at this time distressed by a scarcity of provisions, and the frequent assaults and surprises of their enemies, he marched them back into England, and

<sup>(91)</sup> Heming, t. 1. p. 168-170. (92) Walling, p. 78. (93) Id. ibid. (94) Rymer, t. 2. p. 844-846. Heming, t. 1. p. 172-177.

granted the Scots a truce from October 30, to next A.D. 1300.

Whitfunday (95).

Though the arguments advanced by the pope in fup-Answers of port of his claim to the kingdom of Scotland were in Edward and themselves perfectly ridiculous, they gave Edward and his parliahis ministers no little trouble. After spending some time ment to the in collecting materials for an answer to his holiness, they pope. laid this affair before the parliament, which met at Lincoln January 20, A. D. 1301. The English barons were filled with indignation at the prefumption of a foreign prieft, in fummoning their fovereign before him to plead his cause, and returned a very spirited answer, declaring that they would not allow their king to fubmit to fuch an indignity. This answer, dated at Lincoln, February 12, was figned and fealed by one hundred and four of the temporal barons, in the name of the whole parliament (96). Edward fent a very long answer to the pope, in his own name; in which he enumerated all his claims to the fuperiority of Scotland, beginning with that which he derived from his famous predecessor Brutus the Trojan (27).

The truce with the Scots being now expired, Edward, Edward attended by his fon the prince of Wales, and a great again inarmy, marched into Scotland about Midsummer; but vades performed nothing that hath obtained a place in hiftory, Scotland. He spent the winter at Linlithgow, where, on January 26, A.D. 1301, he ratified a truce with the Scots, from that time to November 30 of the fame year (98).

As foon as this fecond truce ended, Edward fent an A.D. 1302. army into Scotland, under the command of John de The Ling-Segrave, one of the most celebrated warriors of that lift defeated age. But this general having divided his troops into at Roslin, three bodies, which marched at a confiderable distance from each other, was defeated near Roslin, February 24, A. D. 1303, by a small party of Scots, commanded by John Comyn, regent of Scotland, and Simon Frafer (99).

Though the Scots had derived little advantage from A.D. 13032 their alliance with France, in their struggles for preserve Peace ing the independency of their country, they still enter-between

England,

(96) Id. ibid. p. 873-875. (95) Rymer, t. 2. p. 868.

<sup>(97)</sup> Walfing, p. 81—85. Rymer, t. 2, p. 863—888. (98) Rymer, t. 2, p. 896. (99) Heming, t. 1, p. 197. Fordun, 1, 12, c. 2,

A.D. 1303. tained hopes of affiftance from that quarter. But thefe hopes were now entirely blasted, by a treaty of peace that was concluded May 20, A.D. 1303, between the kings of France and England, in which John Baliol and the Scots were not included (100).

> Edward, being now difengaged from all his other enemies, feems to have fet his whole heart on making a complete conquest of Scotland, which had long been the great object of his ambition. With this view he marched into that country, at the head of fo great an army, as deprived that unhappy people of all hopes of fuccess from refutance. Accordingly he met with none till he arrived at Brechin, where Sir Thomas Maul defended the castle against him, till he was killed by a stone discharged from an engine (101). After this he conducted his army to the extremity of the province of Moray, and back to Danfermline, where he fpent the winter with

Edward.

In the course of last year, Robert Bruce, and several A.D. 1304. In the course of last year, Robert Branch, Nobility of other barens, had submitted to Edward; and in the bescotland ginning of this, John Comyn earl of Badenoch, who had long acted as guardian of Scotland, in the name of his uncle John Baliol, followed their example, together with his friends and followers (103). All these barons were fecured in their lives, liberties, and estates; but fubjected to certain pecuniary penalties. A few who had been most active in their opposition were banished for a certain time. The garrison of Stirling castle, the only fortress of the kingdom which had not surrendered, were declared outlaws, in a parliament held at St. Andrew's in April (104).

his queen and court (102).

Fiere and Arrender ef.Stirling zanie.

Edward, in order to finish the conquest of Scotland, made great preparations for the fiege of Stirling castle; which he invested immediately after Easter. It was defended about three months against all his efforts, by Sir William Oliphant, and a small garrison, who were at length compelled to furrender at discretion (105). As all the strong places, as well as the chief men of Scotland had now submitted to Edward, he appointed John de Segrave

governor

<sup>(101)</sup> M. Westmonst. (100) Rymer, t. 2. p. 923-928. (102) Heming, t. 1. p. 205. (103) Ryley Placita Parliam, p. 369. (104) Fordun, l. 22. C. 3. (105) M. Westmoott, p. 445, 446. Heming, t. 1. p. 205, 206. Rymer, t. 2. p. 950.

governor of that kingdom, and fet out on his return to A.D. 1304.

England about the end of August (106).

Though the renowned William Wallace had long been A.D. 1305. excluded, by the jealoufy of the nobles, from com-Wallace manding the armies, and influencing the councils, of his condemned country, he still continued to affert its independency, even and executafter all the rest of his countrymen had submitted to superior force. This, together with the remembrance of the many mischiefs which he had done to his English fubjects, and perhaps some apprehension that he might again rekindle the flames of war, made Edward employ various means to get possession of his person. In this he at last succeeded. Wallace was surprised, some say betrayed, in one of his lurking-places near Glasgow, conducted to London, tried, condemned, and executed August 23, A. D. 1305 (107), Thus fell one of the bravest men, and most determined patriots, that Scotland ever produced; and with him the freedom and independency of his country feemed to fall.

Edward was now employed in forming a plan for the Plan for the future government of Scotland, in which he was affifted government .. by Robert Bruce earl of Carrick and Annandale, who of Scotland. appears to have possessed a considerable degree of his favour (108). By this plan (which was drawn up by commissioners appointed for that purpose) various changes were to be made in the laws of Scotland; and the chief places of power and profit were to be possessed by Englishmen (100). These arrangements did not contribute any thing to reconcile the minds of the Scots to their new

government or their new governors.

Robert Bruce earl of Annandale, fon of Robert Bruce Robert the competitor, died in his way from London, foon Bruce after Easter, A. D. 1304; and John Baliol king of Scot-forms the land died at his effate in France about a year after (110). defign of mounting Thefe two events feem to have inspired Robert Bruce, the throne the fixth of that name, and grandfon of the competitor, of Scotland. with the defign of afferting his claim to the crown of Scotland, and attempting to refcue his country from the English yoke. With this view, he left the court of Eng-

<sup>(106)</sup> Trivit. Ann. p. 1304. (107) T. Walfing. p. 90, (108) Ryley, p. 243. Trivit. Ann. 1305. (109) ld. p. 279. (110) Henning, t. 1. p. 214. Hift. Chron, de Maicure d'Abbeville, p. 253. 306.

A.D. 1306. land, and came into Scotland about the end of this year, or the beginning of the next.

Bruce kills Comyn.

John Comyn earl of Badenoch was head of the most opulent and powerful family at this time in Scotland. He had been several years guardian of the kingdom, and was one of the last who submitted to Edward. Bruce. being fensible that the assistance of fo potent a baron would be of the greatest advantage, and his opposition the greatest detriment to him, in his attempt upon the crown, defired and obtained an interview with him in the convent of the friors minors at Dumfries, February 10. A. D. 1306. What was faid at this interview must for ever remain a fecret, as none were prefent but the two chieftains; but it is certain,—that they quarrelled,—that from words they proceeded to blows, that Bruce struck Comyn with his foot, and then wounded him with his dagger, -that one of Bruce's friends, Sir Thomas Kirk. patrick, rushing in, put him to death (111).

Bruce crowned at Scone.

After this daring and desperate deed, Bruce and his friends seized the castle of Dumfries by surprize, apprehended the English judges, who were then holding a court in the town-hall, published Bruce's resolution to affert his claim to the crown, and difpatched messengers into all parts, to invite the friends of his family, and of the freedom of their country, to come to his affiffance. Thefe messengers were so successful, that in a few days Bruce found himself at the head of a small army, with which he advanced, taking the castles, and wasting the lands, of all who refused to submit to his authority. About the middle of March he had penetrated as far as Perth, the English every where endeavouring to fave their lives by flying into their own country (112). Having affembled all the chief men of his party, he was crowned at Scone on Friday, March 27, A. D. 1306, in presence of four bishops, five earls, and a great multitude of knights and gentlemen. For the greater folemnity, this ceremony was repeated on the Sunday after, when the crown was put upon his head by the counters of Buchan, fifter of the earl of Fife (which family claimed a right to crown the kings of Scotland), her brother being absent, and in the English interest (113).

<sup>(111)</sup> Heming. t. 1. p. 219. Walling. p. 91. M. Westmonst. p. 455. (112) M. Westmonst. p. 455.

<sup>(113)</sup> M. Westmonst. p. 456. Heming, t. 1. p. 220.

Nothing could exceed the surprise and indignation of A.D. 1306. Edward when he heard of this revolution in Scotland. He was then at Winchester, and immediately command—Scotland by ed Aymer de Valence, Henry de Percy, and Robert de an English Clifford, to raise all the military in the north of Eng-army. land, to join the forces of the family of Comyn, and all the Scots in the English interest, and to take vengeance on the traitor Bruce, as he called him, and all his followers (114). These commands were punctually obeyed: the three generals entered Scotland with a considerable army, in the beginning of summer, and were joined by the partisans of the Comyn family, who were much enraged against Bruce for the murder of their chief.

As the enterprife in which Robert Bruce had en-Misfortunes gaged was one of the boldest and most desperate that of Bruce. ever was undertaken, fo it was for some time one of the most unprosperous. Many of his bravest friends were killed or taken, June 24, at the fatal battle of Methven, near Perth; where he was surprised and defeated, and from whence he made his escape with great difficulty (115). The shattered remains of his army were again defeated at Dalry, a few days after, by the men of Argyle, under the command of their chieftain the lord Lorn, who, being the nephew of the murdered Comvn, was the mortal enemy of Bruce. Unable any longer to keep the field, he difmissed his few remaining followers; and, after skulking for some weeks on the continent, he took shelter, with only two or three friends, in the small island of Ruchrin, one the most unfrequented of the Western Nor was Bruce less unfortunate in his failles (116). mily and friends than in his forces. His three brothers. Neil, Thomas, and Alexander, with Christopher Seton. an English baron, his brother-in-law, being taken in disferent places, were tried, condemned, and executed as traitors. His brave and faithful friends, the earl of Athol. Simon Fraser, and several others, shared the same fate (117), His queen, his only daughter, Marjory, his two fifters, Mary and Christina, with the countess of Buchan, the heroine who had placed the crown upon his

(117) Id. ibid.

<sup>(114)</sup> Rymer, t. 2. p. 983. Heming. t. 1. p. 221.

<sup>(115)</sup> Walfing. p. 91. Heming. t. 1. p. 222. (116) Fordur, l. 12. c. 11. Buchan, l. 8. p. 142.

A.D. 1306. head, were all taken, and committed to different prifons, where some of them were treated with great fe-

verity (118).

Edward eldeft fon, and invades Scotland.

While the wretched Bruce was overwhelmed by fo knights his many calamities, his powerful adversary Edward was collecting money, and raising forces, to make a final conquest of Scotland. To animate the young nobility with greater ardour in this enterprise, Edward conferred the honour of knighthood upon his eldest fon Edward prince of Wales, in his palace at Westminster, on Whitfunday, with very great folemnity. Immediately after, the prince went in procession to Westminster church, mounted on the high altar, and knighted about three hundred young noblemen and gentlemen, who were all dreffed in robes embroidered with gold, which they had received out of the royal wardrobe. At the end of this ceremony, two fwans, adorned with trappings and bells of gold, were brought with great pomp into the church: and the king took a folemn oath, by the God of heaven, and by these swans, that he would march into Scotland, and never return till he had avenged the death of John Comyn, and punished the rebellious Scots. The prince, and the young knights, his companions, took oaths to the fame purpose (119). Soon after the folemnity, the king, with the prince and his knights, fet out to join the army, which was appointed to rendezvous at Carlille in July. But this great army meeting with no enemy in the field, fpent the campaign in plundering the country, taking prisoners, and receiving the submissions of such as surrendered (120).

Brace apnears, and is forced to retire.

When neither friends nor foes knew what was become of Bruce, he fuddenly made his appearance, about Michaelmas, on his own estate in Carrick, at the head of a fmall but resolute band of followers, surprised Henry de Percy, who had obtained a grant of that estate from Edward, feized his baggage, and befieged himfelf in Turnberry castle. But on the approach of a large detachment of the English army, he was obliged to raise the fiege, and take shelter in the highlands (121).

<sup>(118)</sup> Rumer, t. 2. p. 1012-1017. (119) M. Westmonst. p. 458. (120) Id. p. 460-463: Rymer, t. 2. p. 1013-1016. (121) Heming, t. 1. p. 225.

## Ch. 1. §. 2. CIVIL AND MILITARY.

Edward, who was now in a declining state of health, A. D. 1307: fpent the last months of the former, and the first months of this year, in Cumberland, and held a parliament at communi-Carlisle, which met January 20, A. D. 1307. While cated, and this parliament was sitting, on February 22, Peter Gavasion d'Espaigne, cardinal-legate from the pope, attended by banished. the king, bishops, and barons, in their robes, with candles lighted and bells ringing, folemnly excommunicated Robert Bruce, and all who favoured him, as perjured traitors and enemies of peace (122). A few days after this folemnity, Piers de Gavaston, a Gascon gentleman, the great favourite and corrupter of prince Edward, was banished the kingdom: and both the prince and Gavaston took a solemn oath, that he never should return without the king's leave (123).

When Edward was thus moving heaven and earth against Bruce and his adherents, that prince was not idle in his retreat. About the beginning of April, he descended from the mountains, at the head of a body of men; which, increasing as he advanced, at last amounted to ten thousand. With this army he defeated Aymer de Valence, earl of Pembroke, at Cumnock, and a few days after Ralph de Monthermer, earl of Gloucester; who flying to the castle of Ayr was there besieged (124).

Edward, exasperated beyond measure at this intelli- Death of gence, issued his commands to all the forces of his do- Edward I. minions, to come to him at Carlifle three weeks after Midfummer. But before that time, the dyfentery, with which he had been long afflicted, had rendered him for weak, that he was confined to his chamber; and a report prevailed that he was dead. To disprove this report, he fet out from Carlisle July 3; but was so feeble, that he could travel only three miles; and having rested one day, he reached Burgh on the Sands, about five miles from Carlifle, July 5, and there expired in his tent, July 7, in the fixty-ninth year of his age, and thirty-fifth of his reign (125). When he took leave of the prince of Wales, he gave him (as is usual on such occasions) much good advice. In particular, he charged him,

<sup>(123)</sup> Rymer, t. 2. p. 1043. (122) Heming. t. 1. p. 226.

<sup>(124)</sup> Walfing. p. 93. Heming. t. 1. p. 237.

<sup>(125)</sup> Walfing. p. 93. Heming. t. 1. p. 237, 238. Rymer, t. 2. P. 1059.

A.D. 1307 under the pain of incurring his paternal malediction,—never to recal the banished Gavaston,—to fend his heart into the Holy Land,—to carry his body with the army into Scotland, and not to bury it till he had made a complete conquest of that country (126). What regard his fon paid to these injunctions, we shall see in the next section.

Character of Edward

Edward I. from the length and smallness of his legs commonly called Long-Shanks, had, in other respects, a very advantageous person, being remarkably tall, strong, and graceful. He had fine hair, yellow in his youth, darker as he advanced in life, and gray in his old age. His forehead was large, all his features regular, and his complexion fair when he was young, but browner in his manhood. He greatly excelled in riding, tilting, and in every martial and manly exercise. Hunting and hawking were his favourite amusements (127). Nor were his mental endowments inferior to his personal perfections. His excellent understanding and good fense rendered him one of the best legislators, and greatest politicians, that ever filled the throne of England. His personal courage and military skill were equally conspicuous. He had a sacred regard to justice, when he was not blinded by ambition. In a word, he was industrious, frugal, sober, and chaste; a dutiful son, a fond husband, and a tender parent. But his character was not without its blemishes: he was too fond of power; and would probably have endeavoured to render himfelf absolute, if he had not stood so much in need of the love and affiftance of his fubjects in profecuting his ambitious schemes. It was evidently this that compelled him so frequently to confirm the charters; which he generally did with an ill grace, and to ferve some particular purpose. The ambition of extending his authority over all the isle of Britain, was, in truth, the great blemish of this prince's character, which betrayed him into many crimes and errors, and brought many calamities on both the British kingdoms. As his schemes for the reduction of Wales were successful, the cruelty and iniquity of them have been long forgotten. But his attempts on Scotland. having been more unfortunate, have appeared more criminal; and his greatest admirers cannot deny, that A.D. 1307. he took an ungenerous advantage of the unhappy circumstances of the Scotch nation; that he abused the confidence which they reposed in him ; and that he committed many acts of injustice and cruelty in endeavouring to establish his dominion over them. It seems indeed probable, that by labouring folong, and fo earneftly, to perfuade the world of his right to the fovereignty of Scotland, he at length became perfuaded of it himself; and it must also be confessed, that the object was so desirable. and the probability of obtaining it fo great, that few ambitious princes could have refifted the temptation.

Edward I. was married to the princess Eleanor of Cas-His tile, by whom he had four fons and eleven daughters children. The three eldest of these sons, John, Henry, and Alphonfo, died unmarried, long before their father; the youngest, Edward, survived, and succeeded him. Four of the daughters of this marriage, Eleanor, Joane of Acres, Margaret, and Elifabeth, were married to the earls of Bar and Gloucester, the duke of Brabant and earl of Holland; fix of them died in their infancy; one of them, Mary, was a nun, and furvived her father. Edward's second queen was Margaret of France, by whom he had two fons, Thomas of Brotherton earl of Norfolk, and Edmund of Woodstoke earl of Kent, and one daughter, Eleanor, who died in her infancy.

ALEXANDER III. king of Scotland, with his queen, History of and a splendid train of his nobility, attended the corona-Scotland. tion of his brother-in-law, Edward I. at Westminster. 19th August A. D. 1274 (128). At that time the greateft cordiality reigned between the two nations, as well as the two royal families. Soon after, the unhappy queftion about homage, as usual, occasioned some disquiet. But as both parties were then amicably disposed, this dispute was compromised, by permitting Robert Bruce earl of Carrick to do homage in the name of Alexander. and by expressing it in general and ambiguous terms, to be on account of the lands and tenements which he held of the king of England, without any specification (120).

Margaret queen of Scotland died about fix months Changes in after she had attended her brother's coronation; and fe-the royal family of

Scotland.

A.D. 1307. veral great changes took place in the royal family of Scotland in a few years (130). David, the youngest fon of Alexander, died A.D. 1281; and in that same year Margaret, his only daughter, was married to Eric king of Norway, and died A.D. 1283, leaving an infant daughter of the same name (131). Alexander prince of Scotland married Margaret, the daughter of Guy earl of Flanders, A.D. 1283, and died in January A.D. 1284, without issue (132). Thus, in a short time, this unhappy prince lost his queen, and all his children, having only one infant grandchild lest.

Marriage and death of Alexander III. Alexander III. after he had been ten years a widower, feeing his family fo weak, at the earnest request of his nobility, married Ioleta, daughter of the earl of Dreux. But he was unhappily killed by a fall from his horse, near Kinghorn, a sew months after this marriage, 16th March A. D. 1286, in the forty-fifth year of his age, and thirty-seventh of his reign (133).

Lamented by his fublects. Hardly any prince was more fincerely lamented, or longer remembered, by his subjects, than Alexander III. of Scotland, both on account of the peace and prosperity they had enjoyed under his government, and of the deplerable calamities in which they were involved after his death.

The history of Scotland, from the death of Alexander to the death of Edward I. is so interwoven with that of England, that it could not be separated from it, and hath been already related:

(130) Ford, I. 10. c. 35.

(131) Rym. Fæd. t. 4. p. 370. Ford. l. 10. c. 37.

(132) Rym. Fæd. tom. 2. p. 269. Ford. l. 10. c. 37.

(133) Ford, I. 10. c. 40.

## SECTION III.

The civil and military history of Britain, from the accession of Edward II. A. D. 1307, to the accession of Edward III. A. D. 1327.

DWARD II. at his accession to the crown of A.D. 1307. England, enjoyed many great advantages; which feem-Advantages ed to promife him the monarchy of Britain, and a of Edward glorious and happy reign. He was then in the twenty-acception. third year of his age, at the head of a mighty army, flushed with many former victories, inflamed with the most violent national animofity against the Scots, with whom they had been about fifteen years at war, and animated with the most ardent desire of acquiring both riches and honour, by the complete conquest of their country. But it foon appeared that he was not possessed of talents to make a proper use of these advantages.

Edward spent about three weeks at Carlisle, waiting Edward for some of his forces, receiving the homage of his Eng-marches inlish barons and other military tenants of the crown, and giving orders about his father's funeral, and other matters. At length, August 1, A. D. 1307, he began his march into Scotland, directing his route towards Dumfries, having fummoned the nobility of Scotland to meet him at that place, to perform their homage. Here he trifled away his time in receiving the fubmiffions of fuch of the Scotch barons as obeyed his fummons, without taking any vigorous measures for the reduction of Robert Bruce and his followers, who were becoming daily more formidable.

As foon as Edward heard of his father's death, he dif-Gavaston covered his contempt of his own most solemn oaths, and recalled. of the dving injunctions of his illustrious parent, by recalling Piers Gavaston from banishment; and while he refided at Dumfries, he further betrayed his extravagant

(1) Chron. de Lannercost.

Vol. IV.

fondness

A.D. 1307. fondness for that pernicious favourite, by granting him, August 6, the whole earldom of Cornwall, and all the great estates of his cousin Edmund, which had lately fallen

to the crown (2).

Edward returns to England.

Edward paid no greater regard to the last and most earnest of his father's admonitions, to profecute the war against Scotland with the greatest vigour, and never to defist until he had made an entire conquest of that country. For from the very beginning of his reign he allowed that war to languish, and advanced no further than to Cumnock, in the shire of Ayr, where he continued only a few days. Becoming weary even of the shadow of war, and impatient to embrace his returning favourite Gavafton, having constituted Aymer de Valence earl of Pembroke guardian of Scotland, he disbanded a great part of his army, and returned to England in the beginning of September (3).

Edward's liberality to Gavaf-

ton.

These first transactions of Edward's reign gave the people of England very unfavourable impressions, both of the dispositions and abilities of their new king; and the events which followed ferved still further to confirm these impressions. As soon as the favourite Gavaston arrived at court, he was loaded with wealth and honours, and had the entire direction both of the king and kingdom. faithful fervants of the late king were the first who felt the fatal effects of the favourite's unbounded fway. The chancellor, treasurer, barons of the exchequer, and judges of both benches, were all turned out of their places; and fome of them, particularly Walter de Langton treafurer, imprisoned, and treated with great feveri-The places of these discarded ministers and judges were filled by the creatures of the favourite. Edward, not yet weary of conferring benefits on his beloved Gavaston, gave him a still stronger proof of his unbounded affection, by introducing him into the royal family, and bestowing his own niece, fister of the young earl of Gloucester, upon him in marriage (5). Nay, when this infatuated prince failed to Boulogne, in January 1308, to celebrate his nuptials with the princess Isabella, daughter

(5) Heming. v. 1. p. 245. Mon. Malmi. p. 96.

<sup>(2)</sup> Rymer, t. 3. p. 1, 2, 3. J. de Trokelowe. M. Malmsburiens. p. 95.

<sup>(3)</sup> Rymer, t. 3. p. 7. (4) Walling. p. 96. J. de Trokelowe, p. 4. Heming. t. 1. p. 244.

of the king of France, to whom he had already been ef. A. D. 1307. poused by proxy, passing by the princes of the blood, and all the ancient nobility of England, he constituted Gavaston guardian of the kingdom in his absence, with more extensive powers than had ever been granted to any former guardian (6).

Such an aftonishing profusion of royal favour, was Misconduct enough to have excited envy against a person of the great- of Gavaston. est prudence and humility. But these virtues constituted no part of the character of this worthless minion. On the contrary, he was vain and infolent in the highest degree; and made the most oftentatious and provoking difplays of his personal accomplishments, and of his power and riches. Some of the nobility he offended by his fatirical wit; fome he affronted by his fuperior address in tournaments, the favourite diversion of the great in these times: and he enraged them all by engroffing the roval favour and bounty, and depriving them of that share in the confidence and liberality of their fovereign, and in the management of public affairs, to which they thought themselves intitled by their birth and station (7). Thus, while Gavaston was beloved beyond measure by his deluded fovereign, he was abhorred and hated with the greatest violence, both by the nobility and common people; who never could be prevailed upon to shew him the least respect, or call him by any other name than that of Piers Gavaston, though a ridiculous proclamation was iffued by the king, commanding all men to give him the title of Earl of Cornwall in common conversations (8).

Edward returned from France on the 7th of Febru- A. D. 1308. ary, accompanied with a fplendid train of French princes Edward's and noblemen, and was crowned, together with his young marriage and coronaqueen, in Westminster abbey, on the 25th of the same tion.

month (a).

Though Edward was now married to a young and Indignation beautiful princess, it soon appeared that she possessed a of the nobivery small share of his affections; and that his fondness lity against Cavallon, for his favourite was not in the least diminished. He bestowed upon Gavaston all the rich presents which he

<sup>(6)</sup> Rymer, t. 3, p. 47, 53. Ypodig, Neustriæ, p. 499. (7) Walfing, p. 97. J. Trckelowe, p. 6. (8) Mon. Malmi. p. 98.

<sup>(9)</sup> Walfing. p. 95, 96. Rymer, t. 3. p. 59.

A. D. 1308. had received from the king of France at his marriage; he allowed him to plunder the treasury of one hundred thousand pounds, besides jewels left by the late king; and he appointed him to carry the crown at the coronation. where he far outshone all the nobility, and even the king himself, in the splendour and richness of his dress (10). These and many other marks which the king daily gave of his extravagant fondness for his favourite, inflamed the refentment of the nobility to the greatest height, and made them refolve to tear the infolent minion from behind the throne, and drive him out of the kingdom. Thomas earl of Lancaster, the king's cousin-german, the richest and most powerful nobleman in the kingdom, was at the head of the discontented barons, who had a meeting in the refectory of Westminster abbey, a few days after the coronation, and petitioned the king to banish Gavaston out of the kingdom. But he declined giving any answer to this petition till after Easter, when the parliament was to meet (11). The barons, being fensible that force alone could prevail upon the king to grant a petition fo contrary to his inclination, employed the interval in providing fuch a force; and had feveral meetings, at Ware, Northampton, and other places; in which they bound themselves by oath to stand by one another in procuring the banishment of Gavaston (12).

Parliament.

The parliament met at Westminster on the 28th of April: to which the earl of Lancaster, and the barons of his party, came, attended with so great an armed force, that the king was in no condition to deny them any thing. Their demands, however, were more moderate than might have been expected. They insisted only, that Gavaston should be banished out of England for life; that he should depart out of the kingdom before Midsummer next, and take an oath never to return; without requiring the confiscation of his great estate, or calling him to account for the immense sums of the public money which he had converted to his own use (13). The king, though with extreme reluctance, consented to the banishment

<sup>(10)</sup> Rymer, t. 3. p. 63, &c. M. Westmonst. Contin.

<sup>(11)</sup> M. Westmonst. Contin. (12) Chron. St. August.

<sup>(12)</sup> Chion, St. August. (13) Trivit. Contin. p. 5. Heming. p. 245.

85

of his favourite, and granted his letters-patent to that A. D. 1308.

purpose (14).

As foon as the parliament was difinified, Edward gave Gavaston his favourite fresh proofs of his unabating fondness, by made lord granting him feveral large estates, both in England and of Ireland, Gascony (15); and when he found it impossible to retain him any longer near his person, without incurring both the censures of the church and the dangers of a civil war. instead of sending him into Gascony, as the discontented barons expected, he appointed him lord lieutenant of Ireland, and accompanied him to Briftol in his way to that kingdom (16). Gavaston spent about a year in Ireland, living in royal splendour, and displaying his military skill and courage, of which he possessed a considerable share, in taking some castles, and defeating some parties

of the rebellious Irish (17).

In the mean time Edward bore the absence of his A.D. 1309. favourite with great impatience, and employed every art Gavaston to pave the way for his return. He prevailed with the recalled. pope to absolve Gavaston from the oath which he had taken never to return to England (18); and greatly foftened the refentments of his most powerful enemies by favours and promises (19). When all things were thus prepared, the favourite was recalled, and the infatuated prince flew to meet him at Chester about the end of June 1309 (20), and received him with the greatest transports of joy, and all the marks of the most fond affection. Edward had at this time fo far regained the confidence of his nobility and other fubjects, by many great concessions which he had made them (21), that he prevailed with the parliament, which met at Stamford July 26, to approve of Gavaston's return, and consent to his remaining in England unmolested.

If Edward and his favourite had been capable of be-Misconduct coming wifer by their past difficulties, they might have of Edward and Gavafenjoyed their present tranquillity much longer than they ton. did. But being both equally vain and thoughtless, they

abandoned themselves to the most extravagant demon-

(20) Leland's Collect. v. 1. p. 248. (21) See chap. 3.

<sup>(15)</sup> Rymer, t. 3. p. 87, &c. (14) Rymer, t. 3. p. 80. (16) Id. ibid. p. 92, 93. M. Malmf. Vita Edwardi II. p. 100. (17) Daniel's Hift. Ed. II. in Kenet's Hift. vol. 1. p. 204.

<sup>(18)</sup> Rymer, t. 3. p. 91. (19) Id. ibid. p. 78. Malim. p. 101.

A.D. 1309. strations of joy. Nothing was to be feen at court but the most magnificent and expensive feasts, balls, and tournaments; at all which Gavaston made the most conspicuous figure, and eclipfed all the ancient nobility by the richness and splendour of his appearance, and the lustre of royal favour (22). Besides this provoking display of his prosperity, so apt to excite envy, he inflamed the refentment of some of the most powerful barons, by turning them into ridicule, and giving them opprobrious and difgraceful nicknames; calling the earl of Lancaster, the first prince of the blood, and most potent nobleman in the kingdom, the Stage-player; the earl of Pembroke, Toleph the Tew; the earl of Warwick, the Black dog of Ardene, &c. This imprudent conduct very foon produced its natural confequences, and Gavaston became the object of universal detestation. The discontented lords began to draw together, and appointed tournaments in feveral places, as a plaufible pretence for their meetings, which were in reality designed for contriving the destruction of the favourite (23).

A. D. 1310. Pariiaments.

The king, in order to avoid the gathering storm, made a progress into the north, and called a parliament, to meet at York on the 18th of October, in which Gavafton took his place as earl of Cornwall. But the discontented and now confederated barons, pretending to dread fome danger to their persons from the power and treachery of the favourite, refused to attend this parliament; which, for that reason, was adjourned to meet at the fame place on the 3d of February A. D. 1310 (24). The fame cause rendered this second meeting ineffectual. The king, who was in great distress for money, being at length convinced that he could obtain no aid from his parliament, while the object of his affection, and of their detestation, was in view, resolved to part with his favourite for a time, and fent him out of the way.

Change in the constifution.

After the departure of Gavaston, the confederated lords no longer refused to come to a parliament, which met at Westminster in Lent 1310 (25); but they came attended (contrary to a royal proclamation (26) with fuch a number of armed followers, that they were entire

<sup>(22)</sup> M. Malmf. p. 103.

<sup>(24)</sup> Heming, t. 1, p. 246.

<sup>(</sup>a6) Rymer, t. 3. p. 200.

<sup>(23)</sup> Rymer, t. 3. p. 208. 222, &c. (25) Id. ibid. Mon. Malmf. p. 104.

masters both of king and parliament. This enabled them A.D. 1310. to make that temporary change in the constitution, more fully related in the third chapter of this book; and of inveiling twelve of their own number, under the title of Ordainers, with a kind of dictatorial authority, which they were to enjoy till Michaelmas in the year following; and the king granted a commission for chusing these ordainers on the 16th March 1310 (27).

After Edward had made this great concession to please Edward rethe confederated barons, and the other business of this profecute fession of parliament was ended, he began to turn his the war views northward, and to think of doing fomething in with Scotearnest in the war with Scotland, which had languished land.

ever fince his accession to the throne (28). If Edward had profecuted the war with Scotland, in Robert

the first year of his reign, with any vigour, the total and Bruce refinal conquest of that country would, in all human great part probability, have been the confequence. All the places of Scotland. of strength in that kingdom were already in his possession; the far greatest part of the nobility and people had submitted to the English government; the potent family of the Comvns, with fome others, had cordially embraced the English interest; and a long and dangerous sickness with which Robert Bruce, the new king of Scots, was feized at that time, would have facilitated the fuccess of the enterprise. But .Edward, by his hasty return into England, and the subsequent errors of his conduct, lost all these advantages, never to be regained. For as soon as Bruce recovered his health, he applied himself with great spirit to improve the favourable opportunity which the imprudence of Edward and the distractions of the English government put into his hand, and by a feries of wife, vigorous, and fuccefsful measures, in the space

fortresses, under his obedience. At length Edward fummoned all the military vallals Edward of the crown to meet him at Berwick, with their troops, invades on the 8th of September 1310, in order to an expedition into Scotland. This fummons was but ill obeyed; feyeral of the confederated lords remaining in London to attend the twelve ordainers, who were employed in pre-

of three years he reduced all Scotland, except a few

<sup>(27)</sup> Ryley, p. 526. Rymer, t. 3. p. 204. 220. (28) Rymer, t. 3. p. 222.

19 32 00

A.D. 1310 paring their ordinances for the reformation of the government (29). Edward, however, marched into Scotland at the head of a confiderable army, and Bruce declining an engagement, and retiring into the north, he advanced as far as Linlithgow without feeing an enemy; but was foon obliged, for want of provisions, to return with his army to Berwick. He spent the winter in this place, happy in the society of his beloved Gavaston, who had lately emerged from his retreat (30).

A.D. 1311. Gavaston's expedition into Scotland.

Edward fent his favourite with an army into Scotland (in March 1311), to gather laurels, and abate the general odium against him. Gavaston penetrated a great way into the country; but not being able to bring the Scots to an engagement, he returned without performing any action of eclat. After his return, Edward set out for London to hold a parliament, which was summoned to meet there on the 8th of August, and continued to set till the 10th of October.

Ordinances confirmed. In this parliament the famous ordinances composed by the twelve ordainers were debated; and at length, with much reluctance, confirmed by the king, and sworn to by the lords and commons, and copies of them, under the great feal, sent to all the sheriffs of England (31).

Gavaston bannined.

By one article of these ordinances, Piers Gavaston was, for many crimes therein enumerated, to be banished for ever out of all the king's dominions, and to depart before the 1st of November next, under the penalty of being treated as a common enemy to the king and kingdom (32).

A.D. 1312. Gavatton secalled.

After his favourite was thus once more torn from him, Edward retired into the north, and took up his refidence at York. Unable to live long without his beloved Gavaston, he recalled him from Flanders, the place of his retreat (33); received him at his arrival with the greatest transports of joy: heaped new favours upon him; and published a proclamation, declaring that his banishment had been illegal (34).

(32) Mon. Malmf. p. 114, &c. (33) J. Trekelowe, p. 8.

(34) Rym. Feed. t. 3. p. 198.

<sup>(29)</sup> Mon. Malmf. p. 105, 105. Heming. p. 247, 248.

<sup>(30)</sup> Id. ibid.
(31) Mon. Malmf. p. 110—113. I. de Trokelowe, p. 7, 8. Brady's
Iiii. vol. 3, p. 102, 119. Append. No. 50, &c.

This imprudent measure rekindled the resentment of A.D. 1312. the confederated barons; who immediately drew together, raised an army, and, having appointed the earl of Civil war. Lancaster their general, directed their march northward (35). The confederates now received a great accession of strength, by the junction of the earl of Warrenne to their party, and by the general diffatisfaction with the king, and rage against the favourite, which pre-

vailed amongst the people.

In the mean time, the king and Gavaston spent their Elward and time in pleasure, and in the most profound fecurity, at Gavaston York, without taking any measures to meet or diffipate the approaching fform. At length, when they heard that the confederate army was near, they retired first to Newcastle, and then to Tinmouth, where they embarked with a fmall retinue, and arrived at Scarborough. The king having put Gavaston into the castle of that place, which was esteemed impregnable, marched on to York, in order to raise an army, to make head against his enemies (36).

As foon as the earl of Lancaster received intelligence Gavaston of this, he marched from Newcastle, and, detaching the besieged in earls of Pembroke and Surry, and Henry de Percy, with rough cafa fufficient body of troops, to beliege the castle of Scar-tle, and borough, he posted himself between that place and York, taken. to prevent all communication between the king and Gavaston (37). The king, trembling for the safety of his favourite, and unable to relieve him by force, fent his royal mandate to the beliegers, commanding them to defift from their enterprise (38). But slighting this command, they pushed the fiege with vigour; and Gavaston, finding the place destitute of provisions for a long defence, capitulated on the 19th of May; and furrendered himself to the earl of Pembroke and Henry de Percy. on condition that he should be kept fafe in their custody till the first of August next; that in the mean time endeavours should be used for bringing about a general accommodation; but if that did not take place, he should then be restored to the castle of Scarborough, in the

<sup>(36)</sup> Walfing, p. 100. J. Trokelowe, p. 10. Mon. Malmf. p. 118. (36) Walfing, p. 101. Mon. Malmf. p. 119. J. Trokelowe, p. 16.

<sup>(38)</sup> Rym. Fæd. t. 3. p. 327, 328. (37) J. Trokelowe, p. 17.

A. D. 1312 fame condition in which he left it: and for the observation of these conditions these two noblemen pledged all their lands (39).

Gavafton executed.

The earl of Pembroke having thus got the person of the hated Gavaston into his possession, conducted him to the castle of Deddington, near Banbury, in Oxfordfhire. Here the earl left him in the custody of his fervants, and went to fpend a few days with his lady, who refided in that neighbourhood (40). In the mean time, on Saturday 17th June, very early in the morning, the castle of Deddington was beset by a great body of armed men, commanded by Guy earl of Warwick; and Gavalton, finding his guards neither able nor willing to defend him, furrendered himself into the hands of that earl, his most furious and implacable enemy, who carried him to his castle of Warwick. As soon as this event was known, the earls of Lancaster, Hereford, and Arundel, the chiefs of the confederacy, repaired to Warwick; and after some consultation, they agreed to put their prisoner to death, as a traitor and public enemy, without any regard to the capitulation, and without any formal trial. In consequence of this resolution, on the 112 July, the three earls with their followers, conducted the wretched Gavaston to Blacklow-hill, near Warwick. where they beheld his head fevered from his body by the hands of the executioner, with some degree of that favage pleasure which party-rage is too apt to inspire on such occations (41).

Edward, when he received the news of his beloved favourite's death, was filled with inexpreshible grief, and with the most furious refentment against its authors (42). He haltened to London, and applied himself with uncommon spirit, to collect money, and raise an army: but as he had loft the affections of the greatest part of his subjects; his endeavours were not very successful; and he foon heard, that the confederated barons were approaching the capital, at the head of a much more powerful army than he could bring into the field. This

Peace between Edward and the confederated barons.

142) Mon. Malmi. p. 126.

<sup>(39)</sup> Rym. Feed. t. 3. p. 334. Mon. Malmf. p. 120. (20) Walfing. p. 101. T. de la More, p. 593. (41) Dugdal's Baron. vol. 2. p. 44. Walfing. p. 101. p. 592. Mon. Malmf. p. 123. J. Trokelowe, p. 18. T. de la More,

disposed him to listen to milder counsels; and the count A. D. 1312. of Evreux, the queen's uncle, cardinal Arnaud, the pope's nuncio, and the earls of Gloucester and Richmond, interpoling their good offices, a treaty was fet on foot between the king and the barons. While this treaty was depending, the queen was delivered of her eldest fou, prince Edward, at Windsor, on the 13th of November (43). This happy event is faid to have put the king into fuch a good humour, that it contributed greatly to facilitate the fuccess of the negotiations; and a pacification was concluded, December 20, on the following terms: " That the barons should come before the king " in Westminster-hall, and ask his pardon on knees; that they should restore the horses, arms, " jewels, plate, &c. belonging to Gavaston, which they " had feized at Newcastle; and that a full pardon should be passed in the next parliament to the barons and their 46 adherents, for the death of Gayaston, and all other crimes and misdemeanors (44)."

Though the armies on both fides were difbanded, and A. D. 1313fome appearance of tranquillity reftored by this pacifica-The king
tion, the reconciliation between the king and the barons and queen
was far from being cordial. Edward, who had not yet court of
forgot his refentment for the death of his favourite, was Prance.

in no haste to call a parliament, and grant the pardon he had promised; and the barons, jealous of his ill intentions, kept at a distance from court, and in a posture of defence. Whilst affairs were in this unsettled state, Edward, having constituted his nephew the earl of Gloucester guardian of the kingdom, embarked at Dover for France May 23, with his queen, and a splendid retinue, to be present at the knighting and coronation of Lewis king of Navarre, his brother-in-law, on June 3, at Paris (45). Before his departure a parliament had been called to meet at Westminster July 8, and he sent over a commission to the bishops of Bath and Worcester, and the earls of Gloucester and Richmond, to hold that parliament (46). But his absence rendered this meeting abortive, and increased the discontent and jealousy of the

<sup>(43)</sup> Rymeri Fæd. t. 3. p. 358.

<sup>(44)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 366, 367, 368. Walfing. p. 102. J. Trokelowe, p. 19, 20. Mon. Malmf. p. 129—131.

<sup>(45)</sup> Rymeri Fæd. t. 3. p. 393. (46) Id. ibid. p. 422.

A. D. 1313. barons, who now became impatient to obtain the promifed pardon, and began to talk of having recourse to arms.

King reconciled.

The king arriving from France July 16, and being and barons made fenfible that it was dangerous to trifle any longer with the discontented barons, summoned a parliament to meet September 23, at Westminster (47). At this parliament, by the mediation of the queen, the prelates, and the earl of Gloucester, the pacification between the king and the barons was completed. The barons came into Westminster-hall, and implored the king's pardon on their knees: the king published a general pardon to the barons and all their adherents October 16, and the day after he granted particular pardons, under the great feal, to the earls of Lancaster, Hereford, and Warwick, and about five hundred knights and gentlemen of their party. by name (48). The king feasted the earl of Lancaster and the barons of his party, and was feafted by them; and as a still more substantial proof of their reconciliation, the barons and knights of shires granted the king a twentieth, and the citizens and burgesses a fifteenth, of their moveables, to enable him to carry on the war against Scotland (49).

A. D. 1314. State of Scotland.

Robert Bruce, who was now generally acknowledged by his own fubjects, and by foreign nations, as king of Scots, having made the best advantage of these dissensions, which reigned fo long in England, had reduced all Scotland under his obedience before the beginning of the year 1314, except the castles of Stirling, Dunbar, and Berwick. He had also restored order to the civil government, and authority to the laws; extinguished the English faction, revived the spirits, and united the hearts, of the Scots, in defence of their king and country. Nav, this wife and intropid prince had even made feveral bold incursions into England, and returned loaden with the spoils of his enemies (50).

It was now high time for the English, as foon as their Edward prepares for internal tranquillity was restored, to think seriously of a formidaavenging these injuries, and recovering the dominion of ble invalion Scotland, which they had left by their intestine broils. With these views, Edward applied with great vigour to

(48) Ib. ibid. p. 443. 445. 447. (50) Id. p. 144.

<sup>(47)</sup> Rymeri Part. t. 7. p. 416. (49) Môn. Málmíl. p. 441.

the raifing of money, collecting provisions, arms, ships, A.D. 1314. and forces, for a formidable expedition into Scotland. which might decide the fate of that kingdom at a fingle blow, and reduce it once more under the English yoke (51). He inlifted troops in Flanders, and other foreign countries; fent for his military vassals in Gascony, Ireland, and Wales; and fummoned all the warlike power of England to meet him at Newcastle upon Tyne. three weeks after Easter (52). The earls of Lancaster, Arundel, Surry, and Warwick, only fent their vaffals, not thinking fit to trust themselves in the king's power (53). But in general this fummons was fo well obeyed, that Edward found himfelf at the head of the greatest army that ever marched out of England into Scotland, attended by an incredible number of carriages, loaded with arms, provisions, and baggage of all kinds (54). Every thing being ready, he moved from Berwick June 18, directing his march towards Stirling castle, the relief of which was the immediate object of this mighty armament, and arrived by eafy marches, and without any opposition, within three miles of that place, on June 24. Here the Scotch army presented itself to view. drawn up on the north banks of the little river Bannock, directly in the road to Stirling (55).

Scotland had been fo long in a state of war, and so of-Number ten defolated by the English armies under Edward I. and disposithat it was now thinly inhabited; and king Robert, with Scotch all his efforts, could not collect above thirty thousand army. men to defend his crown and kingdom against so formidable an invasion. With this army, however, being the greatest he could raise, he resolved to stand his ground. depending on their determined courage, and declared refolution to die or conquer. He chose his ground with great judgment, having a mountain on his right, a morass on his left, and a small river in front. To render the approach of the enemies cavalry, in which they abounded, still more difficult and dangerous, he had dug many pits along the banks of the river, into which he had driven stakes, sharpened at the head, and very art-

<sup>(51)</sup> Rymeri Fæd. t. 3. p. 432. 463. 475.

<sup>(52)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 476, 477, &c. (53) Walfing. p. 104. (54) Mon. Malmi, p. 146, 147.

<sup>(55)</sup> Mon. Malmi. p. 146, 147. Walfing. p. 105.

A.D. 1314. fully covered them with turfs and rushes (56). There were fome skirmishes between detached parties of cavalry on the evening in which the armies came in fight; in one of which the king of Scots gave a proof of his strength, dexterity, and courage, which greatly raised the hopes of his army, by cleaving Henry de Bohun to the chin, with a battle-axe, at the head of his troop (57). But the day being too far spent for a general engagement, both armies retired to their ground, and waited with equal impatience the return of light.

Rattle of Bannockburn.

This short night is faid to have been spent in a very different manner by the different armies. The English. despising an enemy whom they had so often conquered, confident of victory from their superior numbers, and abounding in provisions of all kinds, spent the hours in mirth and jollity. The Scots, fensible that the moment which must determine the fate of their country, and make them and their posterity either a free or a dependent people, was approaching, employed the awful interval in acts of devotion, and in mutual exhortations to conquer nobly or die bravely. As foon as the dawn appeared, both armies began to put themselves in order of battle. The earl of Gloucester, who commanded the English cavalry, full of youthful ardour, and disputing the post of honour with the earl of Hereford, advanced to the attack with too much precipitation, fell among the covered pits, was thrown from his horse, and killed (58). This difaster threw the cavalry into some confusion; and fir James Douglas, who commanded the van of the Scotch army, making a furious attack upon them at the same infrant, completed their disorder, and put them to a total rout (59). The infantry, observing with astonishment the defeat of their horfe, and feeing another army. as they imagined, marching along the hills, (which was only the waggoners and boys in the Scotch camp, furnished with standards to make the appearance of an army at a diffance), were feized with a panic, and fled, without striking a blow, or coming near an enemy. In this deplorable scene of confusion the unhappy Edward discovered no want of perfonal courage, and was with much

(19) Id. ibid. p. 149.

<sup>(16)</sup> T. de la More, p. 594. (27) Mon. Malmf. p. 147, 148.

<sup>(28)</sup> T. de la More, p. 594. Mon. Mainti. p. 147, 148.

difficulty perfuaded to quit the field of battle, and fave A.D. 1314. himself by slight (60). By the most moderate accounts of contemporary historians, there fell in this battle, or were taken prisoners, of earls, barons, and knights, 154, of gentlemen 700, and of common foldiers above 10,000 (61). As this great defeat happened early in the morning on Midfummer day, at the distance of eighty miles from any place of fafety, very few of the flying army would have escaped with life and liberty, if many of the Scotch foldiers had not preferred the plunder of the English camp (where they found an immense booty) to the pursuit of their enemies (62). Such was the fatal defeat of Bannockburn, which for fome time greatly funk the spirits of the English nation, established Robert Bruce on the throne of Scotland, and restored the longdisputed independency of that kingdom (63).

Edward remained about three weeks at Berwick, Unhappy whither he had escaped, and then set out for York, to England.

hold a parliament, which was fummoned to meet there on August 15 (64). England was at this time a scene of great diffress and mifery; dispirited by defeat, distracted by faction, depopulated by famine, and desolated by an army of Scots, who had made an incursion into the northern counties. But the earl of Lancaster, and the barons of his party, who had not been in the late battle, instead of flying to the relief of their bleeding country, took that opportunity to promote their own ambitious views; and the king being unable to make any refistance to their will, they turned all his officers and fervants out of their places, which they took to themselves, or bestowed on their dependents (65). The remainder of this unfortunate year was spent in fruitless overtures for peace, and in exchanging prisoners. Bruce now received his wife, his daughter Marjory, then his only child, his fifter Christina, and all the lords and gentlemen who had been prisoners in England since the time of Edward I. in

<sup>(60)</sup> Mon. Malmf. p. 151. J. Trokelowe, p. 27.

<sup>(61)</sup> Walling, p. 105. T. de la More, p. 594.

<sup>(62)</sup> Mon. Malmf. p. 152.

<sup>&#</sup>x27; (63) Walfing. p. 106. Mon. Malmf. p. 152, 153.

<sup>(64)</sup> Rymer, t. 3. p. 493. Walling. p. 106.

<sup>(65)</sup> Mon. Malmf. p. 154.

A. D. 1314 exchange for fome of the earls, barons, and others, who had been taken at the battle of Bannockburn (66).

A. D. 1315. State of England.

Though the whole power was now in the hands of the earl of Lancaster and his partisans, the nation reaped no advantage from their administration. The famine still continued to rage with great violence; and the imprudent methods used by a parliament assembled the 20th Tanuary, to remedy that evil, by fetting a fixed price on all kinds of provisions, rather increased it (67). The Scots, who were afflicted with the fame calamity, fought relief by making incursions into England; and though fome troops were fent into the north, yet no effectual care was taken to prevent them (68).

Expedition of Edward Bruce into Ireland.

The Scots were fo much elated by their late fuccesses. that they began to entertain hopes of conquering another kingdom. The Irish had long borne the English voke with impatience; and thinking this a favourable opportunity to throw it off, they invited Edward Bruce, brother to the king of Scots, to come over to their assistance with a body of troops, and promifed to make him king of Ireland. Edward, naturally ambitious and enterprifing, joyfully accepted the invitation; and landed near Carrickfergus, May 26, with a fmall but felect army of 6000 men; and being joined by some Irish chieftains, he had feveral actions with the English, with various fuccefs (69).

A.D. 1316. party predominant.

At a parliament held at Lincoln in the months of Ja-Lancastrian nuary and February, the king having once more confirmed the famous ordinances, and fubmitted to every condition imposed upon him by the Lancastrian faction, an expedition against Scotland was refolved upon; the earl of Lancaster was declared the chief of the king's council, and general of the army, which was to affemble at Newcastle 8th July (70).

Expedition of Robert Bruce into Ireland.

It is not a little furprifing, that while he was threatened with fo formidable an invasion from England, Robert Bruce should think of leaving his kingdom, and invading Ireland. This renders it highly probable, that there was

<sup>(66)</sup> Rymer Fæd. t. 3. p. 489, &c. Walfing. p. 106. Mon. Malmf. (67) Walfing. p. 106, 107. ]. Trokep. 155. we, p. 30, 31. (68) Walling, p. 106, 107. (69) Annals of Ireland in Camden. Trivet. Contin. p. 28. lowe, p. 30, 31. (70) Rymer. Fad. t. 3. p. 557-563. p. 107.

fome foundation for what was furmifed by the enemies of A.D. 1316. the earl of Lancaster; that there was a secret correspondence between that earl and the king of Scots (71). However this may be, Robert made an expedition into Ireland this year, to affift his brother in the conquest of that kingdom; but a dreadful famine raging in that country, and a great mortality breaking out among his army, he was obliged to return without effecting any thing confiderable, leaving his brother and his truffy followers to struggle some time longer with these difficulties.

While the king of Scots, with the flower of his nobi-Intended lity and fighting men, were absent. Scotland seemed to expedition invite an invader, and present the English with a favour-against Scotland able opportunity of recovering all their losses. King Ed-disappointward feems to have been disposed to seize this opportu-ed. nity; for he came to Newcastle at the time appointed for the rendezvous of the army. But the earl of Lancaster, with the barons of his party, and their followers, not appearing, the intended invasion never took effect (72).

The war which had continued fo long between Eng-A.D. 1317. land and Scotland, had prevented the English for many Attempt of vears from taking any part in the affairs of the Holy the pope to vears from taking any part in the affairs of the Holy the pope to the Land; though Edward II. had affumed the cross a little peace bebefore his father's death. But the pope about this time tween projecting a new croifade, refolved, if possible, to bring Englandand about a pacification between the two British kingdoms, unsuccessthat Edward might be at liberty to fulfil his vow. With ful. this view he published, by his own authority, a truce between them for two years, threatening those who did not observe it with excommunication (73); and fent over two cardinals to negotiate a peace. These cardinals arriving in England in July, and having waited on Edward at Nottingham, proceeded towards Scotland. But Robert Bruce, being distaisfied with the letters which they had fent to acquaint him of their coming, in which they gave him only the title of Governor of Scotland, would not fuffer them to enter his kingdom, paid no regard to the truce which the pope had published, and equally slighted the excommnication and interdict which followed (74):

Vol. IV.

<sup>(71)</sup> Mon. Malmf. p. 173. (72) Waifing. p. 107. Rymer. Fæd. t. 3. p. 568.

<sup>(73)</sup> Romer. Fæd. t. 3. p. 394. 611. 635. (74) Id. ibic. p. 707. 727.

A. D. 1317 a proof that this prince was possessed of a spirit superior to the wretched and flavish superstition of the age in which he lived!

Civil diffenfions between the royal and Lancastrian parties.

The dissensions in England between the royal party and that of the earl of Lancaster, were again revived, and raged at this time with the greatest violence. The royalists did not scruple to accuse that earl of treason, for not coming to the rendezvous at Newcastle the former year, and for not attending two meetings of parliament this year, the one at Clarendon, the other at Westminfter; by which these meetings were rendered abortive (75). The earl excused himself, by alleging that his enemies at court had formed defigns against his life. These political animofities were much inflamed by a family quarrel. which broke out at this time between the earl of Lancafter and his lady, whose cause was warmly espoused by the royal party. Alice countess of Lancaster, only child of Henry earl of Lincoln, had been the greatest heiress perhaps that ever was in England, and brought her hufband an immense accession both of wealth and power; but being diffatisfied with his conduct, she made an elopement on Monday, May 9, and was received and protected by John de Warrenne earl of Surrey, at his castle of Riegate (76). This was furiously referted by the earl of Lancaster, who flew to arms, and took feveral castles belonging to the earl of Surrey, and fome belonging to the king (77). But when a civil war was thus kindled, the two cardinals above mentioned, the earl of Pembroke. and some other noblemen, interposed; and, by their mediation, an accommodation was patched up, by which all differences were referred to a parliament, appointed to meet at Lincoln January 27, next year (78).

A. D. 1318. The Lancaftrian party prevails.

The earl of Lancaster keeping his forces still on foot, the meeting of parliament was put off from time to time; and it did not actually affemble till the month of July, at Northampton (79). At this meeting the earl carried every point to his mind. The famous ordinances were again confirmed, and a standing council, of eight bishops, four earls, and four barons, appointed, who were con-

<sup>(75)</sup> Mon. Malmi. p. 177. (76) Walfing. p. 108, 109.

<sup>(75)</sup> Mon. Mathu. p. 1716 (77) Rymer, Fad. t. 3. p. 672, 673. (79) Ibid. vol. 3. p. 696.712.

flantly to attend the king by turns, four every quarter; A.D. 1318. without whose advice he was to perform no act of go-

vernment (80).

As Robert Bruce owed his crown, and the Scots the Berwick recovery of their independency, to the discords and fac-recovered tions in England, fo they still continued to make advan-by the Scots. tage of these discords: for, on the 2d of April this year, they recovered the important town and castle of Berwick. and pushed their destructive incursions into England, as

far as Yorkshire (81).

After the pacification of Northampton, the English Intended began to turn their eyes northward, and to think of put-invalion of ting a stop to the career of their enemies. With this Scotland view a parliament was held at York in October (82). While this parliament was fitting, Edward received the joyful news, that the English, under the command of John lord Bermingham, had obtained a complete victory over the Scots in Ireland, on the 14th October, near Dundalk; and that Edward Bruce, with almost all his followers, had fallen in the field of battle (83). He would gladly have taken advantage of this favourable event, and invaded the Scots in their own country, before they had recovered from their consternation occasioned by this great difaster. He even collected some forces for this invalion; but the barons declining to engage in this expedition at fo advanced a feafon of the year, he was obliged to lay afide the defign (84).

Though Edward was very far from being a warlike A. D. 1319. prince, his animofity against the Scots was so great, and The English his defire of revenging the dreadful defeat of Bannock-Berwick. burn fo strong, that as soon as any degree of tranquillity was restored at home, he always resumed his designs against Scotland. Having spent the winter in the north, he held a parliament at York in the spring of this year; in which an expedition against Scotland was refolved upon. The barons and knights of shires granted an eighteenth, the citizens and burgeffes a twelfth, and the clergy a

<sup>(80)</sup> Rymer, vol. 3. p. 722. Mon. Malinf. p. 185, 186:

<sup>(81)</sup> T. de la More, p. 594. Muremuth. p. 53. Walling. p. 111, 112. Fordon, I. 12. c. 37.

J. Trokelowe, p. 43. Rymer, vol. 3. p. 733, &c.

(83) T. de la More, p. 594. Trivet. Contin. p. 29. Mon. Malmf.

P. 137. Walfing, p. 111. (82) M. Weit. Contin.

<sup>(84)</sup> Rymer, val. 3. p. 742. 748. Walfing. p. 112.

A.D. 1319 tenth, to defray the expences of it; and all the military vafials of the crown were fummoned to appear at Newcastle June 10 (85). As all parties were now, in appearance at least, united, the troops which came to the rendezvous fermed a very numerous army, which, marching from Newcastle, invested Berwick by land, September 1, while a fleet from the cinque-ports blocked it up by fea (86).

The Scots cursion into England.

The Scots did not attempt the relief of Berwick; but make an in-formed a design of surprising and carrying off the queen of England, who lived in great fecurity, with a flender guard, at a village near York. The execution of this design was committed to the renowned sir James Douglas, with a body of chosen troops, who marched into England with great fecrecy and expedition. But their intention being discovered, the queen was removed to a place of fafety; and the archbishop of York, collecting the militia of the country, marched out September 20, and attacked the Scots. The prelate, and his undisciplined followers, were routed with great flaughter, by Douglas and his hardy veterans (87).

Siege of Berwick raifed.

In the mean time the royal army before Berwick made little progress in the siege of that place; which was soon after raised, each party throwing the blame of this miscarriage on the other (88). Commissioners from England and \$cotland met at Newcastle December 6, and, on the 21st of that month, concluded a truce between the two kingdoms for two years (89). Thus ended this unfortunate campaign, which funk the character of king Edward still lower in the eves of his subjects, and contributed to revive the rage of party, which had been concealed, but not extinguished.

A.D. 1320. Parliament appointed, but did nothing.

Edward, after the conclusion of the truce with Scotland, fummoned a parliament to meet at York in January; but the earl of Lancaster refusing to attend, it broke up without doing any business of importance (90).

<sup>(85)</sup> Mon. Malmf, p. 190. Rymer, vol. 3. p. 787.

<sup>(86)</sup> Mon. Maimf. p. 192. (87) Walfing. p. 112. Mon. Malmf. p. 192, 193, 194. (88) Mon. Malmf. p. 194.

<sup>(89)</sup> Rymer, vol. 3. p. 803-805. 809. 816. (90) Rymer, vol. 3. p. 826. 835. 838, 839, 840.

Though it was not uncommon in those times for one A.D. 1320. king to hold territories of another by feudal tenure, no-thing could be more inconvenient. This not only gave journey to occasion to frequent disputes, but obliged the royal France. vaffal to leave his own kingdom, to attend the court of the fuperior of these territories, to swear fealty, and perform his homage, at the accession of every new lord. The kings of England faill held the dutchy of Guienne, and the county of Poictou, of the kings of France; and Philip the Long having lately mounted that throne, he fummoned his royal vallal of England to attend his court, and would admit of no excuse. Edward, finding himself under a necessity of leaving his kingdom in its present unsettled state, embarked for France on June 19, and returned from thence on July 22 (91).

Soon after the king's return, a parliament was called, Parliament. to meet at Westminster October 6, in which several good laws were made, for restoring the internal police of the kingdom, which had been much relaxed by the late diforders, and for vindicating the dominion of the crown of England over the narrow feas, which had been invaded by the Flemings (92). But these falutary works of peace were foon succeeded by the horrors of civil war and

devastation.

Edward, naturally incapable of long application to A.D. 1321. ferious bufiness, fond of pleasures and amusements, and Confederaaddicted to the attachments of private friendship to a the Speadegree which is hardly credible, had fome time ago fet lers. his affections on a new favourite. This was Hugh Spenfer, chamberlain of the household, a young gentleman of an ancient family, an ample fortune, and an amiable person; but extremely debauched, insufferably insolent, and infatiably covetous. Edward had married him to Eleanor, the eldest faster, and one of the three coheiresses, of the late earl of Gloucester, with whom he obtained almost the whole county of Glamorgan, as her share of that great inheritance (93). But this was far from fatiating his unbounded avarice: he encroached on the shares of his two fifters-in-law, and, on various pre-

(91) Id. ibid. p. 861.

<sup>(92)</sup> Ryley Placit. Parl. p. 401. (93) Dugdale Baron. vol. 1. p. 389.

A. D. 1322 tences invaded the rights and properties of almost every baron and gentleman in the neighbourhood of his estates. This behaviour foon rendered him the object of general terror and detestation, and obliged all who either felt or feared his oppressions to conspire his ruin, in order to prevent their own (94). The earl of Hereford, with many other lords in the marches of Wales, entering into a confederacy in the beginning of this year, raifed an army, and committed dreadful ravages on Spenfer's estates in Glamorganshire, and other western counties. The confederates, to Arengthen their party, and complete the ruin of their enemy, entered into an affociation, on June 28, with the earl of Lancaster and his partisans; and they all, to the number of about fifty, fubscribed an instrument, binding themselves to pursue the two Spenfers, father and fon, till they had driven them out of the kingdom, or got them into their hands (95). The elder Spenfer, whose name was also Hugh, was a person respectable for his age and wisdom, and had long mainrained a fair and honourable character; but, by sharing too largely in the fruits of his fon's favour with the king. he was involved in the fame odium, and exposed to the fame accusations with his fon (96). The confederates, who, by the accession of the earl of Lancaster and his party, were become very powerful, advanced with their army towards London, destroying the houses and plundering the estates of the elder Spenser in their way. When they arrived at St. Alban's, they fent a message to the king, demanding the banishment of the two Spenfers; to which he returned this mild answer, that the elder Spenfer was beyond feas in his fervice, and the younger at fea guarding the cinque-ports; and that they could not be legally banished without a trial. The confederates, far from being fatisfied with this answer, advanced with their army, and took possession of London. whose citizens generally favoured their cause (97). Edward was at this time holding a parliament at West-

The Spenders banish-

Edward was at this time holding a parliament at Westminster, which he had summoned to meet there July 15, to put an end to these disturbances in an amicable

(97) Walfing p. 114. J. Trokelowe, p. 48-52.

<sup>(94)</sup> Mon. Mahnif. p. 204. &c. Walfing. p. 113.

<sup>(95)</sup> Waifing, p. 113. J. Trokelowe, p. 48, 49. Tyrrel, vol. 4. (96) T. de la More, p. 594.

way. But the confederated lords, instead of attending A.D. 1322. the parliament, to which they had been fummoned, held frequent confultations amongst themselves in London; in which having drawn up a fentence of forfeiture and banishment against the two Spensers, father and fon, they brought it down to Westminster-hall, accompanied with an armed force, and got it confirmed by parliament (08). In the same manner they obtained from the king in parliament, August 19, a full pardon to themselves and their followers, for all the treasons, murders, and felonies, which they had committed, from the 1st of March to that day. After this, the confederated barons feparated and returned home (00).

Though Edward found himself under a necessity of Civil war. fubmitting in this manner to the imperious dictates of the confederated barons, he bore the yoke with much uneafiness; and an incident happened foon after which greatly inflamed his refentment. The queen, going to Canterbury to perform some acts of devotion, fent her marshals to the castle of Leeds, belonging to the lord Badlesmere, to take up her lodgings, and provide for her reception; but the lady Badlesmere resused, first, the marshals, and afterwards the queen herfelf in person, admission into the castle (100). The haughty princess, enraged at this affront, flew back to London, and excited the king to avenge the indignity which had been offered her. Edward, who had many reasons to be offended with Badlesmere, hastily raised some troops, besieged the castle of Leeds, and obliged it to furrender on the last day of October; and, to strike terror into his enemies, he commanded the governor, and eleven inferior officers of the garrison, to be hanged (101).

The Spenfers, hearing of this spirited and successful Successes of exertion of the royal authority, adventured to return to against the England: their banishment was declared illegal; and Barons. they encouraged the king to purfue vigorous measures, and to take vengeance on all his enemies (102). Many other powerful barons, as the earls of Kent and Norfolk

<sup>(98)</sup> Tyrrel, vol. 4. p. 282.

<sup>(99)</sup> Mon. Malmi. p. 210, 211. Walling. p. 114. Rymer, v. 3. p. 891.

<sup>(100)</sup> Walfing. p. 115, J. Trokelowe, p. 52. (101) Walfing. p. 115. J. Trokelowe, p. 53.

<sup>(102)</sup> Rymer, vol. 3. p. 907.

A. D. 1322. the king's younger brothers, Pembroke, Richmond, Arundel, Surrev, Athol, Angus, &c. disliking the violent measures of the confederates, and resenting the force which had been put upon them in the late parliament, repaired to the royal standard; and Edward soon saw himself at the head of a very powerful army. That he might give his enemies no time to renew their confederacy and prepare for their defence, he marched with great expedition, about the middle of December, towards the borders of Wales. The royal army met with little oppofition in its progrefs; the castles surrendered as soon as they were fummoned, and the barons, furprifed and unprepared, either fled, or furrendered themselves, and were thrown into different prisons. The earl of Hereford, and fome others, with about three thousand followers, escaped into the north to join the earl of Lancaster (103).

A. D. 1322. Lancaster defeated and taken prisoner.

As foon as the earl of Lancaster had heard that the king was raising an army, he began to prepare for his own defence. With this view, he had called a meeting of his partifans in the north, at Doncaster, 20th November last (104). No longer concealing his connections with the king of Scots, he fent John de Moubray and Roger de Clifford to that prince, who entered into a formal alliance with the confederates, engaging to fupport them with the whole power of his kingdom as foon as the truce expired. In confequence of this alliance, he fent a body of troops, under Thomas Randolf earl of Murray, and the lord James Douglas, two of his best generals, into Northumberland in the beginning of this year (105). The earl of Lancaster having collected his own numerous followers, being joined by the earl of Hereford, and depending on a powerful affiftance from Scotland, no longer despaired of success, and marched with his army to obstruct the passage of the rovalists over the Trent at Burton. The king having attempted to force a passage at this place in vain, for three days successively, at length passed a ford a few miles higher; and on March 10, the two armies came within fight on the

<sup>(103)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2540. Walfing. p. 116. Mon. Malmf. p. 214. (104) Rymer, vol. 3. p. 899.

<sup>(105)</sup> Rymer, vol. 3, p. 226, 927. Mon. Malmf. p. 217. J. Trokelowe, p. 59.

fame fide of the river. But the éarl, either intimidated A.D. 1322. by the great numbers and resolute countenances of the royalists, or thinking it imprudent to hazard a battle without his whole force, retired without fighting, and marched northward to meet the Scots, and some other troops, who had not yet joined him. This was a fatal refolution: for this retreat, looking like a flight, discouraged his followers, and made them defert in great numbers. On the 16th March, when he came to Boroughbridge, he found an army on the other fide of the river, under the command of fir Simon Warde and fir Andrew de Harcla, ready to dispute the passage. The earl of Hereford was killed in attempting to force the bridge; and the earl of Lancaster being repulsed in endeavouring to pass the river at a ford, returned into the town of Boroughbridge, and was there taken next morning (with about a hundred barons and knights, and a much greater number of gentlemen) and carried prisoner to York. this manner, these formidable confederates, who a few months before were predominant, were now either killed, captivated, or difperfed (106).

Edward, now triumphant over all his enemies, arrived Lancaster at Pontefract; and the earl of Lancaster being brought executed, thither from York, was, after a fnort trial, condemned to be hanged, drawn, and quartered; but, by the king's lenity, was appointed to be beheaded (107). On Monday, March 22, this once potent carl was carried out of Pontefract, his own chief residence, mounted on a lean horse, without a bridle, in a fordid dress, to a hill about a mile distant, and there beheaded, with the same circumftances of mean and favage infult which he had used towards Gavaston a few years before (108): a fate unworthy of his royal blood and princely fortunes, but not altogether unmerited by his factious, turbulent, and rebellious disposition. About eighteen other barons and gentlemen of the party were executed, many escaped bewond feas, and a great number were confined in different prisons (109). Of the many great estates which were

<sup>(106)</sup> Walfing, p. 116. Mon. Malmi, p. 218-222. J. Trokelowe, p. 53-58.

<sup>(107)</sup> Walfing. p. 116. J. Trokelowe, p. 61.

<sup>(108)</sup> Walfing, p. 117. Rymer, vol. 3. p. 926. (109) Walfing, p. 119. T. de la More, p. 596. J. Troketowe, p. 63. Knyghton, col. 2541.

A. D. 1322 forfeited on this occasion, some few were bestowed on the earls of Pembroke, Richmond, and other barons, who had supported the royal cause; but the far greatest part of them was fwallowed up by the blind abandoned avarice of the vounger Spenfer (110).

Edward's improdent use of his victory.

If Edward had been capable of making a right use of his present victory, by exercising severity towards a few of the most criminal and dangerous of the vanquished party, shewing mercy to all the rest, and thereby gaining their affections, and dividing the spoils with an equal and prudent hand amongst the loval barons, he would have laid a folid foundation for the future peace and tranquillity of his reign. But, by fuffering his rapacious favourite to seize almost the whole, he drove his enemies to despair, and left his friends in discontent.

Parliament. In a parliament which met at York on the 2d May, fuch of the famous ordinances (fo strenuously supported by the Lancastrian party) as were inconsistent with the just rights of the crown, were repealed, the late sentence against the Spenfers declared illegal, and an expedition against Scotland resolved upon. The barons and knights of shires granted a tenth, the citizens and burgesses a fixth of their moveables, and the clergy five pence in the mark of their annual revenues, to defray the expences of that expedition (III).

Expedition into Scotland.

The rendezvous of the army was appointed to be on July 24, at Newcastle; from whence they marched into Scotland (112). The prudent Bruce did not think fit to hazard an engagement with the English, now united amongst themselves, and southed with their late victories; but retiring before them, and carrying away all kinds of provisions, Edward and his army were soon reduced to great diffress, and obliged to return into England (113). The Scots, following the rear of the retreating army, plundered the baggage, took the earl of Richmond and fome others prisoners, almost surprised the king himself at Beland abbey, and carried their ravages to the gates of York (114).

<sup>(110)</sup> Rymer, vol. 3. p. 040, 011. Dued. Bar. vol. 1. p. 392, 393. (111) Rymer, vol. 3. p. 924. 552. (112) Ib. ibid. p. 952, 953.

<sup>(113)</sup> Walling, p. 116, 117. (114) Walling, p. 117. J. Trokelowe, p. 62, 64. Muremut. p. 9.

Andrew de Hercla, who had lately been advanced to A.D. 1322. the earldom of Carlifle, and the government of the Andrew de northern counties, for his good fervice in taking the earl Herela exof Lancaster, now entered into some secret engagements couted. with the king of Scots of a fuspicious nature, for which he was condemned and executed as a traitor (115). Thus ended this very bufy year, in the former part of which Edward had been favoured with a very uncommon flow of fuccess and prosperity.

Both the British nations being at length tired of this A.D. 1323; tedious and destructive war, negotiations for a long truce between or peace were fet on foot about the beginning of this Englandand year (116). After many meetings between the English Scotland. and Scotch commissioners, at Newcastle and other places, a truce was concluded on March 30, 1323, to continue for thirteen years, by which Robert Bruce, though not directly acknowledged king of Scotland, was left in full possession of that kingdom (117). Some endeavours were foon after used to change this truce into a perpetual peace;

but without effect (118).

England being now at peace with all her neighbours, Symptoms and within herfelf, Edward and his favourite flattered ing trouter themselves that they had a constant and his favourite flattered in themselves that they had themselves that they had overcome all difficulties. But bles. this was only a deceitful calm; and a difcerning eye might have observed feveral figns of an approaching sterm. The Spenfers, though wallowing in wealth, and basking in the funshine of royal favour, could hardly appear in any place, without meeting with fome indication of the public hatred. A band of desperadoes, under the conduct of one Robert Lewer, ravaged the estates of Hugh, the father, lately created earl of Winchester, and even attempted to feize his person. Several plots were formed to furprise the royal castles, where the state prisoners of the Lancastrian party were confined, in order to set them at liberty; and the famous Roger Mortimer of Wigmore, one of the most daring and dangerous of that party, made his escape out of the tower of London, and got safe to France (110).

More, p. 596. Walfing. p. 120. Mon. Malaif. p. 224, &c.

<sup>(115)</sup> Rymer, vol. 3. p. 973. 980. Walfing. p. 118. Rymer, vol. 3. p. 999. vol. 4. p. 4. J. Trokelowe, p. 65, 66.
(116) Rymer, vol. 3. p. 1001—1004.
(117) Rymer, vol. 3. p. 1022. Adam Muremuth, r. 60. Chron. Hen. de Blanforde, p. 705, 706.
(118) Rymer, vol. 4. p. 10.
(119) Rymer, vol. 4. p. 20. Myghton. M. Wett. Contin. T. de la

A. D. 1323. The king of France fummons Edward to his court.

Philip the Long, king of France, having died in January 1322, was fucceeded by his brother Charles the Fair. who, according to cultom, fummoned the king of England to come and perform his homage for his French dominions. Edward, not having complied with this fummons, received one more peremptory in August this year. in all the necessary forms of law, requiring him to appear at Amiens on July, 1, 1324, at furthest. Some disputes which had lately arisen in Guienne, rendered this affair more ferious, and made the king of France infift the more positively on Edward's performing his homage in person (120).

A. D. 1324. Amballadors fent to France.

While the day appointed for performing the homage was at a distance, Edward and his favourite enjoyed themselves in great tranquillity; but when it drew near, they became uneafy. A parliament was held at Westminster in the beginning of Lent, which being consulted on the expediency of the king's journey into France, advifed to fend an honourable embaffy to endeavour to procure a delay. In confequence of this advice, the earl of Kent, and the archbishop of Dublin, were fent ambassadors to the court of France (121). The ambassadors were honourably entertained, but had no fuccess in their negotiations. In the mean time the disputes in Guienne had been fucceeded by hostilities, which were pushed with spirit and success by the French; and Edward began to make some preparations in England for an expedition into that country, for the defence of his territories. When things were come to this criffs, a private intimation was given to the English ambassadors, that if the queen of England would come over, she would prove the most successful mediatrix, and procure an accommodation on the most favourable terms. The bishop of Winchefter, then at Paris, took a journey to communicate this propofal to the court of England (122).

Edward, glad of any expedient to avoid a war, and Queen Labella fent fuspecting no danger in this measure, complied with it, and sent the queen to visit her brother the king of France, to France, ara makes and negotiate an accommodation between two princes to a treaty. whom the was to nearly related (123). The first nego-

<sup>(120)</sup> Rymer, vol. 4, p. 74, 98. (121) (122) Rymer, vol. 4, p. 140. Walfing, p. 121. (121) Walfing, p. 120, 121.

<sup>(123)</sup> Adam Muremuth, p. 63.

tiations of this royal ambassadress were attended with all A.D. 1325. the fuccess which could have been expected from them. She, foon after her arrival, concluded a truce; and, on May 31, a definitive treaty of peace (124). By this treaty, the disputed duchy of Guienne was to be put into the hands of the king of France, who engaged to restore it to Edward as foon as he had done homage for it in perfon; and it was stipulated, that this ceremony should be performed at Beauvais, on the 20th August. Though fome of the terms of this treaty were not perfectly agreeable to Edward, yet, rather than lofe Guienne, or engage in a war, he confirmed it (125).

Hugh Spenfer, the king's favourite, was now in a Spenfer opmost terrible dilemma. His aversion to this voyage to poles the France had been the real cause of all the king's delays; age to and he had strong reasons for this aversion. On the one France. hand, he was no stranger to the secret enmity of queen Isabella against him; and therefore durst not accompany his master to the court of France, where she might have opportunities of executing her vengeance. On the other hand, if he staid behind, he was afraid of falling a victim to the public hatred, when no longer protected by the presence of his sovereign (126). For these reasons, Spenfer had always opposed this voyage with the greatest violence. But a parliament, which met at London on June 25, having advifed the king to execute the treaty, he feemed at length determined, and actually began his journey. He did not long perfift in this refolution, fo difagreeable to his favourite; for, when he arrived at the abbey of Langedon near Dover, he fell fick, or pretended fickness, and fent to France to obtain a short delay (127).

When Edward and his favourite were in this perplexi-Edward ty, a new and unexpected overture came from the court furrenders of France, that, if the king of England would bestow his dominions French dominions on his fon Edward prince of Walcs, to his fon, the king of France would accept of the homage of that and fends him to prince, and grant him the investiture of these territories, france, This proposal, by the persuasion of Spenser, was eagerly embraced by Edward, and executed with a rapidity

<sup>(124)</sup> Rymer, vol. 4. p. 153-156. (126) Men. Malmi. p. 238.

<sup>(125)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 156. (127) Rymer, vol. 4. p. 163.

A. D. 1325 which is hardly credible. The king conveyed all his French dominions to his fon prince Edward, at Dover. on September 10; the prince failed from that port on the 12th, and did his homage to the king of France, at Beauvais, on the 14th of the same month (128). But the unhappy prince Edward had foon reason to repent of this precipitation.

The queen refuses to return to England.

If the dark defigns which now began to be disclosed were really formed before the queen left England, and those successive overtures from the court of France were in confequence of them, it must be confessed, that never any plot was laid with deeper policy, or executed with greater art; and a much wifer prince than Edward might have fallen into the fnare. However this may be, it now appeared, that queen Isabella had far other ends in view than making peace between her brother and her husband: for, when that was accomplished, and she was invited to return home, she plainly declared, she never would return till Hugh Spenfer was banished from the court and kingdom (120).

The queen's intrigue with Mortimer.

This declaration was like a clap of thunder to Edward and his favourite; and their consternation was foon after much increased by the intelligence brought them by the bishop of Exeter from the court of France. That wise and loyal prelate, who had been fent by Edward as guardian and counfellor to the prince of Wales, having observed, that the queen of England was continually furrounded with the fugitives and exiles of the Lancastrian faction, and having even discovered the infamous and criminal nature of her connections with Roger Mortimer, who had lately made his escape out of the tower of London, he hastened home in difguise to inform his injured master of these discoveries (130).

Edward's e Fort: to recover his queen and ion.

Edward, greatly alarmed, both as a king and husband. wrote, in the most earnest manner, to the queen and prince to return home, and to the king of France to fend them back. He called a council of his prelates and nobility to meet at Westminster, November 10, for their advice; and all the bishops agreed to write, in the strongest

(130) Mon. Malmf. p. 240.

<sup>(128)</sup> Du Tillet Recueil des Traites. Rymer, vol. 4. p. 165, 166. Walfirg. p. 121. T. de la More, p. 592. Mon. Malmí. p. 239. (129) Walfing. p. 122. Mon. Malmí. p. 240, 241.

terms, to the queen to return with the prince her A. D. 1325fon (131). But all these importunities were to no purpose. The cruel and perfidious Isabel, who had already injured Edward in his bed, had formed a plot to deprive

him of his crown, perhaps of his life.

Though the king of France had not the virtue and ge- A.D. 1326. nerofity to crush those plots which were forming in his Prince Edcourt against his unhappy brother-in-law, he did not think ward confit openly to countenance and support them. This oblig-tracted to ed Isabel and her accomplices to feek the protection of Holland. fome other prince, to enable them to execute their defigns. Edward was on friendly terms with the fovereigns of Spain, Portugal, and Flanders, which prevented the conspirators from applying to any of these powers, and obliged them to have recourse to William count of Hainault and Holland. A negotiation was commenced, and in a little time concluded, with that prince, who engaged to furnish the queen with a small fleet and some troops, to enable her to make a descent upon England; in refurn for which favour, a marriage was contracted, between the prince of Wales and Philippa, the count's fecond daughter (132).

Edward was not ignorant of these preparations which Edward's were making for an invasion of his kingdom, and of the preparaticorrespondence which was carried on between the con-ons. fpirators abroad and the male-contents at home; and did what he could to fecure himfelf, both against his foreign and domestic enemies. Orders were fent to all the seaports, to fearch all passengers for letters, and to the sheriffs to feize all suspected persons (133). The warden of the cinque-ports, and the admirals of the north and fouth, were ordered to have their fleets ready to oppose a defcent (134). All the military tenants of the crown were commanded, by proclamation, February 8, to have their followers in readiness; and soon after the prelates received a like command. Orders were also issued to apprehend the emissaries of the queen and prince, and the spreaders of false reports against the king (135). But all

(131) Rymer, vol. 4. p. 180, 181, 182. T. de la More, p. 598. Adam Muremuth, p. 65. Mon. Malmi, p. 242, 243. (132) T. de la More, p. 598. (133) Symer, vol. 4. p. 183, 186, &c. (134) id. ibid, p. 187, 188, &c.

<sup>(135)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 200, 202.

A.D. 1326, these royal mandates were very ill obeyed, and in many

places entirely flighted.

The queen invades England.

The queen and her accomplices having fpent the furnmer in making preparations for their intended expedition, embarked on board a small fleet at the port of Dort in Holland; and, after a stormy passage, arrived September 24, at Orewell haven, near Walton, in Suffolk (136). Besides the queen and prince, there came over in this fleet the earl of Kent, who had been betrayed into this conspiracy against his king and brother. Roger de Mortimer, the great mover of this enterprise, with 2757 men at arms, commanded by John de Beaumont, brother to the count of Hainault (137). A small force to invade fo great a kingdom, and dethrone fo great a king! But they brought with them a whole army of political lies; which did incredible execution, rendered the unhappy Edward odious and contemptible in the eves of his fubjects, and made the deluded people look on the perfidious Isabel and the profligate Mortimer as the most illustrious patriots and deliverers of their country.

The green publishes a manifesto and is joined by many.

The queen, foon after her landing, published an artful manifesto, declaring, that she intended no harm to any but the Spenfers, and their creatures; that the fole defign of her expedition was, to ease the people of their burdens, to reform the diforders of the government, and improve the liberties of the church (138). In a little time fhe was joined by the earls of Norfolk, Leicester, Pembroke, and other barons; and by the bishops of Norwich, Hereford, Ely, and Lincoln, with their followers, who composed a numerous and powerful army; with which she advanced

in pursuit of the king (139).

Edward leaves London.

Edward was at London when he received the news of the queen's landing; from whence he issued a proclamation, September 28, commanding all his subjects to make war upon and destroy these invaders, except the queen, prince, and earl of Kent; and published a reward of 1000l. for the head of Mortimer (140). Having at-

(137) Walfing. p. 123. M. Malmi. p. 243.

(140) Rymer, vol. 4. p. 231-233.

<sup>(136)</sup> Walfing, p. 123. Anglia Sacra, vol. 1. p. 266.

<sup>(138)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2764. Ypod. Neuft. p. 503. Walfing. D. 124. (139) Walfing. p. 123. Ypod. Neuft. p. 527 T. de la More, p. Adam Muremuth, p. 66.

tempted in vain to arm the citizens of London in his A.D. 1326. cause, he lest that city, accompanied by the two Spensers, chancellor Baldock, and a slender retinue, directing his march towards Bristol, where he hoped to raise an army

to oppose his enemies (141).

As foon as the king left London, the mob of that place Violences affembled in great multitudes, and proceeded to the most of the Londontrageous acts of violence, plundering and murdering doners, all whom they suspected of having any connection with the Spenfers, or attachment to the king. Amongst others, they seized the bishop of Exeter, dragged him to the cross in Cheapside, cut off his head, and threw his body into the river (142).

In the mean time the wretched king, abandoned al-Edward most by all the world, and closely pursued by a detach-flees into ment of the queen's army, durst not stay in Bristol; but, leaving that city under the command of the elder Spenser, he passed over into Wales, in hopes of finding more loy-

alty among the ancient Britons (143).

Bristol was immediately besieged, and in a few days Bristol tafurrendered; by which Hugh Spenser, the father, earl of ken. Winchester, fell into the hands of his enemies; and the queen, with her whole army, coming to Bristol on October 26, this venerable nobleman, in the ninetieth year of his age, was, the day after, without any formal trial, hanged upon a gibbet, and his body cut in pieces, and thrown to the dogs (144). So much had civil rage hardened the hearts, and inflamed the passions, of the humane and generous English!

At the same time and place Edward prince of Wales prince of was declared regent of the kingdom by the prelates and Wales barons in the queen's army; which soon after marched proclaimed to Hereford, where it continued about a month (145). Here the earl of Arundel was condemned and executed as a traitor, though his chief crime seems to have been his having contracted an alliance with the Spensers, by marrying his eldest son to a daughter of Hugh the

younger (146).

(141) Walfing, p. 123.

The

<sup>(142)</sup> T. de la More, p. 599. Walling p. 124.

<sup>(143)</sup> Adam Muremuth, p. 67. Walfing p. 125. (144) Leland's Collectanea, vel. 1. p. 673. Walfingham, p. 125. T. de la Morc, p. 599. (145) Rymer, vol. 4. p 237.

<sup>(146)</sup> Knyehtan, p. 2545. Vol. IV.

A.D. 1326. Edward taken. ecuted.

The king, after his departure from Bristol, having made an unfuccessful attempt to raise an army in Wales, embarked for Ireland, in hopes of finding there fome Spenier ex- refuge from the pursuit of his enemies. But after beating about for feveral days in the Severn Sea, contending with contrary winds and flormy weather, he relanded near Swanfea, and concealed himfelf, with a few followers, in the monastery of Neath (147). His retreat was foon discovered; and he fell into the hands of Henry earl of Lancaster on November 16, who conducted him first to Monmouth, from whence he was removed to Kenelworth castle (148). With the king was taken his chancellor Robert Baldock, and, in a neighbouring wood, his most obnoxious and hated favourite Hugh Spenser. This last was conducted to Hereford, where the queen and prince lay with their army; and on November 24, he was there hanged on a gibbet fifty feet high: his head was fent as an agreeable prefent to the citizens of London, who fet it with great triumph upon the bridge (149). Baldock, being a prieft, escaped immediate execution; but foon after died in great mifery, in the prison of Newgate, of the fevere usage which he there received (150).

State of England.

England was at this time a scene of great confusion: government was dissolved, the courts of justice shut, and lawless violence every where reigned. The mob of London, and of other cities, who were called the riflers, plundered and murdered whom they pleafed, without con-

troul (151).

A D. 1327. Edward II. depofed.

The queen and Mortimer, by whose direction all affairs were conducted, now began to discover another part of their plot; which was, to depose the king, whom they had got into their hands, and place the prince of Wales upon the throne, who being but fourteen years of age, was entirely under their management. With this view. they called a parliament, in the name of the prince, as guardian of the kingdom, to meet at Westminster January 7. As foon as the parliament met, which confifted entirely of the accomplices and favourers of the queen, the

<sup>(147)</sup> Rymer, vol. 4. p. 238, 239. (148) Walfing, p. 126. (149) Walfing. p. 126. Mon. Malmf. Mon. Malmf. p. 244. (150) Walfing. p. 126. (151) M. West Contin. Walfing. p. 125.

deposition of the king, and the elevation of the prince of A. D. 1327. Wales to the throne, were brought upon the carpet. But these questions were far from being debated with that calmness which their importance required: the house was every day furrounded by the London mob, and every thing conducted with clamour and violence. At length, on Tuesday the 13th January, the prince was seated on the throne; and a charge, digested into six articles, exhibited against the king; for which he was deposed from his royal dignity, and the prince proclaimed king in his stead (152). The articles of this charge, considering by whom it was brought, were not of fo high a nature as might have been expected, confishing of alleged incapacity for government; negligence; fpending his time in trifling amusements; violating some of the immunities of the church; banishing, difinheriting, and putting to death many noblemen, meaning those of the Lancastrian faction (153). On this general charge, without any proof, or any opportunity of answering for himself; was this unhappy prince divested of his crown.

When the news of the king's deposition was brought commission to his cruel and perfidious queen, she counterfeited the to the demost violent and inconsolable grief; shedding a flood of poled king. tears, and even falling into fits; and the prince (probably with more fincerity) declared, that he never would accept of the crown in his father's lifetime without his confent. To remove these scruples of the prince, and render this whole transaction the more plausible, the parliament appointed a deputation of their number to attend upon the king at Kenelworth, to intimate to him the fentence of his deposition, and procure his confent (154). The bishops of Hereford and Lincoln, two of this deputation, and the king's most inveterate enemies, were first fent into his prefence; and having, by threats and promifes, brought him to a feigned submittion, the other parliamentary commissioners were introduced. As foon as the wretched Edward beheld them, he funk down to the floor in a fwoon, from whence being recovered, the deputies performed their office; to which the king re-

<sup>(152)</sup> Anglia Sacra, vol. 1. p. 367. Walfing. p. 126. Ypodigma Neuftriæ, p. 508. (153) Knyghton, p. 2765. Walfing. p. 127. (154) Walfing, p. 128. T. de la More, p. 600.

A. D. 1327. plied. That he was in their power, and submitted to their will (155). Judge Truffel, who attended the commissioners, in a formal manner, in name of the prelates. earls, barons, and people of England, as their procurator, renounced all homage, fealty, and obedience to Edward (156); and then fir Thomas Blount, high steward, breaking his staff, and declaring all the king's officers discharged from their service, this uncommon ceremony ended, and with it the unprofperous reign of Edward II. on January 20, 1327, after it had continued nineteen years, fix months, and fifteen days.

Treatment of the deposed king.

That we may not have occasion to resume this mournful subject, we shall attend the degraded monarch to his grave, referring the other public transactions of this year to the fucceeding reign, to which they most properly belong. Edward, after his deposition, was for some time committed to the custody of his cousin Henry earl of Lancaster, who treated him with great tenderness and humanity. But this was by no means agreeable to the dispositions and designs of the queen and Mortimer, who therefore took him out of the hands of that nobleman, April 3, and put him into the custody of Thomas lord Berkeley, John de Mautravers, and fir Thomas Gournay, who were each to keep him a month, by turns (157). Even thefe new keepers were not equally favage, the lord Berkeley treating him with much more humanity than the other two, who probably defigned to break his heart by their hard ufage (158). They hurried him from castle to castle in the night-time, thinly clothed, and without any covering to his head (159). Mautravers one day commanding him to be shaved with cold and dirty water, the fallen monarch was fo much affected with this indignity, that he burst into tears, which bedewing his face, he faid with a fmile of grief, "See, I have of provided clean and warm water, whether you will " or not (160).

Murder of king Edward.

While this wretched prince was fuffering these and many other infults from the hands of his cruel keepers, a great change was gradually working in the fentiments of

(155) Knyghton, p. 2550.

<sup>(156)</sup> Knyghton, p. 2550. Mon. Malmf. p. 244. (157) T. de la More, p. 600. Walfing. p. 127. (158) Ibid. p. 603. Walfing. p. 127.

<sup>(159)</sup> Ibid. p. 600. (160) Anonymi Hift. p. 838-

## Ch. 1. § 3. CIVIL AND MILITARY.

117

his late subjects in his favour. The people of England A. D. 1327 had been wrought up into the most violent rage against the weak, misguided Edward, as a cruel and execrable tyrant, and into the highest admiration of the queen and Mortimer, as angels fent from heaven for their deliverance. But when the true characters of these last, and the criminal nature of their union, came to be better known, the people began to open their eyes, to fee they had been deluded, and to pity the sufferings of their wretched fovereign. In confequence of this, feveral schemes were formed by the people of Bristol, the Dominican friars, and others, for fetting Edward at liberty (161). But these schemes served only to hasten the cruel fate of this unhappy prince. For the queen and Mortimer, not thinking themselves safe while he was alive, fent orders to their tools, Gournay and Mautravers, to dispatch him immediately. These well-chosen instruments of cruelty obeyed this command; and feized the opportunity when the king was at Berkley-castle, and the lord Berkeley confined at Bradley by fickness, they threw the king upon a bed, and thrust a red hot iron through a horn into his fundament, which made him fill the whole castle with his shrieks, and soon put an end to his life by the most exquisite torments (162). Thus perished Edward of Cairnarvon, on the 21st September 1327, in the forty-third year of his age.

Edward II. is faid to have borne a great refemblance to Character his illustrious father in the stature, strength, and beauty of of Edward his person; but unhappily the resemblance was not so great in the qualities of the mind. Though not remarkably deficient in personal courage, he had no talents for war; nor was he better qualified for the conduct of political intrigues, being paffionate, talkative, and irrefolute. He was guilty of many follies, but of few vices; and spent his time rather in a frivolous than in a criminal manner. But the most striking feature in this prince's character, was his unbounded and inviolable attachment to his two fuccessive favourites, Gavaston and Spenser, This was the real cause of all the calamities of his reign, the miferies of his life, and the violence of his death. For these unworthy favourites, by their imprudence, infolence, ambition, and avarice, excited univerfal hatred

<sup>(161)</sup> Leland. Col. vol. 2, p. 475, 476. Walling. p. 127. (162) T. de la Morc, p. 603. Walling. p. 127.

A.D. 1327 and indignation, and brought ruin upon themselves and

their too-indulgent master.

Children of Edward 11.

Edward had, by his queen, Isabel of France, two sons and two daughters, viz. Edward, his eldest son and successor, born at Windsor, 13th November 1312; John, his youngest son, born at Eltham, 1st August 1316, died at Perth, unmarried, in 1334; his eldest daughter, Jane, born in the tower of London, and married to David Bruce king of Scotland; and Eleanor, born at Woodstoke, and married to the duke of Guilders.

History of Scotland.

All the most important events in the history of Scotland, from the accession of Edward II. to the long truce A. D. 1323, are interwoven with that of England, and have been related. The short interval between that and the time of his death was employed by the illustrious king Robert Bruce, in regulating the internal police of his kingdom, and fecuring the fuccession of his crown to his only fon David, then an infant; and failing him, to Robert Stewart, the only fon of his daughter the princefs Marjory (163).

(163) Fordun, 1. 13. c. 12.

## SECTION IV.

The civil and military history of Britain, from the accession of Edward III. 24th Fanuary A. D. 1327, to the acceffron of Richard II. 21 ft June A. D. 1377.

of Edward MII.

A.D. 1327. THE reign of Edward III. may be faid to have com-Accession menced on 24th January 1327, as on that day his peace was proclaimed in London, which in those times was the first act of royalty in each reign (1). He was crowned in Westminster abbey, on 1st February, by the archbishop of Canterbury (2).

(2) Id. ibid. p. 244. Walfing, p. 126.

<sup>(1)</sup> Rymer, vol. 4. p. 243-245.

The parliament which had deposed Edward II. was still A. D. 1327. fitting, and appointed a council of regency, confifting of Regency the archbishops of Canterbury and York; the bisheps of appointed Winchester, Worcester, and Hereford; the earls of by parlia-Lancaster, Norfolk, Kent, and Surry; the lords Percy, ment, &c. Wake, Ingham, and Ross. The earl of Lancaster was declared chief of this council, and guardian of the young king's person, who was little more than fourteen years of age. But notwithstanding this appointment of a regency, the king and all his authority were in the hands of the queen and Mortimer (3). The fame parliament reverfed the attainders which had been passed some years before against the late earl of Lancaster and his adherents (4). confiscated the estates of the Spenfers and their creatures; granted the fum of 20,000l. to the queen to pay her debts, and assigned her a jointure of 20,000l. a-year; an immense sum in those times. The queen and her favourite appropriated to themselves the far greatest part of the prodigious treasures and estates of the Spensers, and were very foon as much and as univerfally hated as their former proprietors.

As the citizens of London had contributed fo much to The citibring about the late revolution, they were rewarded with zens of London a pardon of all the acts of violence which they had com-pardoned. mitted, and with a new charter containing many ample privileges (5). A peace was concluded with France, which put an end to the war in Guienne, which had been

made an engine to ruin the late unhappy king (6).

It is highly probable, that the internal tranquillity of Invation of the new government would not have been of long conti- England by nuance, if the attention of all parties had not been en-the Scots. gaged by a threatened invasion from a foreign enemy. Though the truce between England and Scotland was not yet expired, Robert Bruce, thinking it dissolved by the deposition of the king with whom it had been made, and looking upon this as a favourable opportunity of making fuch an impression upon England as would procure him an honourable peace, raifed an army, and prepared for an invasion (7).

The English administration, after attempting in vain Expedition to bring about an accommodation, likewise prepared for of Edward III. in the north.

(3) Heming, t. 2. p. 270. Leland's Collectan, vol. 2. p. 476. (4) Rymer, vol. 4. p. 258, 259. (5) Rym. Fæd. tom. 4. 1. 245. 257, 258.

(7) Froisfart, l. 1. c. 16.

(6) Rymer, vol. 4. p. 264-266. 280,

27

A.D. 1327 war, and raifed a gallant army of fixty, some fay one hundred thousand men, at the head of which appeared the young king, full of martial ardour. The march of this army was retarded fome time at York, by an unfortunate quarrel which happened there between the English archers and the foreign troops under John de Hainault, in which feveral persons were slain on both sides (8). This quarrel being at last composed, the army marched northward 10th July, and arriving at Durham on the 13th, received intelligence that an army of Scots had passed the Tyne, and committed dreadful ravages all over the country. Edward having rested and refreshed his army at Durham a few days, fet out, July 18, in quest of those destroyers. But though he fometimes discovered where they were, by the fmoke of burning villages, and other marks of defolation, he could not overtake, or bring them to an engagement. The Scotch army, commanded by the two illustrious chiefs, Randolf earl of Murray and lord James Douglas, confifted of about twenty thousand men, unincumbered with baggage, and all mounted, four thousand of them on good horses, the rest on little galloways, which enabled them to elude the pursuit of a much more powerful enemy (9).

Edward endeavours to find and fight the Scots.

Edward, after spending some days in this fruitless chace, marched northward, passed the Tyne, and posted his army in the route by which he expected the Scots would return into their own country (10). But after fpending a week in this position, in great want of provifions, without hearing any thing of the enemy, he repassed the river. He was now fo much at a loss for intelligence, that he promifed a pension of 100l. a-year to him who should bring the first account of the situation of the Scotch army (11). The hopes of this reward fent many adventurers in fearch of the Scots; and one Thomas Rokesby having discovered them, brought intelligence that they were encamped, at no great distance, on the fouth banks of the river Were. Edward marched in great haste towards the enemy, determined to give them battle that very day; but, on his arrival, found, to his inexpreffible vexation, that they had chosen their ground fo

<sup>(8)</sup> Id. ibid. c. 17. Leland Collect, v. 2. p. 475. Walfing, p. 127. Knyghton, col. 2551.

<sup>(9)</sup> Froifiart, l. 1. c. 18. (10) ld. ibid. c. 19.

<sup>(11)</sup> Rymer, vol. 4. p. 312. Froiffart, 1. 4. c. 19.

well, that it was dangerous to attack them. Impatient A. D. 1327. for an engagement, he fent a challenge to the Scotch commanders to march out and decide the quarrel in a fair open field. The fiery Douglas would perhaps have fallen into this fnare, if he had not been restrained by the cooler counsels of his colleague Randolph, who replied, that he paid no regard to the defires of an enemy (12). The Scots, not thinking themselves perfectly safe in Douglas

their present situation, marched in great silence, in the attempts night-time, fome miles farther up the river, and took Edward. possession of a more advantageous camp; and the English army following them the next day, encamped on the opposite bank (13). While the two armies lay here facing one another, the lord Douglas formed-the bold design of furprifing the king of England in the midst of his army. With this view, having by fome means got the word, he entered the English camp about midnight, August 4, attended by two hundred of his most daring followers, and advanced near the royal tent without discovery; but when he was on the point of feizing his prey, the alarm being given, and some of the king's guards making a desperate resistance, he escaped in the dark to a place of safety: and Douglas, having killed about three hundred of the enemy, returned to his friends with little lofs (14). The Scots, after this disappointment, resolved on a retreat. which they effected on August 6. By decamping filently in the night, and marching with great expedition, they got the flart of the English army fo far, that it was thought in vain to pursue them (15). Edward, greatly mortified at the escape of his enemies, marched first to Durham, and then to York, where the army fenarated (16).

The voung monarch breathed nothing but war and re- Peace bevenge against the Scots; but the queen and Mortimer tween had other defigns in view. They imagined it would be England and Scota great advantage and fecurity to themselves to have a land. peace with Scotland, and obtain the friendship, and, in case of need, the assistance, of its king. On the other hand, Robert Bruce, being almost worn out with infirmities, was earnestly desirous of leaving his infant fon

<sup>(12)</sup> Froissart, l. 1. c. 19. (14) Knyghton, p. 2552. Proiffart, 1. 4. c. 19.

<sup>(13)</sup> Id. ibid.

<sup>(15)</sup> Fromart, I. 1. c. 19.

<sup>(16)</sup> Froiffart, L. 1. C. 23.

A. D. 1328, at peace with all his neighbours, especially with England. Commissioners from both powers met at Newcastle in November, and fettled the articles of a treaty of peace between England and Scotland (17). By one article of this famous treaty, the king of England renounced, for himself, and his successors, all claim to any superiority over the kings or kingdom of Scotland, and agreed to deliver up all evidences of fuch fuperiority (18). By another article, a marriage was concluded between David prince of Scotland and the princess Jane, Edward's eldest fifter. In confideration of these great advantages, Bruce agreed to pay to England the fum of 30,000 marks; which is faid to have been divided between the queen and Mortimer. Though this treaty was exceedingly unpopular in England, and greatly increased the public hatred against the well-known authors of it; yet they had still influence enough to get it confirmed by parliament in April A. D. 1328 (19).

Royal marriages.

Though Edward was not yet fixteen years of age, his marriage with Philippa, daughter of William III. count of Hainault and Holland, was folemnized at York, January 24, with great pomp (20). In confequence of an article of the peace with Scotland, the queen-mother of England conducted to Berwick her daughter the princess Jane, who was there married, July 17, to the prince of Scotland. With the princess were delivered up, and carried into Scotland, many of the jewels, charters, and other things, which had been taken from thence by Edward I (21). Thus ended that long and bloody war between the two British kingdoms, which involved them both in very great calamities, and gave birth to that national animofity which laid a foundation for many future wars.

Confede-Mortimer.

The hatred and jealoufy of some of the chief nobility racy against against Mortimer were now become so great, that they declined attending feveral parliaments which were called this year, at Northampton, York, and Salifbury. At the last of these parliaments, which was held in October, Mortimer was created earl of March, which ferved equally to increase his insolence and the animosity of his ene-

<sup>(17)</sup> Rymer, t. 4. p. 328. 335-338.

<sup>(18)</sup> Rymer. t. 4. p. 338-410.

<sup>(19)</sup> A. Murimuth, p. 72. Ypodyg, Neuft, p. 510. (20) Knyghton, col. 2552. Heming, p. 269. Walfing p. 128. (21) Knyghton, col. 2553. Fordun, l. 13. c. 14. Carte, vol. 2. p. 297. from Annal, ad ann. 1377.

## Ch. 1. § 4. CIVIL AND MILITARY.

123

mies. The earls of Kent, Norfolk, and Lancaster, with A.D. 1328. other discontented barons, meeting at London in December, entered into a confederacy to call Mortimer to an account, for the murder of the late king, for depriving the council of regency of all authority, for embezzling the public treasure, for the dishonourable peace with Scotland, and feveral other crimes (22).

Both parties now began to raife forces and prepare for A. D. 1329. war; the barons trusting to their own power and the po- Civil broils, pularity of their cause, and Mortimer depending on the person and authority of the king, which were in his posfession. But the earls of Kent and Norfolk, being princes of little courage or capacity, began to dread the confequences of carrying things to extremity, and, by the intervention of some prelates, made their peace with the court. This obliged the earl of Lancaster soon after to fubmit to an accommodation, by which all disputes were referred to a parliament, to be called for composing these differences, and reforming the government (23). But other matters intervening, prevented the meeting of this healing and reforming parliament.

Charles the Fair, King of France, having died fome time Edward's ago without male illue, was fucceeded by his coufin Phi-voyage to lip de Valois, who had fummoned Edward to come over France. and perform his homage for his French dominions (24). This fummons was very unwelcome on feveral accounts. It ill agreed with the high spirit of Edward to go through the humiliating ceremony of doing homage; but it still worse agreed with his ambitious defigns of claiming the crown of France, to give such a formal recognition of Philip's right to that crown. However, as he was not yet prepared for afferting his claim, nor could obtain any further delay, he refolved to comply with the fummons, making a protestation before his own council, that what he did was by confiraint, and should not be considered as a renunciation of his right to the crown of France. Having taken this precaution, he failed from Dover on Friday. May 26, did homage to the king of France at Amiens, and returned to Dover on Whitfunday, June 11 (25). In this short visit Edward was so charmed with the splendour of the court of France, the beauty and richness of

(25) Rymer, t. 4. p. 386, 387. 390.

<sup>(22)</sup> J. Barne's Hift. Ed. III. p. 31.

<sup>(23)</sup> Knyghton, p. 2554. (24) Rymer, t. 4. p. 381.

A.D. 1330 the country, that he became more refolved than ever to affert his fatal claim to that kingdom.

Fariof Kent, the king's uncle, conexecuted.

Though a feeming reconciliation had lately taken place between the earl of Kent and Mortimer, it was far from being fincere. A report prevailed at this time all over demand England (raised and propagated, as it is believed, by Mortimer and his agents, for the most pernicious purposcs), that Edward II. was still alive, and confined in Corfe castle. This report was industriously fent to the ears of the earl of Kent, and the truth of it confirmed by fir James Devernel the governor of Corfe castle, who, though he would not admit the earl to fee the king his brother, promised to deliver him a letter. The unwary Kent fell into the fnare, wrote a letter to his brother, in which he promifed to exert all his power, in conjunction with his other friends, to fet him at liberty, and restore him to the throne. This letter he gave to the perfidious governor, who immediately fent it to Mortimer, whom he had been employed. As foon as the queen and her wicked paramour had got this letter into their hands, they procured a parliament to be called, to meet at Winchester on March 11 (26). Parliaments at this time confisted rather of the chiefs of a faction than the representatives of a free people, few attending them but the partisans of the queen and her favourite. The intended victim, the earl of Kent, was in a very earnest manner invited to this meeting by the king, or rather by those who abused his name; and as soon as he arrived at Winchefter he was arrested. On the 16th of March he was condemned by parliament of high treason, on the absurd accusation of designing to raise a dead man to the throne; and on the 19th of the same month this iniquitous sentence was executed (27). While this scene of iniquity was acting, the young king was engaged in a succession of amusements, which lest him no leisure for reflection till it was too late.

Black Prince.

Birth of the . Not long after this branch was thus cruelly cut off from the royal family, another fprung up in its room; the young queen being delivered at Woodstoke, June 15,

<sup>(26)</sup> Concil. M. Brit. p. 457.

<sup>(27)</sup> Leland Col. vol. 2. p. 477. Walfing, p. 510. Knygbton, p. 2552, Heming, p. 271. R. de Avefbury, p. 8.

of a fon, who was afterwards fo well known to the world, A.D. 1330. and to posterity, by the name of the Black Prince (28).

Nor did Mortimer triumph much longer in his fuc-Mortimer cessful villanies. The king, being now near eighteen imprisoned. years of age, and feeing himfelf a father, refolved to take the reins of government into his own hands, and to emancipate himself from the tutelage of the queen-mother and her minion, whom he had many reasons both to hate and fear. He was encouraged in this design by many noblemen who hated Mortimer; and a plan was laid for feizing him at the next parliament, which was to meet fifteen days after Michaelmas, at Nottingham (29). But it was not so easy to execute this design, Mortimer, both from a principle of vanity, and with a view to fafety, being continually attended with a great retinue of armed knights. On his arrival at Nottingham with queen Isabel, they took possession of the castle of that place, with a guard of one hundred and eighty knights; and the queen had the keys of the castle every night delivered to her, which she put under her pillow. The king, at his coming, was admitted into the castle, but only with a few attendants, the rest of his retinue being lodged in the town. In this fituation of things, it was impossible to accomplish the defign without the affiftance of fir William Eland. the governor; who, entering heartily into the king's measures, shewed to the lord Montacute, and the other noblemen intrusted with the execution, a subterraneous passage into the castle, by which they entered early in the morning October 19; and being joined by the king and his attendants within, they feized Mortimer in an apartment adjoining to the queen's (30). This princefs most earnestly entreated her fweet fon (as she called the king) to have pity on the lovely Mortimer. But her entreaties were not regarded, and he was fent, under a strong guard, to the tower of London. At the same time two of Mortimer's fons, with feveral of his confidents, were taken, and fent to the same place (31). The same day a proclamation was issued, to acquaint all his subjects, that the king had taken the administration of the government into his own hands; and a new parliament was fummoned

<sup>(28)</sup> Walfing, p. 130.

<sup>(29)</sup> Knyghton, p. 2555.

<sup>(30)</sup> Knyghton, p. 2556. Avefbury, p. 4.

<sup>(31)</sup> Knyghton, p. 2556.

A.D. 1330. to meet at Westminster, November 26, for the trial of

the prisoners (32).

Mortimer ted.

Before this affembly Mortimer was accused of murdercondemned ing the late king, occasioning the death of the earl of Kent, usurping the government from the council of regency, embezzling the public treasures, and many other crimes; of all which he was esteemed by his peers fo notoriously guilty, that he was condemned, without examining any witnesses, to the death of a traitor (33). This fentence was executed 29th November, at a place called the Elms, near Tyburn; and his body fuffered to hang two days upon the gibbet (34).

Character of Mortimer.

Thus perished, by a violent and ignominious death, the profligate, infolent, ambitious Mortimer; who, but a few years before, was almost adored by the deluded people as the deliverer of his country, but now juftly abhorred as the murderer of his king. Like all the royal favourites of those times, who resembled one another as much in their characters as in their fates; he was infatiably covetous and infufferably vain; and made fuch an oftentatious display of his ill-gotten power and wealths that one of his own fons easled him the King of Folly (35): A few of his most guilty accomplices were foon after condemned and executed (36).

Treatment of the queenmother.

The queen-mother, though treated with greater lenity, did not escape censure. She was deprived of her treasures, and enormous jointure, and confined to live at her house at Risings, on a pension of three thousand

marks a year (37).

A. D. 1331.

Though Edward was only a few days more than eighteen years of age when he took the reins of government into his own hands, his fubjects foon received very fensible advantages from his administration. He exerted his authority with great fpirit, in fubduing and bringing to justice the numerous gangs of robbers which infested all parts of the country, and were too often protected by the great barons. He took care to have justice strictly and impartially administered; and gave new life and vi-

<sup>(32)</sup> Rymer, vol. 4. p. 452, 453. (33) Knyghton, p. 2556.

<sup>(34)</sup> Knyghton, p. 2559. Walfing, p. 130.

<sup>(36)</sup> Leland, Col. t. 2. p. 476. (35) Knyghton, p. 2558.

<sup>(37)</sup> Knyghton, p. 2556.

gour to all parts of the constitution (38). Happy had it A. D. 13314 been for his own kingdom, as well as for the neigbouring nations, if he had always employed his great talents in these beneficent arts of peace. But it soon appeared that he was deeply tainted with ambition; the vice of great minds, and the fource of infinite mischiess.

Scotland about this time fulfained an irreparable lofs A. D. 1222. by the deaths of three of the greatest men that ever Death or fought her battles. These were, the king Robert Bruce, king Robert Bruce, carl the lord James Douglas, who had been killed in Spain, of Moray, and Randolph earl of Moray, regent of the kingdom, and lord who died this year, July 20 (39.) He was fucceeded in Douglas.

the regency by Donald earl of Marr (40).

By one article of the late peace with England, it was Claims of stipulated, that some English noblemen should be re-English baflored to their estates in Scotland. The execution of this Scotland. article was delayed from time to time, for reasons which are not certainly known, by the king of Scots and the regent. Several just and warm remonstrances were made on this subject by the court of England; which produced nothing but excuses from that of Scotland (41).

The English noblemen, feeing no end of these delays, Edward formed a defign to attempt a revolution in Scotland, in Baliel and favour of the Baliol family, as the most effectual way to fome English barons get possession of their estates in that kingdom. With this invade view the lord Edward Baliol, who was living as a private Scotland. man on his estates in France, was invited into England, with promifes of affiftance in profecuting his claim to the crown of Scotland, which his father had fometime worn. Edward, who wanted neither courage nor ambition, accepted the invitation; and on his arrival in the north of England, with forty knights in his company, he was joined by the earls of Athole and Angus, the lords Beaumont, Wake, Waren, and feveral other barons, who raifed a body of 2500 men, well armed (42). This was too fmall a force to make an attempt upon the fouth of Scotland, where the people were used to arms, and continually upon their guard. They therefore embarked at Ravenspur, and failing up the frith of Forth, landing at Kinghorn August 6, dispersing, with much ease and

<sup>(38)</sup> Cotton's Abridg.

<sup>(39)</sup> Fordun, 1. 13. C. 14. 19. 21.

<sup>(40)</sup> ld. ibid. c. 22. (41) Rymer, vol. 4. p. 461. 471, 472. 518.

<sup>(42)</sup> Walfing, p. 131. Heining: p. 273. Knyght. col. 2560.

Edward

marches

into the north.

A. D. 1332. great flaughter, a crowd of country people, who had affembled hastily to oppose their landing (43).

This first success was followed by others still greater Baliel and and more furprising. The earl of Marr, with the ashitthe English. ance of the noblemen in those parts, collected in a few

days an army, as it is faid, of 40,000 men. But all the proceedings of this confused rabble were rash and tumultuary. Depending on their numbers, they kept no guard. and were furprifed in their camp on the banks of the river Ern, not far from Perth, in the night between the 11th and 12th of August, and routed with great slaughter. Next morning, a great number of fugitives rallying, and ashamed of what had happened, returned to the charge, but with fuch passionate precipitation, that they were again thrown into confusion, and put to flight. In these two actions the Scotch, besides an incredible number of private men, lost the earls of Marr, Carrick, and Montieth, with feveral other lords, and many gentlemen, which threw the whole kingdom into fuch confernation, that it was thought proper to fend their young king and queen into France for their fafety. Baliol pursuing this favourable gale of good fortune, took possession of Perth without refisfance, and on the 27th September he was crowned king of Scotland at Scone (44).

Though the king of England had taken no part publicly in these transactions, it is highly probable that they were not undertaken by his fubjects without his knowledge. and confent. It is at least certain, that he granted Baliol a fafe-conduct for his coming into England; a prefumptive proof that he did not disapprove of his design. But however this may be, Edward was holding a parliament at Westminster when he received the news of this furprifing revolution in Scotland, and was advised by that affembly to march immediately with a good army into the north, that he might be at hand to act as occasion

should require (45).

(43) M. West. Contin. Knyghton, p. 2560. R. de Avesbury, p. 22.

(45) Rymer. t. 4. p. 533, 534. 540.

While

Heming, p. 272. Ford. l. 13. c. 22.

(44) R. de Avesbury, p. 22, 23. Heming. p. 272, 273, 274. Knyghton, p. 2559. Fordun, l. 13., c. 22, 23, 24, 25. Buchanan, lib. 9. Walfing. p. 132.

While Edward was on his march into the north, Baliol A. D. 1332. executed letters patent at Roxburgh, dated November 23, Baliol fubfubjecting the crown and kingdom of Scotland to the jects the crown of England, engaging to deliver the town of Ber-kingdom of wick to Edward, and to marry his fifter the princess Jane, Scotland to if her marriage with his rival David Bruce could be dif-

folved (46). Not long after this, Baliol, observing the country in a Baliol exstate of feeming tranquillity, dismissed his troops, and re-pelled. tired to Annan with a flender retinue to keep his Christmas; but here he was attacked in the night by fir Archibald Douglas, young Randolph earl of Moray, and fir Simon Fraser, so suddenly, that with great difficulty he got on horseback, without a faddle, and escaped to Carlifle almost naked, leaving his brother Henry dead behind him, and all his baggage in the hands of his enemies. Thus did Baliol lofe his crown by a change of fortune more fudden and furprifing than that by which he had

Edward was in York when he heard of this fecond re- A. D. 1333. volution in Scotland, and confulted his parliament, which Edward met in that city January 5, whether he should content confults his himself with the superiority or attempt to a did content parliament. himself with the superiority, or attempt to obtain the so-vereignty of that kingdom. But the parliament, for reafons which are not certainly known, did not think fit to

give him any advice on that important question (46).

The Scots, not contented with having expelled Baliol, The Scots renewed their plundering incursions into the north of invade Eng-England; which greatly incenfed Edward against them, and made him hasten his preparations for the re-establishment of Baliol (49). He called a parliament to meet at York, in the beginning of March; which being equally incensed against the Scots for their depredations, no longer observed their former silence, but advised Edward to attempt the recovery of Berwick and the reduction of Scotland, promifing to affift him with all their power (50).

Edward was not flow in following an advice fo agree- Edward beable to his inclinations. He appointed the rendezvous of heges Berhis army to be at Newcastle, May 2, from whence he wick.

VOL. IV.

gained it (47).

marched

<sup>&#</sup>x27;(46) Id. ibid. p. 536, 537, 538, 539. (47) Walfing. p. 132. Knyghton, p. 2561. Fordun, l. 13. c. 25. (48) Cotton's Abridg. p. 14.

<sup>(49)</sup> Rymer, t. 4. p. 551, 552. Heming. p. 274. (50) Walling. p. 133. Knyghton, col. 2562.

A.D. 1333 marched, and invested Berwick on all sides. The place was provided with a numerous garrison, and made a brave defence; but the siege was pushed with so much vigour, that it was obliged to capitulate on July 16, and agreed to surrender on Tuesday the 20th, at sun-rising, if not relieved before that time; and sir W. Keith, governor of the town, was allowed to go to the regent of Scotland, and

Battle of Heilidon hill.

folicit relief (51). Lord Archibald Douglas, regent of Scotland for king David Bruce, had collected a numerous army, with which he had invaded England, in hopes of drawing Edward from the fiege of Berwick to the protection of his own country. But the importunities of fir W. Keith prevailed upon him to change his plan of operations, and march directly towards Berwick for its relief. The Scots army came in fight of that place, Monday July 19, about noon, and found the English army drawn up on Hallidon hill, about a mile north-west of the town, ready to receive them. The Scotch were grievously galled by the English archers in mounting the hill, which made them rush on to the attack with much precipitation. Their first shock was violent; but being bravely fustained by the English, and the regent being killed, they instantly fell into confusion, and fled on all hands, and were pursued several miles by Edward, at the head of the English cavalry, and by the Irish under lord Darcy, with a most dreadful carnage. Besides a prodigious number of private men, the greatest part of the nobility, who adhered to the family of Bruce, were either killed or taken prisoners in this battle. This glorious victory was obtained with very little loss, and was followed by the furrender of the town and castle of Berwick, according to the capitulation (52).

Baliol re-

Edward, satisfied with the success of this campaign, left a body of 26,000 men with Baliol to reduce Scotland under his authority; and dismissing the rest of his army, returned into England (53). So many of the heads of the Brucean party had fallen in the late battle, that Baliol met with no surther opposition, and held a parliament at Perth, soon after Michaelmas, in perfect tranquillity. At this

(51) Rymer, t. 4. p. 564. 568.

(53) Knyghton, p. 2560. Walfing. p. 132.

<sup>(52)</sup> Heming. p. 275, 276, 277, Knyghton, p. 2559. Otterborne, p. 215. Buchanan, l. 9. Fordun, l. 13. c. 27, 28. Rymer, vol. 4. p. 568.

parliament Baliol's right to the crown of Scotland was re-A.D. 1333, cognized, the superiority of England acknowledged, all the laws which had been made in the reigns of Robert Bruce and his son David repealed, the noblemen who had adhered to that family were proscribed, and their estates bestowed chiefly on the English noblemen who had contributed most to this revolution (54). Thus was Baliol once more restored to the throne of Scotland.

But this unhappy prince still wanted the firmest support A. D. 1334. of a throne, the assections of his subjects; and a transac-Cessions tion which soon after happened; rendered him the object made by Baliol to of their sovereign contempt and hatred. He attended the Edward, king of England at Newcastle, June 12, and did homage in person for the kingdom of Scotland, June 18; and made an entire cession of the shires of Edinburgh, Roxburgh, Selkirk, Dumfries, Peebles, Haddington, and Linlithgow, with all their towns and castles, to be for ever united to the crown, and incorporated with the kingdom, of England (55).

This too liberal concession furnished the friends of the Unpopulafamily of Bruce with a popular topic of declamation a-rity of Ba-1 gainst this shadow of a king; who not only degraded the liot. honour of his crown, but dismembered its most valuable provinces, and was no better than a tool in the hands of the king of England. Even some of Baliol's friends were disgusted at this last transaction; and his whole party was torn in pieces by their disputes about dividing the spoils of

their ruined enemies (56).

These circumstances encouraged the chiefs of the Bru-Attempt to cean party to consult together, in order to take advantage expel Baof the discontents of the people and the divisions of their enemies. They fent ambassadors to the king of France, who had so kindly entertained their young and unfortunate king and queen, to solicit assistance for their restoration (57). Sir Andrew Morav of Bothwell, who had been regent of Scotland for king David Bruce, collecting an army, reduced the north of Scotland to the obedience of his master, and obliged Baliol to retire to Berwick. On this new turn of assistance in the control of the control

(57) Froisfart, l. 1. c. 33.

<sup>(54)</sup> Barnes Hist. ed. 3. p. 82. Rymer, vol. 4. r. 576.

<sup>(55)</sup> ld. ibid. p. 614-618. (56) Leland's Collect, vol. 2, p. 554. Ford. l. 13, c. 29.

\* we 4

A. D. 1334 other barons, deferted him, and embraced the more po-

pular party of his rival.

When Edward received intelligence of these commo-Edward Supports Ba-tions in Scotland, he was holding a parliament, which met at Westminster September 19; and having obtained a fifteenth from the barons and knights of shires, and a tenth from the citizens and burgeffes, to enable him to profecute the war with Scotland, he fpent the winter in the north of England, and at Roxburgh, in the fouth of Scotland, that he might be ready to enter upon action in the fpring (58). In the mean time, he furnished Baliol with a body of troops, which enabled him to maintain his ground, and keep up the war during the winter.

A. D. 1335. Baliol invade Scutland.

Edward's warlike operations against Scotland were suf-Edward and pended for some time, by the arrival of ambassadors from the king of France, to negotiate a peace (59). But these negotiations proving abortive, he entered Scotland on July 11, by way of Carlifle, at the head of a very powerful army, while Baliol advanced from Berwick with another at the same time (60). The two kings with their armies joined at Perth, without having met with any confiderable opposition. The remainder of this year was spent in undecifive but pernicious plunderings and skirmishes, and

in short truces that were ill observed (61).

Hostilities were suspended for some months, by a A. D. 1336. Invasions of truce procured by the agents of the pope and king of Scotland. France (62); during which a congress was held at Newcastle for negotiating a peace, but without effect (63). The truce expiring May 9, Edward fent an army into Scotland under the command of Henry earl of Lancaster. and foon after followed in person (64). The Brucean Scots not having received the promifed fuccours from France, and being quite unable to meet their enemies in the field, retired to their woods and mountains, leaving all the level and open country a defenceless prey. Edward greatly incenfed at thefe repeated revolts, marched through Athole to Inverness, marking his way with defolation; and returning in the same manner by the sea-

<sup>(58)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2565. Rymer, vol. 4. p. 628-634.

<sup>(59)</sup> Knyght. col. 2566. (60) Rymer, vol. 4. p. 637. 640. (61) Ib. ibid. p. 674, 675. (62) Id. ibid. p. 675, 676. 681.

<sup>(63)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 677. 685. 690.

<sup>(64)</sup> Rymer, vol. 4. p. 695. Heming. p. 278.

coast, he burnt the city of Aberdeen, and arrived again A.D. 1336. at Perth about the end of August, having subdued every thing but the hearts of the inhabitants (65). Leaving his brother prince John, and part of his army, with Baliol at Perth, he hastened to meet his parliament at Nottingham, September 23. Here he received the melancholy news of the death of his brother prince John at Perth, and of some hostile enterprises of the Scots (66). Having obtained a fupply from his parliament at Notz tingham, he flew back to Scotland, and arrived at Perth in the beginning of November. But fir Andrew Morav. the Brucean regent, immediately retired from the fiege of Stirling castle to his fastnesses with his followers; and Edward, after carrying defolation into some other parts of that wretched country, left it, and returned to London about Christmas (67).

It had been no fecret for some time past, that the king Edward of France, dreading the martial and ambitious spirit of resolves to Edward, had resolved to give a very powerful affistance claim to the to the party of David Bruce in Scotland, to enable them crown of to protract the war; and that he was making great pre-France, parations for that purpose. But Edward determined to prevent him; and, instead of waiting for him on the defolated plains of Scotland, to carry the war into the fertile provinces of France, and boldly affert his claim to that crown. As this fatal claim was the fource of long and bloody wars between the two powerful kingdoms of England and France, it will be proper to explain, in a few words, the foundation on which

it was built.

It would be quite inconfishent with the studied brevity Foundation of this work, to enter upon a laborious enquiry into the of Edward's origin and true meaning of the Salic law, and the rule of claim to the fuccession to the crown of France. It is sufficient to ob-France. ferve, that though the French monarchy had already existed nine hundred years, no female had ever filled that throne; and that the daughters of feveral ancient kings of France (who died without male iffue) had been regularly excluded from the fuccession, by virtue of some established law or custom. It was also in virtue of this

(66) Walfing. p. 134. Knyghton, col. 2568. (67) Id, ibid.

<sup>(65)</sup> Leland's Collect. vol. 2. p. 555, 556. Heming. p. 278, 279. Knyghton, col. 2568.

A.D. 1337 law or custom, that the two immediate predecessors of Philip de Valois, the present king of France, as well as Philip himself, had succeeded to the crown; as will appear from the following short detail of their successors. Philip the Fair, king of France, at his death, left three fons, Lewis Hutin, Philip the Long, and Charles the Fair, and one daughter, Ifabel, queen to Edward II. and mother to Edward III. kings of England. Lewis Hutin fucceeded his father, and after a short reign died, leaving one daughter, Joanna, and his queen pregnant, who was delivered of a fon who lived only four days; upon which Philip the Long fucceeded peaceably to the crown. to the exclusion of his eldest brother's daughter, the princefs Joanna. Philip the Long having reigned only a few years, died also without male issue; but left four daughters, Jane, Margaret, Isabel, and Blanch; and was fucceeded by his brother Charles the Fair, to the exclusion of all his daughters. Charles the Fair, the youngest of the three sons of Philip the Fair, died February 1, A. D. 1328, leaving one daughter, Maria, and his queen with child. Here this famous controverly began, concerning the right to the regency till the queen was delivered, and to the fuccession, if she was delivered of a daughter. The claimants were, Philip de Valois, fon of Charles de Valois, who was brother to Philip the Fair, and Edward III, king of England, fon of Isabel daughter of the same Philip the Fair. This great caufe was debated before an affembly of the flates of France, the only competent judges. For Philip it was pleaded, that the male issue of Philip the Fair being extinct, and all females, and their defcendants, being by the laws and customs of France excluded, he had a clear and undoubted right to the regency, as being the next male heir, the fon of Charles de Valois, brother of Philip the Fair. For Edward it was argued, that being fon of Isabel, daughter of Philip the Fair, he was nearer in blood to the three last kings of France, being their fifter's fon, than Philip, who was only their uncle's fon; and that though his mother Isabel was by the laws of France excluded on account of her fex, yet he, not being liable to the same objection, ought to succeed. From this state of the case it appears, that the precise point in question between these two princes was this, whether, by the laws and customs of France, not only females, but also their descendants, were excluded from the fuccession to that crown? Both allowed

that females were excluded; otherwise neither of them A. D. 1337. could have any right, as there were daughters of all the three last kings of France then living. But they differed widely as to the exclusion of the male descendants of these excluded females. The advocates for Edward maintained, that the fole reason of the law or custom excluding females from the crown was on account of the imbecility of their fex, and supposed incapacity for reigning; but this reason not militating against their male descendants, they ought not to be excluded. Those who pleaded the cause of Philip, affirmed, that females, having no right to the fuccession themselves, could convey no right to their descendants; and that the reason of the law or custom of excluding females from the succession was, not only to prevent the weaker fex from wearing the crown, but also to prevent foreign princes, their descendants, strangers to the laws and customs of France. from ascending that throne. They added further, That the exclusion of the descendants of semales, as well as females themselves, was fo well known, that two princes, one descended from the daughter of Lewis Hutin, and the other from one of the daughters of Philip the Long, who had both a better title than Edward, if there was any strength in his plea, made no claim. Influenced by these arguments, and perhaps a little swayed by their affection to a prince of their own country, the states of France gave a decision in favour of Philip de Valois; who immediately assumed the regency; and the queen being delivered of a daughter, he ascended the throne without any further opposition (68).

Though Edward, naturally ambitious, was no doubt Reason of much displeased at this decision; yet he found it necessary Edward's to do homage to Philip for his French dominions, and claim, perform feveral other acts expressive of his acknowledging him as a lawful king of France. It is even probable, that he never would have profecuted his claim, unless invited by some very favourable opportunity, if many occasions of quarrel had not arisen between him and Philip. especially about the affairs of Scotland. Philip not only afforded an afylum to the young king and queen of Scotland, when obliged to abandon their country, but also encouraged their partifans, fending them fmall fupplies

<sup>(68)</sup> Specileg. tom. 3. p. 87. Mem. de l'Acad. de B. L. tom. 20. p. 459, &c.

A.D. 1337 of men and money, and was now making great preparations to give them a very powerful aid. Edward, greatly incenfed at this and other injuries, refolved to revive his claim to the crown of France, and carry the war into that country.

I dward prompted by Robert d'Artois.

He was much confirmed and encouraged in this refolution by Robert d'Artois (a prince of the blood-royal of France, and king Philip's brother-in-law), who had lately taken shelter in the court of England, where he met with a very kind reception (69). This Robert had many years before maintained a law-fuit for the county of Artois, which was adjudged to his rival by a definitive fentence of Philip the Fair, in 1309. Though Robert was obliged to submit to this sentence, he always considered it as oppressive and unjust. But when Philip de Valois, his brother-in-law, mounted the throne of France, he began to entertain hopes of getting this fentence reversed; and prefuming, perhaps too much, on the favour of his prince, to whom he was fo nearly allied, he was unhappily privy to the forging certain deeds for firengthening his title to the disputed territory (70). The forgery was detected; a fentence of banishment and confiscation was pronounced against Robert; who retired, first into Brabant, and afterwards into England, inflamed with the most violent and implacable rage against Philip, who had behaved, as he thought, with unbecoming feverity on this occasi-To gratify at once his refentment against Philip, and to recover the estates and honours which he had loft, this illustrious exile laboured earnestly to perfuade Edward of the validity of his title to the crown of France, and of the practicability of making good that title (71). These persuasions were too agreeable not to be fuccessful; and about the beginning of this year, he came to a final resolution to attempt the acquisition of the crown of France, which he believed to be his right.

Edward, well knowing the difficulty of the enterprise preparation in which he was engaging, and that without powerful large adding allies on the continent, strong fleets and armies, and a mighty mass of treasure, he could expect no success in

<sup>(69)</sup> Rymer, t. 4. p. 747. Froiffart, l. c. 27.

<sup>(70)</sup> Froidart, l. 1. c. 26, p. 31. (71) Froidart, l. 1. c. 29, p. 36.

it, laboured to procure all these with much diligence. A.D. 1337. By his ambaffadors, he concluded treaties with the emperor Lewis of Bavaria, the dukes of Brabant and Guilders, the archbishop of Cologne, the marquis of Juliers, the counts of Hainault and Namur, the lords Tauquemont, Bacquen, and fome others; who, for certain fubfidies, engaged to affift him with their forces in his defigns against France (72). The earl of Flanders would have been a most useful ally to Edward on this occasion, on account of the power and wealth of his subjects, and the fituation of his country; and he courted his alliance by the most tempting offers. But that prince was steady and warm in his attachment to Philip. This obliged the king of England to cultivate the friendship of a sactious demagogue of that country, one James d'Arteville, a brewer in Ghent, who was at the head of a very powerful party against the earl, and really possessed more authority in the rich cities of Flanders than their lawful prince. By the influence of this man, these cities were brought to favour the designs of Edward, and to invite him to land his army in their territories. This whole year was fpent in forming thefe alliances, and making other preparations for this grand expedition (73). Though Edward had obtained a confiderable aid from A.D. 1338.

a parliament which met last year about Michaelmas, he Edward colfoon found that this would not be fufficient to enable him for his expeto fulfil his engagements with his foreign allies, and dition. make the other necessary preparations for the invasion of France. He called another parliament, therefore, to meet at Westminster February 3; and his designs against France were at this time fo popular, that he obtained from the prelates, barons, and knights of shires, one half of their wool of this year (74); a very valuable and extraordinary grant! Besides this, he levied money by many other methods. He feized all the tin in Cornwall and Devonshire; took possession of the lands of all priories alien; -the money, jewels, and valuable effects of the Lombard merchants, the great dealers in money of these times. He demanded certain quantities of broadcorn, oats, and bacon, from each county, borrowed

<sup>(72)</sup> Rymer, vol. 4. p. 752-777, Sec. Froiffart, 1. 1. c. 29. 33. 36. (73) Proiffart, L. 1. c. 30. (74) Rymer, vol. 5. p. 3.

Finds his

ward.

A. D. 1338 their filver-plate from many abbies, as well as great fums of money, both abroad and at home, and pawned his very crown for 50,000 florins (75). Such mighty efforts were necessary to fet this great machine in motion!

Having at length got all things in readiness, and ap-Edward embarks for pointed his eldest fon Edward guardian of the kingdom. he failed from the port of Orwell, in Suffolk, July 16, France.

with a gallant fleet and army (76).

At his arrival on the continent, he was far from findallies back-ing his allies for eady and willing to enter upon action as he expected, presenting him with difficulties, scruples, and excuses, instead of troops. This obliged him to fpend this whole year in negotiations. To remove the feruples of the Flemings about fighting against their liege lord the king of France, he allumed, after much helitation, the dangerous title of king of France (77). That he might have a pretence for commanding the German princes, he obtained from the emperor, in an interview he had with that prince September 2, the title of vicar of the empire (78). To fome of his allies he granted advantages in trade, to others honours, and to all large fums of money; which so exhausted his treasures, that he asked and obtained fresh supplies from a parliament which was held this year in his absence (79). At length, with much difficulty and great expence, he brought all his allies to agree to rendezvous with their troops next year by July 8, in order to begin the war by the fiege of Cambray. That Edward might be near at hand to keep his allies steady, and quicken their preparations, he spent the winter at Antwerp.

But after all his labours and expences, Edward found A. D. 1335. Edward in his allies still dilatory and irresolute, and insatiable in their demands for money; which obliged him, not only to vades Prance. stretch his credit to the utmost in borrowing, but also to pawn his queen's jewels (80). It was about the middle of September before he could bring his army into the field; and when he approached the confines of France.

(80) Rymer, vol. 5. p. 83. 91, 110, 118. 120.

<sup>(</sup>re) Rymer, vol. c. p. 3. 48, 49, 50, 51. 60. 101. Walfing. p. 146. Knyghton, p. 2570, 2571.

<sup>76)</sup> Rymer, vol 5. p 64, 64. Walfing. p. 136.

<sup>(77)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5. p. 66. R. de Avesbury, p. 51-54. (7f) Knighton, p. 2572. (79) Knyghton, p. 2571.

the counts of Namur and Hainault refused to march any A.D. 1339. further, and retired with the proces (81). After this defection, Edward had still an army of 47,000 men with which he ravaged the countries of Cambrefis and Vermandois (82).

Philip, who had fufficient warning of this fermidable King Phiinvasion, had not been indolent in preparing for his own lip's prepadefence. He had formed alliances with the kings of rations. Bohemia and Navarre, the dukes of Brittany, Lorraine, and Austria, the palatine of the Rhine, the bishop of Liege, the counts of Deuxpont, Vaudemont, Geneva. and some others, and now appeared at the head of an army of 100,000 men. The two armies lav feveral weeks within a few leagues of one another; and even faced each other feveral days in the field in order of battle. But Philip keeping on the defensive, as unwilling to hazard his crown and kingdom in an engagement; and Edward finding no opportunity of attacking a force fo much fuperior to advantage, both armies retired into winterquarters without having come to action (83). Thus ended this first campaign, in which Edward reaped no real advantage from all the immense sums of money which he had expended, and a prodigious debt of 300,000 l. which he had contracted (84): a circumstance which would have discouraged a prince of less resolution from proceeding any further in so ruinous an undertaking.

Among other engagements into which Edward had A.D. 1340. entered with his allies, this was one,—Not to leave the Edward returns to continent till the war was ended. But this engagement England. he now found it impossible to perform, his presence being indifpenfably necessary in England to procure supplies for carrying on the war. Having therefore left his queen, and infant fon Lionel, afterwards duke of Clarence, with four earls, at Antwerp, as hostages for his return within a week after Midfummer, he fet out for England, and

landed at Harwich February 21 (85).

Though the people of England, dazzled with the Parliaprospect of conquering France, had lately made more consu

<sup>(</sup>S1) Froiffart, l. 1. c. 39.

<sup>(82)</sup> Heming. p. 305, 306. Knyghton, col. 2574. (83) Froiffart, l. 1. c. 41, 42, 43. Heming. p. 307-312. Walfing. (84) Cot. Abridg. p. 17.

<sup>(85)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5. p. 140, 141. 171.

A.D. 1340 liberal and frequent grants in parliament than on any former occasion, seeing no end of new demands, they began to be a little more backward. At a parliament which had been held in October last year, the knights of shires refused to agree to an aid proposed by the barons, till they had confulted their constituents; and time was allowed them to the 20th January this year for that purpose. When they met in January, they agreed to the aid, but clogged it with very hard conditions (86). On the king's arrival, a new parliament was fummoned to meet March 20, before which he laid a very affecting representation of his necessities. He told them, that, without a very large fupply, all his defigns would be ruined, and himfelf dishonoured; that he was obliged to return to Brussels, and to stay there till all the debts which he had contracted abroad were paid. The parliament, moved with this representation, granted him the ninth sheaf, sleece, and lamb, of all their lands for two years; and the citizens and burgesses granted a ninth of their moveables, according to their real value; besides a very great addition to the customs on wool, wool-fells, leather, and other goods. In confideration of this ample fupply, the king remitted fome old debts, and relinquished the seudal aid for knighting his eldest fon and marrying his eldest daughter (87). Some time after

Edward having collected as much money as he could in England, began to think of returning to the continent, agreeable to his engagements, and in order to bring his army into the field. But before he embarked, he received intelligence that a French fleet of 400 fail was waiting near Sluys to intercept him (89). To prevent this, he collected a fleet of 260 flout ships, in which he failed from Orweil, June 22, towards the coast of Flanders. About ten in the morning on Midsummer-day, the two fleets engaged off the harbour of Sluys, where a most obstinate and bloody battle was fought. But the

the clergy granted a tenth of their revenues for three years. For a prefent supply of money, the king borrowed great sums from merchants and others, particularly twenty thousand marks from the city of London (88).

Edward
obtains a
victory at
fea.

<sup>(86)</sup> Knygliion, p. 2571. Cotton. Abridg. p. 17.

<sup>(87)</sup> Knyghton, p. 2576. (88) Haming, p. 318, 319.

<sup>(89)</sup> Averbury, p. 89. Proisburt, l. 1. c. 51. Rymer, vol. 5. p. 195. English

English fleet having gained the wind of the enemy, and A.D. 1340. their archers and other troops, animated by the presence and example of their heroic king, fighting with irrefulible bravery, they at length obtained a most glorious and complete victory. Thirty thousand French were killed in the action, or drowned in attempting to get on shore; 200 of their ships were taken; and Edward, with his victorious fleet, entered the harbour of Sluys next day in triumph (90).

This victory was of great advantage to Edward's af-Fruits of fairs both at home and abroad. A parliament which met this victory. foon after at Westminster took every possible method to hasten the payment of the great supplies lately granted, to enable the king to pursue his good fortune. His allies were animated with fuch uncommon ardour and unanimity, that on the oth day of July, (as he wrote to his parliament) he faw himself at the head of a gallant army of 100,000 men, besides a body of 40,000 Flemings (91).

Very high expectations were entertained from these Ill success two powerful armies, commanded by fo brave and fortu- of Ednate a prince as Edward, and fo wife and experienced a ward's arms. general as Robert d'Artois. But the event was not agreeable to these expectations. The Flemish army formed the fiege of St. Omer's on July 22, but being composed chiefly of mechanics unused to arms, they made little progress in the siege; and on the first fally of the garrifon, they were seized with a panic, and entirely dispersed, never to be rallied (92).

Edward advanced at the head of his army, and about siege of the end of July laid fiege to the city of Tournay, one of Tournay. the richest and most populous cities of Flanders, zealously attached to the French interest. Philip having received intelligence of this defign, had put 14,000 of his bravest troops, under fome of his best officers, into Tournay, who, with 15,000 of the inhabitants in arms, formed a garrison which baffled all the efforts of the besiegers (93).

<sup>(90)</sup> Froissart, I. 1. c. 51. Avefbury, p. 54-59. Knyghton, p. 2577. Walfing. p. 148. Rymer, vol. 5. p. 195.

<sup>(91)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5. p. 197, 198, 199.

<sup>(92)</sup> Proiffart, l. 1. c. 63. (93) Proiffart, l. 1. c. 54. Knyghton, col. 2578.

Edward's challenge, and Philip's an-

The king of France, attended by the kings of Scotland, Bohemia, and Navarre, and an illustrious train of many other princes, with a very powerful army, remained at fome distance from Tournay in great tranquillity. When the two armies were in this situation, Edward sent a challenge to his enemy, giving him only the name of Philip de Valois, proposing to decide their quarrel by single combat, or with one hundred men on each side, or by a general engagement. To this challenge Philip returned a distainful answer, reproaching Edward with the violation of his oath of homage, and rebellion against his liege lord (94).

Tournay reduced to great diftrels. Edward, despairing of taking Tournay by sorce, turned the siege into a blockade, in hopes of reducing it by samine. In this he would probably have succeeded, if many of the inhabitants had not been permitted to retire through the quarters of the duke of Brabant. At length, however, the place was reduced to great distress for want of provisions; of which Philip being informed, he advanced with his army within three leagues, in hopes of conveying into it some relief. When the two armies were so near each other, frequent skirmishes happened, and a general engagement was daily expected (93).

Truce concluded. When things were in this critical posture, a powerful mediatrix interposed, and prevented the further essusion of blood. This was Jane countess dowager of Hainault, mother-in-law to the king of England, and sister to the king of France; who prevailed with these two princes, to agree to a cessation of hostilities for three days, and to appoint plenipotentiaries to treat of an accommodation in that time. The plenipotentiaries met accordingly; and on the last day of the cessation, September 25, concluded a truce, which was to continue from that time to the 25th of June next year. By this truce, in which the Scots were included, if they pleased, all hostilities were immediately to cease, and everything to remain in its present state (96).

Disadvantageous to Edward. This truce was highly advantageous and agreeable to the king of France, who thereby gained all his ends without

(94) Rymer, vol. 5. p. 198, 199. Heming. p. 323-326. R. de Avefbury, p. 59-63. (95) Knyghton, col. 2578. (96) Rymer, t. 5. p. 205-210.

Knyghton, col. 2578. R. de Avesbury, p. 65-70.

any hazard. It was no less pernicious and displeasing to A.D. 1340. the king of England, who was thereby deprived of all the fruits of all his toils and expences. But as it had been negotiated by his chief allies, to whom he was deeply indebted, who were weary of the war, and unwilling to fight, he found himfelf under a necessity of confenting to it.

One design of this truce was to afford time to negotiate Negotiatia peace; and commissioners from both kings met at Ar-ons for a ras, and treated of that matter in prefence of the pope's peace. legates, who acted as mediators. But though Edward was now very moderate in his demands, infifting only on being excused from doing homage for his French dominions, Philip would make no concessions, and even refused to treat till Edward had laid afide the title and arms of king of France, and renounced all his claims to that crown; which rendered thefe negotiations for peace ineffectual. The commissioners however prolonged the

truce to the 25th June 1342 (97).

Though one parliament last year had granted very liberal supplies, and another had made several wife regulations for converting them into money, and remitting them to the king, those entrusted with the execution had acted with fo little diligence or fidelity, that few remittances had been made, which was one great cause of the backwardness of the allies, and the miscarriage before Tournay. As foon therefore as Edward could difengage himfelf after the conclusion of the truce, being greatly chagrined at his debts and disappointments abroad, and at the negligence of his fervants at home, he hastened with great fecrecy towards the fea-coast, and embarking, landed November 30, about midnight, at the Tower of London, which he found quite unguarded (98).

The first storm of his indignation feil upon those who Edward had the custody of that fortress, who were all imprisoned. panishes He then fent for the bishop of Chicester lord chancellor, many of his ferand the bishop of Litchfield lord treasurer, who not be- vants. ing able to exculpate themselves to his satisfaction, were deprived of these high offices (99). Many other

(99) Walling, p. 147-150.

<sup>(97)</sup> Rymer, t. 5. p. 242.251.266. Proiffart, l. 1. c. 64. (98) Walfing, p. 155.147. Hemong, p. 326, 327. Rymer, t. 5. p. 216. Anglia Sacra, t. 1. p. 20.

A.D. 1340 great officers, judges, clerks of chancery, &c. of which fome were clergymen, were apprehended and put in prifon (100).

Edward's quarrel with archbishop Stratford.

The greatest delinquent, and the chief object of the king's resentment, escaped his hands. This was John Stratford archbishop of Canterbury, who had acted as prime minister in England in the king's absence. prelate had been a great promoter of the war with France, encouraging the king to undertake it, by promifing to furnish him with constant supplies of money (101). But being gained (as it is supposed) by the pope, who favoured Philip, he had acted in a manner very inconfistent with his promifes, retarding rather than forwarding the fupplies (102).

Prefumption of the archbishop.

The archbishop, dreading the king's displeasure, retired to Canterbury; and when he was invited to court, refused to come. At the same time he commenced a most flaming patriot, and zealous defender of the immunities of the church, in order to gain the people and clergy to his interest. In this spirit he wrote one letter to the king, another to the chancellor, and a third to the council, charging them, in not very respectful terms, with violating the great charter, and the immunities of the church, by imprisoning clerks; and threatening them all, except the king and royal family, with excommunication, if they did not immediately release the imprisoned clergymen. In the same strain he wrote to all the bishops of his province, exhorting and commanding them to publish excommunications against all who violated the charters, and the immunities of the church, by imprisoning or doing any injury to clerks (103).

A. D. 1341. Progress and conclusion of this quarrel.

The king and his council, perceiving by these proceedings of the primate, that he defigned to raife a slame in the kingdom, and imitate his factious predecessor Becket, refolved to act against him with prudence and firmness. To deprive him of his popularity, a manifesto was published in the king's name, charging the archbishop. with treachery, -ingratitude, -giving the king ill advice,

(101) Anglia Sacra, vol. 1. p. 24.

<sup>(100)</sup> Id. ibid. Anglia Sacra, vol. 1. p. 20, 21.

<sup>(102)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5. p. 225. 236. 2 'o. Ang. Sac. vol. 1. p. 24. 37. (103) Anglia Sacra, vol. 1. p. 21-42. Vialing, p. 150-154, Heming, p. 331-344.

-embezzling his revenues, -and feveral other crimes A.D. 1341. (104). To this manifesto the archbishop published a most infolent reply; calling it a fcandalous libel, telling the king, in plain terms, that the facerdotal was fuperior to the regal power, and flatly denying all the crimes laid to his charge (105). For this an information being preferred against him in the exchequer, he declined the jurisdiction of the court, and appealed to parliament. A parliament accordingly met, April 23, at Westminster. The archbishop, supported by his suffragans and fome temporal lords, attempted feveral times to take his place in parliament; but was not permitted to do it till the charge against him had been examined. This firmness of the king at length overcame the haughtiness of the primate, and obliged him to make his fubmission publicly in the painted chamber; upon which he was admitted to take his feat, and a committee was appointed to examine his answers, and report their opinion to the next parliament (106). But as this parliament did not meet till two years after, the archbishop had before that time fo effectually reconciled himself to his sovereign, that all proceedings against him were cancelled. Thus ended this violent contest between the crown and the mitre. which at its beginning feemed to threaten more ferious confequences.

Edward's rash and imprudent scheme of conquering Edward's France by the hands of mercenary allies, who had no allies deimmediate interest in the event of the war, and did not fert him. really defire its fuccess, had involved him in very great difficulties. In profecuting this fcheme, he had lost almost all his conquests in Scotland-had drained England of its money, and most valuable commodities-had stripped himself of his diadem, and his queen of her jewels, which were laid in pawn-and had contracted a great load of debt, which was daily increasing by exorbitant interest, without having conquered one foot of ground, or made the least progress in his design. complete his vexation and perplexity, he now beheld those allies, on whom he had lavished all his treasures, abandoning him, one after another, as foon as they ob-

<sup>(105)</sup> Anglia Sacra, vol. 1. p. 27. (104) Walfing. p. 154. (106) Anglia Sacra, p. 39, 40.

A.D. 1341. ferved his coffers were empty. All these circumstances would probably have difcouraged him from profecuting his claim to the crown of France, if an unexpected event had not happened, which revived his hopes.

Disputed Brittany.

Arthur II. duke of Brittany, had by his first wife three fuccession of fons, John, Guy, and Peter; and by his second wife one fon, named John de Mountfort, from the name of his mother's family. Arthur had been fucceeded by his eldest fon, John III. who died this year, April 30, without iffue. Guy, the fecond fon of Arthur, had died about ten years before; but had left one daughter, named Jane. Peter, the third fon of Arthur, had died young without issue; and John de Mountfort, the fon of Arthur by his fecond wife, was still alive. John III. desirous to preserve his country from the miseries of a disputed succession, had married his niece Jane, the daughter of his brother Guy, to Charles de Blois, nephew to the king of France, and got Charles to be formally acknowledged by the states of Brittany as his prefumptive heir and fuccessor in that dutchy (107). John de Mountfort made no opposition to this designation during the life of John III. but as foon as that prince died, he declared himfelf his fuccessor, feized his treafures, and by various means got possession of feveral of the strongest towns of Brittany; whilst Charles de Blois, not imagining he had any rival, was gone to Paris to perform homage and receive investiture.

Mountfort liance with Edward.

But though Mountfort had got these advantages, he forms an al- was very fensible that he could not maintain possession against his rival Charles, favoured by the states of Brittany, and supported by the king of France, without the affiftance of fome very powerful ally. Edward king of England was both most likely and most able to afford him that affiftance: he haftened over to England, therefore, and entered into a strict alliance with Edward for the advancement of their feveral claims (108).

Mountfort escapes

John de Mountfort, soon after his return to Nantes, received a fummons to attend the court of the peers of from Paris. France, to shew his title to the duchy of Brittany. This

<sup>(107)</sup> Froissart, l. 1. c. 65. D'Argentre Hist. de Brit. 1 10. c. 42. 1. 11. c. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6. Avefbury, p. 97. (108) Freillart, l. 1. c. 69. Averbury, p. 97. fummons

## Ch. I. § 4. CIVIL AND MILITARY.

147

fummons he imprudently obeyed, and was commanded A. D. 1341. by Philip not to leave Paris for fifteen days; in which time his cause should be determined. But Mountfort, justly apprehensive of being feized, made his escape out of Paris in disguise, and got safe to Brittany (109).

A few days after this escape, the court of peers deter-Brittany mined this great cause, and adjudged the duchy of Brit-adjudged to tanny to belong to Charles de Blois in right of his wife. Charles de Blois, who Charles, having obtained this fentence in his favour, and, takes which was of more confequence, an army from the king Mouatfort of France to put it in execution, marched into Brittany, prisoner. and was fo fortunate as to take the city of Nantes, and the person of his rival, about the end of October. Mountfort was fent to Paris, and shut up in the tower of the

Louvre (110).

The captivity of this prince feemed to put an end to Adventures

his pretentions to the duchy of Brittany, and to the hopes of Jane, of Edward from his alliance. But both these were re-wise, vived and supported by a person from whom it could not have been expected. This was Jane, wife of the imprisoned Mountfort, and fifter to the earl of Flanders, one of the most illustrious heroines in the list of fame. This princess, roused by the captivity of her husband and the impending ruin of her family, affembled the inhabitants of Rennes, where she then resided; and, holding her infant fon in her arms, harangued them in a strain at once fo bold and fo affecting, that they were feized with the ftrongest political enthusiasm, and declared their resolution to live and die in her defence. Having made a progress through the other towns of Brittany, and inspired their inhabitants with the same passionate zeal for the interests of her family, she went and shut herself up in the port of Hennebone, expecting the promifed fuccours from England (111).

The English fleet, commanded by fir Walter Manny, A.D. 1342; did not fail till the beginning of July, and met with a Expedition into Brittedious passage, which exposed the illustrious heroine, to tany, whose affishance it was fent, to the greatest dangers, and gave her an opportunity of performing the most glorious exploits. She was befieged in Hennebone in the fpring by Charles de Blois, who pushed the siege with all possi-

<sup>(109)</sup> Avesbury, p. 69, 70. (111) Froissart, l. 1. c. 73.

<sup>(110)</sup> Froiffart, l. 1. c. 73.

A. D. 1342. ble ardour, in hopes of taking the countess prisoner, and thereby putting an end to the war. But all his efforts were in vain. The garrifon and inhabitants, animated by the prefence and example of their female commander, who appeared on the walls completely armed, and was foremost in every danger, repelled all his affaults. At one time, the broke through the belieging army with about 200 horse; and in a few days returning with a reinforcement, cut her way into the town. But at length the walls of the place were fo shattered, that it was no longer tenable; and the bishop of Leon was appointed to fettle the terms of capitulation with Charles. In this critical moment the countefs mounted a high tower, and looking eagerly towards the fea, difcerned a fleet at a distance; upon which she cried out in a transport of joy, Succours! fuccours! the English succours! no capitulation! She was not mistaken: the English fleet soon after entered the harbour, landed the army, and obliged Charles to raise the siege (112).

Expedition into Brittany.

Though these succours which now arrived under Sir Walter Manny delivered the heroic counters from danger, they were not fufficient to enable her to face her enemy in the open field, or to prevent him from taking feveral towns. She therefore earnestly solicited further assistance from England; and Edward, determined not to abandon fo brave and faithful an ally, failed from Sandwich October 5, with a confiderable fleet and army to her aid (113). He landed his troops without opposition; and though his army did not exceed 12,000 men, he divided them, and undertook at once, the fieges of Rennes, Nantz, and Vannes: an imprudent measure! which rendered all his attempts feeble and unfuccefsful, and gave his enemies time to collect their forces. Accordingly John duke of Normandy, eldest fon of the king of France, advanced at the head of 40,000 men towards Vannes, where the king of England commanded the fiege in person (114). This obliged Edward to collect all his troops, and entrench them strongly before Vannes, where he was foon after invested by the French army. It must be confessed.

<sup>(112)</sup> Froiffart, l. r. c. St.

<sup>(113)</sup> R. de Avesbury, p. 98. Rymer, vol. 5. p. 343. (114) Froissart, l. 1. c. 98. R. de Avesbury, p. 98—102.

that Edward and his little army were now in a very criti-A.D. 1342. cal fituation; furrounded by enemics on all hands, and depending for their fubfiftence on fupplies from England, which might be retarded by contrary winds, or intercept-

ed by the enemy's fleet (115).

While the two armies lay in this posture, in a state of A. D. 1343. inactivity, the English not daring to make any attempt on A truce Vannes in the presence of the French army, and the French not daring to attack the English in their entrenchments; two cardinals arrived to mediate a peace, or at least a truce. These mediators brought about a truce between the kings of France and England, and their allies on both fides, to commence January 19, and to continue to Michaelmas in the year 1346: during which time a congress should be held in the pope's presence for a general peace. By the articles of this truce, all prisoners were to be fet at liberty on both fides: all places, both in Brittany and elsewhere, were to remain in the hands of their prefent possessions, except Vannes, which was to be sequestered in the hands of the two cardinals, to be delivered by them, at the expiration of the truce, to whom they pleased (116). This truce was confirmed with great folemnity by the oaths of both kings, and of many of their chief nobility; after which Edward embarked with his army, and having had a tedious and flormy passage, landed at Weymouth, March 2 (117).

A parliament, which had been fummoned before Ed-Conferward's arrival, met at Westminster April 28, before ences for a peace ines, whom he laid the truce which had been lately concluded, fedual, and asked their opinion and advice concerning the proposed negotiations for a peace. The lords and commons having separately deliberated on that subject, came into the royal presence in the White chamber on May 1, where the lords first declared their approbation of the truce, and advised the king to send commissioners to treat of a peace before the pope. Then the commons by sir William Trussel, declared also their approbation of the truce, and of negotiations for a peace, and advised the king to accept of a reasonable one, if he could obtain it;

(115) Froissart, l. 1. c. 98.

<sup>(116)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5. p. 346. 352. Averbury, p. 100. Walling. p.

<sup>(117)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5, p. 357. Averbury, p. 109. Knyghton, col. 2583.

A.D. 1343 but if he could not, they promifed to affift him with all their power in maintaining his quarrel (118). The king, in consequence of this advice, appointed Hugh Spenser lord of Glamorgan, Ralph de Stafford baron, William de Norwich dean of Lincoln, William Truffel knight, and Andrew de Offord professor of civil law, his commissioners (to whom he afterwards added others) to treat of peace with the commissioners of Philip de Valois before the pope, as a common friend, but not as a judge (119). These conferences were accordingly opened at Avignon, where the pope then refided, October 22, and continued to November 29, when they broke up without effect; though the pope feems to have laboured with great earnestness for a peace.

The truce ill obferved.

In the mean time, each party made bitter complaints against the other for violating the truce; which feems to have been very ill observed on both fides (120.) On the one hand, Philip had detained John de Mountfort still in prison, contrary to an article of the truce, and had seized and put to death feveral noblemen of Brittany, who he fuspected had secretly deserted his interest and embraced that of his enemy (121). On the other hand, Edward had endeavoured to strengthen his own party and that of Mountfort in Brittany, and had encouraged the inhabitants of Vannes to expel the garrifon of the cardinals, and declare for Mountfort.

A. D. 1244. Preparations for war.

It being now evident that the war would be renewed. both parties endeavoured to strengthen themselves as much as possible. It was with this view that Edward proclaimed in all countries of Europe, a grand tournament or round-table, to be celebrated at Windsor in the beginning of this year, that he might have an opportunity of engaging many brave knights in his fervice (122). He also summoned a parliament to meet at Westminster June 7, and represented to them, by his chancellor, that Philip de Valois had violated the truce in no fewer than feven articles, and defired their advice what was to be

done

<sup>(118)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5. p. 472. (119) Id. ibid. p. 366. 382.

<sup>(120)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5. p. 367. 387. 394. (121) Froiffart, L. 1. c. 100. Knyghton, col. 2583. Aveibury, p.

<sup>(122)</sup> Walfing, p. 164. Froiff, l. 1. c. 101. Affimole, fel. 182. Rymer, vol. 4. p. 400.

done on that occasion. The parliament entered warmly A.D. 1344. into the king's views, advifed him to be no longer abufed by ill-observed truces, but to profecute the war with vigour, till he obtained an honourable peace; and to enable him to follow this advice, they granted him an aid of two fifteenths from the counties, and two tenths from the cities and burghs. The clergy of the province of Canterbury, at the same time, granted him the tenths of their livings for three years (123). He also used another means of filling his coffers (frequently practifed in those times), by fummoning all the gentlemen in England who had 40l. a-year to come, by August 10, to receive the honour of knighthood, or pay a fum of money to be excufed (124).

Edward having published a manifesto, containing his War with reasons for renewing the war before the expiration of the France. truce, fent a small reinforcement into Brittany to affish the partifans of John de Mountfort, and a greater body of troops into Guienne, under his cousin Henry of Lancaster earl of Derby, and some other English noblemen (125). The earl of Derby acquired great honour to himfelf and to the English arms, by taking many towns, and defeating the French army commanded by the count de l'Isle, though greatly superior to his own in numbers (126). After these successes, Derby put his little army into winter quarters at Bourdeaux, and returned to England to folicit a reinforcement.

The pope made fome proposals in the beginning of this A. D. 1345. year, for renewing the conferences for a peace; but Ed-War in ward, who had other designs in view, declined giving his and Britconfent (127). John de Mountfort, who had languished tany. four years in prison, made his escape in February, by the affiftance of fome beggars, and foon after came over to England, where he did homage to Edward as king of France for the dutchy of Brittany, on May 20, and returned in June with fome English troops to support his pretensions (128). By the affishance of these troops he gained fome advantages, but did not long enjoy his liberty

<sup>(123)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2584. Rymer, vol. 5. p. 430.

<sup>(124)</sup> Rymer vol. 5. p. 416.

<sup>(125)</sup> Froiffart, l. 1. c. 103. Avesbury, p. 115-121.

<sup>(126)</sup> Froisfart, l. 8. c. 104-109.

<sup>(127)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5. p. 433. 439. 446. 448. (128) Hift. General. de la France, vol. 1. p. 452.

A.D. 1345 and good fortune, dying of a fever at Hennebone, on September 16. The earl of Derby, who returned into Guienne in June, made a campaign no less glorious and fuccessful than the former (129).

· Edward's detigns in di appoint-

About this time Edward conceived the hopes of obtaining the earldom of Flanders for his eldest fon (lately created prince of Wales) by the intrigues of his great friend James d'Arteville the factious brewer of Ghent. To favour these intrigues he sailed from Sandwich on July 3, accompanied by the prince of Wales and a splendid train of English noblemen, and landed at Sluys. But this project was disconcerted by the death of d'Arteville, who was torn in pieces, July 17, by his great friends the mob of Ghent, whose passions had taken a different turn (130). The miscarriage of this scheme put an end to all thoughts of invading France from the fide of Flanders, and Edward returned to England July 26 (131).

A. D. 1346. Edward prepares a ficet and army to affift the earl of Derby in Gascony.

It must appear surprising, that the earl of Derby was permitted to carry on his conquests in Guienne for two years, with little opposition. This was probably owing to the diforder of the finances of France at that time, and to the difficulties which Philip met with in establishing several methods of filling his coffers. These difficulties being now overcome, John Duke of Normandy marched into Guienne, at the head of 100,000 men, and threatened the reduction of that province (132.) Edward being informed by the earl of Derby of this danger, prepared a great fleet and strong army for his relief, and the preservation of Guienne. But these preparations met with many interruptions and delays; and, even after the troops were embarked, the fleet (which confifted of 1000 fail) was detained at Portsmouth from the beginning of June to the 10th July, by contrary winds (133).

Edward invades Normandy,

Godfrey de Harcourt, a Norman nobleman, having been affronted and injured by the king of France, had lately fled to the court of England, and now held the fame place in the favour and confidence of Edward, which Robert d'Artois had formerly possessed. This nobleman perfuaded Edward to change his defign, and instead of

<sup>(129)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5, p. 458, 459. (130) Rymer, vol. 5, 474. Froiffart, l. 1, c. 16. (131) Avefarry, p. 122. Knyghton, col. 2585. Walling, p. 165.

<sup>(132)</sup> Froiffart, t. 1. C. 119.

<sup>(133)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5. p. 493. 508. 514. 518. Aveflury, p. 123.

failing to Guienne, where his enemies were ready to op- A.D. 1346. pose him, to invade Normandy, which was a very wealthy province, wholly unguarded, and would be a very valuable and eafy prev (134). Listening to this wife advice, he failed from St. Helen's July 10, and landed at La Hogue in Normandy two days after. In this expedition he was attended by the prince of Wales, now fifteen years of age, by the flower of the English nobility, 4000 men at arms, 10,000 archers, and 18,000 foot; an army not half fo numerous as that with which he had formerly invaded France from the fide of Flanders, but far more formidable, as being composed of his own subjects, and wholly under his command. The troops had been fo long on shipboard that it was thought proper to allow them fix days to rest and refresh themselves before they entered upon action (135). After this the fleet visited the several fea-ports on the coasts, and destroyed the shipping: while the army, divided into three bodies, ravaged the open country, and took and plundered the towns, which were ill fortified and worse defended. In a few weeks the troops collected an immense booty, which was put on board the fleet, and fent into England (136).

As foon as Philip heard of this invasion, he fummoned Edward's all his allies, with all the military tenants of the crown of progress in France, except those in the army in Guienne, to rendez-vous with their forces at St. Denis; and in the mean time he marched in person, at the head of all the troops he could collect, to Rouen to secure that capital. It was not long before the king of England appeared with his army in sight of that city, with a design to assault it; but not daring to pass the Seine in the face of the French army, he marched along the banks of that river, plundering and burning all the country to the very gates of Paris (137). But he could no where find an opportunity of passing the river; all the bridges being broken down, and the enemy's army attending all his motions on the opposite banks, with a design to inclose him in the country, and surround

him and his army.

Edward extricated himself by a stratagem. Having Edward secretly prepared materials for repairing the bridge at passes the Scine, and

Poilly,

marches

towards

Flanders.

<sup>(134)</sup> Froisfart, I. 1. c. 121. (135) Avesbury, p. 124. (136) Froisfart, I. 1. c. 122—124. Avesbury, p. 123—127.

<sup>(137)</sup> Froiffart, I. 1. c. 123. Aveil ury, p. 127-129.

A.D. 1346. Poiffy, he commanded his army to decamp, and march further up the river; but instantly returned, repaired the bridge, and passed over his army with great celerity, while the enemy, having heard of his departure from Poissy, were purfuing their march up the river. Having thus passed the Seine, and thrown the French army behind him, he marched with great diligence towards Flanders, defeating the militia of Amiens, and a party of men at arms belonging to the king of Bohemia, and burning the Suburbs of Beauvais in his march (138).

Edward paffes the Somme.

But when he approached the Somme, he found himfelf in a more dangerous fituation than before. All the bridges on that river were broken down; an army commanded by Gondimar de Faye appeared on the opposite bank to difpute his passage; and the king of France was at his heels, at the head of 100,000 men. In this extremity, he published a reward of 100 nobles to any one who would shew him a ford. A French peafant, named Gobia Agarre, tempted by the hopes of this reward, came to Edward, and promised to conduct him to a ford between Abbeville and the fea, which might be passed at low water. Following this guide, and marching all night, the English army arrived at the ford of Blanchetaque about fun-rifing August 24: where they passed the river, beat the army under Gondimar de Faye, and encamped that night at Novelle, and arrived the next day at Crecy (139).

· Edward halts at Creey.

Though Edward had thus far overcome all obstacles, and eluded or defeated all his enemies, he became fenfible, that it would be extremely dangerous to purfue his march with an army fo much fuperior to his own, especially in cavalry, hanging on his rear. He determined therefore, to make a stand, and to give his purfuers a check. this purpose, he chose his ground with great judgment on the gentle declivity of a hill, with a thick wood in his rear. He ordered deep entrenchments to be made on each flank, and waited with firmness the approach of his

enemies.

Philip reaches Cracy.

The king of France, dreading nothing fo much as the escape of the English, began the march of his great army from Abbeville early in the morning, August 26, and continued feveral hours with great eagerness, till he received

Book IV.

<sup>(138)</sup> Proiffart, l. r. c. 125. R. de Avesbury, p. 136. (139) Froiffart, tom. 1. c. 126, 127. Aveibury, p. 138.

were prepared to give him battle. He was advised at the fame time, not to engage that day, when his troops were much fatigued with their march, and in great disorder; and he was disposed to have taken this advice. But the discipline of these times was so imperfect, that the orders given for halting were not obeyed; and one corps of this mighty host impelling another, they continued advancing till they came into the presence of their enemies in much consustant.

Edward had employed the forenoon of this important English orday in drawing up his army in the most excellent order, in der of batthree lines. The first line, which consisted of 800 men at the arms, 4000 English archers, and 600 Welsh foot, was commanded by his young, amiable, and heroic son, the prince of Wales, assisted by the earls of Warwick and Oxford, and several other noblemen; the second line, composed of 800 men at arms, 4000 halbardiers, and 2400 archers, was led by the earls of Arundel and Northampton; the last line, or body of reserve, in which were 700 men at arms, 5300 billmen, and 6000 archers, was ranged along the summit of the hill, and conducted by the king in person, attended by the lords Moubray, Mortimer, and others.

When the army was completely formed, Edward rode Edward realong the lines, and by his words and looks inspired his freshes and troops with the most ardent courage and strongest hopes of his army. victory. He then commanded the cavalry to dismount, and the whole army to sit down upon the grass, in their ranks, and refresh themselves with meat, drink, and rest. As soon as the French army came in view, they sprung from the ground, full of strength and spirit, and stood ready to receive them.

The king of France, assisted by the kings of Bohemia French or and Majorca, the dukes of Lorraine and Savoye, and seve-der of batral other sovereign princes, with the slower of the French tie, nobility, laboured to restore some degree of order to his prodigious army, and drew it up also in three lines, but very indistinctly formed. The first line was commanded in chief by the king of Bohemia; the second by the earl of Alenson, the king of France's brother; and the third by Philip in person; and each of these lines contained a greater number of troops than the whole English army.

The

A.D. 1346. The battle of Crecy was begun about three o'clock in the afternoon, August 26, by a great body of Genoese cross-bow men, in the French fervice, who let fly their quarrels at too great a distance to do any execution, and were prefently routed by a shower of arrows from the English archers. The earl of Alenson, after trampling to death many of the flying Genoese, advanced to the charge, and made a furious attack on that corps commanded by the prince of Wales. The earls of Arundel and Northampton advanced with the fecond line to fuffain the prince, and Alenson was supported by as many troops as could crowd to his affiftance. Here the battle raged for fome time with uncommon fury; and the earl of Warwick, anxious for the fate of the day and the fafety of the prince, fent a messenger to the king, intreating him to advance with the third line. Edward, who had taken his stand on a wind-mill on the top of the hill, from . whence he had a full view of both armies, asked the messenger, if his fon was unhorsed, or wounded, or killed; and being answered, that the prince was unburt, and performing prodigies of valour, "Go then," faid he, and tell my fon and his brave companions, that I will not deprive them of any part of the glory of their vic-" tory." This flattering message being made known, inspired the prince and his troops with redoubled ardour: and the king of Bohemia, the earl of Alenson, and many other great men, being flain, the whole first and second lines of the French army were put to flight. Philip, undifmayed at the flaughter of his troops, and the fall of fo many princes, advanced to the charge with the line under his immediate command. But this body foon shared the same sate with the other two; and Philip, after having been unhorfed, and wounded in the neck and thigh, was carried off the field by John de Hainault, and fled with no more than five knights, and about fixty foldiers in his company, of all his mighty army, which at the beginning of the battle confifted of more than 120,000 men. Such was the famous victory of Crecy, the greatest ever gained by any king of England (140).

After the battle, the king flew into the arms of the prince of Wales, and grasping him to his boson, cried,

Behaviour of Edward and the prince of Wales.

<sup>(140)</sup> Froiffart, 1. 1. c. 128, 129, 130, 131, 132. Walfing, p. 166, Knyghton, p. 4,58. Avefbury, p. 169. Rymer, vol. 5. p. 525.

in an ecstacy of joy, "My dear son, you have this day A.D. 1346." shewed yourself worthy of the knighthood which you lately received, and of the crown for which you have fo bravely fought; persevere in your honourable course." The prince, as modest as he was brave, funk down on his knee, his sace covered with blushes, and begged his father's blessing (141).

Edward continued with his army at Crecy three days, Loss of the employed in numbering and burying the dead. The French. French had left on this bloody fcene the king of Bohemia, eleven other princes, 80 bannerets, 1200 knights, 1500 gentlemen, 4000 men at arms, and 30,000 other fol-

diers (142).

Never did a more glorious year than this pass over the Success of head of any English monarch, the arms of Edward being the English in Guienne. every where crowned with the most brilliant successes. In Guienne the duke of Normandy had been obliged to raise the siege of Aiguillon with precipitation, on August 20, after having lost a great part of his army before its walls, in many vain assaults; and the earl of Derby made himself master of that whole province, with all its strong places (143).

David Bruce, king of Scotland, having, at the infliga-David tion of France, invaded England with an army of 50,000 Bruce king men, was, on October 12, at Nevil's crofs, near Durdefeated ham, defeated in a great battle, taken prisoner, and and taken carried to the tower of London (144). The parliament prisoner, of England, dazzled with the lustre of so many victories, granted the king a very large supply, to enable him to

profecute the war with vigour.

Edward marched his victorious army from Crecy, A.D. 1347-September 1, through the Boulonnois, towards Calais, Siege of which he invested on the 8th of that month; and being Calais. well acquainted with its importance, he resolved to make himself master of it if possible; but soon found that it could not be taken by force, without the destruction of great multitudes of his men. He therefore turned the siege into a blockade; and having made strong entrench-

<sup>(141)</sup> Froiffart, l. 1. c. 131.

<sup>(142)</sup> Id. ibid. c. 132. Knyghton, p. 2588.

<sup>(143)</sup> Froiffart, t. 1. c. 134, 135, 136. (144) Averbury, p. 142. Knyghton, p. 2590. Froiffart, l. 1. c. 137, 138, 139. Rymer, vol. 5. p. 530. 537. 539.

A.D. 1347: ments to secure his army from the enemy, huts to protect them from the inclemency of the weather, and stationed a fleet before the harbour to prevent the introduction of provisions, he resolved to wait with patience till the place fell into his hands by famine. The besieged, discovering his intention, turned seventeen hundred women, children, and old people, out of the town to save their provisions; and Edward had the goodness, after entertaining them with a dinner, and giving them two pence a piece, to suffer them to pass (145).

Victory in Brittany. While Edward lay before Calais, his troops in Brittany, commanded by fir Thomas Gagworth, defeated Charles de Blois, June 20, and took him, with two of his fons, and many other noblemen, prifoners (146).

Fruitless attempt to raise the slege.

Philip beheld the progress of the siege of Calais with unspeakable anxiety, and determining to make one great effort to save it, he summoned all his allies and vassals to rendezvous at Amiens, in Whitsunweek. By this means he raised an army of 150,000 men, with which he approached the English entrenchments, July 27 (147). But finding these entrenchments impregnable, and every avenue to the town effectually guarded, after sending Edward some absurd challenges to come out and fight him, he decamped, August 2, marched back to Amiens, and disbanded his army (148).

Surrender of Calais, The garrison and inhabitants of Calais had by this time consumed all their provisions, and even eaten all the horses, dogs, cats, and vermin, in the place, and were enduring the most cruel extremities of famine, in hopes of relief (149). But when they beheld the retreat of the French army, these hopes entirely vanished; and the next day the governor John de Vienne appeared upon the walls, and offered to capitulate. Edward, greatly incensed at their obstinate resistance, which had detained him eleven months under their walls, at an immense expence both of men and money, sent sir Walter Manny, an illustrious knight, to acquaint the governor, that he would grant them no terms; but that they must surrender at discretion. At length, however, at the spirited re-

<sup>(145)</sup> Froiffart, l. 1. c. 133.

<sup>(146)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5. p. 540. Avesbury, p. 114. Froissart, l. 1. c. 143.

<sup>(147)</sup> Froiffart, L. 1. c. 144.

<sup>(148)</sup> Id. ibid. c. 145. Avesbury, p. 161, 162.

<sup>(149)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2593.

monstrances of the governor, and the persuasions of sir A.D. 1347. Walter Manny, Edward confented to grant their lives to all the garrison and inhabitants, except fix of the principal burgesses, who should deliver to him the keys of the city, with ropes about their necks. When thefe terms were made known to the people of Calais, they were plunged into the deepest distress; and, after all the miferies they had fuffered, they could not think without horror of giving up fix of their fellow-citizens to certain death. In this extremity, when the whole people were drowned in tears, and uncertain what to do, Eustace de Pierre, one of the richest merchants in the place, stepped forth; and voluntarily offered himself to be one of these fix devoted victims. His noble example was foon imitated by other five of the most wealthy citizens. These true patriots, barefooted and bareheaded, with ropes about their necks, were attended to the gates by the whole inhabitants, with tears, bleffings, and prayers for their fafety. When they were brought into Edward's prefence, they laid the keys of the city at his feet, and falling on their knees implored his mercy in fuch moving frains, that all the noble spectators melted into tears. The king's refentment was fo strong for the many toils and losses he had suffered in this tedious siege, that he was in some danger of forgetting his usual humanity; when the queen, falling upon her knees before him, earnestly begged, and obtained, their lives. This great and good princess conducted these virtuous citizens, whose lives she had saved, to her own apartment, entertained them honourably, and dismissed them with prefents (150).

Edward took possession of Calais August 4, and in order to secure a conquest of so great importance, and which had cost him so dear, he found it necessary to turn out all the ancient inhabitants, who had discovered so strong an attachment to their native prince, and to people it with English (151). Soon after this, negotiations for a peace or truce were set on foot under the mediation of the pope; and on September 28, a truce was concluded between the kings of England and France, and their allies on both sides, to continue to July 8, next year; which by suc-

<sup>(150)</sup> Froiffart, l. 1. c. 146. R. de Avelbury, p. 166.

having given all necessary orders for repairing the fortifications of Calais, and appointing Americ of Pavia, an Italian, who had gained his favours by several brave actions, commander of that place, he embarked with his queen, the prince of Wales, and many noble perfons, and after a stormy passage landed at Sandwich October 12 (153).

It had been the wife policy of Edward to acquaint his A. D. 1348. parliament with all his proceedings, and ask their advice on every emergency; by which he gained their confidence and support. Soon after his return, he summoned a parliament to meet, January 14, at Westminster, whose advice he asked concerning the war with France (which was only suspended by a short truce), and concerning the best means of preserving the internal peace of the kingdom. The commons, who had paid very dear for the martial counsels they had formerly given, declined giving any advice about the war, which they suspected would be followed by the demand of a fubfidy (154). This parliament not answering the king's views, who wanted an aid, though he had not the confidence to ask it, he dismissed them; and soon after summoned another to meet at the same place, March 17. Before this meeting he laid an alarming reprefentation of mighty preparations making in France, with a defign, as he faid, to invade England, and destroy the whole kingdom; and demanded an aid to enable him to avert this imminent

Plot to hetray Calais difcovered.

Edward foon found that he had made a very wrong choice of a governor for his new conquest of Calais. That ungrateful and venal Italian had allowed himself to be corrupted by Geoffrey de Charnay, governor of St. Omer's, and engaged for a bribe of 20,000 crowns to betray the town and castle into his hands. Edward received intelligence of this intended treachery, sent for him to London, shewed him that he was acquainted

danger. The commons, after very bitter complaints of their extreme poverty, and of the late fevere taxations, granted three fifteenths to be levied in three years, and

appropriated to the charges of the war (155).

<sup>(152)</sup> Id. ibid p. 588. R. de Avesbury, p. 167—177. (153) Rymer, vol. 5. p. 594. Walfing. p. 167.

<sup>(154)</sup> Parliamentary Hift. vol. 1. p. 268-272.

<sup>(155)</sup> Parliamentary Hist. vol. 1. p. 269-272. Kayhton, c. 2596.

with his guilt; but promised him a pardon, if he would A. D. 1348. proceed in his plot, and betray the French into his hands.

Almerie joyfully consented to this proposal, returned to his government, and informed Edward of the very hour when the French were to enter Calais.

The king, having received this intelligence, departed A.D. 1349. fecretly from London with the prince of Wales, and Plot deembarked at Dover with 800 men at arms, and 1000 feated. archers, under fir Walter Manny, with whom he was very privately admitted into the castle of Calais. A few hours after his admission, a body of 100 French were let into the same castle, and having delivered the 20,000 crowns to the governor, a party of English rushed upon them, killed some, and made the rest prisoners. Geoffrey de Charnay, with feveral brave knights, and a body of men at arms, were waiting in the mean time with great impatience at the Boulogne gate of the city, in expectation of being admitted. But when the gate was opened, they beheld, to their great furprife, an English army march out in order of battle to receive them. Though the French were greatly disconcerted at this unexpected fight, they fought for some time with great refolution. During this dispute, the king had a fierce conflict with Eustace de Ribeaumont, a brave knight, whom he obliged to yield; and all the party were either killed or taken prisoners (156).

As Edward was a great admirer of personal valour, Generous he ordered all the French knights and gentlemen to be feasted by the prince of Wales in the great hall of the castle. The king entered the hall in the time of the banquet, and discovered to his prisoners, that he had been present in the late consist, and was the person who had sought hand to hand with the sieur Ribeaumont. Then addressing himself to that gentleman, he gave him his liberty; presented him with a chaplet adorned with pearls, which he desired him to wear for his sake; and declared him to be the most expert and valorous

knight with whom he had ever engaged (157).

Edward having divested Almerie de Pavia of his com-Edward mand, of which he was so unworthy, and bestowed it on returns to fir John Beauchamp, returned with the prince of Wales England.

(156) Avefbury, p. 180-182. Froiffart, l. 1. 6. 50, 51.

<sup>(157)</sup> Froiffact, h 1. c. 150, 151, 152.

A. D. 1349 to England, to enjoy some repose after so many glorious

toils and dangers.

Great pestilence.

The war between France and England was suspended for almost fix years by feveral truces (158). But the calamities of war were immediately fucceeded by a depopulating pestilence, which, in this and the succeeding year, carried off incredible multitudes in all parts of Europe, and particularly in England (159). Those who were feized with this plague commonly died in a few hours, and very few furvived three days. It raged with fo great violence in London, that 50,000 persons were buried in one year in one burial-place (160). In a word, if we may believe fome writers, this dreadful difeafe fwept away, in less than two years, nine tenths of all the people of England, together with the far greatest part of the cattle of all kinds (161). But thefe accounts are certainly very much exaggerated.

A. D. 1350. Naval victory.

While England was afflicted with this destructive peftilence, it was threatened with an invasion by a fleet of Spanish pirates, confisting of forty very large ships. Edward, full of spirit and activity, thinking this an enemy not unworthy of his own prefence, failed from Sandwich on board an English fleet, attended by many of his chief nobility, in quest of these destructive rovers. He came up with them, August 29, off Winchelsey. where a fierce conflict enfued; in which the Spaniards were defeated with great flaughter, and twenty-four of their ships taken (162).

Death of Philip de Valois.

A few days before this naval victory, died Philip de Valois, king of France, sirnamed the Fortunate, a title which very ill agreed with the latter part of his reign. He was succeeded by his eldest son John I. a prince still more unfortunate than his father (163). One of the first acts of this king was, renewing the truce with England; which, however, was very ill observed (164).

The animofity between the English and French was for A. D. 1352. great, that neither the pestilence, which had raged with Parliamarcut.

· (158) Rymer, vol. 5. p. 660. 672. 690. 722. 725.

<sup>(159)</sup> R. de Avesbury, p. 177-179. Knyghton, c. 2598.

<sup>(160)</sup> Stow's Survey, vol. 2. p. 62.

<sup>(161)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 61. Knyghton, p. 2699. Walfing. p. 168. (162) Walfing. p. 169. R. de Avesbury, p. 185.

<sup>(164)</sup> Rymer, vol. 5. p. 690. (163) Archury, p. 184.

great violence in both countries, nor the truce which sub-A. D. 1352. fisted between them, could restrain them from mutual hostilities. For this reason Edward complained to a parliament, which met in January, A. D. 1352, that the French had been guilty of many violations of the truce; and demanded their advice and assistance in avenging these injuries, and afferting his claim to the crown of France. The commons, after some days spent in deliberation, delivered to the king, in sull parliament, a roll, containing a grant of three tenths and three sistieths, to be sevied in three years, together with certain petitions, which they desired might be converted into laws. The aid was thankfully accepted, and the petitions mostly granted (165):

It would be tedious to relate all the little skirmishes Action in which had happened between the English and French in Brittany. Guienne, Brittany, the marches of Calais, and other places, since the commencement of the truce. But there was an action this year in Britanny of such importance, that it seems to merit a place in history. The marshal de Nesle, who commanded for the king of France and Charles de Blois in that duchy, surprised and surrounded a body of English troops, under sir Walter Bently, August 14, on the plain of Mauron, near Rennes. But the English fought with such assonishing valour, that they obtained a complete victory, killing the marshal himself, with eighty knights, and sive hundred gentlemen, and taking a hundred and sixty knights and gentlemen prisoners (166).

Notwithstanding all his glorious successes in his war A. D. 1353 with France, Edward at this time seems to have been Negotiation sincerely inclined to peace, which was negociating under for peace unsuccessthe mediation of the pope. He went so far as to offer, full by his plenipotentiaries, the archbishop of Canterbury and duke of Lancaster, to resign his title of king of France, and accept, in lieu of all his pretensions to that crown, the absolute sovereignty of Guienne, Aquitaine, the town and marches of Calais, without the obligation of homage. But king John, no less imprudent, rash, and obstinate, than his father, rejected these offers (167).

<sup>(165)</sup> Parliament, Hist. vol. 1. p. 277.

<sup>(166)</sup> Avesbury, p. 189-192. (167) R. de Avesbury, p. 169. Walfing. p. 170. Knyghton, p. 2607.

State of France.

The state of France at this time was not such 'as to give king John any good reason for behaving with so much haughtiness. Besides the great losses which it had fustained in the late war, it was at present a scene of faction and difcord, which had in some places broken out into open hostilities. These disorders were occasioned chiefly by the pride, perfidy, cruelty, and other vices of Charles the Bad, king of Navarre, a prince possessed of every shining endowment, and destitute of every moral virtue. Charles inherited from his mother, Jane, daughter of Louis Hutin, great possessions, and still greater pretensions, in several provinces of France. King John, in order to gain this turbulent prince, and attach him firmly to his interests, gave him his daughter Jane in marriage. Notwithstanding this intimate alliance, the perfidious Charles entered into fecret intrigues with the king of England, caused the constable of France to be affassinated. and occasioned great disturbances in this and the preceding year (168). When things were in this unfettled state, the conferences for an accommodation were broken off, and all prospect of peace vanished.

A. D. 1355. Expedition of Edward prince of Wales commonly called the Black Prince.

Edward had for some time past foreseen that the negotiations for peace would prove abortive, and had made great preparations for renewing the war with vigour, at midfummer this year, when the truce expired. He first defigned to have fent an army, commanded by the duke of Lancaster, into Normandy, where the king of Navarre had promifed to join him with all his forces. But that prince having made his peace with his father-in-law, Edward was obliged to change his measures (169). The prince of Wales was fent into the west to raise an army in those parts, and a fleet was prepared at Plymouth to take them on board. Accordingly, the prince, with a gallant train of English noblemen, and a considerable body of English troops, failed from that port, September 10, and arrived fafe at Bourdeaux. Here he was joined by fo many noblemen of the country, with their followers, that he foon found himfelf at the head of an army of 60,000 men, with which he marched from Bourdeaux, October 5, and ravaged the whole province of Langue-

<sup>(168)</sup> Froilart, I. t. c. 154. Mezatav, an. 1353. (169) Mezeray adan, 1354. Froilart, c. 154.

doc. He feveral times endeavoured to bring the French A. D. 1354. army in those parts to an engagement; but finding this impossible, after having burnt about five hundred villages, and a great number of large and strong towns, he marched back to Bourdeaux about Christmas, and put his army into winter quarters (170).

While the prince of Wales was destroying with fire Expedition and fword the fourh of France, the king of England was of Edward fpreading desolation through the northern parts of that into France. kingdom. Arriving at Calais in the last week of October, and having joined the forces he brought with him to those which he found there, he made up a gallant army, with which he marched from Calais, November 2, towards St. Omer's, where the king of France lay, in hopes of bringing him to battle. But that prince retiring at his approach, he followed him as far as Hesden, defolating the country; and then returned to Calais, disbanded his army, and embarked for England, where his presence was much wanted (171).

The Scots, though their king was still a prisoner in The Scots England, had taken the town of Berwick by furprife, on surprife November 6, and were meditating an incursion into the Berwick. northern counties (172). Edward, immediately upon A parliahis return, held a parliament at Westminster, Novem-ment. ber 23; and fir Walter Manny, by the king's command. gave the two houses a long detail of the late negotiations for a peace, the expedition to Calais, and the furprifal of Berwick by the Scots; and concluded with demanding an aid to enable the king to bring the war to a speedy and happy issue. The commons, after some deliberation. granted a very liberal aid of fifty shillings on every fack of wool exported for fix years (173).

Edward, as foon as the parliament was diffolved, fet A.D. 1356. out for Newcastle, where he had commanded his army Edwardreto rendezvous, in order to recover Berwick, which he Berwick, invested January 14, A. D. 1356 (174). The Scotch garrison, fensible that the town was not tenable without the castle (which they had not been able to take), surrendered it in a few days (175). Edward having burnt

<sup>(170)</sup> Avefbury, p. 210-227. Knyghton, col. 2608.

<sup>(171)</sup> Aveibury, p. 204-209. Walting. p. 171. (172) R. de Avesbury, p. 209. Knyghton, col. 2611.

<sup>(173)</sup> R. de Avesbury, p. 210. (174) Rymer, t. 5. p. 829.

<sup>(175)</sup> Knyghten, col. 2611. R. de Averbury, p. 248.

A.D. 1356 the towns of Haddington and Edinburgh, and defolated the adjacent country, returned to England foon after Candlemas.

Baliol refigns the crown of Scotland to Edward. Edward Baliol still bore the title of king of Scotland. But for several years past that title had been only an empty name, without any power or revenue. It was not difficult therefore to persuade this shadow of a king, who was now an old man, and without heirs, to resign all his rights to the crown and kingdom of Scotland to the king of England, for a pension of 2000l. a-year, and some other advantages. This he accordingly did at Roxburgh, by an instrument dated January 20; and Edward was at great pains to render his title to the crown of Scotland, from the resignation of Baliol, as strong as pen, ink, and parchment could make it, by several subsequent deeds (176).

Excursions of Edward prince of Wales.

Edward prince of Wales marched from Bourdeaux, July 6, with an army of 12,000 (some writers say only 8000) men, and traversed the countries of Agenois, Quercy, Limoufin, Auvergne, and penetrated into Berry, plundering and burning many towns and villages as he advanced. Having taken Romorantin, September 4, after a fiege of fix days, he continued his march through part of Touraine and Anjou, entered Poictou, and on Saturday September 17, encamped at Maupertuis, within two finall leagues of Poictiers. The fame evening the king of France, with an army of 60,000 horfe, besides foot, encamped within a mile of the English (177). It would not have been very difficult for king John to have inclosed the prince of Wales and his little army, and to have reduced them by famine. But this method appeared too flow to his impatient courage, and he refolved to attack them next day. Prince Edward having found that it would be impossible for him to reach Bourdeaux before he was overtaken by the French army, had chosen his ground with great judgment, where he resolved to make affand. It was a small inclining plain, surrounded with woods, vineyards, hedges, and ditches, and only accessible by one narrow defile in his front. His troops laboured with great ardour in making entrenchments wherever it was

<sup>(176)</sup> Rymer, t. 5, p. 823-843. Knyghton, col. 2611.

<sup>(177)</sup> Froisfart, c. 157-180. Walting. p. 171. Knyghton, col. 2611.

thought necessary, to render the approaches of the ene-A.D. 1356.

my still more difficult.

Early on Sunday morning, September 18, the Cardinal French army was drawn up in order of battle, and ready endeavours to begin the attack, when the cardinal of Perigord inter- a battle. posed, and earnestly intreated the king to permit him to go to the prince of Wales, and prevent the effusion of blood, by perfuading him to furrender. Having obtained permission, he went to the prince, whom he found at the head of his troops ready to receive his enemies. The cardinal opened the intention of his vifit; and the prince, not infensible of his own danger, and that of his brave companions, declared his willingness to consent to any terms not inconfiftent with his own honour and that of his country. Upon this a negotiation was fet on foot, which prevented a battle for that day, but in the end proved abortive. The prince confented to restore all the places, prisoners, and booty he had taken that campaign, and to engage not to bear arms against France for feven years, if he was allowed to march to Bourdeaux without interruption. But the king infifting that the whole English army, with their illustrious leader, should furrender themselves prisoners, the prince gave for his final answer, "That he never should be made a prisoner 66 but fword in hand." The cardinal, despairing of fuccess in his negotiation, retired to Poictiers; and both parties prepared for deciding this important quarrel next day by the edge of the fword (178).

Early on Monday morning, September 19, the prince The order of Wales, being that day to fight for honour, liberty, and of the Englife, against an army eight times the number of his own. drew up his troops in the most excellent order. He placed the captal de Buche, with 600 men, in ambush, with directions to make a circuit, and fall on the enemy's rear as foon as the battle began. He lined the hedges on both fides of the defile leading to his camp with his best archers, and placed astrong body of the same troops at the head of it, in the front of his army. The rest of his forces were formed into three lines; the van commanded by the earl of Warwick, the main body by the prince himself, and the rear by the earls of Salisbury and Suf-

A. D. 1356. folk. As foon as these dispositions were made, the princemounted his horse, and riding gently along the lines, with a countenance in which modesty, goodness, and fortitude, were strongly painted, addressed himself to every corps, exherting them to fight valiantly in the approaching battle, telling them, that victory did not depend on numbers, but on the will of Heaven; that, for his own part, he was determined to conquer or die; and that England never should have his ransom to pay (179).

Battle of Poictiers.

By this time the French army (drawn up in three lines, the first commanded by the duke of Orleans, the king's brother, the fecond by the dauphin, with his two brothers Lewis and John, the third by the king, attended by his youngest son Philip) was advancing to the charge. The battle was begun by three hundred chosen men in complete armour, and nobly mounted, who were ordered to pass the defile to dissipate the body of archers at the head of it, and make way for the rest of the army. They obeyed these orders with great resolution; but one half of them fell in the passage, and the other was cut in pieces at the outlet. A great body of men at arms, on foot, then entered the defile, commanded by the marshals Clermont and Andrechan; but the former of these generals being killed, and the latter taken prisoner, and many of their men slain by the archers who lined the hedges, and by the first line of the English army, the rest fied back with great terror and precipitation, and threw the whole first line of the French army into confusion. The second line, commanded by the dauphin, then advanced to the charge; but at that instant the captal de Buche issuing from his ambuscade, and making a furious attack upon their flank, they were feized with a panic, and began to fly. The noblemen who had the charge of the dauphin and his two brothers, anxious for their fafety, carried them off the field; upon which that whole line disbanded, and fled on all fides. The prince of Wales and the other English generals observing the confusion and flight of their numerous enemies, and determining not to give them time to recover from their consternation, mounted on horseback, with their followers, and rushing out into the plain, completed the diforder. They first encountered and killed the duke of Athenes, constable of France, and distipat- A. D. 1356. ed his brigade; and then falling upon a great body of German horse, they put them to slight, after killing the counts Sarbruck and Nydo, two of their leaders, and taking the count of Nassau, their other general, prisoner. The king of France, with his youngest son by his side, still continued fighting on foot, in hopes of changing the fortune of the day, till the greatest part of his guards being taken or flain, he found himfelf almost alone among a great body of his enemies, who called upon him to furrender. After inquiring anxiously for his cousin the prince of Wales, and being told that he was in a distant part of the field, he yielded himfelf, with his fon, prisoners to Denis de Morbec, a gentleman of Artois. In the mean time the prince of Wales, ready to faint with fatigue, had been perfuaded by his attendants to repose and refresh himself in a little tent. Being assured that the king of France had not fled, he was anxious to know his fate, and fent the earl of Warwick and lord Cobham to gain intelligence. These noblemen foon found the royal captive in extreme danger of being flain, by a crowd of English and Gascon soldiers, who had taken him from Morbec, and were contending violently about the right to his ranfom; and having delivered him from this danger, they conducted him to the prince's tent. This amiable prince, who in the heat of the action had been furious as a lion, was now all gentleness and humanity. He received his illustrious prisoner with all the marks of the most prosound refpect and feeling fympathy; and having ordered a magnificent supper to be served up, he declined the honour of fitting at table; but, standing behind the king's chair, entertained him with foothing and confolatory discourfe. The captive monarch was fo much affected by this noble deportment of his modest conqueror, that he melted into tears, and declared, that fince it was his hard fare to be vanquished and taken prisoner, he rejoiced that he had fallen into the hands of the most valiant and generous prince that ever lived (180).

There are not many examples in history of fo great a French kill-deliverance, and fo complete a victory, as the prince of ed and tan-

<sup>(180)</sup> Proiffart, l. 1. c. 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164. Walling, T. 171. 172. Rymer, vol. 5. p. 869, 870.

A.D. 1356. Wales obtained at this famous battle of Poictiers. The French left dead on this scene of blood, two dukes, nineteen earls, a great number of knights and gentlemen, and about 6000 men at arms, besides other soldiers. The prifoners were still more numerous, and of higher quality, than the flain: for, besides the king and his youngest son, there were taken three princes of the blood, one archbishop, seventeen earls, 1500 inferior barons, knights, and gentlemen; besides several thousand men at arms (181). The ransoms of these prisoners, and the spoils of the French camp, loaded the English army with riches as well as glory. The day after the battle the prince and his army returned thanks to God for their victory; after which the prince thanked his troops for their brave and gallant behaviour in the late battle, and bestowed particular honours and rewards on fuch as had distinguished themselves. To the lord Audeley in particular he granted 500 marks a-year; which that generous nobleman bestowed on his four brave and faithful efquires, and afterwards received a more ample grant of 600 marks a-year from the prince (182). Having collected the spoils and prisoners, the prince conducted his army by easy marches to Bourdeaux (183). It is impossible to express the joy which the royal family and the people of England felt when they received the news of this glorious victory. The king commanded a folemn thankfgiving to be observed in all the churches

A.D. 1357. Prince of Wales with his prifoners, arrives in England.

Triumph.

(184).

The prince of Wales spent the winter at Bourdeaux, where, by the mediation of the pope, a truce was concluded between England and France March 23, to continue till Easter 1359 (185). The prince of Wales, with king John, his son Philip, and a gallant train of noblemen, set sail from Bourdeaux April 24, and landed at

Plymouth May 5 (186).

Great preparations had been made at London for the triumphant entry of the victorious prince and his royal captive. Early in the morning, May 24, the lord mayor and aldermen, attended by 1000 citizens, richly attired

(156) Walfing. p. 172

<sup>(181)</sup> P. Æmyl. p. 197. R. de Avesbury, p. 252—255. Knyghtos, col. 2613, &c.

<sup>(182)</sup> Froiffart, l. 1. c. 165, 167, 169. (183) Walfing, p. 172. (184) Rymer, vol. 5, p. 870. (185) Id. ‡, 6, p. 4—10.

and nobly mounted, received the prince and king, with A. D. 1357. their train, at Southwark, and conducted them into the city. The king, in royal robes, was mounted on a beautiful white steed, and the prince, in a plain dress, rode by his side on a little black palfrey. The procession reached Westminster-hall about noon, where king Edward was seated on a magnificent throne; from whence he descended as soon as the captive monarch came in view, advanced to meet him, and embraced him with all the marks of the most respectful and cordial assection. After these pompous ceremonies were ended, the king of France and his son were lodged in the palace of the Savoy, and entertained with all the kindness and courtesy which the most perfect laws of chivalry required (187).

Few princes ever enjoyed a more perfect felicity than king of king Edward did at this time. Happy in his family, adored Scotland by his fubjects, admired by all the world, he beheld the leaked kings of the two hostile nations of France and Scotland at once captives in his court. The negotiations for the release of the last of these princes were soon after this brought to a conclusion; his ransom was settled at 100,000 marks, to be paid in ten years, during which time a truce

was to subsist between the two nations. David Bruce, having given some of his chief nobility as hostages for the payment of his ransom, was set at liberty October 3, and returned into his own kingdom, after having endured a

tedious captivity of eleven years (188).

The deplorable confequences of the battle of Poictiers Deplorable now appeared in France, and brought that kingdom to fiate of the very brink of ruin. After the king was taken priferance, the reins of government naturally fell into the hands of the dauphin, a young prince of nineteen, who affurmed the title of Lieutenant of the kingdom, and furnmened an affembly of the eflates at Paris in the end of the last year. But the members of this affembly, instead of uniting for the relief of their captivated prince, and bleeding country, tell into the most violent factions, and broke up in consusion, without granting any supply. This licentious spirit which appeared in the estates was communicated to the populace of Paris and other cities, seiz-

(187) Froiffart, l. 1. c. 173.

<sup>(188)</sup> Rymer, vol. 6. p. 30-65. Knyghton, p. 2617. Proiffart, 1.

A.D. 1357. ed the peafants in the country, inflamed the mutinous foldiers, and threw all things into confusion. The dauphin called another affembly of the estates this year in the beginning of November, which behaved in the fame factious manner, and feparated without applying any remedy to the disorders of their country. To increase these disorders, the king of Navarre, who had been thrown into prison by king John about three years before, efcaped from his confinement, and flew to Paris, where his party was strongest. He was met at some distance by his great partifan Stephen Marcel, provost of the merchants, at the head of 10,000 people, and conducted in a kind of triumph into the city. This turbulent prince, being possessed of an uncommon degree of popular eloquence, a dangerous talent in the hands of a bad man. mounted a fcaffold, and harangued the people in fuch a pathetic strain, on the injustice of his own imprisonment, and the oppressions of the government, that their minds were inflamed almost to madness. They massacred the two marshals Cleremont and Conflans in the dauphin's presence, who was in danger of sharing the same fate.

A, D. 1358, Prudent conduct of the dauphin.

This young prince behaved with uncommon prudence in this perilous fituation. He flattered the provost of the merchants, and the other heads of the faction, with the hopes of the highest honours; and yielded with feeming cheerfulness to all the demands of the king of Navarre. Amongst other things, he fent orders to the governors of certain cities in Normandy, to which that prince pretended a right, to furrender them into his hands. But the governors, suspecting that these orders were extorted, refused to obey; and Charles was so imprudent, as to leave Paris March 2, at the head of an army of his most zealous partifans, to compel them to obedience. The dauphin, taking advantage of the absence of the king of Navarre, and the good humonr of the provost of the merchants, got himself declared regent of the kingdom by the parliament; and then retiring privately from Paris, he held an affembly of the estates at Compeigne May 1. The estates, no longer influenced by the factious spirit which reigned in Paris, granted ample fupplies, both of men and money; which enabled the dauphin to form the blockade of Paris with a good army.

The king of Navarre, who now pretended a right to A.D. 1353. the crown of France, from his mother, Jane, daughter King of of Lewis Hutin, lay with an army at St. Denis. But he Navarre had already lost much of his popularity in that city, by claims the taking a party of English adventurers into his pay, who crown of plundered without distinction the friends and enemies of France. their present master. The provost of the merchants obferving this change in the fentiments of the people, and dreading a total defection, formed a plot to admit the king of Navarre with his army, and to proclaim him king of France; and the 1st of August was fixed for the execution of this plot. But some suspicions arising, the provost was killed in a tumult, when he was on the point of opening one of the gates; and the people being informed of the plot which he had laid, they dragged his dead body through the streets, loaded the king of Navarre, fo lately their idol, with a thousand curses, and loudly called for the return of the dauphin, who entered the city foon after, amidst the loudest acclamations. The return of the capital to its obedience had a happy effect on the rest of the kingdom, and the government daily gained new strength (189).

While there things were doing in France, king John A.D. 1359. had been negotiating in England with king Edward for his Peace no liberty, and a peace between their kingdoms. At length the dausa treaty of peace was concluded and figned by both kings, phin. on March 24, at London, and a copy of it fent into France. The dauphin, who was now reconciled to the king of Navarre, fummoned an affembly of the effactes, and laid the treaty before them for their advice. But the conditions of peace in this treaty requiring the cession of many rich provinces in France to the crown of England, appeared to this affembly too severe, and they unanimous.

ly advised the regent to reject it (190).

King Edward was greatly incenfed at this refolution of Expedition the regent and estates of France, and declared, that since into they were for war, they should have it in its most dreadful forms. The truce which would have expired April 9, had a little before been prolonged to Midsummer (191).

<sup>(189)</sup> Mezeray Hist. Fran. p. 376, 377, &c. Fransart, l. 1. c. 179, 180, 181, 185, 186, 187.

<sup>(190)</sup> Rymer, t. 6. p. 134. Froilfart, l. i. c. 201. (191) Rymer, t. 6. p. 121, 122.

A.D. 1359. But as that term was approaching, he made great preparations for an invasion of France. It was October

before every thing could be got ready for this grand expedition; and on the 27th of that month Edward arrived at Calais, attended by his four eldest sons, and the flower of the English nobility, with an army of 100,000 men,

in a fleet of 1100 ships (192).

Belieges Rheims in vain.

At the head of this formidable army he marched out of Calais, November 4, and traverfing the provinces of Artois and Picardie, he invested the city of Rheims in Champaigne, with a view of being there crowned king of France. But the inhabitants, assisted by some noblemen, with their followers, and animated by their archbishop, defended the place so bravely, that after lying near three months before it in the depth of winter, he

found it necessary to raise the siege (103).

A. D. 1360. Parisbefieged.

Edward then directed his march towards Paris; plundering the country as he advanced, and having received 100,000 nobles from the duke of Burgundy to spare his territories, he arrived before the capital of France on the last day of March. During the Easter holidays hostilities were suspended, and some proposals for peace were made; but they came to nothing. Having in vain challenged the dauphin, who was in Paris with an army, to come out and fight him, and having also made a fruitless attempt upon the fuburbs of that city, he marched off towards Brittany, refolving to refresh his army for some time in that province, after the fevere fatigues of a winter campaign, and to return in fummer to befiege Paris in form (194).

Peace with France at Bretigny.

The dauphin and his council being deeply affected with the deplorable defolations of their country, which were increased by a fresh defection of the perfidious king of Navarre, and dreading still greater miseries, became earnestly desirous of a peace, which they solicited by commissioners, who followed Edward in his march towards Thefe folicitations being feconded by the inftances of the pope's legate, and the wife and moderate counsels of the excellent duke of Lancaster, at length made an impression on the king's heart; and a treaty of peace was concluded at Bretigny, near Chartres, May 8, on the following terms. The king of France ceded to

<sup>(192)</sup> Walfing, p. 174. (193) Id. ibid. (194) Froiffart, 1. 1. c. 207 211. Walting. p. 173, 174.

the king of England, besides the superiority of Guienne A.D. 1360. and Ponthieu, the earldom of Poictiers, the fief of Thouars, the countries of Poictou, Xantonge, Agenois, Limoufin, Perigort, Quercy, Bigorre, Gavre, Antigoumois, and Rouvergue, with all their cities and castles, in full fovereignty. In the same ample and full manner were yielded to England, on the other fide of France, the town, castle, and territory of Calais, with the earldom of Guisnes. The king of France agreed to pay for his ranfom three millions of crowns of gold, at different payments, and to give forty noble hostages for security. The king of France agreed to renounce all alliances and connections with the Scots, and to contract none for the future; and the king of England made the same concessions with regard to the Flemings. John de Mountfort was to be restored to all his possessions in France; and the dispute between him and Charles de Blois, about the dutchy of Brittany, was to be referred to commissioners. famous treaty contained feveral other articles, relating to the time and manner of king John's being fet at liberty, and of his delivering to king Edward the feveral countries, towns, and castles, and also many regulations for the further fecurity and more effectual execution of the whole. By the twelfth article of this treaty, king Edward renounced all title to the crown and kingdom of France, to the countries of Normandy, Tourain, Anjou, and Main, and to the fovereignty of Brittany and Flanders.

As foon as Edward had finished this great work of peace, The king of he returned to England, and landed at Rye, May 18 (195). France set In the beginning of July he sent the king of France to Caat liberty. lais, agreeable to an article of the treaty (196). On October 9, he followed to the same place, to finish all regulations for the execution of the treaty, to receive the first payment of the king of France's ransom, and to set that prince at liberty. About the same time the dauphin and his council arrived at Boulogne; and after some days spent in conferences, all particulars were adjusted, and the treaty of peace ratified by both kings, at Calais, October 24 (197). The day after, king John was set at liberty, and Edward accompanied him about a mile out of Calais, where the two kings took their leave of one ano-

(195) Rymer, vol. 6. p. 196. (196) Id. ibid. p. 198.

<sup>(197)</sup> Rymer, vol. 6. p. 219-229.

A.D. 1360 ther, with the strongest expressions of mutual affection and regard (198). On the last day of October, king Edward landed at Dover, and was every where received by his subjects with the strongest demonstrations of love and admiration. For though the late long war had been very glorious, it had been also exceedingly expensive, and the people of England were transported with joy at the return of peace.

A. D. 1362. Pertilence.

The joy occasioned by the peace was not a little allayed by the breaking out of a pestilence, which carried off great multitudes of the common people, and not a few of the nobility, and amongst others Henry the Good, duke of Lancaster, one of the most virtuous, amiable and ac-

complished noblemen of that age (199).

Treaty of peace exeeuted.

The execution of the treaty of peace was attended with great difficulties, chiefly arifing from the attachment of the noblemen in the ceded countries to their ancient and native princes, and their unwillingness to transfer their allegiance to the king of England (200). But these difficulties were at length in a great measure overcome by the perfect honour and integrity of king John, and the great wisdom and activity of the lord Chandos, appointed by Edward his lieutenant in all these countries.

A. D. 1362. Edward cedes the the prince of Wales.

King Edward foon after fell upon an effectual method of reconciling these countries to the English government, by bestowing them on his amiable fon the prince of Wales, countries to who was admired and beloved by the very enemies whom he had fubdued. Accordingly the prince, who had lately married his cousin Jane, daughter and fole heirefs of Edmund Plantagenet earl of Kent, a lady of great merit and beauty, was created prince of Aquitaine, and had a grant of Guienne, Ponthieu, and all the territories in those parts of France lately yielded to the crown of England, to hold them of that crown by liege homage, and an annual tribute of an ounce of gold (201).

A. D. 1353. of Wales takes poste fion or his territories.

The prince of Wales having received the investiture The prince of these rich and extensive territories, resolved to fix his residence at Bourdeaux, and spent some months in making preparations for his voyage to that capital of his new dominions. He arrived there in February A. D. 1363.

<sup>(198)</sup> Froiffart, I. 1. c. 213. (200) Froissart, l. 1. c. 214.

<sup>(199)</sup> Dudg. Baron. vol. 1, p. 789. (201) Rymer, vol. 6. p. 384-390.

with his beautiful princess, formerly known by the name A.D. 1363. of the Fair Maid of Kent; and having established a splendid court, his mild and equitable administration gave

universal satisfaction to his new subjects.

By an article of the late treaty of peace, as it was finally Duke of fettled at Calais, it was agreed that the formal deeds of Anjou makes his renunciation of the several countries, towns, and other escape. things, given up by the one king to the other, should not be exchanged till after these countries, towns, &c. were actually given up. It was expected, that the doing this might require about twelve or thirteen months; and therefore the 30th of November 1361 was appointed for exchanging these mutual renunciations, and finishing this great work of peace (202). But the difficulties which had arisen in delivering some places to the English, and disputes about others, had still prevented the exchange of these renunciations, and left this great transaction in fome measure incomplete. The dukes of Anjou and Berry, two of king John's fons, and the duke of Orleans, his brother, with the duke of Bourbon, who remained in England as hostages for the payment of that prince's ransom, pretended, that if they were carried to Calais, and indulged in a little more liberty, they could contribute greatly to remove all difficulties. They were accordingly conveyed to that city, and allowed to go where they pleased for four days together at any one time. The duke of Anjou abused this indulgence, and made his escape into France (203).

King John, greatly offended at his fon's dishonourable France arconduct, resolved to come into England to finish every rives in thing relative to the peace, by a personal treaty with Ed-England. ward. His ministers endeavoured to distuade him from taking this step; but to all their remonstrances he replied, "That though honour and good faith should for sake every other part of the world, they ought still to be found in the breasts of princes." He accordingly arrived in England about Christmas A. D. 1363, and was

again lodged in the palace of the Savoy.

It doth not appear that this voyage of king John con-A. D. 1364. tributed much to remove the difficulties in the execution England.

(202) Rymer, vol. 6. p. 231, 232, 239, 262, (203) Id. ibid. p. 453-456. Froiffart, l. i. c. 218.

A. D. 1364 of the late treaty of peace. For he fell fick of a fever at the Savoy, about the middle of March, and died there

April 8, A. D. 1364 (204).

John de Mountfort obtains the duchy of Brittany:

The famous dispute about the duchy of Brittany, which had subsisted many years, was finally determined by a battle, September 29, near the town of Auray. In this decifive action, one of the competitors, Charles de Blois, lost his life; and his rival, John de Mountfort, fon-inlaw to the king of England, obtained the long-contested prize. For though this event, fo pleafing to Edward, was very mortifying to Charles V. who had lately mounted the throne of France, that wife prince submitted to the decision of the sword, and granted John de Mountfort the investiture of Brittany, without any further struggle (205).

A. D. 1365. France defolated by

The kingdom of France had fuffered many calamities during the late war; and it was not immediately relieved adventurers from them by the peace of Bretigny. This was owing to great multitudes of adventurers of different nations, who had ferved in the armies of France and England. Thefe adventurers, having been long accustomed to live by rapine and plunder, when they were disbanded, were unwilling to return to the arts of civil life; but, forming themselves into regular bodies, under bold commanders, they feized upon fome towns and castles in almost every province of France, and from thence plundered the neighbouring countries. They called themselves the Companions, and the bodies into which they were formed the Companies. These Companies, in the year 1361, defeated a royal army commanded by John de Bourbon earl of Marche, who was mortally wounded in the action (206). The pope, who then refided at Avignon, and dreaded a visit from these lawless plunderers, launched his spiritual thunders against them, and published a croifade for their extirpation. But in vain. They still continued to increase in number, and to become more daring in their enterprises. In the beginning of the year 1366, they amounted to 50,000 men, and caused the king of France to tremble on his throne. By an article of the late treaty of peace, both kings had engaged to join their forces for

<sup>(204)</sup> Froiffart, l. 1. c. 219.

<sup>(205)</sup> Histoire de Brit. p. 502. Froissart, I. 1. c. 226, 227.

<sup>(206)</sup> Froiffart, l. 1. c. 177, 178. 214, 215.

the extirpation of these robbers, if it became necessary; A.D. 1365. and Edward was now called upon to suffil this engagement. In consequence of this requisition, he made great preparations for an expedition into France against the companies. But the greatness of these preparations alarmed Charles, who, upon second thoughts, was not very fond of seeing the king of England at the head of a great army in the heart of France; and therefore sent him word that his assistance was not necessary. Edward, greatly offended at this message, desisted from his enterprise (207).

What Charles could not do by force, he accomplished A.D. 1366. by policy, and happilv delivered his country from those Charles endangerous and destructive Companies. He first endeavours to voured to persuade them to undertake an expedition into them to a the East for the recovery of the Holy Land; and the croisade. Pope seconded these persuasions, by promising them the pardon of all their sins, which were neither sew nor trifling, and a good place in paradise after death. But the companions had too much cunning, and too little

religion, to be taken by fuch a bait.

An expedition was foon after proposed, more agreea- The Come ble to their views and dispositions. Don Pedro king of panies de-Castile had justly merited the name of Cruel, by murder-throne Don Pedro king ing many of his nobility,—one of his natural brothers,—of Castile. and his queen, Blanche of Bourbon, fifter to the queen of France. Henry earl of Trastamare, another of his natural brothers, fled into France, and folicited king Charles to revenge the death of his fifter-in-law by dethroning the tyrant. It immediately occurred to Charles, that this would be a proper employment for the Companies; and he directed the brave du Guesclin to enter into a negotiation with them for that purpose. Their leaders had so high an opinion of the honour and bravery of du Guesclin, that they agreed to evacuate France, and follow him into Castile. Here they met with little or no resistance. The tyrant don Pedro, being abandoned by all the world, fled with his treasures and family, first to Corunna, and afterwards to Bourdeaux; and Henry de Trastamare was crowned king of Castile with universal applause (208).

(207) Walfing. p. 178.

(208) Froissart, l. 1. c. 230.

A. D. 1366 The Black Prince unreftere don Pedro.

Though don Pedro, the dethroned king of Castile, was a faithless and fanguinary tyrant, he was not destitute of specious and engaging qualities. His fituation. dertakes to and that of his family, which confilted of three daughters, was affecting; and he paid his court fo artfully to the prince of Wales, that he unhappily espoused his quarrel, and refolved to attempt his restoration.

Marches an army into Spain.

This amiable and fortunate prince was the idol of all the military men of his age, who crowded from all countries to his standard. His brother, John of Gaunt, duke of Lancaster, joined him with a chosen body of men at arms from England. Many Gascon lords embarked in the expedition. The Companies, who were still in Castile, being privately invited into his fervice, deferted by thoufands, and made their way to Bourdeaux by different routes. Out of all thefe the prince composed an army of 30,000 felect troops; with which he fet out on this expedition about Christmas, attended by the kings of Castile and Majorca, his brother the duke of Lancaster, and a fplendid train of English and Gascon noblemen (209).

A.D. 1367. Batt'e of Najara.

Don Henry, against whom this expedition was undertaken, was of a character very different from his brother. He was brave, humane, fincere, and generous; and as much beloved by his fubjects as the other had been hated. Having made all possible preparation for his own defence, he took the field at the head of 40,000 horse and 60,000 foot, and was soon after joined by the brave du Guesclin, with 4000 men at arms. With this powerful army he advanced to meet the prince of Wales; who having entered Castile in the beginning of March, was approaching by eafy journies. The two armies met on Saturday April 3, near the fown of Najara, where a bloody battle was fought; in which the conduct, valour, and fortune of the prince of Wales prevailed, and the mighty army of don Henry was entirely routed, many thousands slain in the action, and a great multitude taken prisoners. The cruel don Pedro would have put all the prisoners to the sword; but was restrained from this horrid deed by the prince, who even prevailed upon him, with much difficulty, to publish a general offer of pardon to all his subjects who would return to their obedience. This offer was univerfally accepted, and don Pe- A.D. 1367. dro was restored to his throne without any further trou-

It foon appeared that this tyrant was as perfidious and Perfidious ungrateful as he was cruel; for, instead of paying the conduct of army which had restored him to his throne, according don Pedro. to his engagements, he detained them all the fummer with vain hopes and trifling excufes. At length the prince of Wales, perceiving that there was nothing to be expected from a monster devoid of every principle of honour, feeing his men daily perishing by the excessive heats, to which they had not been accustomed, and finding his own health fenfibly impaired by the fame cause, left Castile, and brought back the shattered remains of

his victorious army to Bourdeaux (210).

Nothing could be more glorious to the prince of Wales A. D. 1368.

than his conduct of this Spanish expedition; but nothing Fatal consecould be more fatal to him than its consequences. It quences of the Spanish ruined his health, and embittered the few remaining years expedition. of his life, by a continued feries of troubles. He had not only exhausted his treasury, by raising and paying the army which he had carried into Castile, but he had contracted a prodigious load of debt, and had brought back with him 6000 of those dangerous Companions, who, for want of pay, began to live by the plundering of his fubjects. Yet such was the veneration that even these lawless rioters hore to the person of this excellent prince, that at his request they evacuated his territories, and carried their ravages into France. It was not fo easy to discharge his debts. In order to this, he was unhappily advised by the bishop of Rodez, his chancellor of Guienne, to impose, with the confent of the estates, a tax of one livre upon every hearth in his French dominions for five years; which, by a very erroneous computation, it was supposed would produce 1,200,000 livres annually. To this heavy and unufual tax fome provinces fubmitted without much reluctance; but feveral great lords in Guienne declaimed against it with great vehemence, and fecretly entered into intrigues with the court of France for overturning the English government, which this tax had rendered unpopular (211).

Don Pedro put to death.

A.D. 1369. In the mean time the tyrant don Pedro, who, by his perfidy and ingratitude, had involved his protector in fo many troubles, met with the just reward of all his crimes; for Henry de Trastamare, having made his escape from the unfortunate battle of Najara, took shelter in the court of his friend and ally the king of Arragon. Here he left his family, and went into France to folicit fuccours, and wait for an opportunity of recovering the crown which he had loft. As foon as he heard of the return of the prince of Wales into Guienne, he collected a small army of about 9000 men, with which he returned into Castile, defeated the tyrant, took him prisoner, and put him to death with his own hand (212). But the destruction of the tyrant put no stop to the troubles in which the prince of Wales was involved by his unfortunate connection with him.

Perfidious conduct of the French.

The Gascon noblemen did not content themselves with opposing the imposition of the tax on hearths, in the affembly of estates; but after that assembly broke up, they went to Paris, and implored the protection of king Charles as fuperior lord of Guienne, though they well knew that he had given up that title in the late treaty of peace. It doth not belong to historians to determine the stability of national characters, and how far the credit of potterity ought to be affected by the conduct of their ancestors; but this much is certain, that the French on this occasion discovered the most profligate contempt of the most solemn oaths and treaties, and a total disregard to honour and good faith. For though Charles had given up, in the strongest terms that could be devised, all right to the fovereignty of Guienne, and the other territories ceded to the crown of England by the treaty of Bretigny, he acted as if he had never heard of fuch a treaty, and fummoned the prince of Wales to appear before the court of peers at Paris on May 1. The prince, equally furprised and provoked at this summons, replied, that he would come to Paris at the head of 60,000 men; a threat which his declining state of health never permitted him to execute (213).

King of pares for war.

Charles having taken this bold step, to which he was France pre- encouraged by the advanced age of the king of England,

\$212) Id. ibid. c. 245,

(213) Froiffart, t. 1. ch. 246, 247, 248.

and the ill health of his heroic fon, fecretly prepared for A.D. 1369. war; and trusting more to policy than force, he fet intrigues on foot in every province of the English dominions in France. These intrigues, favoured by the discontents of the people occa fioned by the late tax, by their affection for their ancient fovereigns, and by the influence of the clergy; were but too successful (214).

Edward laid an account of these unexpected events Edward rebefore his parliament, which met June 3, and by their fumes the arms of Erange (2) arms of advice refumed the title and arms of king of France (215). France. This parliament also granted him a high duty on wool, wool-fells, and leather, to enable him to profecute the

approaching war with vigour.

About this time the effect of the French intrigues ap- War with peared by the revolt of feveral towns in Ponthieu, Guien-France. ne, and other provinces, and by a visible tendency in others to imitate their example. War being now declared, both parties took the field, and there followed a variety of skirmishes, captures, and surprises of towns and castles, which it would be tedious to relate minutely (216). Though the king of France had collected a prodigious fum of money, under the pretence of paying his father's ranfom, and had fecretly made great preparations for this war, which he had long meditated, yet for fome time his arms made little progress.

But the brave John lord Chandos being killed in a skir- A. D. 1370. mish on January 1, A. D. 1370, and the health of the the French. prince of Wales fo much impaired that he could no longer mount on horseback, and appear at the head of his troops, the fortune of the war began to change, and the French took feveral places of strength, and had others betrayed into their hands (217). Among these last was the city of Limoges, which, at the infligation of its bishop, revolted

and admitted a French garrison.

The prince of Wales, greatly incenfed at the lofs of Prince of this place, which he had fortified at a great expence, fent Wales takes Limoges. the inhabitants a fummons to return to their duty and expel the French garrison, threatening to raze their city to the ground, after putting them all to the fword, if they did not obey. But the people of Limoges treated this fum-

5 .. 6.4

<sup>(214)</sup> Froiffart, t. 1. c. 246, 247, 248.

<sup>(215)</sup> Rymer, vol. 6. p. 621. (217) Froisfart, 1. 1. c. 277, &c. (216) Fraiffart, 1. 1. c. 250-277.

A.D. 1370. mons with the most insolent contempt. The prince, collecting a body of troops, and getting into a litter, being unable to ride, conducted them to Limoges, and invested the place. Sensible of its great strength, he did not attempt to take it by affault; but having made a breach in the walls, by undermining them, he entered by the breach. and put the whole garrison, and 3000 of the inhabitants, to the fword. It was with fome difficulty he was prevailed upon to spare the life of the bishop, who had been the cause of all this mischief, by acting a part so contrary to his oaths, and inconfistent with his function (218).

Prince of Wales refigns his command.

The taking of Limoges is chiefly memorable on this account, that it was the last military exploit of the prince of Wales; who, finding himself unable any longer to endure the fatigues of war, retired to Bourdeaux, and refigned the command of the English armies in France to his brother John of Gaunt, duke of Lancaster, who had lately, together with the earls of Pembroke and Cambridge, come from England with a reinforcement (219).

A. D. 1371. Prince of Wales arrives in England.

gaunt

The prince of Wales, finding his strength daily declining, yielded to the advice of his physicians, who encouraged him to hope that his native air would contribute to his recovery. Having held an affembly of all the loyal barons of his French dominions at Bourdeaux, and engaged them to promife obedience to his brother the duke of Lancaster, he embarked for England in the month of January A. D. 1371, with his princess, and only surviving fon Richard, and landed at Southampton (220).

John of Gaunt duke of Lancaster, being now a widower, married the princess Constantia, eldest daughter of the late don Pedro king of Castile, and his brother Edmund earl of Cambridge married her fifter the princess Isabella. The duke of Lancaster, immediately after his marriage, assumed the title of king of Castile, and thereby rendered Henry de Trastamare, who wore that crown. a violent and dangerous enemy to England (221).

English ed.

The military events of this year were very fatal to the Acet defeat- English interest on the continent. The duke of Lancaster having returned to England with his royal bride, the earl of Pembroke was appointed commander in chief of

<sup>(218)</sup> Id. ibid. c. 287. Walfing. p. 185.

<sup>(219)</sup> Froiffart, I. 1. c. 287. Walfing. p. 185.

<sup>(220)</sup> Freiffart, l. 1. c. 293. (221) Id. ibid. c. 300.

the English forces in the principality of Aquitaine, and A. D. 1372, was fent thither with a fleet of forty ships, containing a reinforcement of troops and a fupply of money. The earl defigned to land his forces at Rochelle; but when he approached that place, June 23, he fell in with a powerful fquadron belonging to don Henry, king of Castile, who had warmly espoused the cause of France. An engagement immediately commenced, which continued all that day, and was renewed next morning with equal fury. At length, towards the evening of the fecond day, victory declared in favour of the Spaniards, whose ships were much larger than those of the English, and provided with cannon, which did great execution. The earl of Pembroke, with feveral other chieftains, were made prifoners, and the greatest part of the fleet either taken or Tunk (222).

By this difaster, Ponthieu, Guienne, and the other Losses of English provinces in these parts, were lest an easy prey the English, to the constable du Guesclin, who sell into them with a great army, and took many places of strength without any resistance, and others with very little. The city of Rochelle was betrayed to the French by its mayor, and Thouars capitulated to surrender at Michaelmas, if it was not relieved before that time by the king of England, or

one of his fons (223).

On hearing of this capitulation, Edward put himself Edward atathe head of an army which he had provided for invadtempts to ing France on the side of Picardie, with which he emthouars. barked August 30, and sailed to relieve Thouars, and recover his other losses in those parts. But that wonderful gale of prosperity which had so long favoured this prince in all his undertakings had now forsaken him. After contending nine weeks at sea, with contrary winds, he was obliged to return with his sleet to England; and Thouars surrendered, according to the capitulation (224). The miscarriage of this expedition was followed by the loss of all Ponthieu, except a few places.

Edward after his return held a parliament, which met a parliaat Westminster November 3, and continued the additional ment, duty on wool, wool-fells, and leather, for two years

<sup>(222)</sup> Froissart, l. 1. c. 302, 303, 304.

<sup>(223)</sup> Froiffart, l. 1. c. 307-311.

<sup>(204)</sup> Id, ibid. c. 311

A.D. 1372. Jonger, besides granting the king a fifteenth (225). Thus the English were at as great expence in losing, as they had been at in gaining, their French dominions.

A. D. 1373. John de Mauntfort abandons Brittany.

The constable du Guesclin finished the conquest of Ponthieu and Xaintonge in the beginning of this year (226). As the allies of England had reaped great advantages from her former victories, some of them were now involved in her missortunes; particularly John de Mountfort duke of Brittany. This prince being fon-in-law to king Edward, and sensible that he owed his dominions to his protection, was a zealous friend and favourer of the Engilish. Charles king of France, well knowing his inclinations, confiscated the duchy of Brittany, and fent the constable du Gueselin with an army to take possession of it. That general met with little refistance, many towns opening their gates at the first summons; and the duke, afraid of being betrayed into the hands of the French, retired into England, leaving the brave fir Robert Knolles his lieutenant in Brittany (227).

Duke of Lancaster's expedition

Edward, refolving to make another great effort for the recovery and prefervation of his French dominions, apinto France, pointed his fon John of Gaunt, duke of Lancaster, his lieutenant in the kingdom of France and principality of Aquitaine, and fent him with an army to Calais. The duke marched from Calais, July 20, at the head of 30,000 men; and having ravaged the provinces of Artois and Picardie, he purfued his route through Champagne, Burgundy, Beaujolois, Farez, Auvergne, into Guienne, and arrived at Bourdeaux about Christmas, with the shattered remains of his army, without having belieged one town or fought one battle (228).

A. D. 1374. Conferences for a truce or peace between the kings of France and England had been lately opened at Bruges, under the mediation of the pope. After some time had been spent in these conferences, a truce was concluded, February 11, to continue to Easter; and this truce was afterwards prolonged to May 1, in the following year (229). This truce was but ill observed by the duke of Anjou, who had long before violated his parole of ho-

(229) Rymer, vol. 7. p. 51-57.

<sup>(225)</sup> Parliament. Hist. vol. 1. p. 312. (226) Proiffart, l. 1. c. 312.

<sup>(227)</sup> Froiffart, l. 1. c. 314. (228) Walfing. p. 187. Froiffart, l. 1. c. 316, 317.

nour to king Edward, and now reduced the greatest part A. D. 1374of Guienne before the expiration of the truce. Thus
Edward had the mortification to see himself deprived of all
his conquests in France (except Calais), the fruits of the
glorious victories of Crecy and Poictiers, rather by the
perfidy than the valour of his enemies, and his own imprudent considence in their honour and good faith.

The conferences for a peace still continued at Bruges, A. D. 1375. and the truce, by several prolongations, was extended to April 1, 1377 (230). The duchy of Brittany was not comprehended in the first truces: and John de Mountsort having returned from England with some troops, recovered a considerable part of his dominions. But a stop was put to this career of success, by his being included in

the last truce, to which he submitted (231).

Though a long truce was now concluded, and negotia- A. D. 1376. tions for a peace were carried on, there was little prof-Parliapect of their fuccess; and it was expected that the war ment, would be renewed as foon as the truce expired. To be prepared for this event, Edward fummoned a parliament to meet at Westminster April 28, and demanded a supply for carrying on the war with France. The parliament continued the high duty on wool, wool-fells, and leather, for three years longer, and promifed afurther aid if it should be found necessary (232). But it foon appeared that this affembly was far from being pleafed with the late management of public affairs, and the conduct of those who now possessed the highest place in the king's favour. In confequence of their complaints, the lord Latimer, and feveral other persons of inferior note, were imprisoned for embezzling the public treasure, and other misdemeaners. Though this was an age of chivalry, in which the adoration of the fair fex was carried to the most extravagant height, yet a lady fell under the censures of this parliament. This was the famous Alice Perrers, for whom Edward, after the death of his excellent queen Philippa. had contracted an affection. This lady, being of a covetous disposition, very much abused the fondness of her royal lover, and is faid to have carried her effrontery fo far as to fit on the bench, and dictate to the judges. At

<sup>(230)</sup> Rymer, vol. 7. p. 68—92.

<sup>(231)</sup> D'Angentre Hist. de Brit. l. 8. c. 20.

<sup>(232)</sup> Parliament. Hift, vol. 1. p. 319.

A.D. 1376 the request of the commons, she was banished from court, but soon after recalled (233).

Death of the prince of Wales.

While this parliament was fitting, the nation fustained an irreparable loss, by the death of Edward prince of Wales, better known to posterity by the name of the Black Prince. This excellent prince, after languishing feveral years under a lingering disease, which he had contracted in Spain, was in the last stage of it seized with a fever, of which he died in the palace of Westminster June 8, in the 46th year of his age. Though this event had been long expected, and though all the fruits of his glorious victories were already lost and gone, there never was a more fincere and univerfal mourning than on this occasion. The character of this prince was a happy mixture of great and good qualities, which formed the illustrious hero and the amiable man, and rendered him at once the object of universal love and admiration. His death is thought to have shortened the days of his royal father, and broke the heart of that renowned warrior John de Grielly, captal de Buche, who refused all nourishment, and was impatient to follow his beloved mafter to the grave. The parliament, though in no very good humour, discovered the deepest concern for his death, and the highest veneration for his memory, by attending his remains to the cathedral of Canterbury, where he was buried, and by petitioning the king to introduce his only furviving son, Richard of Bourdeaux, then a vouth of ten years and five months old, into their affembly, that they might have the pleasure of beholding this only representative of their beloved prince, and of paying their duty to him as heir apparent to the crown. At the request of both houses Richard was created prince of Wales. and invested with all his father's honours and possessions (234).

A.D. 1377. Parliament.

As the truce with France was now drawing towards an end, and as all endeavours to bring about a peace had proved abortive, nothing was expected but the renewal of the war. To provide for this event, a parliament met on January 27, at Westminster, which was opened by Richard prince of Wales, by commission from the king, then indisposed. The commons, after some deliberation,

<sup>(233)</sup> Walfing. p. 189. Barnes, p. 880-882. (234) Froiffart, l. 1. c. 224, 225. Walfing. p. 196.

and conferring with a committee of the lords, granted the A.D. 1377. king a poll-tax of fourpence from every person in the kingdom above fourteen years of age, except beggars (235). There seems to have been a persect harmony between the king and this his last parliament, which petitioned him to release the lord Latimer, Alice Perrers, and others, from the censures inslicted upon them by the late parliament, and to restore them to their former state (236).

Edward finding, from the declining state of his health, Death of that his death was fast approaching, was earnestly desirous. Edward of making peace with France, that he might not leave his infant successor involved in a war with so powerful an enemy. But the same circumstances rendered the French so high in their demands, that, though commissioners had been appointed to treat of peace, nothing could be concluded before king Edward's death, which happened at his palace of Shene, June 1, in the sixty-fifth year of

his age, and the fifty-first of his reign (237).

Edward III. was in his person well shaped, tall, strong, Character and active, his countenance was comely, his air majef- of Edward. tic, and his address engaging. He much excelled and greatly delighted in the manly exercises of those times, particularly tournaments, which were often celebrated at his court with great magnificence. His genius, both for learning, politics, and war, was far above the common rate. He understood feveral languages, and was well verfed in the learning of his time, as well as a munificent patron of learning and learned men. He discovered great prudence in the conduct of his affairs, the management of his parliaments, and the many wife laws which were made in his reign for the advancement of arts and commerce; though he was shamefully outwitted by Charles king of France, and his brothers, rather through their total want of faith and honour, than his want of policy. His almost constant success in war, while he appeared at the head of his armies, is a fufficient proof of his military talents (238). If we examine his wars with France and Scotland by the strict rules of morality, they will not ap-

<sup>(235)</sup> Parliament. Hist. vol. 1. p. 326.

<sup>(236)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 328.

<sup>(237)</sup> Rymer, vol. 7. p. 151.

<sup>(238)</sup> Anonimi Hill, Ed. III. p. 451, . Wa'fieg. p. 192, 193.

A.D. 1377 pear very justifiable; and if we judge of them by their final issue, they were not very profitable. For though he instituted infinite mischiefs on both these kingdoms and raised the martial same of England to the highest pitch, it was at a prodigious expence of blood and treasoure; and he made no lasting conquests, except Calais and Berwick. The ambition of this prince, which hath gained him the greatest same, was in reality the most exceptionable part of his character, which was adorned with many shining virtues. He was a rare example of human felicity, having for more than forty years enjoyed a very uncommon degree of happiness in his family, and of success in all his undertakings.

His iffue.

Edward's only queen was Philippa of Hainault; with whom he lived in the most perfect conjugal harmony above two and forty years, and by whom he had seven

fons and five daughters, viz.

1. Edward of Woodstock, commonly called the Black Prince, from the colour of his armour, the darling of his royal parents, was born at Woodstock, June 15, A.D. 1330 (239); married to his cousin Jane, the Fair Maid of Kent, in 1361; by whom he left an only son, named Richard, who succeeded his grandfather in the throne (240).

y Sons of Ed. 3

2. William of Halfield, born 1336; died young (241).
3. Lionel of Antwerp, duke of Clarence, born November 29, 1338 (242); was married, first, to Elisabeth de Burgh, heires of Ulster, by whom he lest one daughter, Philippa, married to Edmund Mortimer earl of Marche. For his second wife, the duke of Clarence married Violante, daughter of the duke of Milan; by whom he had no children. He died in Italy, in

1 368 (243).

4. John of Gaunt, born in 1340; was married, first, in 1359, to Blanche, one of the daughters and coheiresses of Henry the Good, duke of Lancaster (after whose death he was created duke of Lancaster); by whom he left a son, named Henry, successively earl of Derby, duke of Hereford and Lancaster, and king of England,

Lancaster

<sup>(230)</sup> Walfingham, p. 130.

<sup>(240)</sup> Sandford's Geneal. Hist. p. 215, &c.

<sup>(241)</sup> Ypod. Neuft. f. 512. (242) Sandford, p. 222.

<sup>(243)</sup> Sandford, p. 219. 222, 225.

by the name of Henry IV (244). For his fecond wife, A.D. 1377. John of Gaunt married Conftantia, eldest daughter of don Pedro king of Castile; in whose right he assumed that title; and by whom he had a daughter, afterwards queen of Castile. For his third wife he married Catharine Swinford; by whom he had several children.

5. Edmund of Langley, born in 1341, created earl of Cambridge in 1362, and duke of York in 1384; married Isabella, youngest daughter of don Pedro king of Castile; by whom he had Edward, his eldest son, who died without issue; and Richard earl of Cambridge; who marrying his cousin Anne Mortimer, heiress of the house of Clarence, had Richard duke of York, who was father of Edward IV. king of England (245).

2.

6. William of Windsor, who died in his infancy (246).

7. Thomas of Woodstock, duke of Gloucester, and constable of England (247).

The daughters of king Edward and his queen Philippa were, 1. Isabel, married in 1365 to Enguerrand de Coucy, created duke of Bedford; 2. Joan, contracted to don Pedro king of Castile, but died of the plague at Bourdeaux, in 1349, before marriage (248); 3. Blanche, who died in her infancy; 4. Mary duches of Brittany; 5. Margaret countes of Pembroke, who died without iffue.

DAVID BRUCE, king of Scotland, who was taken History of prisoner at the battle of Durham, October 17, A. D. Scotland. 1346, continued in captivity no less than eleven years, though various negotiations were set on foot for procuring his deliverance (249). He was permitted to pay a visit to his dominions, A. D. 1351, upon giving hostages, and making oath to return into custody when required (250). This relaxation in his confinement (which had been very strict) was probably granted to promote the success of a private agreement which he had made with the king of England, by procuring the consent of his subjects to that agreement. The nature of this secret

<sup>(244)</sup> Walfing. p. 148.

<sup>(245)</sup> Ypod. Neuft. f. 514. Sandford, p. 357, 358. 360. 365.

<sup>(246)</sup> Sandford, p. 178. (247) Id. p. 227. (248) Rymer, vol. 5. p. 422. 425, 426, 427, 428, &cc.

<sup>(249)</sup> Rymeri Fæd. t. 5. p. 618. 686. 699, 700.

<sup>(250)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 711, 722, 724, 727.

A. D. 1351. treaty between the two kings is not known; but it is believed to have been unfavourable to the independency of Scotland; and David having failed in his attempts to procure its confirmation, returned into confinement. A. D. 1352 (251). After long conferences, a treaty for the liberation of David, and a truce of nine years, was concluded at Newcastle, 13th July A. D. 1354, ratified by the commissioners of Scotland 12th November. and by the king of England and the prince of Wales 5th December (252). But the effect of this treaty was prevented by the intrigues of the king of France; who, by fending a body of foldiers and a fum of money into Scotland, prevailed upon the Scots to continue the war; and they had the good fortune to defeat fir Thomas Gray. keeper of Norham castle, in October, and to take the town of Berwick in November, A. D. 1355 (253). But they did not long enjoy this conquest; for Edward having invested the town with a great army, it was surrendered by capitulation 13th January A. D. 1356 (254).

A.D. 1356. Expedition of Edward III. into Scotland.

Edward, having recovered Berwick, and obtained a formal furrender of the crown and kingdom of Scotland from his wretched tool Edward Baliol (January 20). marched at the head of a great army into Lothian, attended by a fleet of victuallers in the Forth. But the Scots having removed all their cattle and provisions, and the English fleet having been dispersed by a storm, he found it impossible to proceed any further than to Edinburgh. His troops were haraffed in their retreat by flying parties of the Scots, which provoked him to destroy the country with fire and fword, not sparing the most magnificent churches (255). This expedition was long remembered in Scotland by the name of The burnt Candlemas.

King of Scots ranformed.

Edward, convinced of the difficulty of fubduing Scotland, began to think feriously of making peace with that country, and of procuring as great a ranfom as he could for its king, who was still his prisoner. With this view, he appointed William de Bohun earl of Northampton. and others, his commissioners, to treat with the prelates.

<sup>(251)</sup> Rymeri Fæd. t. 5. p. 737. 745. Fordun, l. 14. c. 15.

<sup>(252)</sup> Rymeri Fæd. t. 5. p. 793. 812. (253) Fordun, l. 14. c. 9, 10.

<sup>(254)</sup> Fordun, l. 14. c. 12. Rymer. Fæd. t. g. p. 828.

<sup>(255)</sup> Fordun, l. 14. c. 13.

nobles, and people, of Scotland, about the redemp- A.D. 1360. tion of David Bruce, and a perpetual peace between the two kingdoms (256). The parliament of Scotland (17th January A. D. 1357) named four commissioners, two bishops and two barons, to treat with those of England (257). That the conferences might not be interrupted by hostilities, a truce for fix months was concluded 8th May (258). The commissioners of both kingdoms met at Berwick, to which place the captive king was also conducted (259). The thief difficulty in this negotiation was, to fettle the ranfom to be paid by the Scots for the redemption of their king. The English demanded 100,000 marks, an exorbitant fum in those times, containing as much filver as 200,000l. of our money, and more difficult to raise than a million would be at present. As no abatement of this demand could be obtained, the commissioners, and also the parliament of Scotland, engaged to pay it in ten years, by equal payments of 10,000 marks each year; and to give twenty young men of quality hostages, for security (260). By one article it was provided, that the truce between the two kingdoms thould continue till the ranfom was paid. In confequence of this treaty the king of Scots was fet at liberty, in October A. D. 1367.

The fatal expedition into England, A. D. 1346, which Treaties. had involved the king and people of Scotland in fo many calamities, had been undertaken at the infligation of France; and therefore the Scots very properly applied to France to affift them in paying the heavy ranfom of their king. This application was at first eluded by excuses; but the French being still at war with England, and standing in need of the aid of their ancient allies, a treaty was concluded, April A. D. 1360, in which the French engaged to pay the Scots 50,000 marks, and the Scots engaged to renew the war with England (261). But this treaty was never executed: for by an article of the famous treaty of peace between the French and English at Bretigny, concluded only about a month after, the king of France renounced every alliance with Scotland,

<sup>(256)</sup> Rymeri Fæd. t. 5. p. 847. (257) Id. ibid. p. 831. (258) Id. ibid. t. 6. p. 15. (259) Id. ibid. p. 31.

<sup>(260)</sup> Rymer, Ford. t. 6. p. 46-52. (261) Annals of Sectland, vol. 2. p. 245, 247, 248.

A. D. 1360, and engaged, for himfelf and his fuccessors, never to make any new alliance with that kingdom (262). In this manner do great kings fometimes trifle with their engagements.

Pestilence.

After Scotland had been long involved in the calamities of war, it was vifited by a destructive pestilence, A. D. 1361, which raged a whole year, and is faid to have carried off about one third of the inhabitants (263). Johanna queen of Scotland, fifter of Edward III. died in England, A. D. 1362 (264).

Intrigues to defeat the fucceffion of Robert the Stewart:

King David Bruce paid frequent visits to England after he recovered his liberty, and was engaged in certain fecret intrigues with that court, to defeat the fuccession of his nephew Robert the Stewart, who had been regent of the kingdom during his captivity. After his return from one of these visits, A. D. 1363, he made a propofal to his parliament at Scone, That if he died without issue, they should chuse Lionel duke of Clarence, the fecond fon of Edward III. to be their king. This propofal was unanimously rejected with fcorn and indignation by the parliament, who declared, That they would never permit an Englishman to reign over them; but would support the settlement of the crown, which had been made by parliament on the Stewart and his family (265). David was not deterred by this resolute answer, or even by the civil commotions which his propofal had occasioned, from pursuing his pernicious schemes: for on his return to England he made an agreement with Edward. that he, or the king of England for the time being, should fucceed to the crown of Scotland, on the death of David without iffue; and a plan was formed for regulating the government when that event took place (266). But it was foon found, that thefe dark intrigues and private conventions could have no effect, while the Scots were unanimously determined to defend their independency; and therefore they were kept fecret.

David Bruce, being now a widower, fell in love with Marriage and death of and married Margaret Logie, a gentlewoman of fingular David II. beauty. For some time the influence of this lady over

her

<sup>(262)</sup> Rymeri Fad. t. 6. p 178-196.

<sup>(263)</sup> Fordun, l. 14. c. 24. 25. (264) Walfing, p. 179. (265) Fordun, l. 14. c. 25. (266) Rymeri Fæd. t. 6. p. 426, 427. Annals of Scotland, vol. 22 p. 253, &cc.

her amorous husband was very great: but it was not of A. D. 1371. long duration; and they were divorced in February A. D. 1370 (267). David did not long furvive this event. He died in the cattle of Edinburgh, 22d February A. D. 1371, in the forty-feventh year of his age, and forty-second of his reign (268).

David II. though not defective in personal courage, Character. was a weak, capricious, and unfortunate prince, having fpent about one half of his reign in exile or in captivity. The veneration of the Scots for the memory of their illustrious deliverer, Robert Bruce, kept them steady in their attachment to his only fon, in fpite of all his failings. He was fucceeded by his nephew Robert the Stewart, the first of that family who wore a crowns

(267) Fordun; l. 14. c. 28. 34:

(263) Id. ibid.

## SECTION V.

The civil and military history of Britain, from the accession of Richard II. June 21 ft, A. D. 1377, to the accession of Henry IV. September 30, A. D. 1399.

KICHARD II. was in the 11th year of his age, when he fucceeded his grandfather Edward III. on the throne Accession of of England. His tender years, the exquisite beauty of his person, and the remembrance of his beloved father the Black Prince, greatly endeared him to his subjects, who expressed the highest satisfaction at his accession. When king Edward lay at the point of death, the citizens of London fent a folemn deputation to the prince, then at Kingston upon Thames, to profess their attachment to his interest; and invite him to take up his residence in their city; with which invitation he complied. He was crowned at Westminster July 16, with great magnificence, and every possible expression of universal joy (1).

Büt

<sup>(1)</sup> Walfing: p. 193. Rymer, vol. 7. p. 157-160.

Unfavourable flate

Prich 2

A. D. 1377. But notwithstanding all these fair appearances, the affairs of England were not in a very happy fituation at this time. The young king being incapable of holding the of England, reins of government, was wholly under the direction of his three uncles, John of Gaunt duke of Lancaster, Edmund of Langley earl of Cambridge, afterwards duke of York, and Thomas of Woodstock earl of Buckingham, afterwards duke of Gloucester. The duke of Lancaster. who bore the chief fway, was proud, passionate, and unpopular, and very unfit for the difficult part he had to act. The nation was involved in an unfortunate war with France, for which no preparation was made, and was also on ill terms with Spain and Scotland; and the commons were greatly discontented at the continual demands which had been lately made upon them for the support of the French war. The ill effects of these unfavourable circumstances foon appeared.

War with France.

The truce with France having expired May 1, the war was renewed; and the French had fent armies into Guienne, Brittany, and the marches of Calais, where they had taken two forts before the late king's death. In August, a body of French plundered the isle of Wight, burnt the town of Hastings, and made attempts upon Winchelfey and Southampton, though without fuccess (2).

Parliament.

To provide for repelling these insults, and prosecuting the war with vigour, a parliament was fummoned to meet at Westminster, October 13. The house of commons, after confulting with a committee of lords, granted two fifteenths from the counties, and two tenths from the cities and boroughs, to be paid into the hands of John Philpot, and W. Walworth, merchants in London, and appropriated to the expences of the war, together with the fubfidy on wool. Through the influence of the king's uncles in this parliament, the famous Alice Perrers was fentenced to banishment, and her estates confiscated. No regent nor regency was appointed; but by the affent of the king and lords to a petition of the commons, a council of nine persons was chosen, to advise and affist the king in the administration of government for one year, and a like council ordained to be chosen every year, by parliament, during the king's minority (3). This me-

<sup>(2)</sup> Walfine, p. 148, 199. Proiffart, l. 1. c. 347. (4) Walfing, p. 211.

thod was probably taken out of jealoufy of the duke of A.D. 1377. Lancaster, who had the best claim to the regency, if a

regent had been appointed.

The war between England and France was not car- A.D. 1378. ried on with much vigour on either fide, nor did it pro-Progress of duce many events worthy of the attention of posterity, the war. One Mercer, a Scotchman, infelled the north-east coasts of England with a small fleet, and feized some ships in the port of Scarborough; but John Philpot of London fitted out some ships at his own expence, with which he engaged Mercer, defeated, and took him prisoner (4).

The duke of Lancaster, though not directly regent, had Duke of an almost unbounded influence over the council of admi-Lancaster's nistration; and prevailed with them to give him the dif-expedition

pofal of the money arifing from the late parliamentary grants, promiting not only to protect the kingdom from all its enemies, but also to perform some notable exploit for its honour and advantage. To perform this promife, he raifed an army and equipped a fleet for invading France. Before the grand fleet was ready to fail, he fent the earls of Arundel and Salisbury, with a few ships and some troops, to take possession of Cherburg, which was ceded to England by the king of Navarre. The two earls had an engagement on their palfage with a Spanish fleet, in which they fustained some loss, though they afterwards executed their commission. About the end of July the duke failed with a gallant fleet and army; and, landing in Brittany, invested St. Malo. The constable du Guefclin hastened with an army to the relief of the place; and the duke, finding it would be impossible to take the town in the presence of the enemy, raised the siege, and returned home, without having performed any thing worthy of his mighty promifes and great expences (5).

A party of about eighty Scots, commanded by fir War with Alexander Ramfay, furprifed the castle of Berwick on Scotland. November 25; but it was foon after recovered by the earl of Northumberland, and all the Scots, except their leader, put to the fword. After this the earl marched.

into Scotland; but a part of his army being defeated near Melrose, he dismissed the rest, and put an emi to

the campaign (6).

<sup>(5)</sup> Walfing p. 200. 210. 213. Froisfart, l. 1. c. 329. (6) Froisfart, t. 2. c. 7—10. Walfing. p. 219.

A. D. 1378.

Parliament.

A parliament met at Gloucester, October 20, to which it was represented, that the king was at a great expence in maintaining the garrifons of Calais, Cherburg, Breft, Bourdeaux, and Bayonne, and in defending the kingdom from its numerous enemies; and a fupply was demanded for defraying these expences. The house of commons discovered an extreme unwillingness to comply with this demand; alleging, that there must be a great part of the money granted by the last parliament still in the treasury; that the king's ordinary revenues were fufficient, with good management, for answering all these purposes; and that the people of England had nothing to do with the great charge of 46,000l. for maintaining the garrifons in France. But at length, by the earnest and repeated entreaties of the lords, the commons were prevailed upon to continue the high duly on wool, wool-fells, and leather, and even to grant an additional duty of one mark on every fack of wool, and every two hundred and forty wool-fells, and two marks on every last of leather, befides 6d. in the pound on all merchandife exported and imported (7).

Revolution in Brittany.

The late unfuccessful expedition of the duke of Lancafter into Brittany, was followed by the lofs of all that duchy, except Brest, which was put into the hands of the English by John de Mountfort duke of Brittany, for an estate in England, where he resided with very little hopes of ever being restored to his dominions (8). The conquest of this country appeared so complete to Charles V. king of France, that by a folemn fentence he annexed the duchy of Brittany to the kingdom of France for ever (9). But so uncertain are the principles of human policy, that this fentence, calculated to extinguish the last hopes of John de Mountfort, was the means of restoring him to the possession of his country in a very little time. For though the people of Brittany difliked their duke for his inviolable attachment to England, and on that accounthad affifted the French in expelling him, there was nothing in the world they dreaded fo much as the subjection of their country to the crown of France. In order to avoid this, they fent repeated invitations to Mountfort to

<sup>(7)</sup> Carte, vol. 2. p. 5.77. ev. Rot. Parliament. Walfing. p. 215. Parliament. Hift. vol. 1. p. 348-355.

<sup>(8)</sup> Rymer, vol. 7. p. 190-195. (9) D'Argentre Hist. Brit. L. 9, c. 3.

return into Brittany, promising to receive him as their A.D. 1379. fovereign, and to support him with the utmost zeal and loyalty. Being at length convinced of their sincerity, he sailed from Southampton, and landing near St. Malo, August 3, with a few troops, he was every where received with the loudest acclamations of joy, and got possession of the chief places of his dominions (10).

Though the events of the war with France, Castile, and Scotland, were not very memorable; the expences of it, and of the foreign garrisons, were very great, and occasioned frequent applications to parliament. One was summoned to meet at Westminster January 14, A. D. 1380, which, after appointing commissioners to examine into every branch of the administration, granted one fifteenth and a half from the counties, and one tenth and a half from the cities and boroughs; and continued the high duties on wool, wool-fells, and leather, for another year after Michaelmas next, when they were to have expired (11). Having thus provided for the public expences, they requested that there might be no meeting of parliament for one year after Michaelmas next.

Though the people of Brittany had received their duke Expedition with great cordiality, he foon found that it would be im-into Britan-possible for him to contend with the superior power of my. France without assistance from England, which he folicited with much earnestness. A great army was raised and sent to his relief, under the command of the king's uncle, Thomas earl of Buckingham; which, marching from Calais in the end of July, passed through Picardie, Champagne, and other provinces of France, plundering the country, without meeting with any opposition (1,2).

As this army approached the confines of Brittany, they Death of received the news of the death of the king of France, Charles V. Charles V. who expired on September 16, and was succeeded by his fon Charles VI. a youth about twelve years

of age (13).,

This event produced a great change in the defigns of Change in the duke of Brittany. This prince, observing that the the duke of aversion of his subjects to the English was not in the least Britanny, abated, and that several of his towns were resolved to

(12) Froisfart, l. 2. c. 50-55.

<sup>(10)</sup> Walfing. p. 225. Froisfart, t. 2. ch. 44.

<sup>(11)</sup> Parliament, Hift, vol. 1. p. 355-357.

A.D. 1380. Thut their gates against them, began to think of making his peace with France, and with great fecrecy fent commissioners to Paris to propose an accommodation. But as he had invited the English army to his affistance, he found it necessary to receive them with some degree of civility; and fent fix of his chief nobility to compliment the earl of Buckingham on his arrival in Brittany, and to propose an interview with their duke. These princes accordingly met at Meziere, near Rennes; where it was agreed, that the English army should undertake the frege of Nantes, in which the duke promifed to join them with his forces, in a few weeks. The English, in confequence of this agreement, invested Nantes, and continued the fiege about two months; when, finding that the duke had a failed in his engagement to join them, through the averfion of his subjects to the English interest, they raised the siege, and retired to Vannes into winter-quarters (14).

War with Scotland.

The Cots invaded and plundered Cumberland and Westme eland in summer, and carried off much booty; particul rly feveral thousands of cattle of different kinds. When the earl of Northumberland was raising an army to retaliate this injury, he was restrained by orders from court. These orders were probably procured by the influence of the duke of Lancaster, who designed this emplayment for himfelf. Accordingly he made an expedition into Scotland, where he gathered no laurels; but having concluded a truce at Berwick, November 1, he returned to England (14).

Parliament.

Though the last parliament had requested that there might not be another till a year after, the exigencies of the state requiring it, one was called to meet at Northampton November 5, and a fresh supply demanded. for the pay of the army under the earl of Buckingham in Brittany, and for other purposes. The parliament, after long deliberation, and feveral conferences between the two houses, imposed a poll tax of three groats on every person in the kingdom above fifteen years of age. except mere beggars, the richer to affilt the poorer (16): a tax which was productive of very fatal confequences.

The negociations of the duke of Brittany at the court of France were now perfected; and a peace was con-

A. D. 1381. English army returnstrom Brillany.

<sup>(13)</sup> Froiffart, 1. 2. c. 56. 60. (14) Froiffart, t. 4. ch. 59-63. (15) Walling, p. 240, 244. Rymer, vol. 7. p. 276-274.

<sup>(161</sup> Parliament, Hift, vol. 1, p. 358-163.

cluded January 15, by which the duke engaged to re- A. D. 1381. nounce his alliance with England, to fend home the English army now in his country, and to hold his duchy of the crown of France. Nothing could equal the furprife of the earl of Buckingham when he heard of this treaty. But as there was no remedy, he embarked his army and returned to England; having endured great fatigues, and expended great fums of money (17).

The poll-tax imposed by the last parliament excited State of the the most violent commotions in England. That nume-common rous and useful class of men who were in those times called Villains, and were little better than the flaves and property of their lordly masters, had of late years-borne the yoke with great impatience. This yoke was rendered more galling by the frequent taxes lately imposed by parliament, particularly by the poll-tax, which fell very heavy on the poorer fort of people, and was made more grievous by the feverity with which it was collected. In a word, the minds of the common people all over England were fo exasperated by the various oppressions under which they laboured, that they were ready to engage in any desperate attempt (18).

A finall spark fet fire to this train, and excited a pro-iniurrectidigious flame. A guarrel ariting between one of the on of the poll-tax gatherers and a tyler in Deptford, named Walter, people. the tyler beat out the brains of the tax-gatherer with his hammer (19). His neighbours applanded the action, and wat. Tyler. promifed to protect him from punishment. In a little time feveral hundreds were gathered together, who were every moment alarmed and enraged by flying reports of the dreadful vengeance which the government threatened to take for the death of the tax-gatherer. The infurgents fent messengers into the neighbouring counties on both fides of the Thames, commanding the common people to join with them in shaking off the yoke of fervitude, and taking vengeance on their oppressors. These commands were too well obeyed. The commons every where abandoned their employments, and flew to arms. From all arts they directed their march toward London, burning the houses, and plundering the estates of the no-

<sup>. (17)</sup> Walfing, p. 242, 243. Froiffart, L. 2. c. 65. (10) harghoun, vol. 2633. (19) Id. lbid,

John Osall proaches Equality.

rous multitude was much inflamed by the declamations of one John Ball, a feditious preacher, whom they had released out of Maidstone gaol. This turbulent monk, who had been long remarkable for courting low popularity, held forth with great vehemence to the rioters on the natural equality of mankind, which he exhorted them to restore, by murdering all the nobility, gentry, lawyers, and superior clergy, and dividing the world amongst themselves (20). The insurgents of Kent, Essex, and the neighbouring counties, came to a general rendezvous on Blackheath, Wednesday June 12, when they are said to have amounted to 100,000 men, under their two leaders Wat Tyler and Jack Straw.

Progress of the insurrection.

The progress of this insurrection was so rapid, and the consternation it occasioned so great, that no measures were taken by the government for its suppression. The king's three uncles, who had the chief direction of affairs, were all absent; the duke of Lancaster in Scotland, negociating a truce with that kingdom; the earl of Cambridge gone with some troops to the affishance of the king of Portugal, against the king of Castile; and the earl of Buckingham at his estate in Wales (21). The young king, in this extremity, took shelter, with his mother and a few of his counsellors, in the tower of London.

The infurgents fend a message the king. Among other acts of violence which the infurgents committed in their way to London, they feized feveral knights and gentlemen, whom they obliged to accompany them; and from Blackheath they fent one of these knights to the tower of London, with a message to the king, inviting him to come and speak with them concerning the government of the kingdom, which they said was ill conducted by his uncles, by the archbishop of Canterbury, and others (22).

The king'aniwer.

After some time spent in deliberation, the king returned this answer by their own messenger; "That if they would approach the river Thames, he would speak with them next morning, being Thurstay June 13." Agreeable to this message, the king went on board his barge

<sup>(10)</sup> Walfing, p. 275. Froiffart, l. 2. c. 74. Knyghton, col. 2633, 2654.

## CIVIL AND MILITARY. Ch. I. S 5.

early in the morning, with the earls of Salisbury, War- A.D. 1381. wick, and some other noblemen, and steered towards Redriff, where about 10,000 of the infurgents attended on the banks. As foon as they beheld the royal barge approaching, they fet up fuch horrible cries (fays Froiffart), as if all the devils in hell had been in their company. The noblemen who were with the king diffuaded him from exposing his person to the will of such an enraged rabble; upon which he put back, and returned to the tower (23).

It is impossible to describe the fury of the rioters on The infurthis disappointment. As scon as it was communicated gente enter to the main body on Blackheath, they immediately fet out London. for London, destroying every thing in their way. In Southwark they did much mischief, burning houses, and beheading all gentlemen who were fo unhappy as to fall into their hands. The gate on London bridge, which had been shut, being opened by the mob within, they rushed in, and spread over all the city, filling every place with consternation. They burnt the noble palace of the Savoye, with all its rich furniture, belonging to the duke of Lancaster, the chief object of their malice. The Temple, with all its valuable records, shared the same fate. They cut off the heads of all the Flemings and Lombards they could find; and would have done much more mischief, if the greatest part of them had not been overcome with liquor, and funk into repose (24).

In the night which fucceeded this fatal day, a council Council was held in the tower; in which the intrepid W. Wal-held in the tower. worth, lord mayor of London, proposed to rush out upon the rioters, now buried in fleep and wine, and put them to the fword. But this measure appearing too dangerous to the other counfellors, it was refolved, that the king should endeavour to prevail upon them to separate, and return home, by fair words, and by granting all their

demands (25).

Next morning, June 14, the king fent a message to The inforthe insurgents, who appeared in great multitudes on gentemur-Tower-hill, and demanded an audience, "That if they der feveral would peaceably retire to Mile-end, he would meet

(22) Froiffart, t. 2. c. 76.

(25) Froiffart, t. 2. c. 76.

<sup>(24)</sup> Id. ibid. Walfing. p. 249. Knyghton, col. 2635.

\*

A.D. 1381. "them, and hear their demands." The great body of the rioters complied with this message; and the king, with a few attendants, all unarmed, leaving the tower, proceeded to that place. Wat Tyler and Jack Straw, with a multitude of their most furious followers, rushed into the tower as soon as the king left it, seized Simon Sudbury, archbishop of Canterbury, the chancellor, and fir Robert Hales, the treasurer, and immediately cut off their heads, with those of some other persons of inferior note (26).

The king meets the infurgents,

In the mean time the king reached Mile-end, where he found an immense multitude, computed to be 60,000: to whom he addressed himself in the mildest and kindest language, asking them what they wanted, and promising to grant them whatever they defired. They demanded that they, their lands, possessions, and posterity, might be free; and that there might be no flaves or fervitude in England for ever. The king, with the greatest frankness, promised to grant them the most ample charters of freedom, under the great feal, with a pardon for all that was past, provided they would retire peaceably to their own homes. The people joyfully accepted these offers; and about thirty expert clerks being fet to work to write these charters, which consisted only of a few lines, they were fealed, and delivered to all who demanded them: who thereupon returned home, happy in the fuccess of their expedition (27).

Wat Tyler killed.

While the infurgents from Essex and Hertford-shire were thus dispersing, those of Kent were still carrying on their ravages in and about London, under the direction of their leader Wat Tyler, who had formed the most diabolical designs. These designs were to seize the king, to murder all the nobility, to plunder and then to burn the city-of London. But Providence would not permit such hellish purposes to be crowned with success; for on Saturday June 15, as the king was passing through Smithsheld, with about sixty horsemen in histrain, he met Wat Tyler at the head of twenty thousand of his followers. As soon as Tyler saw the king, he put spurs to his horse, and pressed into the royal presence, where he behaved in the most clownish and audacious manner, and made the

<sup>(26)</sup> Id. ibid. Walking, of 251. Knyghton, col. 2635. (27) Brady, vol. 4. Append. No. 103. Walking, p. 254.

most fenseless and extravagant demands (28). The ge-A.D. 1381, nerous Walworth, lord mayor of London, who was in the king's company, not able to bear the insolence of this audacious clown, drew his sword, and with one blow felled him from his horse to the ground, where he was instantly dispatched (29).

This bold action might have been fatal to the king, The king's and all his company, if the rioters had been allowed time preferce of to recover from their furprife. But while they were mind. ftanding motionless with aftonishment at the unexpected fall of their leader, the young king, with a presence of mind which seemed to be inspired from Heaven, rode up to them, and said, "My lieges, be not concerned for the loss of your unworthy leader; I am your king, I will be your leader; follow me into the fields, and I will grant you whatever you can desire." The king, riding gently on towards the open fields, the multitude followed him, hardly knowing what they did, and still

less what they designed to do (30).

In the mean time a cry arose in the city, that the in-Insurgents surgents had the king in their hands, and designed to disperted. murder him. Great multitudes slew to arms to rescue their sovereign, or revenge his death; and the lord mayor, in a short time, collected some thousands of brave men, well armed, under the command of sir Robert Knolles and others. He conducted them into the fields, where the king was communing with the rioters. As soon as these wretches beheld the men at arms, they were feized with a panic, fell upon their knees, and implored the king's mercy; which that prince, with equal wissom and goodness, granted them, on condition that they immediately dispersed and returned home (31).

While there furprifing scenes were acting in London, Insurrecticommotions of the same kind were raised by the people one in other in many other parts of England. At St. Alban's, a places, prodigious mob, under the command of William Gryndicobbe and William Cadyndon a chandler, cut off the heads of several gentlemen; and, by threatening to do

the fame to the abbot and all his monks, they extorted
(28) Knyghton, p. 2636.

<sup>(29)</sup> Walling, p. 253. Froisfart, l. 2. c. 77. (30) Walling, p. 253. (31) Froisfart, l. 2. c. 77.

A. D. 1381 from them charters of freedom and manumission (32). At St. Edmundsbury, a like mob, under one Robert Westbroom, did incredible mischief, and beheaded fir John Cavendish chief justice of England, and several other gentlemen (33). In Norfolk, an immense multitude of rustics had got together, under the command of John Littister, a dver in Norwich, who assumed the title of king of the commons. They carried some lords and gentlemen through the country, with them, to countenance their procedings, which were as cruel and destructive as those of the other rioters (34). But all these infurrections were happily of very short continuance. The Norfolk infurgents were defeated and dispersed by Henry Spencer, the martial bishop of Norwich (35). Those of St. Alban's, St. Edmundibury, and other places, having heard of the death of Wat Tyler, and the dispersion of his followers at London, separated, and retired to their own homes; fo that in a very few days this dreadful storm was succeeded by a profound calm (36).

The king raifes an army, and charters.

As foon as the infurgents were every where diffipated. the king fummoned all the military tenants of the crown revokes the to appear immediately at London, with horses and arms: This fummons was fo well obeyed, that in a few days a gallant army of 40,000 horsemen appeared at the rendezyous on Blackheath. As foon as the king found himfelf fupported by fogreat a power, he issued a proclamation June 30, commanding all tenants in villainage to perform their usual services to their lords (37). In a few days he proceeded a step further; and at Chelmsford July 2. published letters-patent, revoking all the charters of frees dom which had been lately granted to the common people of Essex, and some other places (38).

Infurgents tried and executed.

The kingdom being now restored to a state of perfect tranquillity, commissions were granted to certain judges to go into the different counties, for the trial of the most criminal of the rioters. These commissions were executed with fo much feverity, especially by fir Robert Trefilian, chief justice of the king's bench, that about fifteen hun-

(38) Id. ibid. p. 217, 218.

dred

<sup>(32)</sup> Walfing. p. 254, 255, 256, 257. (33) ld. p. 261. (35) Id. p. 264. (34) Id. p. 263. (36) Froiffart, 1. 2. c. 77. (37) Rymer, t. 7. p. 315, 316:

dred unhappy wretches were condemned and executed as A. D. 1381fraitors (30).

The duke of Lancaster had resided in Scotland during Truce the late commotions, and concluded a truce with that with Scotland during Truce with that with Scotland during Truce the late commotions, and concluded a truce with that with Scotland during Truce the late commotions, and concluded a truce with that with Scotland during Truce the late commotions, and concluded a truce with that with Scotland during Truce the late commotions, and concluded a truce with that with Scotland during Truce the late commotions, and concluded a truce with that with Scotland during Truce the late commotions, and concluded a truce with that with Scotland during Truce the late commotions, and concluded a truce with that with Scotland during Truce the late commotions, and concluded a truce with that with Scotland during Truce the late commotions, and concluded a truce with that with Scotland during Truce the late commotions are selected as the late commotion of the late commotions are selected as the late commotion of the late commotion of

In his return from Scotland, the governor of Berwick, Quarrel by directions from the earl of Northumberland, refused between him admittance into that town; which created a most Lancaster violent quarrel between the duke and that brave and po- and the earl tent earl. A parliament being summoned to meet at of Nor-Westminster on Monday November 4; both these great thumber-land, peers came to it, attended by their numerous followers in arms, which for some days interrupted all public business. But the king and lords having at length reconciled these powerful adversaries, the parliament proceeded in its de-

liberations on Saturday November 9 (41).

This parliament declared, that the late charters of li-Parliaberty and manumission, granted to many villains and bond ment. tenants by the king, were null and void. But though they reduced so great a multitude of their fellow-subjects to a state of servitude, they were not willing to impose any further burden upon them at this time, and refused to grant any supply. But the king, with equal obstinacy, refusing to grant a general pardon, which was thought necessary for quieting the minds of the people after the late commotions, the parliament yielded, and granted a subsidy on wool, wool-fells, and leather (42). After this the general pardon was published, and the parliament was prorogued on December 13, to January 24, to make way for a solemnity of another nature.

The king being now in the fixteenth year of his age, A. D. 1382. a treaty of marriage was concluded between him and the The king's princess Anne, daughter of the late emperor Charles IV. marriage, and fister to the present emperor Wincessaus king of Bohemia; and the princess arriving in England, the marriage was solemnized in the beginning of this year (43).

When the parliament reassembled January 24, the duke Parlia of Lancaster, titular king of Castile and Leon, made a ment proposal to carry an army into Spain, to the assistance of the king of Portugal, and to obtain possession of those two

<sup>(39)</sup> Froiffart, t. 2. c. 79. (40) Rymer, vol. 7. p. 312.

<sup>(41)</sup> Proiffart, t. 2. c. So. (42) Parliament, Hift. vol. 1. p. 363-368. (43) Walfing. p. 281. kingdoms.

A.D. 1382. kingdoms, if he night be allowed 60,000l. for the pay of that army. This propofal occasioned warm debates, and the duke was not able to carry his point at this time. The commons however were prevailed upon to continue the high duties on wool, wool-fells, and leather, for four years after Midfummer next (44).

Unpopular conduct of the young king.

The brave and prudent part which the young king had acted during the late dangerous infurrections, had filled the minds of his fubjects with the most fanguine expectations of a glorious reign. But these expectations were not answered; and this prince did not long persist in this honourable courfe. His education had been shamefully neglected by his ambitious uncles, who were too keenly engaged in pursuing their own designs to be at any pains in forming the mind and manners of their royal pupil. They left him in the hands of young persons of dissolute characters, who corrupted his mind with flattery, and infpired him with the love of pomp and pleasure; fo that he foon became vain, voluptuous, and extravagant to a very great degree. One of the first unpopular acts of Richard's government, which gave his fubjects an unfavourable impression of his character, was his taking the great feal from Henry le Scroop, to whom it had been committed with the approbation of parliament, because he refused to feal certain extravagant grants of land, made to some retainers about court, who had by no means merited fuch rewards. The young king, incenfed at this opposition to his will, took the feal into his own hands, put it to these grants, and then delivered it to Robert Baybroke bishop of London (45).

Parliament.

A parliament met at Westminster, Monday October 6, and after some time spent in deliberation, granted the king a fifteenth and a tenth for defraving the expences of the war with France, and the other enemies of the king-

dom (46).

Schemes for profecuting the war.

The bishop of Hereford then laid before the parliament, for their advice, two schemes for profecuting the war. The first was, by fending the duke of Lancaster into Spain with an army of 2000 men at arms, and archers, for whose pay he now demanded only 43,000l. The

<sup>(44)</sup> Parliament, Hist. vol. 1. p. 368-370. (45) Walling, p. 290. Rymer, vol. 7. p 362

<sup>(46)</sup> Parliament, Hift, vol. 1 F 371.

other scheme was, to assist the people of Flanders, who A. D. 1383. were then at war with their own fovereign, who was fupported by France. The house of lords, after a folemn debate, declared it to be their opinion, that the army proposed by the duke was too small to perform any effectual fervice; and the house of commons discovered a still greater diflike to the duke's propofal. The whole parliament feemed rather to favour the fecond scheme, of an union with the Flemings (47).

This was partly owing to a propofal which was at this Propofal of time made by the bishop of Norwich, who had distin- the bishop guished himself so much by suppressing the late insurrec- of Norwich.

tions in his diocese. This martial prelate offered to raise an army of 3000 men at arms, and 3000 archers, to transport them to Calais, and, in conjunction with the Flemings, to ferve one year against France, on condition of receiving the subfidies granted in the last parliament, both by the clergy and laity (48). But while the English were deliberating, the French were acting; and having obtained a victory over the Flemings at Comines, and another at Rofebecque, they reduced all Flanders except

Gand, which was befreged (49).

This rapid progress of the French arms, with their Parliathreatening to beliege Calais, raifed a great alarm in Eng-ment. land, and occasioned the meeting of a parliament on Monday February 23. The bishop of London, lord chancellor, told the parliament, that the defign of calling them was to have their advice, whether the king should go into Flanders in person, with a royal army, to the relief of Gand, or what other method should be taken to profecute the war (50). The parliament, after deliberating fome days, gave it as their advice, that fince the truce with Scotland was near expiring, and the Scots feemed to be disposed to renew hostilities, it was not proper that either the king, or any of his uncles, should leave the kingdom; but they advised him rather to accept of the proposal of the bishop of Norwich, for relieving Gand, and carrying on the war with France (51).

In confequence of this parliamentary advice, an agree-Expedition ment was made with the bishop, who failed with the best of the bifhop of Nor-

(48) Walfing, p. 291, Wich.

part

<sup>(47)</sup> Parliament. Hist. vol. 1. p. 371, 372.

<sup>(49)</sup> Froiffart, t. 2. ch. 125, 126.

<sup>(50)</sup> Parliament. Hift, vol. 1. p. 273. VOL. IV.

<sup>(51)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 374.

A.D. 1383. part of his troops to Calais in May (52). This ecclefiaftical general was not acting on this occasion fo much out of character as may appear at first fight. He found means to bring religion into the quarrel; and was not only general for the king of England against the king of France, but leader of a croifade for pope Urban VI. who refided at Rome, and was acknowledged by the English, against pope Clement VII. who resided at Avignon, and was received by the French, Castilians, and Scots (53). This last character was of great advantage to the bishop, and enabled him both to raife and pay his army. The military men flew to his standard to gain the pardon of their fins, which was promifed to all who engaged in this pious enterprise; and the good ladies of England, thinking that the old gentleman at Rome had a much better right to keep the keys of the kingdom of heaven than his antagonist at Avignon, contributed very liberally both in money and jewels to the expences of the expedition (54).

Progress of the bishop of Norwich.

The bishop having spent some days at Calais in refreshing his men, took the field, and was very successful in his first military operations. He took Gravelines by affault; and having defeated an army of 30,000 French and Flemings near Dunkirk, he made himfelf master of that place. He then gained the towns of Burburgh, Cassel, Dixmude, Furnes, Newport, and Popering, with fome others, and belieged Ypres. But this place put a ftop to his career: being strongly fortified, and bravely defended, it refifted all his affaults; and his army, hearing of the approach of the king of France, decamped with great precipitation, without his confent. One part of the English army marched to Burburgh, under fir Thomas Trivet and others, and the other part of it retired, with the bishop, to Gravelines. The French army invested Burburgh, and obliged the English to furrender the place, on condition of being allowed to march, with their arms, horses, and baggage, to Ca-The French then fat down before Gravelines. where, apprehending a flout refiftance, they offered the bishop 15,000 marks, with liberty to demolish the town,

(55) Froillart, l. 2. c. 142. 145. Walfing, p. 298-303.

<sup>(52)</sup> Rymer, vol. 7. p. 385, 406. Walfing, p. 298.

<sup>(53)</sup> Walting. p. 291. (54) Knyghton, p. 2671. Walling. p. 297.

211

and to retire with his army where he pleased. The A. D. 1383. bishop, after waiting some time for succours from England, accepted these terms, and, having demolished Gravelines, returned home with the shattered remains of his army (56). Thus ended this famous expedition of this martial bishop, in which he did not betray any want of military skill or courage.

Soon after the bishop's return, a parliament met. Parliament. October 26, to deliberate concerning a peace with Scotland, and the means of profecuting the war with France and Castile (57). The Scots had engaged to fend commissioners to this parliament to negotiate a peace; but, at the infligation of France, they neglected to do this till it was too late (58). The parliament granted the king two half-fifteenths for defraying the expences of the

war (59).

The bishop of Norwich was arraigned before this Bishop of assembly, by the king's ministers, for the miscarriage of accused. his expedition; and though he defended himfelf with great spirit, yet finding the torrent too strong to be resisted, he cast himself on the king's mercy, and was for

fome time deprived of his temporalities (60).

About this time overtures for a peace between England A.D. 1384. and France were made by the duke of Brittany; and France and the duke of Lancaster going over to Calais, entered into Scotland. a negotiation on that subject with the dukes of Berry and Burgundy, uncles to the king of France. But the French infifting on the restitution of Calais, Cherburg, and Breft, these negotiations produced only a truce from January 26, to Michaelmas, in which the Scots were to be included, if they pleased (61). The Scots, meditating an incursion into England, did not immediately ac+ cept of the truce; and the duke of Lancaster, after his return from Calais, made an expedition into Scotland, where he plundered and burnt fome places; which the Scots foon after retaliated, and then acceded to the truce (62).

(62) Id. ibid. c. 148, 149, 150.

<sup>(16)</sup> Walfing. p. 305. (57) Parliament. Hift, vol. 1, p. 378. (58) Walting. p. 307. (59) (60) Cotton's Abridgment, p. 192. (59) Parliament, Hift. vol. 1. p. 379.

<sup>(61)</sup> Rymer, t. 7. p. 419-423. Froisfart, l. 2. c. 147.

A. D. 1384. Confulions in London.

The city of London was about this time a scene of great confusion, and of frequent tumults, occasioned chiefly by John Northampton the late mayor, a creature of the duke of Lancaster's. But one John Constantin being condemned and executed, and Northampton imprisoned, the tranquillity of the city was restored (63).

Duke of Lancaster accused.

An affair of a very dark and mysterious nature was transacted at a parliament which met at Salisbury, April 25. An Irish Carmelite friar accused the duke of Lancafter, before the king and council, of having formed a plot to murder the king and usurp the crown. just then returned from his expedition into Scotland, denied the charge with great vehemence, and infifted that his accuser should be confined until he had made good his accufation. The friar was accordingly committed to the custody of fir John Holland; but he was found dead in his chamber, on the night before the day appointed for his appearance in council. The enemies of the duke gave out, that the poor friar had been murdered; and the duke's friends afferted, that he had killed himself (64). At this diffance of time it is impossible to discover which of these affertions was most agreeable to truth. This parliament at Salisbury granted the king one half-fifteenth (65).

Truce with

Though the duke of Lancaster was very unpopular, and generally suspected of the most ambitious and criminal designs, his power, wealth, and instructe, were so great, that he still had the chief direction of public assairs. He went into France in August, with a grand retinue, to renew the negotiations for a peace; but after spending 50,000 marks, he obtained only a truce till May 1, A. D. 1385 (66).

Late mayor of London tried.

The king's ministers took the opportunity of the duke's absence to bring his great partisan John Northampton to his trial: and he was sentenced to perpetual imprisonment a hundred miles from London, and his estate confiscated (67).

Intention to bring the duke of Lancaster to trial.

Encouraged by this fuccess, the ministry formed the bold design of bringing the duke himself to a trial for treafon, before fir Robert Tresilian, chief justice of the

(63) Walfing. p. 308. (64) Walfing. p. 309. (65) ld. p. 310.

(66) Rymer, vol. 7. p. 438-447. Walfing. p. 310.

(67) Walfing. p. 310.

king's bench: a design equally imprudent and illegal. A.D. 1384. The duke, informed of their intention, retired to his castle of Pontefract, and every thing seemed to threaten a civil war, when the princess of Wales interposed, and with much difficulty patched up a kind of reconciliation between the duke and the king her fon (68).

A parliament met at Westminster, November 12, and Parliament, granted the king vo fifteenths to enable him to profecute the war with France, Castile, and Scotland, at the ex-

piration of the truce (69).

The French, resolving to remove the seat of the war A.D. 1385. out of their own country, fent John de Vienne, admi-Richard's ral of France, with a fleet, a body of troops, and a large into Scotfum of money, to engage the Scots to invade the north land. of England; while a prodigious fleet and army was preparing in France for an invasion of it in the fouth (70). The Scots, ever ready to listen to such propofals, made an incursion into Northumberland, burning and plundering the country. The king, refolving to march in person against the Scots, summoned all the military tenants of the crown, and, in August, entered Scotland at the head of thirty thousand horse, besides foot. The Scots, unable to meet this army in the field, retired northward, carrying with them their cattle and most valuable effects; and the English, meeting with no opposition, burnt Edinburgh and some other towns, and desolated the open country. But while they were thus employed, an army of Scots had entered the west marches, and were acting the same destructive part; which obliged the English to evacuate Scotland, and return, about the middle of September, to the defence of their own country (71). If the other part of the scheme had been as well executed, England would have been exposed to much danger; but so much time was spent in collecting ships for transporting the troops to the English coast, that it was not till September that a fleet of 1200 fail rendezvoused in the harbour of Sluys. Here they were detained near two months by contrary winds; fo that it was at length resolved to delay the expedition till next fpring (72).

(72) Froissart, 1. 3. c. 25.

<sup>(68)</sup> Walfing. p. 314. (69) Parliament. Hift, vol. 1: p. 383. (70) Walfing. p. 316. Froiffart, I. 2. c. 156.

<sup>(71)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2742. Froiffart, l. 2. c. 171, 172, 173.

and his uncles.

A.D. 1385. When the king entered Scotland with his army, he conferred new honours, and extravagant grants, on his bestows ho- two great favourites, Michael de la Pole, the chancellor. nours on his and Robert de Vere earl of Oxford; and his two uncles. the earls of Cambridge and Buckingham, were not ashamed at this time to share with these favourites in the fpeils of the crown. The earl of Cambridge, lately returned from Portugal, was made duke of York, and the earl of Buckingham duke of Gloucester, with a grant of 1000l. a-year to each of them (73). Michael de la Pole was made earl of Suffolk, with a grant of 1000 marks ayear; and the earl of Oxford was made marguis of Dublin, and not long after duke of Ireland, with a grant of the whole kingdom of Ireland. All these new honours and grants were confirmed by a parliament, which met October 20, and gave the king a tenth and fifteenth, and half a tenth and fifteenth, for profecuting the war (74).

A. D. 1386. Duke of Lancafter's expedition into Spain.

So good an understanding sublisted at this time between the king's favourites and his uncles, that the duke of Lancafter was at length indulged in his darling defign of conducting an English army into Spain, to affert his claim to the crowns of Castile and Leon; and one half of the fupplies granted by the last parliament was given him for that purpose. The conjuncture was thought favourable for profecuting this defign. John, the prefent king of Castile, having married Beatrix, the only legitimate child of Ferdinand late king of Portugal, claimed that crown, and, in order to obtain it, besieged Lisbon. But the Portuguese, hating the Castilians, placed John, a natural brother of Ferdinand, on the throne; and under his conduct, raifed the fiege of Lisbon, and gained a great victory over the Castilians at Aljubarata (75). The new king of Portugal, still dreading the superior power of his rival the king of Castile, entered into a strict alliance with the duke of Lancaster, engaging to assist him with his whole power in obtaining possession of the kingdoms of Castile and Leon. The duke, encouraged by fo powerful an ally, raifed an army of 20,000 men; and taking with him his wife Constantia, heiress of Castile, and his daughters, Philippa, Elifabeth, and Catherine,

(75) Froiffart, 1. 3. c. 15.

<sup>(73)</sup> Rymer, vol. 7. p. 481-484. (74) Parliament, Hift, vol. 1. p. 387. Walling, p. 320, 321.

215

failed from Portsmouth in May; and having, in his pas- A.D. 1386. fage, raifed the fiege of Brest, which was besieged by the duke of Brittany, he arrived at Corunna August o (76). Here we shall leave him to prosecute his claim,

and return to the affairs of England.

The French thinking this a proper scason for invading French , England, when deprived of fo great a number of her invalida bravest fons, made prodigious preparations for that purpose, threatened. The army defigned for this expedition, when reviewed at Arras, amounted to 63,000 men, and a fleet of 1200 ships was provided at Sluys for transporting this army (77). These mighty preparations occasioned a great alarm in England, especially at London; but after the first consternation was over, and the military forces of the kingdom were properly stationed along the coasts, they waited with great tranquillity the arrival of the enemy. They never did arrive: for the feafon was fo far advanced before the duke of Berry joined them with his followers, that it was refolved in a great council of war to delay the expedition till the next year (78). Thus ended all those prodigious preparations of the French for invading England, which for feveral months had engaged the attention of all Europe, and by the expences of which many of the French nobility were almost ruined.

While the kingdom was in daily expectation of this French invasion, a parliament was fummoned to meet Parliament. October i, to provide for the support of the great num-

ber of troops employed in guarding the coasts (79).

It might have been imagined that the impending danger of fo formidable an invation would have rendered Diffentional this great affembly hearty and unanimous in supporting between government. But this was far from being the case. The the king and parhouse of commons, instead of granting the supplies, liament. made bitter complaints against Michael de la Pole, earl of Suffolk, lord chancellor, and infifted on his being immediately removed from his high office, and from the king's council. The king, to avoid granting this, retired to Eltham with his whole court; and the parliament

<sup>(76)</sup> Froiffart, l. 3. c. 29. 31, 32. Walfing. p. 321. Knyghton, l. 2677. (77) Walfing. p. 325. Froiffart, l. 3. c. 35. ent. 2677.

<sup>(78)</sup> Froiffart, 1. 3. c. 41, 42, 43, 44. (79) Parliament. Hill. vol. 1. p. 390. Cotton. Abridg. p. 314.

A. D. 1386 fent the duke of Gloucester (the chief mover of this profecution against the ministers) and James Arundel bishop of Ely, to invite the king to return to his parliament; and to threaten, that if he did not comply, they - would immediately dislolve, and leave the nation in its present distracted state. The king, not complying at first, the parliamentary commissioners made a second speech in a much higher strain, putting him in mind of the deposition of Edward II. and plainly intimating that this would be his fate, if he did not return to his parliament (80).

Earl of Sunolk condemned.

The king, intimidated by this threat, promifed to come in three days, and give his parliament full fatisfaction. He came accordingly, and in full parliament the bishop of Ely was made chancellor in the room of the Earl of Suffolk, who had refigned, the bishop of Hereford was made treasurer instead of the bishop of Durham, and John de Waltham was made keeper of the privy feal. The refignation of the earl of Suffolk did not appeale the house of commons, who brought an impeachment against him before the lords, for high crimes and misdemeanours. Such as peruse the articles of this impeachment with candour, will probably be of opinion, that there was much of party-rage in this profecution; and that the earl's greatest crime was, the too great share he had in the favour and confidence of his royal mafter (81). He was found guilty, deprived of all he had received from the crown, except the title of Earl of Suffolk, and 201. a year out of the profits of that county, and committed to the custody of his mortal enemy the duke of Gloucester.

Council of regency . appointed.

The parliament did not think fit to profecute any other of the king's ministers at this time; but they obliged himself to sign a commission to certain lords, eleven in number, with the chancellor, treasurer, and keeper of the privy feal, which divested him of all authority, and entirely changed the English constitution for a season (82). After all these transactions, this famous parliament granted the king a half tenth and fifteenth, three shillings on

<sup>(80)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2680—2683. (81) Id. col. 2684, 2685. Par. Hift. vol. 1. p. 397—399. (82) Id. col. 2686-2692. Id. ibid. vol. 1. p. 401-404.

every ton of wine, and one shilling in the pound on all A.D. 1386.

merchandife, for the defence of the nation.

The duke of Lancaster, after landing at Corunna, Proceedmade an unsuccessful attack on the castle of that place; ings of the but he was more fortunate in his attempts on St. Jago de duke of Campostella, Padrone, and some other towns of Gallicia, in Spain. which submitted. After the campaign was over, he had an interview with the king of Portugal at Porto, where a marriage was folemnized between that king and the princess Philippa, the duke's daughter by Blanche of Lancaster, his first wife. At this interview, these two princes fettled the plan of their operations for the next campaign, against their common enemy John king of Cas-

tile (83).

investing the eleven commissioners, together with the Proceedings new chancellor, treasurer and keeper of the privy seal, after he had with an almost unlimited authority, he found that he pof-figned the fessed no more than the empty name of king. His per-commission fon was neglected, his court deferted, and all applica- of regency, tions made to the duke of Gloucester, and the other commissioners, who were all, except the archbishop of York, zealous partifans of the duke. This neglect and folitude was very difagreeable to a young prince, fond of power, but still more fond of pomp; and there is no reason to doubt, that he entertained a very lively resentment against his two uncles the dukes of York and Gloucefter, and the lords of their party, who had reduced him to this state of infignificancy. He was still attended by a few persons, who were the chief objects of his affection, and were refolved to share his fortunes. The chief of these were Robert de Vere, lately created duke of Ireland, the earl of Suffolk, who had escaped out of his prison at Windsor, Alexander Nevel, archbishop of York, fir Robert Trefilian, chief justice of the king's bench, fir Nicholas Brembre, late mayor of London, fir Simon de Burley, constable of Dover castle, and some others of inferior note. The king held frequent confultations with these confidents about the means of emancipating himself from his present state of subjection, and recovering his loft authority. In these consultations, it is

As foon as the king had figned the above commission, A. D. 1287.

A. D. 1387 not improbable, that some very rash and desperate proposals were made. But many designs are said to have been formed by the king and his ministers, fo foolish as well as wicked, that it feems probable they were the political lies of the day, invented and propagated by his enemies, to inflame the popular hatred against him and his favourites. Sometimes it was reported that the king and his minifters defigned to feize the third part of every man's perfonal estate, or to impose a heavy tax on every man's head of 6s. 8d. At other times it was rumoured, that Richard intended to poison the duke of Gloucester at a city-feast, or to murder him in an ambuscade. One day it was given out, that the king was bringing over an army of Bohemians and Germans, and the next an army of French, to cut the throats of all his enemies (84). These reports were circulated with great industry by the prevailing party, and rendered the unhappy Richard, and his few adherents, the objects of universal deteftation.

Intended invation from France prevented.

While this was the state of affairs in England, the French were preparing for an invasion. But when all things were in readiness, an event happened which entirely blafted the defign. De Clisson constable of France, who was to command in this expedition, had lately paid a great fum of money for the ranfom of John de Blois, pretender to the dutchy of Brittany, who had been many vears a prisoner in England. This raised the jealousy of the reigning duke of Britanny, who feized De Cliffon when he was ready to embark, and threw him into prifon (85). In the mean time the earl of Arundel, admiral of England, put to fea with a gallant fleet; and falling in with a large fleet of French, Flemish, and Spanish merchantmen, escorted by some ships of war, on March 24, he obtained a complete victory, took a hundred and fixty fail, loaden chiefly with wine, and brought them into England (86).

in Spain.

Proceedings, The duke of Lancaster, with his fon-in-law the king of the duke of Portugal, took the field about the beginning of Mav, et Lancatter and made themselves masters of some places in the kingdom of Leon. But the king of Castile having received a reinforcement of French troops, appeared at the head

<sup>(84)</sup> Froiffart, I. 3. c. 77, 78. Walfing. p. 324. (95) Froiffart, (86) Walfing. p. 396. Knyghton, col. 2692 1. 3. c. 74, 75, 76.

of an army, and put a stop to their further progress. The A. D. 1387. heat of the climate was more destructive to the English army than their enemies; and two thirds of them are faid to have died this fummer of a contagious diffemper. The duke himself was seized with the same distemper, and brought to the point of death. After his recovery, despairing of the conquest of Castile, he retired, with his family, and the shattered remains of his army, into Guienne (87).

King Richard, to divert his chagrin, and perhaps with Confulrafome other views, fet out with a flender retinue, about tion at Not the beginning of August, on a progress into the north tingham. At Nottingham, on the 25th of that month, he held a council of his confidents, confisting of the archbishops of York and Dublin, the bishops of Durham, Chichester, and Bangor, the duke of Ireland, the earl of Suffolk. and a few others. At this council certain questions were proposed to the judges, who attended for that purpose, concerning the illegality of the late famous commission. To these questions the judges returned answers in writing. under their feals, declaring the commission illegal; and

that all who advised, promoted, and acted under it, were guilty of treason, and ought to be punished as trai-

tors (88).

This transaction did not long remain a secret; for the The kine very next day Roger Fulthorp, one of the justices of the returns to common pleas, communicated it to the earl of Kent, and London. it foon reached the ears of the duke of Gloucester, and the lords of his party, whom it fo nearly concerned. Alarmed at this intelligence, the duke and his partifans determined to prevent their own destruction, by the ruin of their enemies about the king. With this view they fent private orders to their friends and followers to hold themselves in readiness to take arms at a moment's warning; and dispatched the archbishop of Canterbury to perfuade the king to return to London, which, being entirely at their devotion, was the most proper place for executing their defign. The archbishop succeeded in his embassy, and, without much difficulty, persuaded the king and his favourites (who do not feem to have had the

(87) Froiffart, I. 3. c. 87, 88, 89. 91, 92. 94.

<sup>(88)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2693. Parl. Hift. vol. 1. p. 407, &c.

A. D. 1387-least suspicion of what was designed against them) to return to London. The king, accompanied by his devoted ministers, entered that city on Monday November 10. and was received by the mayor, and a great multitude of citizens, on horfeback, and conducted to his palace (80).

Duke of Gloucester tifans take arms.

But the very next day the king received intelligence, that the duke of Gloucester, the earls of Arundel and and his par- Warwick, were approaching, at the head of an army of 40,000 men (90). He did not long remain ignorant of their intentions; for these lords, being arrived with their army at Haringay park, fent a letter to the lord mayor of London, on Wednesday the 13th, desiring, or rather commanding him to make proclamation in the city, that their defign in taking arms was to bring the traitors about the king's person, viz. the archbishop of York, the duke of Ireland, the earl of Suffolk, Robert Tresilian false justice, and Nicholas Brembre false knight, to justice (or ). The next day the three lords were joined at Walthamcrofs by the earl of Derby and the earl marshal; and these five made a formal appeal, or accusation of high treason, against the five ministers above mentioned, before the prelates of Canterbury and Ely, who notified this appeal the same day to the king at Westminster (92).

Glousefter, &s. intvoduced to the king in Westminfter-hall.

It was now high time for those five who saw their destruction was determined, to confult their own prefervation. The duke of Ireland made his escape into the north, and the rest concealed themselves in different places, After this the lords appellants, as the duke of Gloucester and the four earls were called, agreed to appear before the king in Westminster-hall, on Sunday the 17th, to make known their grievances and desires (93). On that day the lords entered the city with extreme caution, and pretended to be under the greatest apprehensions of being surprised and destroyed by their enemies. fpent fo much time in fearching York-house, the Mews, and other places, for ambushes, that the king waited two hours in Westminster-hall, seated on his throne, before they appeared. When they approached the throne, they fell upon their knees, and, with great professions of

<sup>(89)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2696. (90) Id. col. 2699.

<sup>(91)</sup> Id. ibid. Brady Hift. vol. 2. p. 368. (92) Knyghton, col. 2700.

<sup>(93)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2701. Walfing. p. 330.

lovalty, declared, that in taking up arms they had no de- A. D. 1387, fign against his royal person or authority, but only to bring the five traitors whom they had accused to punishment. The king, taking each of them by the hand, raised them from their knees, and assured them, that the persons appealed should be brought before the next parliament, which was to meet on February 3, to undergo their trial (94).

In the mean time, the duke of Ireland was endeavour-Duke of ing to raife an army for his own defence, and the deli-Ireland deverance of his royal master; and, by the assistance of feated. some gentlemen in Cheshire, he got together a body of 5000 men, with which he begun his march towards London, in hopes that his forces would increase as he advanced. But the confederated lords immediately put themfelves at the head of their army, reinforced by a great body of Londoners, and marched northwards. The two armies met, December 20, at Radcot-bridge in Oxfordshire, where a battle was fought, in which the troops of the duke of Ireland were entirely routed, the duke escaping with great difficulty, by passing the river Isis on horseback, at the hazard of his life (95).

The duke of Gloucester, with the lords of his party, The king's marched back to London with their victorious army, and attendants arrived at Clerkenwell December 26, where they were imprisoned or banished. met by the lord mayor, who delivered to them the keys of the city. The same day they had a conference with the king in the tower, who being now wholly in their power, gave orders for committing to prison, or banishing from court, every person whom they thought fit to name. About fourteen lords, knights, and gentlemen, were committed on this occasion to different castles, to take their trials at the approaching parliament; two bishops, three lords, and three ladies, were banished from court; not fo much as one person being left about the king for whom he had the least affection, or in whom he could place the smallest confidence (96).

On Monday February 3, that famous parliament, fo A.D. 1388. much dreaded by the one party, and desired by the other, Parliamet at Westminster. The session was opened by a speech ment.

<sup>(94)</sup> Id. p. 331. Rymer, vol. 7. p. 567.

<sup>(95)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2703. (96) Id. col. 2705, 2706.

A.D. 1388. from the lord chancellor, the bishop of Ely, declaring the design of the meeting to be, "To consider by what

" means the troubles in the kingdom for want of good " government, might be ended, the king better advised.

the realm better governed, mildemeanors more fevere-" ly punished, good men better encouraged, the fea

best kept, the marches of Scotland best defended, and "Guienne preferved; and how the charges of all thefe

things might be most easily borne (97)."

The five lords appellants then exhibited their accusation of high treason against Alexander archbishop of York, Robert de Vere duke of Ireland, Michael de la Pole earl of Suffolk, fir Robert Trefilian, and fir Nicholas Brembre, digested into thirty-nine articles (98). These articles are very long, containing many general charges against the accused of engrossing the royal favour-giving the king ill advice-obtaining grants for themselves and their friends from the crown, and the like. The famous opinion of the judges at Nottingham was not forgotten; every thing was much exaggerated, and expressed with the greatest acrimony. The accused being called feveral days, and not appearing, and the lords having taken fome time to examine the articles, they, on Thursday 13th of February, condemned all the five to be executed as traitors, and their estates confiscated (99).

Destruction favourites.

The duke of Ireland had made his escape into Holland. of the king's where he died about four years after. The earl of Suffolk also got beyond seas, and died at Paris this same year (100). The archbishop of York was taken at Shields ; but his enemies not daring to execute one of his character, he was allowed to escape, and spent the short remainder of his days in Flanders, as curate of a small parish. Sir Robert Trefilian and fir Nicholas Brembre being taken, were executed, the one on the 19th, the other on the 20th of February (101).

To pay a compliment to the king, when they were Compliment to the thus destroying his most zealous friends, the prevailing party thought fit to have it declared in parliament, that! king.

<sup>(97)</sup> Parliament. Hist. vol. 1. p. 411.

<sup>(98)</sup> Brady Hist. vol. 2. p. 372-333. Parliament. Hist. vol. 1. p. A14-427.

<sup>(99)</sup> Parliament. Hist. vol. 1. p. 414-427. (100) Speed, p. 604.

<sup>(101)</sup> l'arliament. Hist, vol. 1. p. 431.

nothing contained in the articles against the five con-A.D. 1389. demned traitors should reflect any dishonour on the king, on account of his youth, and the innocency of his royal

person (102).

On the first day of parliament, fir Robert Belknap, Judges bachief justice of the common pleas, fir Roger Fulthorp, nished. fir John Holt, fir William Burgh, judges of the fame court, fir John Carey, chief baron of the exchequer, and John Loketon, king's fergeant, had been taken out of the courts of Westminster-hall, and committed to the tower; and on Monday March 2, they were impeached by the commons of high treason, for putting their hands and feals to the famous questions and answers at Nottingham. The judges and fergeant pleaded in excuse, that they had been overawed and threatened by the archbishop of York, the duke of Ireland, and earl of Suffolk, to do what they had done. No regard was paid to this excuse: and on March 6, they were all condemned to be drawn and hanged as traitors, and their estates confiscated. But their lives were spared, at the intercession of the bishops; and they were fent into Ireland, and there confined to different towns for life (103).

On Tuesday March 3, John Blake and Thomas Uik Others conwere impeached of high treason by the commons; the demned and former for drawing up the questions proposed to the judges at Nottingham, and the latter for procuring himfelf to be made under-sheriff of Middlesex, with a design to arrest the duke of Gloucester and other lords. They both pleaded, that they acted by the king's command. But, without regard to this plea, they were condemned on March 4 to be drawn and hanged as traitors; and this fentence was executed upon them that fame day (104).

On Friday March 6, the bithop of Chichester, the Bishop of king's confessor, was impeached of high treason by the Chichester commons, for being present when the questions were proposed to the judges at Nottingham, and for perfuading and threatening them to give their answers. He denied the last part of the charge with great folemnity, and declared, that the judges had acted on that occasion with perfect freedom. But notwithstanding this defence, he

<sup>(102)</sup> Parliament, Hift, vol. 1, p. 432.

<sup>(103)</sup> Parliament, Hist. vol. (. p. 43-) Rymer, vol. 7. p. 591.

<sup>(104)</sup> Parliament. Hift, vol. 1. p. 434.

A.D. 1388. was condemned to the punishment of a traitor, his estate confiscated, and the temporalities of his see to be seized into the king's hands. But his life was spared on account of his office, and he was banished into Ireland (105).

More perfons accufed.

The vindictive spirit of the duke of Gloucester and his party was not yet fatisfied; for on March 12, fir Simon Burley, fir John Beauchamp, fir John Salifbury, and fir James Berners, were all impeached of high. treason; and a charge, consisting of sixteen articles. exhibited against them by the commons. The chief crimes alledged against them in these articles were, their being privy to the deligns of the five persons first condemned by this parliament; their possessing too great a share in the favour and confidence of the king, and giving him ill advice. They all pleaded, Not guilty; and the holydays now approaching, the parliament adjourned on Friday March 20, to Monday April 14. This famous parliament was by this time become little better than a party confederacy, ready to gratify all the passions of the duke of Gloucester and the other lords: for on the day of the adjournment all the members of both houses took a folemn oath, to fland by Thomas duke of Gloucester. Henry earl of Derby, Richard earl of Arundel and Surrey, Thomas earl of Warwick, and Thomas earl marshal, to maintain and support them with all their power. and to live and die with them against all men (106).

During the recess of parliament, great endeavours were used to save the lives of the sour impeached knights, particularly of fir Simon Burley. This gentleman had been greatly esteemed by Edward III. and the Black Prince, by whom he had been appointed tutor to Richard. He was much beloved by the king, whom he had constantly attended from his infancy; and having conducted the queen into England, he stood high in her savour. This princess, who was commonly called the good queen Anne, fell on her knees before the duke of Gloucester, and with the most earnest importunity begged the life of Burley (107). But all in vain; the duke was inexorable; and Burley being brought into parliament on May 5, was found guilty of high treason, and beheaded that same day on Tower-hill. On the 12th May, the other three

<sup>(105)</sup> Parliament, Hift, vol. 1. p. 437.

<sup>(106)</sup> Brady Hift, vol. 2. Append, No. 106.

<sup>(107)</sup> Vita Ricardi II. p. 102.

knights had the fame fentence pronounced and executed A. D. 1388. upon them, Beauchamp and Berners being beheaded, and

Salifbury hanged (108).

The parliament had, in the intervals of these trials, Grants. found leisure to grant the king three shillings on every ton of wine imported, a shilling in the pound on all merchandise, except wool, one half-tenth, and one half-fifteenth; and on June 2, they continued the high duties on wool, wool-fells, and leather (109). The duke of Gloucester, having taken this dreadful vengeance on his enemies, did not forget to reward himself and his friends; for he obtained a vote for 20,000l. to himself and the other lords appellants, out of the subsidy on wool; and then this parliament was dissolved June 4, after a session of four months, the longest that had ever been in England.

The fentiments of the people of those times were Observamuch divided concerning the conduct of this famous partion. liament. The prevailing party called it "the parliament that wrought wonders;" but others gave it the appellation of "the parliament without mercy (110)". It cannot be denied, that this assembly declared many things to be high treason, and punished them as such, which bore no resemblance to that great offence; never resecting, when instance with party-rage, that they were making precedents which might one day prove fatal to themselves, or their posterity.

It was very happy for the English at this time, that Battle of the king of France was so much engaged in emancipat-Otterburn.

ing himself from the dominion of his uncles, that he could take no advantage of their civil dissensions. But the Scots made several incursions into the north of England in this spring and summer; and an army of them, commanded by the earl of Douglas, besieged Newcastle. Henry lord Percy, better known in history by the name of Hotspur, obliged the Scots to raise the siege; and following them to Otterburn, a bloody battle was there fought August 10, in which earl Douglas was slain on the one side, and on the other Hotspur and his brother Ralph

(110) Knyghton, col. 2701.

<sup>(108)</sup> Parliament. Hift. vol. 1. p. 436.

<sup>(109)</sup> Rymer, vol. 7. p. 620. Cotton's Abridg. p. 332.

1. 1

A.D. 1388. Percy were taken prisoners; and both nations claimed the victory (111). The earl of Arundel, admiral of England, put to sea this summer with a gallant sleet, made some descents on the coast of France, and took a considerable number of ships (112).

Transactions of the duke of Lancatter.

. The duke of Lancaster spent this whole year in Guienne, where he was more fuccessful in his political intrigues, than he had been the year before in his warlike enterprises. The duke of Berry, uncle to the king of France, paid his addresses to the princess Catherine, only child of the duke of Lancaster, and his wife Constance, heiress of Castile. The king of Castile was greatly alarmed at the news of this courtship, apprehending, that if this marriage took effect, it would produce a peace between France and England; and that thefe two powerful nations would unite in pulling him down from his throne, and placing the duke of Berry in his room. To prevent this danger, he caused very advantageous proposals to be made to the duke of Lancaster for a marriage between Catharine and his eldest son Henry prince of Castile. The duke, wifely confidering that this was the most direct way of putting an end to all disputes about the crown of Castile, as well as of gaining great advantages to himself, accepted of these proposals; by which he was to receive 200,000 crowns for the expences of his expedition, together with an annuity of 10,000 florins to himself, and one of an equal sum to his wife Constance, during their respective lives (113).

A. D. 1389. The kings of England and France being both heartily Truce with wearied of that war which had so long subsisted between the two nations, fent their plenipotentiaries to Lenlinghen, who concluded a truce till August 16, A. D. 1392; in which all the allies of both crowns were included (114).

Richard affumes the government,

Though Richard had now submitted about a year to the dictates of the duke of Gloucester, who ruled every thing at his pleasure, he secretly resolved to throw off the yoke as soon as possible. In consequence of this resolution, when a very numerous council was assembled, May 3, the king entered, and, in a resolute tone, de-

manding

<sup>(111)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2728, 2729. Froiffart, l. 3. c. 123-129.

<sup>(112)</sup> Id. ibid. c. 116, 117, 133. (113) Froissart, l. 3. c. 138, 140. Walling, p. 347. Rymer, vol. 7. p. 603. (114) Rymer, vol. 7. p. 623.

manding to know, What age he was? It was answered, A.D. 1389. in his twenty-fecond year. Am I not then at age, re-plied he, to take the reins of government into my own hands, and no longer to remain under the management of tutors? The Gloucestrian party were struck dumb by this unexpected blow; and Richard, proceeding with spirit, took the great seal from Arundel archbishop of York, and gave it to William of Wickham, bishop of Winchester; he turned out the duke of Gloucester, the earls of Warwick and Arundel, and all who had been brought into office by them, and put others in their room (115). Thus was this triumphant party divested in a moment of that authority which they had obtained with fo much labour, and had endeavoured to fecure by fhedding fo much blood.

The first steps which Richard took after this total Wife admichange in the administration were very prudent. He nistration. issued a proclamation, May 16, to inform all his subjects. that he had taken the government into his own hands and that they might now expect to enjoy greater tranquillity than they had formerly done. Soon after he published a general pardon, and remitted the half-tenth and half-fifteenth which had been granted by the last parliament. These gentle measures so quieted the minds and gained the affections of the people, that the discarded party found it impossible to raise the least disturbance

(116).

While things were in this fituation, the duke of Lan-Duke of caster returned into England in November, after an ab-Lancaster fence of more than three years (117). Soon after his returns to arrival, Richard held a great council of peers at Reading; where the duke of Gloucester, and the lords of his party, were brought to court by Lancaster, and seemingly, at least, reconciled to the king by his media-

tion (118).

The flames of party which had raged with so much A.D. 1390. violence, being now a little fmothered, a parliament Parliament. met in great tranquillity, January 17, at Westmin-ster (119). The bishop of Winchester, lord chancellor,

(115) Vita R. II. p. 108. Rymer, vol. 7. p. 616. 618. 620. (115) Vita R. H. p. 100.

fing. p. 337. Knyghton, col. 2734.

(116) Rvm. Ford. vol. 7. p. 620.

(117) Walfing. p. 342.

(119) Parliament. Hift. vol. 1. p. 442.

Grants.

A.D. 1390 opened the fession with a speech, in which he declared, that the king being now of full age, was determined to govern his fubjects in peace and quiet, and to do justice to all both of the clergy and laity. He put them also in mind, that the nation being furrounded with enemies. it would be necessary either to make peace or provide for war (120). On the fourth day of the parliament, the lord chancellor delivered the great feal, and the bishop of St. David's, the treasurer, delivered the keys of the exchequer, to the king before both houses; and all the other members of the council begged leave to refign their feveral offices, which was granted. After all thefe refignations, it was declared in full parliament, that if any one had any complaint to make against any of these perfons, they might now do it with all freedom. It was answered by both lords and commons, " That they knew 66 nothing amifs of any of them, and that they had be-66 haved themselves well, in their respective offices." After this honourably testimony in their favour, the king re-delivered the feal to the bishop of Winchester, and the keys of the exchequer to the bishop of St. David's, and restored all the rest to their former offices, at the fame time admitting the dukes of Lancaster and Gloucester into the council; but with this protestation, that he still had it in his power to retain or dismiss any of these counsellors at his pleasure (121).

The king's uncles had by this time paid their court fo effectually to their royal nephew, that they obtained the most valuable favours from him in this parliament. The duke of Lancaster was created duke of Aquitaine for life, with a grant of all the revenues of that duchy. Edward, eldest son of the duke of York, was created earl of Rutland, with a grant of 800 marks a-year to support that dignity. The commons granted the king forty shillings on every sack of wool exported, and sive marks on every last of leather, one third of which to supply the king's present occasions, and the remainder to be reserved as a

fund in case of war (122).

fublidy on wool, wool-fells, and leather, was continued

<sup>(120)</sup> Id. ibid. (121) Id. ibid. (122) Cotton Abridg. p. 332.

for three years; and one half-tenth, and one half-fifteenth, A. D. 1390. were granted to defray the expences of the duke of Lancaster, and other plenipotentiaries, who were to be fent to Amiens to negotiate a peace with France (123). In this parliament the king confirmed a grant which he had formerly made, to the dukes of York and Gloucester, of 1000l. a-vear (124). To repair the breaches which had been made in the constitution during the late commotions, it was declared by this parliament, "That the prefent king should be as free, and enjoy all the preroco gatives that any of his noble progenitors, formerly kings of England, had enjoyed (125)." Nay, fo good an understanding subsisted at this time between the king and his people, that on the last day of this parliament both houses returned their humble thanks to the king. for his good government, and for the great affection and zeal he had continually shewn for the good of his people; and the king thanked them for their grants (126).

Nothing happened during this whole year to diffurb A. D. 13912 that happy tranquillity which England now enjoyed. A Parliament. parliament which met November 3; at Westminster. granted the king ample supplies, and confirmed all his

royal prerogatives by a statute (127).

As the truce between England and France, and their A. D. 1392. allies on both fides, was to expire this year in August. Truce. great endeavours were used to bring about a peace before that time. For this purpose conferences were held at Amiens in the fpring, which produced only a prolongation of the truce to Michaelmas A. D. 1393 (128).

In the mean time, the city of London fell under the Quarrel beheavy displeasure of the court, on account of some tu-tween the mults, in one of which the populace affaulted the palace court and of the bishop of Salisbury, who avas high treasurer. For these offences the mayor and theriffs were imprisoned. and the city was deprived of its liberties. But the citizens having fubmitted to the king's pleasure, and implored his mercy, he entered the city in a kind of triumph, August 21, and was received with great demonstrations of respect and joy. Soon after this all their

<sup>(123)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2739.

<sup>(124)</sup> Parliament, Hift, vol. 1, p. 448. (125) Id. ibid. (126) Id. ibid. (127) Id. ibid.

<sup>(128)</sup> Rymer. Fæd. t. 7. p. 722. Walfing. p. 347.

A.D. 1392. charters were restored and confirmed, at the intercession of the queen (120). But the king's feverity feems to have made a deeper impression on the minds of the citizens than his mercy.

A. D. 1393. Conferences for a peace between France and England Truce. were held at Lonlinghen, in the spring of this year; and, with fome interruptions, continued to May 27, A. D. 1304, when a truce for four years was conclud-

ed (130).

A. D. 1304. T.xpedition into Ireland.

A temporary peace being now established, Richard refolved upon an expedition into Ireland, to fettle the affairs of that kingdom, as well as to divert his grief for the loss of his beloved confort, the good queen Anne, who died at Shene, on Whitfunday this year (131). the English who had estates in Ireland were commanded, by a proclamation, to be in that kingdom by September 8 (132). Having provided a fleet and army, the king failed from Milford haven about Michaelmas, and foon after landed in Ireland, where he met with little opposition: for the Irish chieftains, finding themfelves unable to make effectual refistance, came in and made their fubmissions; and Richard, who was naturally generous, received them kindly, and loaded them with prefents. Having held a parliament, and fpent the winter in Dublin, he returned into England in the spring A. D. 1395 (133).

A.D. 1395.

While the king was in Ireland, the duke of York, Parliament, who had been appointed regent, called a parliament, which met at Westminster 28th January, and granted a tenth from the clergy, and a fifteenth from the laity, for defraying the expences of the Irish expedition. But to this grant the parliament annexed a protestation, "That " it was not made de jure, but out of good will and af-" fection to the king (134)."

Embaffy to France.

Richard, having been about a year a widower, refolved upon a fecond marriage, and fent a splendid embassy to the court of France, to demand the princess Isabella, eldest daughter of Charles VI. a child between seven and eight years of age (135). He was probably determined

(135) Rymer, Fæd. t. 7. p 802.

<sup>(129)</sup> Walfing. p. 348, &c. (130) Rymer. Fad. t. 7. p. 770. (131) Knyghton, col. 2711,

Walfing, p. 350. (132) Knyghton, col. 2741. Walfing. p. 350.

<sup>(133)</sup> Walfing. p. 351. (134) Parliament, Hift, vol. 1. p. 454.

to this unequal match by the hopes of accelerating the A.D. 1395. peace between the two nations, and of procuring a powerful fupport against his uncles, particularly the duke of Gloucester, of whose factious spirit he was in continual

The English ambassadors at the court of France hav- A. D. 1396. ing fettled all the articles of the intended marriage, the The king's contract was confirmed by Charles VI. at Paris, March o marriage. A. D. 1396 (136). At the same time and place, a prolongation of the truce between France and England, for twenty-five years, was ratified (137). As the king of England and the French princess were within the prohibited degrees of confanguinity, a diffensation from the pope was necessary; which retarded the marriage till November 1, when it was celebrated with great pomp in the church of St. Nicholas at Calais, by the archbishop

of Canterbury (138).

Thomas of Woodstock, duke of Gloucester, the A.D. 1397. youngest of king Richard's three uncles, was a prince of Duke of a covetous, ambitious, proud, and turbulent disposition. Glou-celter, &c. Though he had received grants of immense value from apprehis nephew, he was conffantly engaged in factious machi- hended. nations to disturb his government. He had been at the head of that party which had extorted a commission to do what they pleafed, A. D. 1386, and had made fuch a cruel use of their power, by dethroying all the king's ministers, judges, and see vants. He had opposed the French marriage and truce while they were in agitation, and exclaimed loudly against them after they were concluded. He feldom came to court, but to infult his fovereign, or to council, but to thwart his measures (139). He had. feveral meetings in the spring and summer of this year with the principal prelates and nobles of his party; in which, it is faid, the most daring designs were formed against the government, if not against the person of the king (140). Richard was not ignorant of his uncle's difaffection, and began to be under uneafy apprehentions about its confequences. These apprehensions were much increased by his two uterine brothers, the earls of Kent

(140) lb. ibid.

<sup>(136)</sup> Rymer. Feed. t. 7, p. 820. (137) Id. ibid. p. 82 (138) Id. ibid. p. 846. Walfingham, p. 353. (139) Fabian. Chronicle, vol. 2, p. 149. Freisfart, v. 4 c. 86. (137) Id. ibid. p. 821, &c.

A.D. 1397 and Huntington, and by his other confidents, who earneftly intreated him to prevent his own destruction and that of his friends, by feizing the duke of Gloucester. with the earls of Arundel and Warwick, his chief accomplices (141). To this the king at length gave his confent. The duke of Gloucester was surprised at his castle of Pleshy in Essex, hurried on board a ship, and conveyed to Calais, as a place of the greatest fecurity. The two earls were feized at the same time in London, and committed to prison (142).

Council at Nottingham.

As foon as those great persons were in custody, a council was held at Nottingham, August 1, to consider in what manner they were to be profecuted. At this council an appeal of treason was brought by fix earls and two lords, against the duke of Gloucester, the earls of Arundel and Warwick, to which they were to answer at the next parliament, which was furnmoned to meet at

Westminster, September 17.

Parliament.

Great preparations were made for this famous parliament, which was to determine the fate of a prince of the blood, and of fome of the most powerful nobles of the kingdom. A wooden building, of great extent, was erected near Westminster-hall, for the reception of so numerous an affembly (143). Six hundred men at arms. and two hundred archers, were raifed for a guard to the king: and all the lords came attended with fuch prodigious retinues, that they not only filled all the lodgings in London and its suburbs, but in all the towns and villages within ten or twelves miles around (144). In the fecond fession, the clergy of both provinces appointed fir Thomas Percy their procurator in the intended trials, at which the canons of the church did not permit them to be prefent (145). In the same session, the commission of regency, in the tenth year of the king's reign, was declared to have been traiteroully made; and all the pardons which had been granted to those who had acted under it were cancelled. Next day the commons impeached Thomas Arundel archbishop of Canterbury of treason; and the day after he was found guilty, and banished the

<sup>(141)</sup> Rymer, vol. 8. p. 6, 7. Froiffart, 1. 4. c. 90.

<sup>(142)</sup> Walfing. p. 354. (143) Stow's Chron. p. 315. (144) Rymer, Fud. tom. 8, p. 14. Hollingshed, Chron. p. 490.

<sup>(145)</sup> Walling. p. 354.

kingdom (146). In the next fession, the lords appellants A.D. 1397. gave in their articles of accusation against the earl of Arundel, which confifted of the feveral things he had done in procuring and executing the above commission. After a very short trial, he was condemned, carried directly from the bar to Tower-hill, and there beheaded, September 21 (147). On the same day, a mandate was issued by the king and his council in parliament, to Thomas earl marshal, governor of Calais, to bring the duke of Gloucester to the bar of the house as soon as possible, to answer to the accusation that had been given in against him by the lords appellants (148). To this mandate the earl marshal returned this answer, September 24, "That " he could not bring the faid duke before the king and his council in that parliament; for that, being in his " custody in the king's prison at Calais, he there died." The lords appellants and the house of commons then demanded, that the late duke of Gloucester should be declared to have been a traitor, and all his estates and honours forfeited; which was accordingly done (149). In the interval between the above mandate and the return. the earl of Warwick was tried and found guilty of treafon; but the king spared his life, and configned him to perpetual confinement in the ifle of Man (150). The four great objects of the king's displeasure being thus disposed of, the commons interceded for favour to the other prelates and lords who had been named in that famous commission, for which the four already tried had been condemned (151).

The time, place, and other circumstances of the death Duke of of the duke of Gloucester, excited strong suspicions that Gloucester he had been murdered; and these suspicions, it must be murdered. confessed, were highly probable. The king and his ministers, it was faid, not daring to bring a prince so nearly related to the crown, and fo exceedingly popular (particularly in London), to a public trial and execution, had employed affaffins to murder him in prison: a policy equally weak and wicked, which justly brought much odium on the king and his confidential fervants. The

<sup>(1.6)</sup> Walfing. p. 354. (147) Id. ibid. p. 354, 355. (148) Rymeri Fæd. t. 8. p. 15. (149) Parliament. Hift. vol. 1. p. 471. (150) V (151) Parliament, Hist. vol. 1 p. 478. (150) Walfing, p. 355.

A. D. 1397, precise time and manner of Gloucester's death were never certainly known, and are differently related by different

authors (152).

The king was fo well pleafed with this fession of parliament, which had been perfectly subservient to his will, that on the last day of it (September 29), he advanced the earls of Derby, Rutland, Kent, Huntington, and Nottingham, to be dukes of Hereford, Albemarle, Surry, Exeter, and Norfolk; the earl of Somerfet to be marquis of Dorfet; the lords Despenser, Nevile, Percy, and Scrope, to be earls of Gloucester, Westmoreland, Wercester, and Wiltshire; and then adjourned the parliament to the 27th January, to be then held at Shrewsbury (153).

A. D. 1398. at Shrewibury.

When the parliament met at Shrewsbury, proceed-Parliament ing in the same tract of submission to the royal pleasure, it reverfed all the acts of that famous parliament, A. D. 1388, in which the duke of Gloucester's party had predominated, and had executed vengeance on all their oppofers. The answers of the judges, for which they had been condemned as traitors, were now declared to be the answers of good and loyal subjects (154). Several persons who had been of the duke of Gloucester's party were condemned and forfeited; but their lives were spared. The house of commons granted very liberal supplies; and still further to manifest their affection to the king, they petitioned the house of lords to contrive some method to fecure the transactions of that parliament from fuch changes as had happened to those of former parliaments. After deliberating among themselves, and confulting with the judges, all the lords, spiritual and temporal, took a folemn oath, on the crofs of Canterbury, never to fuffer any of the transactions of that parliament to be changed; while all the members of the house of commons held up their hands, to fignify their taking the fame oath. The king, to crown the whole, procured a bull from the pope, to confirm all the acts of that parliament, which he caused to be publicly read in all the chief cities of the kingdom (155). But it foon appeared, that these were seeble securities against the torrent of faction,

<sup>(152)</sup> Froiffart, t. 4. c. 90. p. 292. Walfing. p 355.

<sup>(153)</sup> Parliament, Hift. vol. 1. p. 479. (154) Rufihead's Statutes, vol. 1. p. 419, 420. (155) Walfing. p. 356.

which in those times ran, sometimes on one side and A.D. 1398. fometimes on another, with fuch violence, that it levelled every mound, and overwhelmed all that stood in its way.

In the time of this parliament, a quarrel broke out Quarrel between the dukes of Hereford and Norfolk, which was between the attended with the most important and unexpected con-Hereford fequences. On the last day of January, and of the par-and Norliament, the duke of Hereford presented a schedule to rolk. the king, which he faid contained an account of certain flanderous words which the duke of Norfolk had spoken to him of his Majesty (156). This schedule being read, the lords and commons referred the determination of that affair to the king, and a committee of twelve lords and fix commoners, which the two houses had that day cho-

fen, and invested with parliamentary powers (157).

After this famous parliament was diffolved, the king Dukes of held feveral deliberations with the parliamentary com-Hereford missioners, on the dispute between the dukes of Hereford banished. and Norfolk. At length, when the one continued to deny what the other affirmed, it was refolved, that this controversy should be determined by the laws of chivalry, in a fingle combat between the centending parties; and that this combat should be fought at Coventry, September 16, before the king and the committee of parliament. But when the two noble combatants had entered the lifts, and were ready to engage, the king interposed, and by the advice of the parliamentary commissioners, pronounced the following fentence: "That the duke of "Hereford should be banished the kingdom for ten years, " to depart on or before the 13th of October next; " that the duke of Norfolk should void the realm for frem of life, and that he should be out of the kingdom by the 20th of October next (158)." Both the dukes, before their departure, obtained letters-patent from the king, with confent of the committee of parliament, impowering them to constitute certain persons their attornies, for receiving in their name any inheritance that might fall to them during their exile (159). This transaction, fufficiently mysterious in itself, is strangely misse-

<sup>(156)</sup> Parliament. Hift, vol. 1. p. 490. (157) Id. ibid. (158) Walling, p. 356. Parliament, Hift. vol. 1. p. 494.

<sup>(150)</sup> Rymeri Ford. t. 8. p. 49. 51.

A. D. 1398 presented by fir John Froissart, a contemporary historian, with a view to exculpate the duke of Hereford (afterwards Henry IV.) and to blacken the characters of the king and of the duke of Norfolk (160).

Discontents against the govern-

ment.

The king, at the conclusion of the great parliament (as it was called), had granted a general indemnity to all his fubjects, for all treasons, &c. of which they had been guilty; but none were to enjoy the benefit of this indemnity, who did not take out charters of pardon before St. John's day A. D. 1398 (161). Many having neglected to do this, the courtiers, and particularly the parliamentary commissioners, extorted great sums of money from them; which occasioned much discontent with the king and his confidents (162). These discontents were very much increased by the complaints of the families and friends of the late duke of Gloucester, and of the two banished dukes of Hereford and Norfolk; and the arbitrary proceedings of the committee of parliament, who made laws, and acted in all things as if they had been a full parliament, still further inflamed the minds of the people (163).

A. D. 1399. Lancaster.

When the nation was in this ferment, the famous John Death of of Gaunt duke of Lancaster died, February 3, A. D. 1399 (164). By this event, a prodigious accession of wealth and power fell to his only fon Henry of Bolingbroke, the banished duke of Hereford, to the peaceable possession of which he ought to have been admitted by his attorney, according to the tenor of his letters-patent (165). But the king and committee of parliament, contrary to the plainest dictates of equity and prudence, on March 18, decared these letters null and void, and seized all the great estates of the late duke of Lancaster. This flagrant act of tyranny and oppression excited univerfal indignation against the authors of it, and compasfion for Henry now duke of Lancaster.

Expedition into Ireland.

The infatuated Richard, after he had excited fuch general discontent among his subjects, was so imprudent as

<sup>(160)</sup> Froiffart, t. 4. c. 92. p. 296.

<sup>(161)</sup> Parliament. Hift, n. 487.

<sup>(162)</sup> T. Otterbo e as, Chron. p. 199.

<sup>(163)</sup> Ruffhea i's Seatutes, voi. 1: p. 422, &c.

<sup>(164)</sup> T. Otterhourne, p. 197.

<sup>(165)</sup> Rymer, Fæd. t. 8. p. 49. Walling, p. 357.

to leave England, and to carry with him all the great A.D. 1399. men on whose attachment he could depend (166). Having collected great fums of money, by means which still further increased the disaffection of his people, and conflituted his uncle the duke of York regent of the kingdom, he failed from Milford-haven about the end of May, and foon after landed in Ireland with a powerful army (167). The design of this most unseasonable expedition was, to revenge the death of Roger Mortimer earl of March, the presumptive heir of his crown, and to reduce that kingdom to a more perfect subjection. But he was not allowed time to make any great progress in the execution of that design.

Henry of Bolingbroke was at the court of France when Duke of Boling broke he received intelligence of his father's death, and of the Lancaster revocation of his letters-patent; and he foon after receiv-lands in ed invitations from his numerous and powerful friends in England, to come over and vindicate his rights to the estate of Lancaster (168). Encouraged by these invitations, and the news of Richard's expedition into Ireland. he resolved to return into England; and having obtained a few ships, and a small number of armed men, from the duke of Brittany, he put to sea, with the exiled archbithop of Canterbury and the young earl of Arundel in his company (169). After hovering some days on the coast, he landed at Ravenspure, in Yorkshire, July 4; and was joined by the powerful earls of Northumberland and Westmoreland, with the other barons of the north, and their followers (170). Seeing himself at the head of a great army, he marched fouthward, giving out, that he was come only to recover his inheritance of Lancaster; which brought fuch multitudes to his standard, that they foon amounted to fixty thousand men.

The duke of York, regent of the kingdom, raifed a Agreement confiderable army, with which he marched towards Brif- between the tol, about which place it was expected the king would land dukes of York and from Ireland. By this means the armies approaching Lancalte. each other, a conference was held at Berkeley, on Sunday July 27, between the dukes of York and Lancaster.

and

<sup>(166)</sup> Walfing. p. 557. Rymeri Fæd. t. 8. p. 83.

<sup>(169)</sup> Froilfart, toin. 4. ch. 106. Walfing, p. 358. (170) Id. ibid.

<sup>(167)</sup> T. Otterbourne, Chron. p. 200. (168) Froiffart, tom. 4. ch. 105.

## HISTORY OF BRITAIN. 238 Book IV.

A. D. 1399 and a certain number of their friends. At this conference, the duke of Lancaster still pretending that he came only for the recovery of his inheritance, an agreement was foon made, and he was joined by the duke of York and the greatest part of his forces (171).

Caftle of rendered.

The duke of Lancaster then marched at the head of Bristol fur- the united armies, and invested the castle of Bristol, in which some of the most obnoxious of the king's confidents had taken shelter. Sir Peter Courtney governor of the castle, after some hesitation, agreed to surrender, at the command of the duke of York, as regent of the kingdom, having stipulated for the liberty of all the garrison, except the earl of Wiltshire, sir John Bussy, and sir Henry Grene, the hated ministers. These three unhappy persons being delivered to the duke of Lancaster, were immediately beheaded at his command, without any trial (172).

King Richard imprisoned,

About the beginning of August, king Richard landed at Milford-haven with his troops from Ireland, intending to join the duke of York, whom he believed to be at the head of an army, raifed in his name, to support his authority. But when he received intelligence that the regent and his forces had united with the duke of Lancaster, he disbanded his small army, and retired with a few faithful friends to Conway. Here it was debated in his little council, whether he should leave the kingdom, and take shelter in his French dominions, or open a negotiation with the duke of Lancaster, who had not yet declared his defigns upon the crown. The last and most imprudent of these measures was adopted, and the duke of Exeter fent to propose the treaty; but was detained by the duke of Lancaster, who dispatched the earl of Northumberland to Conway with very moderate demands, which were readily granted. The earl then invited Richard to a perfonal conference with the duke of Lancaster, in Flint castle, to finish the negotiation; to which the king agreed, and immediately fet out from Conway August 19, accompanied by his few remaining friends. But on the road they were furrounded by a body of armed men, and conducted to the castle of Flint as prisoners. Next day the duke of Lancaster, after a short conference (in

which he faid he was come to affift his coufin in the go-A.D. 1399. vernment of the kingdom), conducted the king to his head-quarters at Chester; and from thence, by easy journies, to the tower of London, where he was lodged

on Tuesday September 2 (173).

The duke of Lancaster, having the king in his power, Richard's no longer confined his pretentions to the estate of Lan-refignation. caster, but publicly aspired to the crown; and employed all his art to obtain it in a manner that had a plaufible appearance. When the plan was formed, it was proposed in council by the duke of York to this purpose-That the king should be made to subscribe a resignation of his crown; and that he should also be deposed by parliament, for certain crimes, that it might appear he was willing to give up his crown, and that the nation thought him unworthy of possessing it (174). To carry this plan into execution, a parliament was fummoned in king Richard's name, to meet at Westminster September 30. On the day before the meeting of parliament, king Richard, in his chamber in the tower, before the duke of Lancaster, with the prelates and lords of his party, subfcribed the instrument of his resignation, conceived in as clear and strong terms as could be devised (175). When the parliament met, this instrument was produced and read; and the members being asked, if they accepted of this refignation, answered in the affirmative (176).

It was then proposed, in order to remove all scruples Articles and doubts, that certain articles, containing the crimes against king and errors of which king Richard had been guilty, and for which he deferved to be deposed, should be read; which was accordingly done. To these articles (which were thirty-five in number) was prefixed king Richard's coronation oath; and the defign of the feveral articles was to prove, that by fuch and fuch acts of government he had violated that oath. These articles being too long to be here inferted, it is fufficient to fay, that some of them were falfe, fome of them trifling, many of them exagge-

<sup>(173)</sup> T. Walfing. p. 338. T. Otterbourne, p. 208. Froiffart. tom. 4. ch. 110. Life of Richard by a person of Quality, p. 190. Carte, vol. 2. p. 634, 635. (174) Life of Richard II. p. 191.

<sup>(175)</sup> Life of Richard II. p. 195. Otterbourne, p. 212.

<sup>(176)</sup> T. Walfing. p. 359.

A.D. 1399 rated, and a few of them but too well founded: for it cannot be denied, that Richard had been guilty of many

imprudent, and of some illegal actions (177).

King Richard deposed.

Though many lords and prelates in this parliament, had been loaded with benefits by king Richard, none of them had the courage or gratitude to speak a word in his defence on this occasion, except Thomas Merks, bishop of Carlifle (178). That learned and undaunted prelate, in a long and eloquent speech, exposed the iniquity and danger of the present proceedings, and vindicated the character of his unhappy fovereign in many particulars, imputing the errors into which he had fallen rather to his want of experience, or to evil counsel, than to malice (179). The only answer given to this speech was, an order to the earl marshal, from the duke of Lancaster, to take the bishop into custody, and fend him prifoner to the abbey of St. Alban's: a more unconstitutional and arbitrary deed than any king Richard had ever done! After this there was an end to all debate. the articles were fustained as true; king Richard was folemnly deposed; and a committee appointed to intimate that fentence to the degraded monarch (180).

Accession of

The throne of England being thus declared empty, Henry IV. Henry duke of Lancaster (though he was not the nearest heir to the last possessor) arose from his seat, and (having, with great appearance of devotion, invoked the name of Christ, and crossed himself on the breast and forehead) claimed the crown in the following remarkable words-" In the name of Fadher, Son, and Holy Ghoft, I 66 Henry of Lancaster, challenge this rewme of Ynglonde, and the croune, with all the members, and the appurtenances, als I that am descendit be ryght lyne of the blode, cumyng fra the gude lorde king Henry Thirde, and throughe ce that rugt that God of his grace hath fent me, with helpe of my kyn, and of my frendes to recover it; the whiche se rewine was in point to be ondone for defaut of governance, and undoying of the gude lawes (181)." This very artful and ambiguous speech, which hinted at the two dif-

(177) Knyghton. col. 2746-2756.

(181) Knyghton, col. 2757.

<sup>(178)</sup> Sir John Froisfart relates, that the king's favourite dog, named Math, forfook his mafter as foon as he faw him taken prisoner, and fawned upon the Duke of Lancaster. Freisart, tom. 4. ch. 110.

(179) Hayward's Life of Henry IV. p. 101.

<sup>, (180)</sup> Walfing. p. 359. Otterbourne, p. 218.

ferent titles of descent and conquest, was received with A.D. 1399: great applause: and the duke's claim, though all the world knew it to be ill-founded, was unanimously declared by parliament to be just. Upon this, the archbishop of Canterbury took Henry by the right hand, and conducted him towards the empty throne; and, with the affiftance of the archbishop of York, placed him in it, amidst the loud acclamations of the whole assembly. As foon as filence could be procured, the primate preached a very indifferent fermon (if the historian hath not wronged him) from Samuel, ix. 17. "Behold the man whom "I spake unto thee of: this same shall reign over my " people." Sermon being ended, the new king apprehending that the hint of conquest in his former speech might give fome offence, stood up, and made the following declaration: " Sires, I thank God, and zowe, Spi-" rituel and temporel, and all the estates of the lond, and do " zowe to wyte, it es noght my will that no man thynk that " be wave of conquest I wold disherit any man of his heri-" tage, franches, or other ryghts than hym aght to have, no or put him out of that that he has, and has had by the gude " lawes and custumes of the rewme: except those persons "that has ben agan the gude purpose, and the commune pro-" fyt of the rewne (182)." Thus ended the important business of this memorable day (September 30, A. D. 1300), in which one king was pulled down, and another exalted to the throne of England. The fatal confequences of this revolution will appear in the first chapter of the fifth book of this work.

Though the fate of the dethroned king doth not fall Death of within the limits of our prefent period, it followed fo foon after it, and is so intimately connected with it, that it can be no great impropriety to introduce it here, together with a very fhort character of that unhappy prince. Richard did not long furvive his deposition, though the exact time and manner of his death are not certainly known (183). The most probable account is, that he was starved to death in the castle of Pontefract in Yorkshire, about the beginning of the year 1400 (184).

<sup>(182)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2758, 2759. (183) Froisfart, t. 4. c. 119. (184) Otterbourne, p. 229. Vita Richard. II. p. 169. Anglia Sacra, tom. 2. p. 364.

A.D. 1399. Richard of Bourdeaux (fo called from the place of his birth) was remarkably beautiful and handsome in his perfon, and doth not feem to have been naturally defective either in courage or understanding: for on some occasions, particularly in the dangerous infurrection of the commons, he acted with a degree of spirit and prudence fuperior to his years. But his education was miferably neglected, or rather he was intentionally corrupted and debauched by his three ambitious uncles, who, being defirous of retaining the management of all affairs, encouraged him to spend his time in the company of dissolute young people of both fexes, in a continued course of feasting and diffipation. By this means he contracted a taste for pomp and pleasure, and a dislike to business. The greatest foible in the character of this unhappy prince, was an excessive fondness for, and unbounded liberality to his favourites, which enraged his uncles, particularly the duke of Gloucester, and disgusted such of the nobility as did not partake of his bounty. He was an affectionate husband, a generous master, and a faithful friend; and, if he had received a proper education, might have proved a great and good king. Richard was dethroned in the 23d year of his reign, and the 34th of his age, and never had any children. If any regard had been paid to the constitution or the rights of blood, he would have been succeeded by Edmund Mortimer earl of March, descended from Lionel duke of Clarence, third son of Edward III. and elder brother of John of Gaunt duke of Lancaster. But in the tumult of faction which attended this revolution, that young nobleman's name and rights were hardly ever mentioned, though his father, Roger Mortimer earl of March, had been declared prefumptive heir of the crown, by act of parliament, A. D. 1385 (185).

Hiffory of Scotland.

DAVID II. king of Scotland, the fon and fuccessor of the heroic Robert Bruce, died A. D. 1371; and was fucceeded by his nephew Robert Stewart (186). During the first years of this prince's reign, the borderers of both the British kingdoms made frequent incursions into each others countries (187). To put a stop to these predatory

<sup>(185)</sup> Parliament, Hist. vol. 1. p. 387-388.

<sup>(186)</sup> Fordun, t. 2. p. 380, &c. in not. (187) Buchan, Hift, 1. 9. p. 168. &c.

expeditions, which were very destructive, several meet- A.D. 1371. ings were held between commissioners appointed by both kings, who made short truces, which were ill obferved (188). John of Gaunt, king of Castile and duke of Lancaster, being at Berwick, A. D. 1381, negotiating one of these truces, when the great insurrection of the commons (to whom he was obnoxious) broke out, he retired into Scotland, and was very hospitably entertained at Holvroodhouse, till the infurgents were dispersed (189). As foon as the three years truce which had been made at Berwick was expired, the war was renewed, and mutual invasions took place. Two of the invasions of Scotland by the English were very formidable; being made with powerful fleets, as well as great armies, they feemed to aim at conquest. The first of these invasions, A. D. 1384, was conducted by the duke of Lancaster, and the second, A.D. 1385, by Richard II. in person. But they both terminated, as many others had done, in the devastation fo the country near the border; and these devastations were retaliated by the Scots, affifted by fome French auxiliaries (190). In one of these incursions of the Scots into England, the famous battle of Otterburn was fought, A. D. 1388, with great valour on both fides, in which Henry lord Percy, who commanded the English, was taken, and James earl of Douglas who commanded the Scots was killed (101).

Robert II. finding himfelf unfit for the management Death, &c. of affairs, through age and bodily infirmities, conflitted of Robert his fecond for Robert earl of Fife governor of the II. his fecond fon, Robert earl of Fife, governor of the kingdom, in a parliament held at Edinburgh, A. D. 1389 (192). The governor, immediately after his elevation to that dignity, raifed an army and made an incurfion into England; but the English avoiding an engagement, he plundered some part of the open country, and then returned home. About the same time ambassadors came to the court of Scotland, from the kings of France and England, to notify a truce for three years, which had been lately concluded between these two princes, and fuch of their allies as acceded to it. The ambaffadors applying to the governor, he referred them to the king

<sup>(188)</sup> Rymeri Fæd. t. 7. p. 175, 183, 206, 245, 279. (189) Id. ibid. p. 312. Buchan, p. 169.

<sup>(190)</sup> Walfing p. 316, 317. Fordun, t. 2. p. 401. (191) Id. ibid. p. 406-414. (192) ld. ibid.

A.D. 1388. his father, who acceded to the truce, which gave a check to the mutual depredations of the borderers for form time (193). The king died 17th April A. D. 1390, in the twentieth year of his reign, and the feventy-fourtl of his age. He was remarkably tall, of a cheerful and pleafant countenance, and great affability of manners but being of a mild pacific spirit, he had but little autho rity over fome of his turbulent barons, who raifed armies and engaged in wars, without fo much as asking his con-

Marriages of Robert II.

fent (roa).

Robert the II. when he was very young, married Elizabeth More, daughter of fir Adam More, with whon he was within the prohibited degrees of confanguinity of affinity, and on that account their marriage was for fome time esteemed unlawful, because it had been celebrated without a papal dispensation. But that dispensation wa obtained A. D. 1349, by which the legality of the marriage was completed (195). By this lady, who died lone before his accession, he had three sons, John earl o Carrick, Robert earl of Fife, and Alexander earl of Buchan. After the death of Elizabeth, he married the lady Euphemia, daughter of Hugh earl of Rofs, by whom he had two fons, Walter earl of Athol, and David ear of Strathern. The mistakes of many of our historian concerning the marriages of this prince, are fully detected in the differtation quoted below, and the legitimacy of his five fons clearly established (196).

Robert III.

Robert II. was succeeded by his eldest son John, who was crowned at Scone, August 13, and immediately after, by the advice of his parliament, assumed the name of Robert III (197). This prince, before his coronation, took a folemn oath to observe the truce with England; and that truce being afterwards prolonged for feveral years, fecured his kingdom from foreign enemies (198). But its internal tranquillity was very much disturbed by violent quarrels and deadly feuds between different clans and families. One of these feuds between two of the highland clans, which had been very bloody,

<sup>(193)</sup> Rymeri Fæd. t. 7. p. 675. (194) Fordun, t. 2. p. 383.

<sup>(195)</sup> Id. ibid. l. 11. c. 13. p. 150. (196) See De nuptiis Roberti Senescalli Scotic atque Elizabetha More differtatio, printed at the end of the second volume of the Edinburgh edition of Fordun. (197) Fordun, t. 2. p. 418.

and threatened the extirpation of them both, was deter-A.D. 1396. mined by a folemn judicial combat between thirty of each clan, before the king and court, and a prodigious multitude of fpectators, in a beautiful plain on the banks of the river Tay, near Perth, A. D. 1396. This combat. with fwords only, without any defensive armour, was fought with fuch unrelenting fury, that nineteen on the one fide were killed, and the remaining eleven dangeroufly wounded, while only one on the other fide furvived, but unhurt (199). In a parliament held at Scone, April 28, A. D. 1398, the king created his eldest fon David, duke of Rothsay, and his brother Robert earl of Fife, who had still the chief direction of all affairs, duke of Albany, which were the two first dukes in Scotland (200). The truce between England and Scotland being terminated by the deposition of Richard II. hostilities between the two kingdoms were renewed foon after the accession of Henry IV. But as the time of these hostilities, and of the other events of the reign of Robert III. is without the limits of our present period, the history of them will be more properly introduced in the first chapter of the fifth book of this work.

(199) Fordun, tom. 2. p. 420. Buchan, l. 10. c. 1. (200) Fordun, tom. 2. p. 422.

## C H A P. II.

History of Religion in Great Britain, from the death of king John, A.D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D. 1399.

## SECTION I.

History of Religion, from A. D. 1216 to A. D. 1300.

Changes produced by king John's fubjection to Rome.

Cent. XIII. A S the subjection of the kingdom of England to the fee of Rome by king John, was a very furprising event, it was attended with very strange effects. In particular, it produced an instantaneous and total change in the language and conduct of all parties concerned. The pope, who had poured out upon king John the heaviest curses, as the worst of men, and the greatest enemy of God, now loaded him with bleffings, as the best of princes, and the greatest favourite of heaven. King John, who had maintained a passionate opposition to the ambitious pretences of the pope, and threatened to pull down his power, now became the warmest advocate for those pretences, and took shelter behind the papal chair. English barons, who had affected to revere the dictates of the pope as the commands of God, and to dread his fulminations as the artillery of heaven, when they were pointed against king John, treated them both with the most sovereign contempt, when they were turned against Such is the shameless versatility of unprinthemselves. cipled politicians!

The nore friendly to Henry III.

As the pope had been the zealous friend of king John in the last years of his reign, he warmly espoused the cause of his infant son Henry III. against his competitor prince Lewis. Henry, at his coronation, having fworn fealty to the pope as his fuperior lord, Gualo, the papal legate, renewed the fentence of excommunication against

prince

prince Lewis, and all his adherents (1). After the peace Cent. XIII. was concluded between Henry and Lewis, and this last prince had lest the kingdom, the clergy and barons of his party were treated with great severity by the pope, and constrained to pay great sums of money, for having dared to despise the thunders of the church of Rome (2).

Cardinal Langton held a fynod at Oxford A. D. 1222, Synod of in which fifty canons were made, which contain little new or remarkable. By the twenty-eighth canon, clergymen are prohibited to keep concubines publicly in their own houses, or to go to them in other places so openly as to

occasion scandal (3).

The court of Rome, whose thirst for money was quite Papal proinsatiable, formed a project about this time, which ject,
would have brought a prodigious mass of money into the
papal cossers, if it had been accomplished. By this project, the revenues of two prebendaries in every cathedral,
and of two monks in every monastery, in all the countries
in communion with the church of Rome, were to have
been granted to the pope, for the better support of his
dignity. When this project was laid before the parliament of England, A. D. 1226, this cold evasive answer
was returned to the papal legate: "That this affair
"concerned all Christendom; and they would conform
"to the resolutions of other Christian countries (4)."

The death of cardinal Langton on the 9th of July Richard A. D. 1228, occasioned fresh disputes. The manner of archbishop, stilling up the highest dignity in the church of England, was in those times so unsettled, that every vacancy endangered the peace of the kingdom. The monks of Canterbury on this occasion made a hasty election of Walter de Hemesham, one of their own number; with whom both the king and the bishops of the province being dislatissied, all parties, as usual, appealed to Rome (5). His holiness was in no haste to determine this cause, which he affected to think very doubtful and difficult, till the king, by his commissioners, made him a promisse, a tenth of all the moveables, both of the clergy and laity of England. This made the case so clear, that

(5) M. Paris, p. 350.

<sup>(1)</sup> M. Paris, p. 292. Annal. Waverlien, ad an. 1216. Wilkin. Concil. t. 1. p. 546. (2) M. Paris, p. 299.

<sup>(3)</sup> Wilkin. Concil. t. 1. p. 590. (4) Id. ibid. p. 620.

Cent. XIII. he immediately declared Hemesham's election void; and to prevent all further contests, by the plenitude of his own power he appointed Richard Le Grand chancellor of Lincoln, to be archbishop (6).

money.

The pope, who was bleffed with an infallible rememgate collects brance of the promifes of the faithful, fent a legate into England to collect the tenths which the king had promifed. This demand met with great opposition in the English parliament, especially from the lay barons. But at length, by the united weight of the papal and regal power, all were obliged to fubmit; and this heavy tax was collected with great exactness. The legate, to shorten his own work, obliged the bishops to pay the tax for their inferior clergy; and when any of them complained they had not money, he prefented to them certain Italian usurers, which he had brought with him, who lent them money at an exorbitant interest (7). Thus cruelly were our ancestors oppressed and sleeced by the venal and infatiable court of Rome!

Edmund archbishop.

This archbishop, whose election had cost the nation so dear, did not enjoy his dignity much above two years; but dying August 3, 1231, made way for new disturbances. The monks made four fuccoffive elections, which were all voided by the pope, because the persons elected were not thought to be fufficiently attached to the interelts of the court of Rome. At length, after two years vacancy, the pope recommended Edmund Rich treasurer of Salifbury; who was chosen and confecrated (8).

The Italian clergy infulted.

The pope had not only invaded the rights of the crown in filling the higher stations in the church, but had made equal encroachments on the rights of private patrons. and had got into his hands, by one means or other, the disposal of all the valuable livings in the kingdom, which he generally bestowed upon Italians. This abuse became so insupportable, that in the year 1232 a great number of persons of considerable rank formed an association to drive all these foreign ecclesiastics out of the kingdom (9). These affeciates insulted the persons, and plundered the houses, of the Italian clergy: a thing to agree-

<sup>(6)</sup> T. Wokes, p. 41.

<sup>(8)</sup> la. p. 385.

<sup>(7)</sup> M. Paris, p. 362.

<sup>(9)</sup> Id. p. 375.

able to the whole nation, that they met with no opposi- Cent. XIII. tion.

Cardinal Otho, one of those birds of ill omen, a le-Constitugate from the pope, arrived in England A. D. 1237, tions of Otho. where he continued about three years, receiving many valuable prefents from the bishops, monasteries, and clergy. During this time, three hundred Italians were fent into England, to be provided for in the church, This legate held a council at London, 1237; in which a great number of canons were framed, which were called the Constitutions of Otho (10). These constitutions do not contain many things new or remarkable. By the fecond canon, the facraments are declared to be feven in number. The fifteenth is against the clandestine marriages of the clergy, and the fixteenth against their keeping concubines publicly; both which practices were still very frequent in England. This legate convened two other assemblies of the clergy, with no other view but to make exorbitant demands of money (11).

Edmund archbishop of Canterbury was so much cha-Archbishop grined at these grievous and incessant exactions of the Boniface, court of Rome, which he could not prevent, that he left the kingdom, and retired to the monastery of Pontigniac in France, where he died, A. D. 1240 (12). Henry, by persuasions, promises, threats, and other means still more violent and unlawful, prevailed with the monks to chuse Boniface, the queen's uncle, to be archbishop, though he was not very well qualified for that office. The pope, by certain arguments which never failed of fuccess at Rome, was prevailed upon to confirm the

election (13).

During the primacy of this prelate, feveral nuncios and Opposition legates arrived in England, improving upon one another of the Engin the arts of pillaging this unhappy kingdom. The pa-lish to the tience of the English was at last tired out; and the great of Rome. barons, knowing that there was no other way to fave the nation from being plundered, but by preventing the approach of these Romish harpies, sent orders, A. D. 1245, to the wardens of the fea-ports, to feize all persons bringing any bulls or mandates from Rome. It was not

<sup>(10)</sup> Spel. Con. tom. 2. p. 218. Wilkin. Concil. t. 1. p. 6.19.

Cent. XIII. long before a messenger was apprehended with a fresh cargo of bulls, directed to Martin the legate in England, impowering him to exact more money from the clergy on various pretences. The bulls being feized, the legate complained bitterly to the king of this daring infult; who commanded the bulls to be restored. The barons, in order to open the eyes of this deluded monarch, who affifted a foreign court in plundering his own subjects, laid before him an account of the incredible fums which went from England to Rome. Among other articles, it appeared that the church-preferments possessed by Italians in England amounted to fixty thousand marks per annum: a greater fum than the ordinary revenues of the crown. Though Henry was much furprifed at this account, he had not virtue and spirit to join with his people. in putting a stop to those grievances. The barons, determining to go through with the work which they had begun, held another meeting at Dunstable, under pretence of a tournament. From this meeting they fent a bold knight, to command the legate, in the name of the barons of England, immediately to leave the kingdom. The knight executed his commission with spirit, assuring Mr. Martin, that if he remained three days longer in England, he would infallibly be cut in pieces. The legate perceiving that it was no longer in the king's power to protect him from the fury of an injured nation, departed with all possible speed (14).

Application to the council of Lyons.

The barons not contented with what they had done, refolved if possible to prevent the return of those oppresfions which the kingdom had long fuffered from the fee of Rome. With this view, they fent very honourable ambassadors to lay the grievances of the church and kingdom of England before a general council, which was then fitting at Lyons, in which the pope prefided in person. The letter which these ambassadors presented to the council from the barons of England, breathes a spirit of independency and good fense hardly to be expected in that age. After a very full and free enumeration of the oppressions of the court of Rome, it concludes with these bold and refolute expressions: "We can no longer, with 16 any patience, bear the foresaid oppressions; which, as

" they are detestable to God and man, are intolerable to Cent. XIII.

" us; neither, by the grace of God, will we any longer endure them (15)." William Powerie, one of the? ambassadors who presented this letter, made a spirited harangue to the council, in which he fet forth the innumerable frauds and infatiable avarice of the court of Rome in fuch strong colours, that his holiness was covered with shame, and a blush was seen on the face of infallibility. But this blush was all the satisfaction the English nation obtained from the pope and council, who put off the confideration of this affair fo long, that the ambalfadors, feeing no prospect of redress, returned home in

discontent (16).

The unnatural fit of modestv with which his holiness Further had been feized at the council of Lyons was not of long exactions of duration: for the very year after, we find his agents in Rome. England as violent as ever in their extortions: which occasioned fresh remonstrances, not only from the barons, but even from the king and clergy. The letters to the pope, from the king and clergy, were humble and timid; but those from the barons were more bold, threatening, that if his holiness did not immediately redress their grievances, they would do themselves justice (17). But all these letters were treated with scorn by the haughty pontiff, who became daily more imperious and tyrannical. He obliged the English prelate to subscribe the sentence of excommunication against the emperor Frederick II. and to furnish a certain number of armed men to fight against that prince, though he was brother-in-law to their own king (18). Not contented with all this, the court of Rome, in the fame year 1246, demanded at once the half of all the revenues of the non-refiding clergy, and the third of the revenues of those who resided. This demand · being fo great, rendered the clergy unanimous in their opposition, in which they were supported by the king and barons. His holiness, finding he had gone a little too far, very prudently defisted (19).

While the pope was thus trampling upon the church Courage of and kingdom of England, a private prelate had the cou-the bisher of Lincoln. rage to oppose him; and, which is more wonderful, to oppose him with fuccess. This ecclefiastical here was

Robert

<sup>(15)</sup> M. Paris, p. 666. (16) Id. p. 681. (17) Id. p. 699, &c. (18) Id. p. 701. (19) M. Paris, p. 708.

Cent. XIII. Robert Grosted bishop of Lincoln, a person of uncommon learning for the age in which he lived; and of fuch unfeigned piety, untainted probity, and undaunted courage, as would have rendered him an ornament to any age. When this bishop received bulls from Rome, he examined them with great attention; and if he found that they commanded any thing contrary to the precepts of the gospel, and the interests of religion (which was very often the case), he tore them in pieces, instead of putting them in execution. Innocent IV. one of the most imperious pontiss that ever filled the papal chair, fent this bishop a bull, which contained in it the scandalous clause of Non obstante, so much and so justly exclaimed against in that age; and besides, commanded him to bestow a considerable living in his gift upon the pope's nephew, who was an infant. The bishop was so far from complying with this bull, that he fent the pope a letter in which he exposed the injustice and impiety of it, with the greatest freedom and severity. With regard to the clause of Non obstante, lately introduced into the papal bulls, the good bishop used these expressions in his letter: That it brings in a deluge of mischief upon Christen-" dom, and gives occasion to a great deal of inconstancy " and breach of faith; it even shakes the very foundations " of trust and security amongst mankind, and makes lan-" guage and letters almost infignificant." With respect to that part of the bull which required him to bestow a benefice upon an infant, he fays,-" Next to the fins of " Lucifer and Antichrist, there cannot be a greater dese fection, or which carries a more direct opposition to the dostrine of our Saviour and his apostles, than to " destroy people's fouls, by depriving them of the benefits of the pastoral office; and yet those persons are guilty of this fin, who undertake the facerdotal function, and " receive the profits without discharging the duty. From 66 hence it is evident, that those who bring such unqua-" lifted perfons into the church, and debauch the hierar-66 chy, are much to blame; and that their crimes rife in or proportion to the height of their station (20)." These were strains of truth and freedom to which his holiness had not been accustomed. He fell into a furious passion, and rwore by St. Peter and St. Paul, that he would utterly

<sup>(20)</sup> Collier, Ch. Hift. vol. 1. p. 460. Annal. Burton, p. 326.

confound that old, impertinent, deaf, doting fellow, and Cent. XIII. make him a talk, and aftonishment, and example to all the world. "What!" faid he, " is not the king of Eng-" land, his mafter, our vassal, or rather our slave? and will he not, at the least sign of ours, cast him into pri-" fon?" When his holiness had a little spent his rage, the cardinals repreferted to him, "That the world began " to discover many things contained in the bishop's let-" ter; and that if he persecuted a prelate so renowned " for piety, learning, and holiness of life, it might create " the court of Rome a great many enemies." They advised him therefore to let the matter pass, and make as if he had never feen this provoking letter (21). What honour is due to the memory of the noble Grofted, who made fo bold a stand against the tyranny of the court of Rome, in an age when it trampled upon kings and empetors!

Boniface archbishop of Canterbury was of a very diffe- Synod of rent spirit, and screwed up the power of the church to Merton. the greatest height. This appears from the canons of the provincial fynod held at Merton in Surrey, A. D. 1368, by this prelate. The first canon forbids archbishops, bishops, and inferior clergy, to appear before civil courts to answer for any part of their conduct which had the most remote relation to church affairs; and threatens the judges, and even the king himfelf, with the highest censures of the church, if they infift on fuch appearance. The fecond relates to patronages; and the third is against the intrufion of clerks into benefices by a lay power. The fourth makes fuch regulations concerning excommunication as rendered that sentence truly terrible. The fifth forbids laymen to imprison clergymen. In the fixth the church claims a right of judging concerning contracts between a clergyman and a layman. The seventh afferts the right of the church to judge and punish Tews. The eighth provides for the perfect fecurity of those criminals who had taken fanctuary in churches. The ninth, tenth, and eleventh, are defigned to prevent all invasions of every kind on the possessions of the church and clergy, which are declared facred and inviolable. And the two last provide for the church's peaceable enjoyment of all pious le-

<sup>(21)</sup> Du Pin's Ch. Hift. vol. 11. p. 61. M. Paris, p. 870, &c.

Cent. XIII. gacies and donations (22). In a word, the visible tendency of all those canons was, to emancipate the church and clergy from civil authority, and at the same time to wreath the yoke of ecclefiastical tyranny still faster about the necks of the laity. It is no wonder, therefore, that the laity were alarmed at these proceedings. The barons wrote a letter to the pope, complaining of those stretches of church-power, and of the ignorance and immoralities of the clergy, and threatening to withdraw those ample revenues which had been bestowed upon the church by the piety of their ancestors, since they were so much abused. But they applied to a very wrong quarter for redress: his holiness answered coldly, that he did not fuppose the clergy of England were more ignorant or immoral than they had been in former ages; and that it was utterly impossible to withdraw any part of the revenues of the church; for whatever was once dedicated to the fervice of God was irrevocable (23).

Syand of Lambeth.

The archbishop, secure of the protection of the Holy See, was fo far from retracting any thing he had done, that he held another provincial fynod A. D. 1261, at Lambeth, in which the conflitutions of Merton were confirmed and enlarged. The fecond of these additional canons complains bitterly of the fecular powers, for fometimes preventing prelates from inflicting pecuniary and corporal punishments on delinquents; and denounces the heaviest censures on those disturbers of church-discipline. By another of these canons, every bishop is commanded to have one or two prisons in his diocese, for the confinement of clerks convicted of capital crimes; " for," fays the canon, " if any clerk be fo incorrigibly wicked, that he must have suffered capital punishment if he had " been a layman, we adjudge fuch an one to perpetual " imprisonment." So shameless were the clergy of those times, not only in their practices, but in their very laws (24)!

Exactions of the pope.

Though we have faid nothing for some time of the exactions of the court of Rome, we must not imagine that these exactions had ceased. On the contrary, they went on more briskly than ever. The fatal present of the crown

(24) Johnson's Canons, ann. 1261.

<sup>(22)</sup> See Spelman, Lynwood, and Johnson's Councils. (23) Annal, Burton, p. 388. Wilkin, Concil. t. 1. p. 736-740.

of Sicily, which the pope made to prince Edmund, A. D. Cent. XIII. 1254, furnished his holiness with an excellent handle for draining England of its wealth, for feveral years; in which space he is faid to have drawn from this kingdom about nine hundred and fifty thousand marks: an immense fum, equal in value and efficacy to twelve millions sterling of our money at present! It is true, indeed, that during the heat of the civil wars, especially when the barons had the afcendant, the pope did not receive fo much English money as usual, but he took great pains to get as much of it as possible.

After the restoration of the royal authority by the vic- Council of tory of Evesham, the pope sent his legate Othobon into London. England, to congratulate Henry on that happy event, and to manage the affairs of the court of Rome. This legate, observing how matters went, very charitably excommunicated the late earl of Leicester, and all his party. whether dead or alive (25). The fame legate held a national council, A. D. 1268, at St. Paul's in London (26). In this council a great number of canons were made. much the same in substance with those of the former council of London, 1237, under the legate Otho. Verv fevere canons were framed in this council against pluralities, commendams, non-residence, and the clergy's accepting of civil offices; but these canons made little or no reformation in any of these respects, being chiefly designed to increase the power and revenues of the pope, by granting dispensations. This was the last council held in England in the reign of Henry III. who died on the 16th of November 1272 (27).

Boniface archbishop of Canterbury did not long survive Kilwarby his great friend and patron king Henry; and his death oc-primate. casioned fresh disputes about the election of a successor. The monks of Christ-church made choice of their subperior William Chillenden; but the pope refused to confirm his election, and by his own power nominated Robert Kilwarby, a black friar, to be archbishop (28). King Edward was not yet returned from the Holy Land; and the guardians of the kingdom, not willing to come to a rupture with his holiness in the absence of their fovereign,

(25) T. Wykes, p. 74.

<sup>(26)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 85. M. Westmons. p. 400. (27) M. Westmonst. p. 401. (23) An (23) Anglia Sacra, t. 1. p. 116. acquiefced

Cent. XIII. acquiesced in this nomination. But that the rights of the crown might not fuffer by their filence, they made a folemn protestation against this act of the pope, as an encroachment on the royal prerogative, and infifted, that it should not be drawn into precedent; and Barnard, the king's resident at the court of Rome, made a protestation in his master's name to the same effect. The monks of Canterbury, too, in order to preferve their own rights, proceeded to an election, and made choice of Kilwarby. From hence it appears, that though the kings and clergy of England often submitted to these papal encroachments. they never loft fight of their own undoubted rights.

Lyons.

Council of ' In the year 1274, the pope held a general council at Lyons, for the reformation of church-discipline, and the relief of the Holy Land (29). For this last purpose, the pope and council imposed a tax on all the clergy of a tenth of their revenues, for fix years. This tax was collected in England, as well as in other countries of Chriftendom.

As the power of the pope and the church appear to of the pope, have been at their greatest height in England about this time, it may not be improper to take a short view of this prodigious fabric of ecclefiastical tyranny, and of the deplorable oppressions under which our ancestors groaned in this fuperstitious age. Some of those oppressions are not ill expressed in that letter of complaint which was written to the pope by the king, the prelates, and the barons of England, A. D. 1246. In that letter they complain. 1. That the pope, not content with the annual payment of Peter-pence, exacted from the clergy great contributions, without the king's confent, and against the cuftoms, rights, and liberties of the realm of England. 2. That the patrons of churches could not prefent fit perfons to the vacant livings, the pope conferring them generally on Italians, who understood not the English language, and carried out of the kingdom the money arifing from their benefices. 3. That the pope oppressed the churches. by exacting pensions from them. 4. That Italians fucceeded Italians, contrary to the decree of the council of Lyons; and that thefe Italians were invested in their livings without trouble or charges; whereas the English

were obliged to prosecute their rights at Rome at a great Cent. XIII. expence. 5. That in the churches filled by Italians, there were neither alms nor hospitality; neither was there any preaching; and the care of fouls was entirely neglected. 6. That the clause of non obstante, generally inserted in the pope's bulls, abfolutely destroyed all laws, customs, statutes, and privileges, of the church and kingdom (30). To these were added many other grievances no less oppressive and intolerable; such as,-the pope's filling the highest dignities of the church by his own power, and making the archbishops and others pay exorbitant sums for their preferments; -his drawing all causes of any importance to Rome, and keeping the parties long waiting for their determination, at a great expence—if we add to all these the great sums that went annually to Rome, for pardons, indulgences, difpensations, &c. &c. &c. we shall be furprifed that the kingdom was not drained of all its wealth.

Besides all these oppressions and exactions of the court Encroachof Rome, the clergy at home claimed many privileges ments of the which were quite inconfistent with the peace and prof- English clergy. perity of the kingdom; fuch as an exemption from all civil authority and jurisdiction, by which they were at liberty to commit the greatest crimes almost with impunity. The ecclefiaffical courts encroached greatly on the jurifdiction of the civil courts, and claimed the fole right to judge all causes relating to tithes, marriages, testaments, and many other things, under a pretence that they had fome connection with spirituals. The possessions of the clergy too, never diminishing, but daily increasing, were now fwelled to an enormous bulk, and threatened to fwallow up the whole lands of the kingdom. These things cried aloud for reformation, and the great prince who was now upon the throne made fome amendments in a few particulars.

One of the statutes of Westminster 1275, set some Remedies. bounds to the immunities of the clergy, by enacting, that when a clerk was indicted in the king's court for any felony, he should not be delivered to his ordinary, until he had undergone an inquest and trial by lawful

(30) M. Paris, p. 699. An. Burton. p. 307.

Cent. XIII. men (31). By the famous statute of mortmain, A. D. 1279, a stop was put to the further increase of the possesfions of the church, which were already far too great. For by that statute it was enacted, "That from henceforth none shall either give, fell, bequeath, or change, or by any other title whatfoever assign, any lands, tenements, or rents, to any religious body, without " licence from the king had for that purpose (32)."

Peckham primate.

In the year 1278, Robert Kilwarby archbishop of Canterbury, being promoted to be cardinal of Oporto by the pope, refigned his fee, and went to Rome. His holiness, after rejecting Robert Burnell bishop of Bath and Wells, who was elected by the monks of Canterbury, nominated John Peckham, a Franciscan friar, to that high dignity, who is faid to have paid a good fum for his nomination (33). Peckham, being confecrated by the pope, came over to England, and was peaceably received by Edward, who did not think fit at this time to engage in a quarrel with the court of Rome.

Synod of Reading.

This primate held a provincial fynod at Reading, in August 1279; in which the constitutions of Ottobon were confirmed, and feveral canons were made, about the collation to benefices; defcribing the persons against whom the fentence of excommunication was to be annually denounced, -against the clergy keeping concubines, about baptifm, -and about the government of monks and nuns (34). But some of these canons concerning excommunication were fo difagreeable to the king and parliament, that the venerable father John archbishop of Canterbury was obliged to appear before the king in his parliament at Michaelmas the fame year, and there had the mortification to fee some of the articles of his late canons blotted out, and others changed; and was made to declare his affent to these alterations. This was a very bold effort (confidering the times) of the civil power against ecclesiastical tyranny, and a proper prelude to the statute of mortmain, which was enacted by the fame parliament.

The fame primate held another council of his clergy at Lambeth, A. D. 1281, in which feveral canons were

made.

<sup>(31)</sup> Coke's Inft. part. 2. p. 156.

<sup>(32)</sup> Knighton, col. 2462. Statutes at Large, p. 83.

<sup>(33)</sup> Du Pin, vol. 11. p. 75. (34) Spelman Concil, t. 2. p. 32c.

made. The most remarkable of these was the first, Cent. XIII. which related to the administration of the eucharist. Amongst other things it is decreed, that at the elevation of the host the bells shall ring, and all that hear them, even out of church, shall fall down on their knees. The fame canon contains also directions to the priests, what instructions they ought to give the people about this facrament (35). One of these instructions is so singular. that it well deserves a place here: " Let priests also take " care, when they give holy communion at Easter, or at any other time, to the simple, diligently to instruct "them that the body and blood of our Lord is given "them at once under the species of bread; nay, the very living and true Christ, who is entirely under this " species in the facrament. And let them also instruct "them, that what is at the same time given them to of drink, is not the facrament, but mere wine, to be "drank for the more easy swallowing of the sacrament " which they have taken (36)." These wise instructions were plainly intended to prepare the poor laics for what foon after happened, the depriving them of the cup entirely, and leaving them to swallow their dry bread in the best manner they could.

The inclination which Edward and the parliament had Primate's lately diffcovered, to fet fome bounds to the increasing letter to the power and wealth of the clergy, was by no means agreeable to the archbishop; who in the year 1281, wrote a very fharp letter to the king on that fubject (37). In that letter he complains, that the church was oppressed. contrary to the decrees of the popes, the canons of councils, and the fanction of orthodox fathers; "in which," fays he, "there is the supreme authority, the supreme truth, the supreme fanctity; and no end can be put to disputes, unless we can submit our solemnity to these "three great laws." In this epiftle the primate roundly declares, that no oaths shall bind him to do any thing against the interests and liberties of the church; and very kindly offers "to obsolve the king from any oath " he may have taken that can anywife incite him against

<sup>(35)</sup> Du Pin's Ch. Hift. vol. 11. p. 131. Johnson's Canons, an. 1281. (36) Spelman's Coun. v. 2. p. 329.

<sup>(37)</sup> Du Pin's Ch. Hitt, vol. 11. p. 131. Spelman Council. t. 2. p. 341.

Cent. XIII. " the church." But this thundering letter made no impression on king Edward, who continued to take several other steps towards abridging the exorbitant power and wealth of the clergy.

New herefy.

Archbishop Peckham took occasion, A. D. 1286, to display his orthodoxy, and skill in scholastic divinity, by cenfuring feveral propositions maintained by one Richard Knapwell, a Dominican friar; the only heretic we hear of in England in the thirteenth century. Thefe propositions maintained by the friar, and condemned by the primate, are fo far curious, as they shew us what were the fubjects of controverfy and disquisition amongst the divines and philosophers of this period, and were as follows. "I. That the dead body of Jesus Christ had " not the fame substantial form as when living. "That if the eucharistical bread had been confecrated with these words, This is my body, during the three days Jesus Christ lay in his grave, the bread would have been transubstantiated into the new form which "the body of Christ took at the separation of his foul. "3. That after the refurrection of Jesus Christ, the eucharistical bread is transubstantiated by virtue of these words, This is my body, into the whole living body of Christ; that is, the matter of the bread is converted into the matter of his body, and the fubstantial form of the bread into the substantial form of his body; that is to fay, into his intellectual foul, fo far as it constitutes the form of his body. 4. That in " man there is only one form, namely, his rational " foul, without any other fubstantial form. 5. That in " articles of faith, a man the thority of the pope, or of any priest or uncor, that the holy scriptures, and right reason, are the that the holy scriptures of our affent (38)." V One cannot help wondering how fo important a truth as that which is contained in the last proposition, ever came into company with the vile jargon and nonfense of all the rest. This last proposition, however, was no doubt considered by the primate as the greatest and most dangerous heresy of the whole.

Arch-

<sup>(38)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 114. Knyghton, col. 2467. Spel. Con. vol. 2. P. 347.

Archbishop Peckham dying A. D. 1292, was succeed- Cent. XIII. ed, after a vacancy of two years, by Robert Winchelfey, The king who fat very uneasy in the archiepiscopal chair. King extorts Edward being much engaged in war, had great occasion money for money, and made frequent demands upon the cler-from the gy, which were confidered by them as grievous en-clergy. croachments on the immunities of the church. These demands of money became more frequent and more heavy during the primacy of Winchelfey, on account of the long and expensive war with Scotland. In the year 1294, while the archbishop-elect was still at Rome, Edward feized all the money which had been collected in England for the holy war, and was deposited in several monasteries, and applied it to his own use (39). A few months after this, he called an affembly of the clergy to meet at Westminster on the 21st of September in the fame year, and demanded from them one half of all their revenues, both spiritual and temporal (40). This demand, as might have been expected, was not very cheerfully complied with; and they obtained an audience of the king, in order to perfuade him to accept of a more moderate proportion. But William Montfort, dean of St. Paul's, whom they had appointed their orator, was thrown into fo violent an agitation of spirits, probably by the royal frowns, foon after he had begun his harangue, that he funk to the ground, and expired upon the fpot. When the clergy, after this fatal accident, had returned to the monks hall at Westminster, their deliberations were interrupted by the intrusion of sir John Havering, fent by the king; who, with a fierce menacing air, addressed the affembly in this laconic speech: "Reverend fathers, if " any of you dare to contradict the king's demand in this 66 business, let him stand forth into the midst of this af-66 sembly, that his person may be known, and taken no-" tice of, as a breaker of the peace of the kingdom." None of the clergy had courage to return any answer to this speech, or make any further opposition to the king's demand.

The archbishop hearing what havock Edward was mak- The clergy ing of the revenues of the church, obtained a bull from deny the Boniface VIII. one of the greatest champions for the pow- right of the

er.

to tax

them.

<sup>(39)</sup> T. Wykes, p. 126. Walling, p. 65. (40) M. West. p. 421, 422.

Cent. XIII. er, wealth, and immunities of the clergy, that ever filled the papal chair, prohibiting all princes to levy any taxes on the clergy in their dominions, without the leave of the holy fee, and forbidding the clergy to pay any fuch taxes: and threatening both princes and clergy with the dreadful fentence of excommunication in case of disobedience (41). Winchelfey, armed with this impenetrable shield (as he imagined) against all future attempts on the facred patrimony of the church, returned into England; and foon had occasion to try its strength and efficacy. For Edward held a parliament at St. Edmundsbury, on November 3, A. D. 1296 (42), in which he demanded from the clergy a fifth of all their moveables. They refused to comply with this demand; and the archbishop produced the pope's bull, which he had hitherto kept fecret, as the ground of their refusal (43). Though the king was greatly offended at this refusal, and still more at the pretence on which it was built; he did not immediately proceed to extremities, but gave them to the next meeting of parliament to consider of the matter. At the meeting of the next parliament, 15th January 1297, the clergy still perfifted in refufing to comply with the king's demand (44).

The clergy obliged to submit.

Edward, perceiving that this dispute was come to a crifis, and that he must now establish the right of king and parliament to tax the possessions of the clergy without the consent of the pope, or for ever give it up, determined to carry his point, With this view he told the clergy, that fince they would contribute nothing to the fupport of his government, they should receive no protection from it; and he gave orders to all his judges to do every man justice against the clergy, but to do them justice against no man. At the same time he directed writs to all the fheriffs in England, commanding them " to feize all the lay fees of the clergy, as well fecular as regular, toge-" ther with their goods and chattels, and keep them in "their possession, until they received further orders from "him." These two things brought such a torrent of abuses, injuries, and distresses, on the clergy, that many of them very foon complied with the king's demand, and obtained the protection of the government, and restitution

<sup>(41)</sup> Rymer, vol. 2. p. 706. Heming. vol. 1. p. 104.

<sup>(42)</sup> Walfing. p. 68. (43) Heming, vol. 1, p. 107.

<sup>(44)</sup> Walfing, p. 68.

of their estates and goods. At last, even the archbishop Cent. XIII. himself, the chief author of all this disturbance, after he had been stripped of all, and almost reduced to want the necessaries of life, was brought to submission, and paid the fifth part of his moveables to redeem the rest of his posfessions (45). Thus did this great king, by his wife and steady measures, triumph over the covetous and selfish claims of the pope and clergy when their power was at the highest.

While this great controverfy between the king and the Synod of clergy subsisted, the primate held a provincial synod at London.

London in January 1298, in which it was decreed, "That " the feizers of ecclefiaffical goods, and fuch as took them " away by violence, without the freeleave of their owners, " or of their bailiffs, be publicly and in general denounced to be under the fentence of the greater excommunication, by the bishops themselves in the cathedral churches and other notable places, by other idoneous men, in other churches of every diocese, at the command of " the diocesan (46)." But the whole body of the clergy being immediately after this put out of the protection of the law, and exposed to all manner of insults, these excommunications were either not denounced, or not regarded. After this from was blown over, and the archbishop had recovered the possession of his fee, he fent a folemn mandate to all the bishops of his province, dated at Otteford 6th ides of July 1208, enjoining and commanding them, by virtue of their canonical obedience, 1. To cause the sentence of excommunication to be published in every church in each of their dioceses, against all seizers of the goods of ecclefiastical men, according to the decree of the fynod of London. 2. To cause the same sentence to be published in each of their cathedral churches, twice a-year, against all infringers of the great charter, and the charter of forests (which had been lately renewed by the king), and to cause the said charters to be at the same time publicly read before the people. 3. To cause the same fentence of the greater excommunication to be published in every church in each of their diocefes, every Lord's day, and every festival, against all who should be guilty of beating or imprisoning clergymen. All these excom-

<sup>(45)</sup> M. West. p. 429. Walfing, p. 69. (46) Johnson's Canons, an. 1298.

Cent. XIII. munications were to be pronounced with the greatest posfible folemnity, with bells tolling and candles lighted, that it may cause the greater dread; " for laymen (says the or primate) have greater regard to this folemnity than to " the effects of fuch fentences (47)."

Synod of Merton.

Archbishop Winchelsey held a provincial synod at Merton, A. D. 1305, in which feveral canons were made, relating to the payment of tithes, the duty of stipendiary or mass-priests, and some other things of no great importance. The fourth canon of this fynod may be perhaps thought curious, as it contains a very full and distinct detail of the feveral books, vestments, and utenfils which were used in the celebration of divine fervice, in this period, together with the other furniture and ornaments of their churches. The defign of the canon was to put an end to all disputes between the rectors of churches and their parishioners, by ascertaining what part of the books, vestments, utensils, furniture, and ornaments of the church each of them was to provide and keep in repair. By this constitution the parishioners were obliged to provide the following books for their church, viz. A Legend or Lectionary, a book containing all the lessons, out of scripture, and other books, which were to be read throughout the year; 2. An Antiphonar, a book containing all the invitatories, re-Iponfes, verses, collects, and every thing that was faid or tung in the quire, except the lessons; 3. A Grail, a book containing the tracts, fequences, hallelujahs, the creed, offertory, trifagium, &c. and the office for sprinkling the holy water, and all that was to be fung at high mass; 4. A Pfalter; 5. A Troper, which contained only the fequences which were not in the Grail; 6. The Ordinal, a book containing directions for the right method of performing all the divine offices; this book was fometimes called the Pie or Portuis; 7. A Missal or Mass-book; 8. A manual, a book containing the offices of baptism, and the other facraments, except the mass, with the service used at processions. It must have been a great expence to parishes to provide all these books before the invention of printing, when the common price of a massbook was five marks, equal to the yearly stipend of some vicars at that time. Befides these books, the parishioners

were obliged to provide the following vestments, viz. I. Cent. XIV. The principal vestment, or best cope, to be used on the greater festivals; 2. A chesible, being the garment worn by the priest next under the cope, and which was fometimes called the planet; 3. A dalmatic, the garment used by the deacon; 4. A tunic, for the sub-deacon; 5. A choraal cope, for common use, with its appendages, viz, the alb, amyt, stole, maniple, and girdle; 6. Three furplices, and one rochet, or surplice without sleeves; 7. A frontal or covering for the great altar, and three or four towels. The parishioners were further obliged to provide the following facred utenfils; I. A chalice or cup for the wine, with a patin or cover, both of filver; 2. A pyx or box for the body of Christ, of ivory or filver; 3. A cenfer: 4. A cross for processions, and another cross for the dead, to be used in the burial-office; 5. A baptismal font, with lock and key; 6. A vessel for the holy water; 7. A great candleftick for the taper at Easter; 8. A lanthorn and hand-bell, to be carried before the body of Christ in the visitation of the fick; 9. An ofculatory, or board with the picture of Christ or the Virgin Mary painted on it, which the priest kissed immediately after confecrating the hoft, and then handed about to the congregation to kifs; 10. All the images in the church, and the chief image in the chancel. The parishioners were obliged also to build and keep in repair the body of the church, the glass windows, and to furnish it with bells, and feveral other things. All this must have been attended with a very great expence, as feveral of thefearticles were costly both in their materials and workmanship. The rectors were obliged to keep the chancel,

The holding the fynod of Merton was amongst the Troubles last public acts of archbishop Winchelsey, in the reign of the proof Edward I. he being soon after involved in very gricvous matrice troubles. For though the king and the primate had been outwardly reconciled to one another several years ago, yet that reconciliation never was sincere. The primate still continued to defend the immunities of the clergy with much zeal, and warmly joined with that party of the ba-

rons who opposed Edward's arbitrary measures, and ob-

with its desks, &c. in repair (48).

<sup>(48)</sup> Spel, Conc. vol. 2. p. 431. Johnson's Canons, A. D. 1305.

Cent. XIV. liged him frequently to confirm the great charter, against his inclination. The king was greatly enraged at this behaviour of the archbishop, and only waited a favourable opportunity to make him feel the weight of his refentment. Such an opportunity now offered. The high constable, and earl marshal, the two heads of that party to which the primate had conftantly adhered, had lately been deprived of their offices, and obliged to throw themfelves on the king's mercy. Boniface VIII. the great friend and protector of Winchelfey, was now dead, and the papal chair was filled by Clement V. who having been born in Edward's French dominions, was much disposed to favour his native fovereign. The king accused the archbishop before the pope of various crimes, particularly of diffurbing the peace of the kingdom by abetting the factious barons; and his holiness suspended him from the execution of his office, deprived him of the temporalities of his fee, and cited him to appear at Rome (49); where he continued in indigence and difgrace, till after the king's death, when he was recalled by Edward II. and restored to his dignities and possessions.

Checks given by parliament to the exacclergy.

Edward I. in the absence of the primate, endeavoured to reform feveral ecclesiastical abuses in his last parliament, which met at Carlifle 21st January 1307. The tions of the superiors of several religious orders, who lived beyond feas, used frequently to come into England, on pretence of visiting the monasteries of their order; from whence they extorted great fums of money, which they carried out of the kingdom. To prevent this practice, a statute was made, prohibiting the exportation of the goods of religious houses on any pretence whatsoever (50).

Provisions.

The court of Rome, ever fertile in expedients for obtaining power and wealth, had lately invented a new method of getting the disposal of all ecclesiastical benefices and preferments. This was by giving reversionary grants of benefices before they became vacant; by which the legal patrons were deprived of their right of presentation. These grants were called provisions, because thereby fucceffors were provided to incumbents while they were yet living. The pope had also, about this time,

<sup>(49)</sup> Walfing, p. ot. W. Thorn col. 2003.

<sup>(50)</sup> Coke's 2d. Inft. p. 580. Ryley's Piacit. Parl. p. 312.

laid claim to the first fruits of all vacant bishoprics, which Cent. XIV. had formerly belonged to the king. The parliament made loud complaints against these, and several other schemes, which the court of Rome had lately set on soot to drain the kingdom of money. In order to obtain a redress of these new grievances, the parliament drew up a lift of them, which they sent to the pope, accompanied with a very spirited letter. This list of grievances consisted of seven articles; which were as follows:

the pope, of the best spiritual preferments, to Italians, or srievances. other foreigners, and non-residents, to the great prejudice of the founders, benefactors, and their successors, and to such as had the right of advowson, and the gifts of such

preferments.

2. The rents and revenues of religious houses, which the pope intended to apply to the use of divers cardi-

nals.

3. Concerning first-fruits of vacant benefices referved to the pope, a thing never heard of before; concerning the collection whereof, he had lately issued forth divers hard and severe orders, much prejudicial to the king, kingdom, and the whole English church.

4. About Peter-pence; that it was not taken according to the first grant, but exacted to treble the va-

lue.

5. Concerning legacies given to pious uses; that they were wickedly demanded, and exacted by the authority of the apostolic see, and converted to other uses than the testator or donor intended.

6. Concerning debts; that creditors went to the pope's clerks, and offered them half the debt, more or lefs, to get the rest; who presently caused the debtors to be fum-

moned, or distrained, to answer before them.

7. Concerning indiffinct legacies; though approved by the civil or common law, yet the pope's clerks impioufly appropriated them to themselves, contrary to the design of the deceased (51).

William Testa, the pope's nuncio, was called before the parliament, sharply reprimanded for these new acts of extortion, and commanded to desist from them; and his

<sup>(51)</sup> Ryley's Placit. Parl. p. 380. M. West. p. 457.

Cent. XIV. inferior agents were ordered to be profecuted with the utmost feverity. This noble spirit of the English parliament gave a momentary check to the cruel exactions of the court of Rome; but brought no effectual remedy, as will appear from the feguel of this history.

Innovations in this period.

There were but few innovations in the doctrine of the church of England in this period; the minds of the clergy being much more keenly engaged in the purfuits of power and wealth, than in speculative disquisitions. There happened, however, a confiderable change in the fentiments of the church in the point of transubstantiation in the course of the thirteenth century. In the beginning of this century, the doctrine of the church on this subject, as declared by the fourth general Lateran council, was, " That the bread was transubstantiated into the body of Jesus Christ, and the wine into his blood (52)." But before the end of the century, the faithful were taught to believe, " That both the body " and blood of our Lord, nay the whole living and " true Christ, was given them at once, under the species of bread; and that the wine which was given them at 66 the fame time to drink, was not the facrament, but " mere wine (53)." There were not a few changes in the worship and discipline of the church in this period. The number of festivals was considerably increased; bells were tolled at the elevation of the hoft, to engage the adoration even of those who were without the church (54). In partaking of the eucharift, fometimes a cup of wine was given to the laity, though it was declared to be no part of the facrament; at other times they were put off with the washings of the priests fingers (55). Confession was more strictly and more generally enjoined than formerly; and none were permitted to communicate who did not give evidence of their having confessed (56). What were called ipfo facto or ipfo jure fuspensions and deprivations (by which those priests who were guilty of certain irregularities and vices were declared to be suspended from their offices, or deprived of their benefices) came first into use in this period. The first example we meet with of fuspensions and deprivations of this kind, is in the

<sup>(52)</sup> Du Pin, vol. 11. p. 96. (53) Spel. Conc. vol. 2. p. 320. (54) Spel. Conc. t. 2. p. 330. (55) Johnson's Can. A. D. 1236—21. (56) ld. ibid. vol. 2. Λ. D. 1228—1.

Constitutions of Otho, the pope's legate, in the fynod of Cent. XIV. London, A. D. 1237. By the 15th of these constitutions it is decreed, That all married priests be ipfo jure deprived of their benefices; that all their goods, even those which they had gotten with their wives, be applied to the use of the church; and that their children be incapable of church-preferments (57). But this was an obstinate plague (as they called it), which for feveral centuries baffled all the power and cunning of the court of Rome, and required extraordinary methods to drive it out of the church. General excommunications came also into use in this century, by which all who were guilty of certain vices and crimes, though known only to God and their own consciences, were declared to be excommunicated. Thefe general excommunications were at first denounced chiefly against such as injured the clergy, by detaining their tithes, defrauding them of any of their dues, or stealing any thing belonging to the church. They were to be published by every parish-priest in his holy vestments, with bells tolling and candles lighted, before the whole congregation, in the mother-tongue, on Christmas, Easter, Pentecost, and Allhallows-day (58). That thefe excommunications might make the greater impression on tender consciences or tinorous natures, they contained the most horrible infernal curses that could be devised: " Let them be accursed " eating and drinking; walking and fitting; fpeaking " and holding their peace; waking and fleeping; row-' ing and riding; laughing and weeping; in house and " in field; on water and on land, in all places. Curfed be their head and their thoughts; their eyes and their ears; their tongues and their lips; their teeth and their throats; their shoulders and their breasts; their feet and their legs; their thighs and their inwards. Let them remain accurfed from the bottom of the foot to the crown of the head, unless they bethink ' themselves, and come to satisfaction. And just as this candle is deprived of its present light, so let them be deprived of their fouls in hell (59)." Such was he bitter unchristian, language of the excommunications of those times!

<sup>(57)</sup> Johnson's Can. A. D. 1237-15.

<sup>(58)</sup> Spelman, Con. v. 2. p. 181.

Exactions of Rome.

Cent. XIV. But that which is most worthy of our attention, or rather of our indignation, in the church-history of this of the court period, is, the infatiable avarice, and boundless ambition, of the court of Rome. The arts of that court to drain this unhappy kingdom of its treasure, and sleece both the clergy and laity, were almost innumerable. What prodigious fums of money were yearly carried out of England to Rome, -by pilgrims; -by those who profecuted appeals, and law fuits, before that court; -by prelates who went thither to obtain confecration, and the confirmation of their elections; -by fuch as went to folicit, or perhaps to purchase, church-preferments, which were almost all bestowed by the pope; -by the legates and nuncios who from time to time carried off incredible fums, raifed on various pretences; -by the Italians, who possessed many of the richest benefices in England:-by the first-fruits of benefices; -by Peter-pence; -by the annual tribute imposed upon king John and his successors, and by feveral other means!

Pride of the popes.

The popes, who hypocritically styled themselves, the servants of the servants of the Lord, pretended to be the univerfal monarchs of the Christian world, both in temporals and spirituals, and treated, not only the kings of England, but all the other fovereigns of Europe, as their vassals and subjects. Boniface VIII. who flourished towards the end of this period, carried these ambitious pretences to the greatest height, as appears from his famous bull, directed to Philip the Fair, king of France, dated the 5th December 1301: "Boniface the bishop, " a fervant of the fervants of God, to Philip king of " France. Fear God, and keep his commandments. We will you to know, that you are subject to us, both " in spirituals and temporals. You have no right to " bestow benefices and probends, &c. &c. We declare them heretics who believe the contrary (60)." It will be difficult to find in history fuch an example of infolent humility.

New orders of monks.

Though this kingdom, and other parts of Christendom. already swarmed with monks and nuns of various orders, feveral new orders were instituted abroad in this period, and foon after their inflitution transplanted into England.

The most considerable of these new orders were the Cent. XIV. Franciscans and Dominicans. The Franciscan order was founded about the beginning of the thirteenth century by Francis of Affify, from whom they took their name. They were first introduced into England A. D. 1216. and foon became famous for their pretended fanctity and real wealth. The Dominican order was founded about the year 1215, by Dominic de Gusman, one of those cruel enthusiasts who preached up the croifade against the Albigenses, by which fuch multitudes of unhappy people were destroyed, for no other crime than rejecting the tyranny, idolatry, and superstitions, of the church of Rome. The Dominicans were first established at Thoulouse, which was the centre of those pretended heretics they were designed to destroy; and from thence they foon spread over all Christendom; and settled in England A. D. 1217 (61). This order hath long inherited the spirit of its founder, having the direction of the infernal tribunal of the inquisition, by which so many thoufands of good men have been condemned to the flames.

## SECTION II.

History of Religion in Great Britain, from A. D. 1307, to A. D. 1399.

HE conduct of the bishops of Rome never correst- Cent. XIV. ponded very well with the humble title which they affumed, viz. The servants of the servants of the Lord. But, in Ambition of the dark ages we are now delineating, they acted much the pope. more like the fovereigns than the fervants of the Chriftian world, and treated the greatest monarchs as their subjects. In the first year of the fourteenth century. Boniface VIII. declared, in a bull directed to the king of France,-" That God had established the pope sovereign over all kings and kingdoms, to pluck up, to

Cent. XIV. " destroy, to scatter, or to build; -that the king of "France ought not to think that he hath no superior, " and is not subject to the pope; -that he who is of that " opinion is a fool and an infidel (1)."

Their avarice,

Nor was the avarice of the popes of those times inferior to their ambition; and while they infulted all the fovereigns who were in communion with them, they plundered their subjects, without measure and without mercy In a word, the pride and rapacity of those pretended vicars of the humble lefus, were fo great, that they could hardly be endured by the most infatuating superstition, and excited loud complaints in every Christian country.

ASte of parliament against the Rome.

In a parliament held at Carlifle in January A. D. 1307. great complaints were made of the tyranny and rapacity exactions of of the pope,—in bestowing many of the best benefices in the kingdom by provifocs on Italians and other foreigners, to the prejudice of the kingdom and of the lawful patrons; -in granting pensions to cardinals out of the revenues of religious houses; -in demanding the first fruits of vacant benefices, which was a new demand, and very prejudicial to the king and kingdom; -in raifing the rate of Peter-pence much higher than the original grant; -in feizing legacies which had been given to pious uses, &c. An act was made in confequence of these complaints, prohibiting all these encroachments and extortions for the future (2). But this act was ill executed, and had little or no effect.

Primate recalled.

Robert Winchelsev archbishop of Canterbury, who had been feveral years in exile, was recalled by Edward II. immediately after his accession (3). But that unfortunate prelate foon loft the favour of the young king, by refusing to dispense with the canons against pluralities and non-residence, in favour of the royal chaplains and courtclergy (4).

Knightstemplars projecuted.

The profecution of the knights-templars, which terminated in the diffolution of the order, and the execution of many of its members, engaged the attention of all the nations of Europe, for feveral years, in the beginning of

<sup>(1)</sup> Du Pin, Hift, Eccles, Cent. XIV, chan, t.

<sup>(2)</sup> Riley Placita Parliamentaria, p. 379. (3) Wilkin, Concil. t. 2. p. 290.

<sup>(4)</sup> Antig. Britan. p. 149.

the fourteenth century. This order, at its institution Cent. XIV. A. D. 1118, confifted only of nine knights, who had their residence in a house near the Temple (from which they obtained the name of the knights-templars), and engaged in the protection of the Christian pilgrims who visited Jerusalem. Many of these pilgrims being princes, prelates, barons, and persons of great wealth, they were very liberal to their protectors; and the knights-templars, by degrees, became numerous and opulent, having many valuable estates in every Christian country. Their prosperity corrupted their manners, created them many enemies, and at length brought on their ruin. Two knights, who had been feverely punished for their crimes, publicly charged the whole order with the most detestable enormities. They affirmed particularly,-1. That every knight, at his admission into the order, was obliged to abjure Jesus Christ, to spit upon the crucifix, and to trample it under his feet :-- 2. That they discharged him from all intercourfe with women; but allowed him to commit the fin of Sodom:—3. That they compelled him to worfhip a wooden head, with a long beard, which was adored by their whole order, in their general assemblies. This strange discovery made a mighty noise, and was very agrecable to the enemies of the order. Philip the Fair, king of France, was the most dangerous, because he was the most powerful, of their enemies. That prince commanded all the templars in his dominions to be feized in one day (October 5, A. D. 1307,) and thrown into prifon (5).

Though Clement V. who filled St. Peter's chair, at that Synod of time, feemed, at first, to be displeased with the proceedings of the king of France against the templars, he was foon prevailed upon, by the prospect of sharing in their spoils, to imitate his example, and to animate both princes and prelates against them, by his bulls. In consequence of one of these bulls, directed to Robert Winchelsev archbithop of Canterbury, a provincial fynod was held at London, in November A. D. 1309, in which the affair of the templars in England was debated. A great mass of evidence against the Templars, which had been collected by the bishop of London, and other commissioners ap-

<sup>(5)</sup> Du Pin, Hist. Eccles. Cent. XIV. ch. 2.

Cent. XIV. pointed by the pope to examine those of that order in England, was laid before this fynod. Upon the force of that evidence, long and warm debates enfued, and at length the following fentence was pronounced: "That "the Templars in London should be separated from one " another, and examined again concerning the crimes objected to them, and that new interrogatories should " be put to them, that if possible some truth might be extracted from them by their own confessions: that " the fame thing should be done to the Templars confined at Lincoln: that if by thefe feparations and interroga-" tories they confessed nothing more than they had done "before, they should then be put to the rack; but without mutilation, or the too violent effusion of blood. "That the bishops of London and Chester, with the other commissioners, should acquaint the archbishop "when all this was done, that he might re-affemble the " fynod (6)." The execution of this curious fentence took up a good deal of time: for the fynod was not reaffembled till the feast of the exaltation of the holy cross, A. D. 1311. At that meeting, all the Templars who had been feized and brought to London appeared before the fynod, and publicly confessed, "-That they had been 66 accused of so many articles of herefy, that they could of not legally exculpate themselves; and therefore they " prayed for the mercy of God and of the church; and were ready to receive and perform whatever penances " should be enjoined them." Upon hearing this, the fynod decreed -" That they should be separated from one another, and fent to the different monasteries of "England, to perform the penances which should be en-" joined them, until the holy fee, in a general council, " should finally determine concerning their state and or-

Order of Templars diffolved. A general council, confishing of about 300 archbishops and bishops, met at Vienne in Dauphiny, October 16, A.D. 1311. The chief intention of calling this council was, to determine the fate of the Templars, and to dispose of their great citates. After long deliberation, a following fession of the council was held, May 22, A.D. 1312, in which pope Clement V. presided in person,

' (7) Id. Had.

<sup>(6)</sup> Wilkin. Concil. tom. 2. p. 314-

and at which the king of France, the capital enemy of Cent. XIV. the Templars, was prefent. In this fession the final sentence against the Templars was pronounced with great folemnity, dissolving that order, and bestowing all its riches on the knights-hospitallers. But the sentence itself contains sufficient evidence, that those who pronounced it were conscious of its severity, or rather of its injustice. For the pope, in his bull of condemnation, declared, "That though it could not be done according to the "usual rules and forms of justice, yet he dissolved the " order of the Templars by the plenitude of his power (8)." Thus fell the famous order of the knights-templars, after it had flourished almost two centuries, and had attained a great degree of prosperity and wealth. That many of its members were diffolute in their manners, is not improbable; but that an order of knights instituted for fighting in defence of Christianity, should make the renouncing of Christ, with every mark of contempt, the capital ceremony of their admission, is altogether incredible.

From the time that William the Conqueror feparated The English the ecclesiastical from of the civil jurifdiction, there had elergy combeen continual disputes between the ecclesiastical and ci-Plain of vil courts, about the limits of their authority. Many grievances. attempts had been made to terminate these disputes, by regulating the boundaries of the different jurisdictions. But this was found to be a very difficult task, on account of the mixed nature of many actions, which gave both the spiritual and temporal courts a claim to take cognizance of them. These disputes therefore still continued; and loud complaints were made, in the council of London above mentioned, of the encroachments of the civil upon the ecclesiastical courts. A long catalogue of these encroachments, which were called grievances, was drawn up by the council, and presented to the king in parliament, with an earnest supplication for redress. This curious catalogue is far too long to be here inferted; but the following article will ferve to give us fome idea of what the clergy esteemed grievances in this period. -- " Item, When clergymen are apprehended on fuspicion of a " crime, by the civil officers, they are not immediately

<sup>(8)</sup> Du Pin, Cent. XIV, ch. 2. Walfing, Hift, Angl. an. 1312.

Cent. XIV. " delivered up to their bishops upon demand, as of right "they ought to be, but are long kept in prison contrary to the liberties of the church and cler-" gy." To the feveral articles in this long lift of grievances, the king, by the advice of his parliament, returned very artful and evalive answers (9).

Pope's bull on that Subject.

The pope, at the same time, directed a bull to the archbishop of Canterbury against the grievances of the English clergy, desiring, or rather commanding, the king (to whom the bull was to be communicated) to redref. these grievances, in order to preserve himself and his kingdom from total destruction. In this bull, his holines complains bitterly, "That clerks invested with the fa-66 cerdotal character, and shining with the splendour of opontifical dignity, were tried by laymen, condemned, " and hanged, when found guilty of murder, or robbery, to the great provocation of the supreme King, who hath forbidden the fecular power to touch his a-" nointed (10)." In fo shocking a manner did this pretended vicar of Christ on earth pervert and misapply the word of God!

Archbifhop Winchelley's death and character.

Robert Winchelfey, archbishop of Canterbury, diec May 11, A. D. 1313, in the twentieth year of his primacy. He is faid to have been a prelate of great piety, an excellent grammarian, philosopher, and divine; an affecting and popular preacher. The high notions which he entertained of the immunities of the clergy involved him in many troubles; which he fustained with uncommon fortitude (II).

Revnolds archbishop of Canterbury.

The monks of Canterbury elected Thomas Cobbeham dean of Salisbury, who was commonly called the good clergyman, to be their archbishop. But the Pope at the request of Edward II. vacated this election, and, in the plenitude of his apostolic power, appointed Walter Reynolds bishop of Worcester to be primate, on the 1st day of October A. D. 1313 (12).

Extraordinary powers granted by the pape.

Archbishop Reynolds appears to have been a great favourite of the reigning pope, Clement V. who not only raifed him to the primacy, but granted him feveral extraordinary powers by his bulls. By one of thefe bulls he

<sup>(9)</sup> Wilkin. Concil. tom. 2. p. 314-322. (10) Id. ibid. p. 323. (11) Anglia Sacra, tom. 1. p. 11-17. (12) Id. ibid. p. 18.

gave him authority to vifit the feveral diocefes in his province by proxy; by another he authorifed him to abfolve one hundred persons who lay under the sentences of excommunication and interdict; and by others he impowered him—to relax all who heard him preach, or say mass, from one hundred days penances;—to bestow holy orders on one hundred bastards;—to allow twelve clerks under age to enjoy benefices, with cure of souls;—to dispense with the canons of the church against pluralities in savour of forty clergymen, &c. (13). In this manner, the popes of those times not only claimed a right to dispense with all the laws of the church themselves, but even to delegate this dispensing power to others.

The difference between the temporal and spiritual courts, statute about the limits of their several jurisdictions, still con-called articles are markable statute, commonly called articles still con-

tinuing, a remarkable statute, commonly called articuli ticuli cleri. cleri, was made, A. D. 1316, for terminating these disputes. As this statute was procured by the clergy, at a time when their assistance was much needed, it was very savourable to their shameful and exorbitant claims of exemption from civil authority. By the last chapter it is granted,—that when clerks consess before temporal judges their heinous offences, as thest, robbery, and murder, they cannot be judged or condemned by these temporal judges upon their own consession, without violating the privilege of the church; and that the privilege of the church, being demanded in due form by the ordinary, shall not be denied (14).

It was not long before one of the bishops had occasion Adam de to plead this statute, and was protected by it from the Orleton punishment due to his crimes. This was Adam de Orle-pleads the ton bishop of Hereford, a factious and martial prelate, who had appeared in arms with the rebellious barons defeated at Burrow-bridge A. D. 1321. Being accused of high treason before the house of peers, in the parliament which met at Westminster in Lent A. D. 1324, he pleaded his privilege as a clerk, not to be tried by slaymen; and being supported in this plea by the other bishops, it was admitted (15). The king, some time after, attempted to bring him to a trial in the court of

<sup>(13)</sup> Wilkins, Concil. tom. 2. p. 433-444. (14) Coke's Institut. Part 2. p. 601, &c.

<sup>(15)</sup> T. Walling, Hift, Angl. p. 115.

Cent. XIV. king's bench, for the fame crime; but the three archbishops of Canterbury, York, and Dublin, came into court with their crosses borne before them, and carried him from the bar in triumph (16). The bishop of Hereford foon after completed his treasonable practices, by joining with the queen and Mortimer in accomplishing the destruction of his unhappy sovereign.

The citizens of London murder the bishop of Exeter.

The citizens of London did not pay so great regard to the privileges of the clergy, as the laws and courts of justice. Having embraced, with the most ardent zeal, the party of the queen and Mortimer, they feized the brave, learned, and loyal bishop of Exeter, Walter Stapleton, stripped him naked, loaded him with indignities, and at

last cut off his head in Cheapside (17).

Simon Mepham: primate.

Walter Reynolds archbishop of Canterbury died November 15, A. D. 1327, and was succeeded in that very important station by Simon Mepham (18). This primate had a long and warm contest with the monks of St. Augustine at Canterbury, who pleaded a papal exemption from his authority. In the course of this contest, fome of the archbishop's servants beat and wounded two of the monks, and a notary, who had come to fummon their master to appear before Icherius de Concoret canon of Salisbury, who had been commissioned by the pope to examine and determine this controversy. This infult was fo highly refented by the pope and his commissioner, that the primate was obliged to swear on the gospels,-That he had given no orders to his fervants;—that he execrated what they had done; that he had turned them all out of his fervice, and would never receive any of them into it again. He was also obliged to bring thirty other witnesses to corroborate his own testimony. Icherius, after he had thus humbled the archbishop, pronounced a definitive fentence against him, and condemned him to pay no less than one thousand two hundred and forty-one pounds to the convent for their expences (19). In this manner did the popes of those times, and their meanest agents, trample upon the greatest prelates, when they prefumed to difpute their most arbitrary mandates.

<sup>(16)</sup> T. Walfing, Hift. Angl. p. 119.

<sup>(17)</sup> Id. p. 124.

<sup>(18)</sup> Anglia Sacra, tom. 1. p. 18. 115. (19) Chron. W. Thorn. col. 2039-2051.

Archbishop Mepham celebrated several provincial Cent. XIV. councils, particularly one at St. Paul's, London, in February A. D. 1328, and another at Magfield in July Councils. A. D. 1332. In the last of these councils, the number of the great festivals to be observed in the church of England was afcertained, and the manner prescribed in which

they were to be kept (20).

This primate appears to have been very diligent in His dispute discharging the duties of his office. He visited the dio-with the ceses of Rochester, Chichester, Salisbury, and Bath and Exeter, and Wells; but when he attempted to visit that of Exeter, death. he met with a very bold opponent. This was John Grandison, bishop of that see, who disputed the primate's right of visitation, and appealed to the pope (21). The archbishop, difregarding this appeal, proceeded in his visitation. But when he arrived at the confines of the diocese of Exeter, he found the bishop, with a numerous body of armed men, ready to dispute his entrance. This affront, together with the chagrin which his unfortunate contest with the monks of Canterbury had given him, had an ill effect upon his health; and he died at Magfield October 12, A. D. 1333, after he had filled the archiepifcopal chair about five years and fix months (22). His body was for some time denied burial, until the abbot and monks of St. Augustine granted him their absolution; by which the historian probably means, a discharge of the debt which he owed them (23). John Stratford, bishop of Winchester, was, by the Stratford

interest of Edward III. at the court of Rome, translated primate, to Canterbury. This prelate had been much engaged in his great fecular affairs before his promotion to the primacy, and was still more engaged in them after that promotion (24). For, being at the fame time archbishop, chancellor, and prime minister to the young king, he had the chief direction of all the civil and ecclefiastical affairs of the kingdom. Even the monks of St. Augustine, though greatly elated with the complete victory which they had obtained over his predecessor, were glad to compromise all difputes with the new primate on his own terms, and to give up the final fentence which they had obtained in

their favour (25).

Arch-

<sup>(20)</sup> Wilkin. Concil. t. 2. p. 560.

<sup>(22)</sup> Anglia Sacra, tom. 1. p. 18.

<sup>(24)</sup> Anglia Sacra, tom, 1. p. 20.

<sup>(21)</sup> Ib. ibid. p. 559.

<sup>(23)</sup> W. Thorn. col. 2066. (25) W. Thorn. col. 2069.

Cent. XIV. The primate quarreis with the king.

Archbishop Stratford did not long enjoy this high degree of power and royal favour. Having failed in his endeavours to bring about a peace between France and England, he is faid to have advifed king Edward to profecute his claim to the crown of France, by invading that kingdom with a powerful army, promifing to provide money in England for defraying all the expences of the war. His advice was taken; but he did not fulfil his promife; which obliged Edward to make a truce with the king of France, and difband his army, after he had contracted a great load of debt. The king, on his return to England, November 30, A. D. 1340, expressed the most violent refentment against the archbishop, to whose negligence or infidelity, in not fending him money, according to his promife, he afcribed all his difappointments. He immediately deprived him of his fecular employments, imprisoned his chief confidants, and would have feized his person, if he had not made his escape from Lambeth. To render him as odious to his fubiccts as he was to himfelf, Edward published a long manifesto, in which he accused him of pride, ingratitude, negligence, treachery, and various other crimes. But though the primate had thus loft his power, and the favour of his prince, his spirit remained undaunted. He published a long answer to the royal manifesto, which he stiled a defamatory libel, and denied all the facts afferted in it, in the most direct terms. He mounted his pulpit in the cathedral of Canterbury, and harangued the clergy and people in praise of his predecessor Thomas Becket; and at the conclusion of his fermon, pronounced a fentence of excommunication against all who disturbed the peace of the church, -who incenfed the laity against the clergy, who did any injury to archbishops or bishops, their spiritual fathers, the ambassadors of Christ, and pillars of the church (26).

The king reconciled.

After this quarrel between the king and the primate and primate had raged with great violence for feveral months, interrupting all the public business of the nation, a feeming reconciliation was patched up, with much difficulty, by the interpolition of some great men. All preliminaries being settled, the primate came into the painted chamber, where both houses of parliament were affembled, Cent. XIV. April 19, A. D. 1341, and kneeling before the king, who was feated on the throne, implored his pardon and favour; which was immediately granted, at the interceffion of the lords and commons.

The primate, after his reconciliation with the king, kept himself for the most part within the sphere of his own profession. He published at Lambeth, A.D. 1342, certain statutes and constitutions for regulating the proceedings in the archbishop's court, commonly called the court of arches, from the place where it was held (27). In the course of the same year, he celebrated two provincial councils at London, in which feveral canons were made; but they contain very little that is either new or remarkable (28).

The pope still continuing to encroach upon the rights Remonof the crown, and of other patrons, by refervations and strance aprovisions (29), king Edward wrote a very strong remon-gainst papal france to his holiness against these practices; in which, &c. amongst other things, he represented, "That by these

or provisions and refervations, the encouragements of re-" ligion were bestowed upon unqualified mercenary fo-

" reigners, who neither refided in the country, nor un-"derstood its language; by which means the ends of "the priesthood were not answered, his own subjects were

" discouraged from profecuting their studies, the trea-

" fures of the kingdom were carried off by strangers, "the jurisdiction of its courts bassied by constant appeals

to a foreign authority, and both the crown and private so patrons were deprived of their most unquestionable

" rights. These mischiefs (adds he) are now become in-" tolerable; and our fubjects in parliament have earnestly

" requested us to put a stop to them by some speedy and effectual remedy (30)." But this most reasonable re-

monstrance had little or no effect.

The wars with France and Scotland fo much engroffed Death of the attention of king Edward, and his subjects of all con-Strationed.

(30) T. Walfing, p. 161,

<sup>(27)</sup> Wilkin. Concil. tom. 2. p. 681. (28) Id. ibid. p. 696. 702. (29) By refervations, the pope referved to himself the next prefentation to any benefices he pleafed; by provisions, he appointed the perions to whom they were granted to incceed the prefent incumbents.

Cent. XIV. ditions, that few ecclesialical transactions of importance occurred in the five last years of archbishop Stratford's primacy. That prelate died on the vigil of St. Bartholomew, August 23, A. D. 1348, at Magfield, in the fourteenth year of his government of the church of England (31).

Ufford primate

Those disputes between the crown, the canons of Christchurch, and the bishops of the province, which broke out almost on every vacancy of the fee of Canterbury, were attended with very pernicious confequences. One of the worst of these consequences, was that the contending parties frequently appealed to Rome, which greatly encreased the authority of that court, and afforded a specious pretence for its most ambitious claims. On the present occasion the canons having elected Thomas Bradwardin to be their archbishop, the king, who defigned that high station for another, immediately applied to the pope; and, notwithstanding his late strong remonstrance against papal provisions, entreated his holiness to raife John Ufford, dean of Lincoln to the fee of Canterbury, by way of provision. This application was too agreeable to be unfuccessful. The pope, in the plenitude of his power, promoted Utford to the primacy of the church of England; but that prelate died June 7, A. D. 1349, without having received confectation (32).

Great plague.

A most destructive, pestilence raged about this time in England, as well as in feveral other countries, and fwept away fo many of the clergy, that none could be found to perform divine fervice in many churches. " Before this of plague (favs Knyghton) you might have hired a curate " for four or five marks a-year, or for two marks and his 66 board; but after it you could hardly find a clergymau "who would accept of a vicarage of twenty marks or

" twenty pounds a-year (33)."

Bradwardin primate.

King Edward no longer opposing the promotion of his confessor Thomas Bradwardin, he was elected by the canons of Canterbury, immediately after the death of archbishop Ufford, and confecrated at Avignon, where the pope then refided, on the vigil of the feast of St. Margaret. At the confecration-feast, cardinal Hugh, one of

<sup>(31)</sup> Anglia Sacra, tom 1. p. 41.

<sup>(32)</sup> Anglia Sacra, tom. 1. p. 42. (33) Hen. Knyghton, col. 1600.

the pope's nephews, attempted to turn the new archbi-Cent. XIV. shop (who was remarkable for the humility of his appearance) into ridicule, by introducing into the hall a person dressed like a peasant, and riding on an ass, who presented a petition to the pope to make him archbishop of Canterbury. But this unpolite unseasonable piece of wit was not relished by the pope and cardinals, who thought it imprudent to affront a people from whom they derived so many benefits. Archbishop Bradwardin did not long survive his consecration, dying at Lambeth, August 26, A. D. 1349, only seven days after his return to England (34). Thus there were no sewer than three vacancies of the see of Canterbury in one year.

Simon Islep, keeper of the privy seal, succeeded arch-Archbishop bishop Bradwardin, and was consecrated at St. Paul's succeeded arch-Archbishop bishop Bradwardin, and was consecrated at St. Paul's likep's conference of the privy seal, succeeded arch-Archbishop bishop Bradwardin, and was consecrated at St. Paul's likep's conference of the privy seal, succeeded arch-Archbishop bishop bradwardin, and was consecrated at St. Paul's likep's conference of the privy seal, succeeded arch-Archbishop bishop bradwardin, and was consecrated at St. Paul's likep's conference of the privy seal, succeeded arch-Archbishop bishop bradwardin, and was consecrated at St. Paul's likep's conference of the privy seal, succeeded arch-Archbishop bishop bradwardin, and was consecrated at St. Paul's likep's conference of the privy seal, succeeded arch-Archbishop bishop bradwardin, and was consecrated at St. Paul's likep's conference of the privy seal, succeeded arch-Archbishop bradwardin, and was conference of the privy seal, succeeded arch-Archbishop bradwardin, and was conference of the privy seal, succeeded arch-Archbishop bradwardin, and was conference of the privy seal, succeeded arch-Archbishop bradwardin, and was conference of the privy seal, succeeded archbishop bradwarding archbishop br

December 20, A. D. 1349. This primate proved a flitutions. strict disciplinarian in spirituals, and a rigid exactor of the temporal emoluments of his fee. In his primary vifitation of his province, he deprived feveral clergymen for their irregularities, and excited very strong apprehenfions in fome of his fuffragans (35). His famous constitution, published at Lambeth in March A. D. 1351, breathes the same spirit of strictness in discipline. By that constitution it is decreed, that clerks who have been delivered up by the temporal judges to their ordinaries, and by them condemned to perpetual imprisonment for their crimes, shall receive only bread and water once a day, on Wednefdays, Fridays, and Saturdays; and bread and fmall beer on Mondays, Tuesdays, and Thursdays; and bread, beer, and pulse, on Sundays, for the honour of the day (36). This constitution was made in confequence of the strong remonstrances of the king and temporal lords in parliament, who complained, that the clergy grofsly abused their immunities; particularly, that when a clerk had been found guilty of a capital crime, and condemned to perpetual imprisonment by his ordinary, he was either allowed to make his escape, or to live in riot and luxury in the bishop's prison (37). This primate published another constitution, A. D. 1350, forbidding courts, fairs, and markets to be kept on Sundays, and

<sup>(34)</sup> Anglia Sacra, tom. 1. p. 42, 43.

<sup>(36)</sup> Spelman. Concil. tom. 2. p. 597.

<sup>(37)</sup> Id. ibid.

Cent. XIV. commanding all persons to go to their parish-churches on that day, to ask pardon for their offences, and to make amends for all the omissions and commissions of the preceding week (38). By another constitution, published A. D. 1362, he commands all Christians to keep the faints days with great devotion, that they might deferve the intercession of these faints with Almighty God. The late pestilence having occasioned a great scarcity of clergymen, those who remained demanded excessive salaries for serving the cure in churches. To remedy this evil, archbishop Islep published a constitution, in which, after reproaching the clergy in very strong terms for their covetousness and other vices, he forbids any rector to give, or any curate to demand, more than one mark a-year above what had been given to the curate of that church before the plague (39).

Statute of provilors,

The pope still continuing to encroach upon the rights of the crown and of private patrons, and to difpose of all the most valuable benefices in the church, by his provifions and refervations; the fecond flatute of provifors was made to put a stop to these encroachments. By this statute it was enacted, "That if any person shall procure " refervations or provisions from the pope, in disturbance of free elections, or of the prefentees of the king, or other patrons, that then the faid provifors, their procurators and notaries, shall be apprehended, and " brought to answer; and in case they are convicted, "they shall be kept in prison till they have made fine 46 and ransom to the king at his will, and have fatisfied " the party aggrieved, by paying his damages (40)."

Statute of premunire.

But these papal provisions and refervations were not the only ground of complaint which the people of England had, at this time, against the court of Rome. The frequency of appeals to that court was, if possible, a still more vexatious and expensive grievance. To confine this intolerable evil within fome limits, the flatute of premunire was contrived. By that statute it is enacted, "That all people of the king's legiance, who shall draw any out of the realm in a plea, whereof the cognifance pertains to the king's court, or of things whereof

Book IV.

<sup>(38)</sup> Spelman. Concil. tom. 2. p. 599.

<sup>(30)</sup> Johnson's Canons, A. D. 1362. (40) Sec Statutes at Large, p. 25. Ed. III.

" judgments are given in the king's court, shall have Cent. XIV.

"two months warning given them to appear in the king's courts, to answer the contempt; and if they

"do not appear in their proper persons to be at the law within the time appointed, they, their procura-

"tors, &c. shall from that day forth be put out of the

"king's protection, and their lands, goods, and chattels, fhall be forfeited to the king, and their bodies impri-

"foned, and ransomed at the king's will (41)." But no statutes could put a period to the mischies which England suffered from its connection with the court of Rome, till

that connection was happily dissolved.

An event happened during the primacy of archbishop Power of Islep, which may give us some idea of the power of the the clergy. clergy in the times we are now confidering, and therefore merits a place in history. Robert lord Moreley, one of the most powerful barons of the kingdom, committed fome trespasses in a park belonging to William Bateman bishop of Norwich. For these the bishop prosecuted him with so much vigour, that, in spite of all his own power, and of the most earnest interposition of the king in his favour, he was obliged to fubmit to the following ignominious penance:-To walk in his waistcoat, bare-headed and bare-foot, with a wax candle, weighing fix pounds, lighted in his hand, through the streets of Norwich, to the cathedral; and there, in the prefence of a prodigious concourfe of people, to beg the bishop's pardon, in the most humble posture and language (42).

But though the power of the ciergy, at this time, was almost irresistible, when it was conducted with prudence and temper; yet when it was exercised with violence and passion, it was sometimes bassled. Of this the famous dispute between lady Blanch, baroness Wake, and Thomas de Lylde bishop of Ely, assords a most remarkable example. In the beginning of this dispute (the particulars of which are too many to be here inserted), that prelate appears to have had right on his side; but in the progress of it, he acted with such intolerable insolence, pride, and passion, that he became universally odious, was deprived of the temporalities of his see, obliged to

<sup>(41)</sup> Statutes at Large.

Cent. XIV. fly out of the kingdom, and at last died of a broken heart, in a foreign land, A. D. 1361 (43).

Seven fees

A most destructive pestilence raged in England, and several other countries, A. D. 1360; and in that year no fewer than seven English bishoprics became vacant, which were all filled by papal provisions (44). So little effect had the statute of provisors, which had been made against that encroachment of the pope, only ten years before.

Death of Simon Islep, and fuccession of Simon Langham. Simon Islep, archbishop of Canterbury, did not very long survive this great mortality amongst his brethren. For, having languished about three years under a paralytic disorder, he expired, at Magsield, April 16, A. D. 1366 (45). The pope, at this time, seems to have taken a pride in displaying his contempt of the laws which had been made in England against his provisions, by filling every see that became vacant in that manner. Though the chapter of Canterbury had chosen William Edyndon, bishop of Winchester, to be archbishop, the pope granted a provision to that important station to Simon Langham bishop of Ely, and chancellor of England, who was admitted into it without any opposition (46).

Verfes.

The translation of this prelate gave as much pleasure to the diocese of Ely, as it gave disgust to that of Canterbury. This appears from the following rhyming Latin verses made on that occasion:

Exultant cœli, quia Simon transitab Ely, Ad cujus adventum, sient in Kent millia centum (47).

Archbishop Langham's resignation. Archbishop Langham had not much comfort in his promotion, and did not enjoy it long. The pope raised him to the dignity of a cardinal; and he imprudently accepted of that dignity without consulting the king; who was so much offended at his presumption, that he seized the temporalities of his see. Being much dispirited by the king's displeasure, he resigned his archbishopric November 28, A.D. 1368, and retired to Avignon, where he died A.D. 1378 (48).

<sup>(43)</sup> Anglia Sacra, t. 1. p. 652.

<sup>(45)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 46.

<sup>(47)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 47.

<sup>(4</sup>a) Id. ibid. p. 45.

<sup>(46)</sup> ld. ibid. t. 1. p. 45. (48) ld. ibid. p. 47, 48. 120.

On the refignation of archbishop Langham, William Cent. XIV. Wittlesev bishop of London was promoted to the primacy Wittlesey by a papal provision. About this time almost all the withinte great places of power and profit in the kingdom were filled by clergymen; which gave fo much umbrage to the commons, as well as temporal lords, that they prefented a petition to the king, in a parliament held at Westminster A. D. 1371, representing, "That the go-" vernment of the kingdom had for a long time been " managed by men of the church, whereby many mifchiefs and damages had happened in time heretofore, " to the disherison of the crown, and to the great pre-"judice of the kingdom;"-and praying,-" That it would therefore please the king, that laymen, and no others, might for the future be made chancellor, trea-" furer, clerk of the privy feal, barons of the exchequer, " comptroller, or other great officers and governors of " the kingdom." But to this petition the king returned the following answer, which implied a refusal: "He " would do in this point what seemed best to him by the advice of his council (49)."

All the applications that had been made to the court King's of Rome, and all the laws that had been enacted in writs to the England against the papal provisions and refervations, bishops. had produced little or no effect. The pope still continued to bestow many of the best benefices of the kingdom upon foreigners by his provisions, with as little ceremony as if no such applications had been made, and no such laws had existed. In order to know the sull extent of this grievance, the king sent his writs to all the bishops, A. D. 1374, requiring them to return certificates into chancery of all the benefices in their respective diocefes that were in the possession of Italians, and other foreigners (50).

Archbishop Wittlesey, after a very tedious illness, di-simon ed in summer A. D. 1374 (51). Soon after his death the Sudbury monks of Canterbury re-elected their former archbishop, primate. cardinal Langham, who was still alive, and resided at Avignon (52). The king, greatly offended at this choice.

<sup>(49)</sup> Parliamentary Hift. vol. 1. p. 309, 310.

<sup>(50)</sup> Fox's Acts and Monuments. (51) Anglia Sacra, tom. 2. p. 120.

<sup>(52)</sup> Id. b'di.

Cent. XIV. applied to the pope; who, at his request, translated Simon Sudbury from the fee of London to that of Canterbury, by a bull, dated 11th May A. D. 1375 (53). fuch imprudent applications to the court of Rome, in confequence of disputes at home, the power of the pope was confirmed, and all attempts to diminish it were defeated.

Extortions of the pope.

It was probably from the information they had received from the returns of the bishops to the above-mentioned writs, that the Commons in parliament, A. D. 1376, presented a very strong remonstrance to the king, against the intolerable extortions of the court of Rome. In this remonstrance it is affirmed, though it must be confessed it is hardly credible, "That the taxes paid to the pope " yearly out of England, amounted to five times as much

" as the taxes paid to the king (54).

John Wickliff attemptsa reformation of the church.

The infatiable avarice, and insupportable tyranny, of the court of Rome, had given fuch univerfal difgust, that a bold attack made about this time on the authority of that court, and doctrines of that church, was, at first, more fuccefsful than could have been expected, in that dark superstitious age. This attack was made by the famous Dr. John Wickliff, who was one of the best and most learned men of the age in which he flourished. His reputation for learning, piety, and virtue was fo great, that archbishop Islep appointed him the first warden of Canterbury college in Oxford, A. D. 1365 (55). His lectures in divinity which he read in that university were much admired, though in these lectures he treated the clergy, and particularly the mendicant friars, with no little freedom and feverity. A discourse which he published against the pope's demand of homage and tribute from Edward III. for the kingdom of England, recommended him fo much to that prince, that he bestowed upon him feveral benefices, and employed him in feveral embaffies (56). In one of these embassies to the court of Rome, A. D. 1374, he discovered so many of the corruptions of that court, and of the errors of that church, that he became more bold and more severe in his censures of those errors and corruptions. He even proceeded fo far, as to

<sup>(54)</sup> Cotton's Abridg. p. 128. (53) Anglia Sacra, tom. 2. p. 120. (55) Collier's Church Hift. App. No. 47.

<sup>(56)</sup> Biographia Britannica, p. 4260.

call the pope antichrist, to deny his supremacy, and to ex-Cent. XIV. pose his intolerable tyranny and extortions in the strongest colours. This, as might naturally have been expected, drew upon him the indignation of his heliness, and involved him in various troubles. Pope Gregory XI. published feveral thundering bulls against him, A. D. 1377, commanding him to be feized, imprisoned, and brought to trial, for his damnable herefies (57). The affection of the people, and the favour of the court, protected him from imprisonment; but he found it necessary to appear before Simon Sudbury archbishop of Canterbury, and William Courtney bishop of London, who had been appointed his judges by the pope. At this appearance he had the honour to be accompanied by two of the greatest men in the kingdom, John of Gaunt duke of Lancafter, and lord Henry Percy marshal of England. These two lords demanded a chair for Dr. Wickliff; which being denied by the bishop of London, some very angry words passed between that prelate and the duke of Lancafter; which excited fo violent a tumult in the court, that it broke up in great confusion, without doing any business. Dr. Wickliffmade a second appearance before the papal commissioners at Lambeth, where he was attended by fo great a body of the citizens of London, that his judges were deterred from pronouncing any fentence against him; and their commission soon after terminated by the death of the pope, March 27, A. D. 1378 (58).

It is very difficult to discover, with certainty and pre-His doccifion, what were the real fentiments, in fome particu- trines. lars, of this illustrious champion of truth and liberty, against the errors and tyranny of the church of Rome; because he seems, in some things, to have changed his mind; and because certain tenets were imputed to him by his adverfaries which he did not hold. It is not poffible, for example, to believe that fo wife and good a man as Wickliff could maintain fo impious an abfurdity as this, "That God ought to obey the devil;" and yet this was imputed to him by his enemies (59). Upon the whole, it very plainly appears from his writings, that the doctrines which he taught were very nearly the fame

(57) Walfing. p. 201-204. (59) Hen. Knyghton, col. 2648. (58) Id. p. 205.

Cent. XIV. with those which were propagated by our more successful reformers in the fixteenth century.

Council of bithop Courtney.

The profecution against Dr. Wickliff was suspended London un-for fome time, by the schism in the papacy which succeeded the death of Gregory XI. and by the infurrection of the commons in England, which threw all things into confusion. In this tumult, archbishop Sudbury, one of his most zealous adverfaries, was beheaded by the infurgents on Tower-hill, June 14, A. D. 1381. William Courtney, bishop of London, was promoted to the primacy by a bull of pope Urban VI. (who had been acknowledged in England to be the lawful pope), dated the 8th of September the same year (60). As soon as the infurrection of the commons was quelled, and the public tranquillity restored, the new primate applied with great zeal to the suppression of the heretical opinions (as he esteemed them) which were propagated by Wickliff and his followers. With this view, he affembled a council of the bishops of his province, and many doctors of divinity, and of the civil and canon law, in the priory of the preaching friars, London, May 17, A. D. 1382. Before this council he laid twenty-four opinions, extracted from the writings of Wickliff, for their examination; and the council unanimously declared ten of these opinions heretical, and fourteen of them erroneous. Several fuspected persons were then brought before the council, particularly Nicolas Hereford and Philip Rapyngdon, doctors in divinity, and John Ayshton, A. M. and commanded to declare their fentiments of these opinions. Their declarations appearing to the council evafive and unsatisfactory, they were pronounced to be convicted of herefy (61). The ancient historian Henry Knyghton relates, that Dr. Wickliff was brought before this council, and that he made a kind of recantation of his heretical opinions (62). But as nothing of this appears in the record, it is probably a mistake, if not a calumny. On the day after the conclusion of this council, there was a folemn procession in London; after which Dr. Kinygham, a Carmelite friar, preached to the people, and published the doctrines which had been condemned; declaring,

(62) H. Knyghton, col. 2649.

<sup>(60)</sup> Anglia Sacra, t. 2. p. 121.

<sup>(61)</sup> Spelman Concil. t. 2. p. 629-636.

That all persons who taught, favoured, or believed, any Cent. XIV. of these doctrines, were excommunicated heretics (63). To give the greater weight to the decrees of this council, the clergy prevailed upon the king to publish a proclamation, July 12, authorising and commanding the bishops to seize and imprison all persons who were suspected of holding any of the doctrines which had been

condemned (64).

The doctrines of Wickliff had for some years made a mighty noise in the university of Oxford, where they were first published, and where they had many violent oppofers, and many zealous advocates. Dr. Berton, who was chancellor of the university A. D. 1381, and Dr. Stokes, were at the head of the former, and Dr. Hereford and Dr. Rapyngdon at the head of the latter. The archbishop of Canterbury sent the decrees of his late council to Oxford, commanding Dr. Stokes to publish them at St. Fridefwyde's church, on Corpus-Christi day; and Dr. Rigge, the chancellor of the university, to affift and protect him in performing that office. Dr. Philip Rapyngdon had been appointed to preach at that church on that day, and declaimed with great vehemence against the corruptions of the church, and in defence of the doctrines of Wickliff; and his fermon was heard with approbation. But when Dr. Stokes attempted to publish the decrees of the council of London, he was interrupted with clamours and reproaches; which obliged him to defift, without having received any countenance or protection from the chancellor or proctors, who were fecret favourers of the new opinions. For this negligence they were fummoned to appear before archbishop Courtney, who treated them very roughly, and by threats prevailed upon them to return to Oxford, and to publish the decrees of the council of London, both in Latin and English, first in St. Mary's church, and afterwards in the schools (65).

While the doctrines of Wickliff were propagated and Death of opposed with so much zeal, at Oxford and other places, Wickliff. he (being in a declining state of health) resided, during the two last years of his life, at his living of Lutterworth in Leicestershire, employed in finishing his translation

(65) A. Wood, Hift. Oxon. p. 190-192.

<sup>(63)</sup> H. Knyghton, col. 2652. (64) Spelman Concil. t. 2. p. 628.

Cent. XIV. of the Bible, and other works. Being feized with a stroke of the palfy, which deprived him of his speech, December 28, A. D. 1384, he expired on the last day of that year. As the clergy had hated and perfecuted him with great violence during his life, they exulted with indecent joy at his difeafe and death, afcribing them to the immediate vengeance of Heaven for his herefy. " On the day of St. Thomas the Martyr, archbishop of " Canterbury (fays Wallingham, a contemporary histo-" rian), that limb of the devil, enemy of the church, " deceiver of the people, idol of heretics, mirror of hy-" pocrites, author of schism, sower of hatred, and in-" ventor of lies, John Wickliff, was, by the immediate " judgment of God, fuddenly struck with a palfy, which " feized all the members of his body, when he was " ready (as they fay) to vomit forth his blasphemies " against the blessed St. Thomas, in a fermon which he " had prepared to preach that day (66)." But thefe reproaches do honour to his memory, as they were brought upon him by his vigorous efforts to deliver his countrymen from the errors, fuperstitions, and extortions, of the church of Rome.

Great fuccels of the preachers of his doctrines.

Though the joy of the clergy at the death of Dr. Wickliff was very great, it was not of long duration. They foon found, that his doctrines had not died with him, but were propagated with great zeal, and no little fuccefs, by his followers, who were commonly called Lo.'lards (67). Many of those who were preachers travelled up and down the country on foot, in a very plain dress, declaiming with great vehemence against the corruptions of the church and the vices of the clergy. These preachers were not only admired and followed by the common people, but were favoured and protected by feveral perfons of high rank and great power, particularly by the duke of Lancaster, the lords Percy, Latimer, Clifford, Hilton, and others (68). By the zeal, activity, and eloquence, of the preachers, under the protection of thefe great men, the new doctrines, as they were called, gained ground fo fast, that, as a contemporary historian of the best credit affirms, " more than one half of the

<sup>(66)</sup> T. Walfing. Hift, Angl. p. 312. (67) Hen. Knyghton, col. 2663.

<sup>(68)</sup> Id. col. 2661.

" people of England, in a few years, became Lol-Cent. XIV. " lards (69)" The fame historian, who was a clergyman, and a most inveterate enemy to the Lollards, acknowledges, that as Wickliff excelled all the learned men of his age in disputation, so some of his followers, in a very little time, became very eloquent preachers and very powerful disputants; which he ascribes to the assistance of the devil, who, he fays, took possession of them

as foon as they became Lollards (70).

The clergy, alarmed and enraged at this rapid progress of the new opinions, attempted to put a stop to it by violence and persocution, which have been often employed by power against truth. They procured, or at least promulgated, a flatute, which still appears in our statutebook (though the commons, it is faid, never gave their affent to it), empowering and commanding all theriffs to feize and imprison all preachers of heresy (71). also prevailed upon the king, A. D. 1387, to grant a commission to certain persons to seize all the books and writings of John Wickliff, Nicolas Hereford, John Ayshton, and other heretical writers, and to imprison all who transcribed, fold, bought, or concealed such books (72). By these methods the clergy hoped to interrupt the preaching and writing of the reforming teachers, by which they chiefly propagated their opinions. But the contemporary historian Knyghton observes, with regret, " that these " laws and edicts were but flowly and faintly executed, "because the time of correction was not yet come (73)."

Though the violent factions amongst the nobility, Several and the general animofity of the laity against the clergy, persons crion account of their excessive power and riches, prevented herely. for a time the rigorous execution of the penal flatutes against heretics; several persons were apprehended and tried upon these statutes. Some of them, as particularly Hereford, Ayshton, and Rapyngdon, who had been the most zealous propagators of Wicklist's doctrines, were, by threats and promifes, prevailed upon to make a kind of recantation, and to defift from preaching these doctrines (74). Others escaped with slight censures, by giv-

<sup>(69)</sup> Hen. Knyghton, col. 2664.

<sup>(70)</sup> Hen. Knyghton, col. 2654. (71) Ruffhead's Statutes at large, vol. 1. p. 358.

<sup>(72)</sup> H. Knyghtop, col. 4708, 2709. (73) Id. col. 2708,

<sup>(74)</sup> H. Kayghton, col. 2657, Sec.

Cent. XIV. ing artful, evalive explanations of their tenets. In general it may be observed, that the followers of Wickliff were not very ambitious of the crown of martyrdom; and none of them were capitally punished in the reign of Richard II (75).

Statute of premunire.

In spite of all the laws that had been made in England against the tyrannical usurpations of the court of Rome, they still continued, or rather increased. a clerk had obtained a fentence in favour of his presentation to a church in the king's court, and the bishop of the diocess had inducted him in consequence of that fentence, it was usual for the pope, on the complaint of the losing party, to excommunicate the bishop. When an English bishop had by any means offended his holiness, he sometimes punished him, by translating him to a foreign see, without his own confent, or that of the king. complaint of these papal usurpations by the commons, in a parliament at Winchester, A. D. 1392, a very severe law was made for the punishment of those who solicited, or brought into the kingdom, any papal bulls of excommunication, translation, or other thing against the rights and dignity of the crown (76).

Remonstrance of the Lollards to parliament.

These contests between the king and parliament of England and the court of Rome, encouraged the Lollards to make bold and direct attacks on the established church. Accordingly, they prefented to a parliament which was held by the duke of York (the king being in Ireland), at Westminster, A. D. 1394, a remonstrance containing twelve articles of complaint against the church and clergy; praying for redrefs and reformation. In this remonstrance, they complain chiefly of the exorbitant power, excessive wealth, and profligate lives of the clergy, which last they afcribe chiefly to their vows of celibacy; -of transubstantiation, and the superstitious practices which the belief of it produced; -of prayers for the dead; -of the worship of images; -of pilgrimages; -of auricular confession, and its consequences; - and of several other particulars in which the prefent protestant churches differ from the church of Rome (77). What reception this remonstrance met with from the parliament, we are not informed.

<sup>(75)</sup> Fox's Acts and Monuments, p. 436.

<sup>(76)</sup> Ruffhead's Statutes, vol. 1. p. 406. (77) Collier's Ecclefiaft. Hift. vol. 1. p. 598.

About the fame time the Lollards published feveral fatiri-Cent. XIV. cal papers, painting the deceitful arts, abominable vices, and abfurd opinions, of the clergy in very strong colours; which excited both the contempt and hatred of the people against them. Some of these papers, written with much asperity, and no little wit, were pasted up on the most public places in London and Westminster (78).

The clergy were fo much alarmed at these bold at-The king tacks, that they dispatched the archbishop of York, the returns from Irebishop of London, and several other commissioners, to the land to king in Ireland, to entreat him to return immediately into protect the England, to protect the church, which was in danger of church.

destruction. "As soon (says a contemporary historian) as the king heard the representation of the commissioners, being inspired with the Divine Spirit, he hastened into England, thinking it more necessary to defend the church than to conquer kingdoms (79)." On his arrival, he called before him the lords Clissord, Latimer, Montacue, and other great men who savoured the Lollards, and threatened them with immediate death, if they gave any further encouragement to heretical preachers, Intimidated by these threats, they complied with the king's desire, and withdrew their protection.

Several of the Lollard preachers, difcouraged by this defection of their patrons, foon after recanted their opinions, and returned into the bofom of the church. Thomas Arundel archbishop of York, who was a most violent enemy to the Lollards, obliged those in his province who recanted to take the following curious oath, which I shall give in the original language and spelling: "I——, before you, worshipful fader and lord archbishop of Yhork, and your clergy, with my free will and full

" avysed, swere to God and to all his seyntes, upon this holy gospel, that fro this day forthword, I shall worfhip images, with praying and offering unto them, in

"the worship of the faints, that they be made after; and also, I shall never more despise pylgremage, ne states

" of holy chyrche, in no degre. And alfo I shall be buxum to the laws of holy chyrche, and to yhowe as to myn

" archbishop, and myn other ordinaries and curates, and

<sup>(78)</sup> Fox's Advand Monuments, p. 462, &c. T. Walfing, p. 351.

Cent. XIV. " keep the laws up my power and meyntein them. And also, I shall never more meyntein, ne techen, ne de-" fenden, errors, conclusions, ne techeng of the Lollards,

Book IV.

ne fwych conclusions and techengs that men clopeth " Lollards doctrine; ne shall her books, ne swych books, " ne hem or ony suspect or diffamed of Lollardary, re-

ceyve or company with all, willingly, or defend in tho " matters; and if I know any fwych, I shall, with all the " hast that I may, do yhowe, or els your nex officers, to

wyten, and of ther bokes (80), &c."

Archbishep Arundel banished.

When the affairs of the church were in this posture, and that reformation which had been begun by Doctor Wickliff had received this fevere check, William Courtney archbishop of Canterbury died July 31, A. D. 1396, and was succeeded by Thomas Arundel archbishop of York. As this prelate had long been the most active adversary of the Lollards, he foon discovered by his conduct, that he defigned to employ against them all the additional power he had acquired by his promotion to the primacy (81). But before he had time to execute this defign, he was involved in troubles which deprived him of all his power. These troubles proceeded from his having been one of that party of the nobles and clergy, which A. D. 1385 obtained a commission from parliament, investing them with the whole power of the state. By one of those revolutions which are not uncommon in the English history, that party were now overturned, and profecuted with great feverity, for obtaining and executing that commission. The archbishop, and his brother the earl of Arundel, were tried by their peers in parliament, in September A. D. 1307, and found guilty of high treason; in confequence of which, the earl was beheaded, and the archbishop deprived, and banished (82).

Roger Walden primate.

After the departure of archbishop Arundel out of the kingdom, Roger Walden, treasurer of England, was promoted to the primacy, and installed March 25, A.D. 1398 (83). The pope having gratified the king, by withdrawing his favour from Arundel, confenting to the promotion of Walden, and granting a bull confirming all the transactions of the late parliament, thought it a pro-

<sup>(80)</sup> Collier's Ecclefiaftical Hift. vol. 1. p. 599.

<sup>(81)</sup> A. Wood, Hift. Univerf. Oxon. p. 199.

<sup>(82)</sup> Parliament. Hist. vol. 1. p. 161. &c. (83), T. Walfing, n. 354.

per time to apply for the repeal of the statutes of provi-Cent. XIV. vifors and premunire, which fet fome bounds to his power in England. To solicit this assair, he sent a legate to the king, who was received with great respect, and loaded with prefents, but could not obtain the repeal of the offensive statutes (84). The ecclesiastical transactions of archbishop Walden are not well known, and could not be very important; for those troubles which commenced A.D. 1399, terminated in his deprivation, and the refloration of the exiled primate, before the end of that year.

The history of the church of Scotland in the fourteenth History of century hath been ill preserved, owing to the unsettled the church and unhappy state of that country in that period. William land. Fraser bishop of St. Andrew's having died in France, A. D. 1297, he was succeeded by William Lamberton parson of Campsie, and chancellor of the church of Glasgow. The pope sent a bull to all the bishops of Scotland, A.D. 1302, complaining, that they stirred up the people under their charge to war against the king of England; and commanding them to promote peace (85). This papal mandate was little regarded by the Scotch prelates, particularly by those of St. Andrew's and Glasgow, who having been taken were committed to prison by Edward I. A. D. 1306 (86). That prince complained to the pope of these two bishops, accusing them of having stirra ed up the people of Scotland to rebel against him (87). After bishop Lamberton had been confined in England above two years, having taken an oath of fealty to Edward II. he was fet at liberty, and returned into Scotland, A. D. 1308 (88). This prelate feems to have paid no regard to the oath of fealty which he had taken to the king of England, after he was fet at liberty; for he prefided in a general affembly of the bishops, abbots, priors, and clergy, of Scotland, in which the right of king Robert Bruce to the crown was afferted in the strongest terms, at Dundee, 24th February A. D. 1309 (89). Towards the end of that year we find him employed, at the abbey of Holyroodhouse, near Edinburgh, in collecting evidence

<sup>(84)</sup> T. Walfing, p. 356. (85) Rymer Ford, t. 2. p. 905. (86) Rymer. Foed. t. 2. p. 1016. (87) Id. ibid.

<sup>(88)</sup> Id. vel. 3. p. 118, 119. (89) Wilkie. Concil. t. 2. p. 302, &c.

Cent. XIV. against the knights-templars, in conjunction with John de Soleres, the pope's legate (90). Not long after this he feems to have returned to the party, and to have regained the favour, of the king of England. For that prince wrote a letter to the pope, dated at Berwick, 24th July A. D. 1311, earnestly intreating his holiness not to insist on the attendance of William bishop of St. Andrew's in the council of Vienne, because the residence of that prelate in Scotland was absolutely necessary to support his authority in that country (91). Bishop Lamberton continued in the English interest till after the battle of Bannockburn, and the firm establishment of Robert Bruce on the throne of Scotland, when he made his peace with that prince. This excited the most violent refentment in king Edward, who wrote a letter to the pope, dated at Westminster, July 1, A. D. 1318, in which he painted the bishop of St. Andrew's in the blackest colours, as an impious traitor, who had violated the most folemn oaths (92). This prelate was a benefactor to his fee, built feveral churches, finished and consecrated his cathedral, and

Withart bifliop of Glargow.

Birhop

Bane.

Robert Wishart, bishop of Glasgow from A. D. 1272 to A. D. 1316, was a more steady patriot, and more zealous affertor of the independency of his country, than his brother and contemporary bishop Lamberton. This involved him in many troubles, particularly in a long imprisonment in England, from which he was not delivered till after the battle of Bannockburn, when he was exchanged for some of the English nobles taken in that action (201)

tion (94).

James Bennet or Bane, archdeacon of St. Andrew's, fucceeded bishop Lamberton in the primacy of Scotland; and being in that high station, he crowned David II. A. D. 1329. When Edward Baliol recovered the crown of Scotland, this prelate continued faithful to king David, and retired into Flanders, where he died at Bruges, 22d

September A. D. 1332 (95).

died A. D. 1328 (93).

The public affairs of Scotland being very unfettled at this time, and a dispute having arisen about the succession

(90) Wilkin, Concil. t. 2. p. 380.

(91) Rymeri Fad. t. 3. p. 274. (92) Id. ibid. p. 710.

(93) Keith's Catalogue of the Bishops of Scotland, p. 15.

(94) Rymeri Feed. t. 3. p. 489.

495) Reith's Carelogue, p. 154

to the see of St. Andrew's, it continued vacant about nine Cent. XIV. years, when William Landells, provost of Kinkell, was promoted to it, and confecrated by the pope at Avignon A. D. 1341. This prelate enjoyed his promotion no less than forty-four years; and having a good paternal eitate, he lived with great magnificence and hospitality (96). He appears to have been much engaged in the civil and political transactions of those turbulent times in which he flourished; and in particular he was the first commissioner for Scotland in the tedious negotiations for the delivery of king David II. from his captivity in England (97). Bishop Landells procured for himself and the clergy of Scotland the privilege of disposing of their personal estates by testament; which, it feems, they had not enjoyed before his time (98). He died at St. Andrew's, 15th October A. D. 1385 (99).

Stephen de Pay, prior of St. Andrew's, was elected to Stephen. be bishop of that see; but being taken prisoner by the English in his passage to the papal court, he died at Aln-

wick, in March A. D. 1386 (100).

Robert Trail, doctor of the civil and canon laws, was Biffeed promoted to the primacy of Scotland by the pope, who Trail, paid him fome very high, but not unmerited, compliments on that occasion. This prelate had the chief direction both of the civil and eccletiastical affairs of the kingdom, which he conducted with equal wisdom and felicity. He was fo rigid and fevere (fays a contemporary historian) in the exercise of church-discipline, that no clergyman in his diocess dared to keep a concubine publicly (101). He built the castle of St. Andrew's, in which he died A. D. 1401.

There were twelve bishoprics in Scotland in this period, besides that of St. Andrew's; which were those of Glasgow, Dunkeld, Aberdeen, Moray, Brechin, Dunblane, Ross, Caithness, Orkney, Galloway, Argyle, and the Isles. But a particular detail of the several prelates of these sees would be more tedious than instructive (102).

(100) Keith's Catalogue, p. 17 (101) Fordun, t. 1. p. 364.

<sup>(96)</sup> Keith's Catalogue, p. 16.

<sup>(97)</sup> Rymeri Fad. t. 3. p. 632-711-736. (98) Spottifwoode, p. 55. (100) Keith's Catalogue, p. 17.

<sup>(102)</sup> See Keith's Catalogue of the Bissopt of the several Sees within Scottand.

Cent, XIV.

v. The doctrines of Wickliff, which made so much noise in England, seem to have been little known or regarded in Scotland in the sourteenth century. This was probably owing to the violent animosities and frequent wars which then subsisted between these two kingdoms.

## HISTORY

OF

# GREAT BRITAIN.

#### B O O K IV.

#### CHAP. III.

History of the Constitution, Government, and Laws of Great Britain, from the death of king John, A. D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D. 1399.

THE constitution, government, and laws of Britain, plans of gohave been formed upon various plans, and have passed vernment. through various changes, in their progrefs towards that high degree of excellence and stability to which they have happily attained. The plans of the British, Roman, Saxon, and Norman governments have been delineated, and a general view of their feveral fystems of laws and political arrangements hath been given, in the former volumes of this work (1).

That plan of government and fystem of laws, com-plan of the monly called the feudal system, which was established in constitution England by the Normans, foon after their fettlement in the fame in that part of this island, and gradually introduced into the the former other British states, continued to form the political consti-period.

tution of all these states through the whole of our present period; but not without various changes in its several parts. Some of these changes, produced by faction and party-rage, were very great, but of short duration; others, which were the result of experience, and of the change of circumstances, were not so violent, but more permanent. Referring the reader to the third chapter of the third book of this work, for the general plan of the Anglo-Norman constitution, government, and laws, both at their first introduction, and as they stood at the conclusion of the former period, I shall endeavour in this chapter to point out the principal changes that were made in these important objects in the course of our present period. In doing this, the greatest sincerity, brevity, and plainness, shall be studied.

### SECTION I.

Changes in the Constitution, Government, and Laws of Britain, in the reign of Henry III. from A. D. 1216 to A. D. 1272.

History of the Great Charters.

HE Great Charter granted by king John towards the conclusion of the former period, contains a very distinct and authentic plan of the English constitution, as it stood at that time; at least in speculation. As soon as this great charter was obtained, it became the idol of the people of England, who esteemed it the great security of their most valuable rights and liberties. But it was not viewed with the fame favourable eyes by those who had the administration of government in their hands, who were very backward in executing its most important articles. This produced frequent and earnest cries for the execution and confirmation of that famous charter; and these cries were effectual when the king and his ministers steod in particular need of the favour and affistance of the people, who commonly paid for these confirmations by liberal grants of money. Accordingly, the Great Charter

ter was confirmed (with some variations, occasioned by the change of circumstances) no less than seven times in the reign of Henry III. and some of these confirmations were attended with very great solemnities (2). In the second year of this reign, A. D. 1217, the articles respecting the royal forests were lest out of the Great Charter, which was then confirmed, and formed into a separate charter, called Charta de Foresta; and these two charters after this were always separated (3). It would be tedious to give a minute detail of all the variations of the Great Charters of Henry III. from that of king John; but the reader may satisfy himself on this subject, by comparing the charters granted by Henry III. A. D. 1224, inserted in the Appendix to this volume, with that granted by king John, in the Appendix at the end of the third

volume (4).

Some changes were made in the ranks and orders of men in fociety, in the reign of Henry III. Those in the lowest rank were still in the same wretched state of fervitude as formerly. Of this we have fufficient evidence in the Great Charters of that prince, in which those who had the custody of the estates of minors are prohibited from destroying or wasting the men or cattle upon these estates, placing both on the same footing (5). According to Bracton the famous lawyer, who flourished in this reign, all the goods a flave acquired belonged to his master, who might take them from him whenever he pleased (6). Slaves were still an article of commerce. even in the next reign. "In the same year, 1283 (say " the Annals of Dunstaple), we fold our flave by birth, William Pyke, and all his family, and received one mark from the buyer (7)." But there were different orders of flaves, and different degrees of fervitude, in this, as well as in the preceding period (8). The next rank in fociety confifted of farmers, mechanics, and traders who were freemen, but were either not proprietors of land, or only of finall parcels. The yeomanry and capital burgesses in great towns, considered themselves as

<sup>(2)</sup> See Judge Blackstone's most accurate History of the Charters, in his Law-tracts, vol. 2. p. 43-91.

<sup>(3)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 63. (5) Append. No. 1.

<sup>(7)</sup> Annal. Dunstap. an. 1283.

<sup>(4)</sup> Append. No. 1, 2.

<sup>(6)</sup> Bracton, l. 1. c. 9. p. 6. (8) Bracton, p. 7.

of a rank fuperior to the former. The distinction between the nobility and gentry began to be conspicuous in this reign. Anciently, all who held of the crown in capite were esteemed noble, and formed one order; but the great inequality of the power and wealth among the members of this order, laid the foundation of a division of them into the greater and fmaller barons. This divifion became plain, when they began to be fummoned to parliament in different ways, the greater barons by a particular fummons directed to each of them, and the smaller by a general fummons to those in each county. But even after this, they for fome time formed only one affembly, and mingled together as persons of the same rank, when they appeared in parliament. The division became more conspicuous after the establishment of the house of commons, when the smaller barons and freeholders no longer mingled with the greater, and were no longer their peers, nor appeared in parliament each in his own right, but only as representatives.

Constitution of parliament at the end of the last period.

Nothing can be better afcertained, or more clearly defined, than the constitution of the parliament of England when the great charter was granted by king John at the end of our last period. The members who composed that affembly, the manner in which they were fummoned, with feveral other particulars, are thus described in that charter: "To have a common council of the king-"dom, to assess an aid, otherwise than in the three fore-" faid cases, or to assess a scutage, we will cause to be "fummoned the archbishops, bishops, earls, and great-" er barons, personally, by our letters; and besides we " will cause to be summoned in general by our sherists and bailiffs, all those who hold of us in chief, to a cer-" tain day, at the distance of forty days at least, and to " a certain place; and in all the letters of fummons, we " will express the cause of the summons; and the sum-" mons being thus made, the business shall go on at the "day appointed, according to the advice of those who " shall be prefent, although all who had been summon-" ed have not come (9)."

No change feems to have been made in the conflitution of the parliament of England in the former part of the

reign of Henry III. as appears from the descriptions given of these assemblies by Matthew Paris, the best contemporary historian (10). It would be tedious to introduce all these descriptions, which (though they differ a little in words, some of them being more general, others more particular) are all to the fame import. When the mcmbers are described in general, it is commonly in such words as these: - Mugnates Angliæ, tam laici quam prelati, "-The great men of England, both of the laity and " clergy (11)." The following is the most particular description of the members of a parliament (held at London A. D. 1237) to be found in this historian: " The king " immediately fent his royal writs into all parts of England, " fummoning all concerned in the kingdom of England, " viz. all archbishops, bishops, abbots, installed priors, earls, barons, and all others without omission (12)." By this last expression, all others without omission, we are certainly to understand those who are thus described in the great charter, all those who hold of us in chief; who were fummoned in general by the sheriffs. For all the members of this parliament are afterwards called magnates et nobiles, "great men and nobles," of whom, the historian fays, "an infinite multitude came to Lon-" don (13)." The members of a parliament which met at Westminster A. D. 1244, are thus described:-The archbishop of York, and all the bishops, abbots, and priors of England, by themselves, or their procurators, and also all the earls, and almost all the " barons of England (14)."

The great councils of the kingdom feem to have been Change in constituted according to the plan in the great charter, till the constithe mad parliament, as it was called, which met at Ox-tution of parliament, ford, June 11, A. D. 1258, made a violent change in this, as well as in every other part of the constitution. That party of the barons, headed by Simon de Montfort earl of Leicester, which had long opposed the court, came to this parliament armed, and attended by fuch numerous retinues, that they were completely masters of the field, and compelled the king to confent to every thing they proposed. Twenty-four great men were in-

<sup>(12)</sup> Id. p. 297. col. 2. (13) Id. p. 256. col. 1. p. 252. col. 2. (13) Id. ibid. (13) Id. ibid. (13) Id. ibid. col. 1. p 263. col. 2.

vefted

vested with authority,—to name the king's council, the great officers of the crown, and the governors of the royal castles, -to regulate the king's household, -to manage his revenue,-to make laws,-and, in a word, to do almost whatever they pleased (15). One of the first acts of these twenty-four dictators was a decree, that there should be three parliaments every year, one in February, one in June, and one in October. But these parliaments were to be constituted in a very extraordinary manner, and were to confift only of the members of the \* king's council, fifteen in number, and twelve barons chosen to represent the whole community. These twelve barons were accordingly chosen by the parliament at Oxford to represent the community in future parliaments: and the record of their election may be thus translated: "These are the twelve which are chosen by the barons " to treat at the three parliaments in a year, with the "king's council, for all the community of the land, on " public business; the bishop of London, the earl of "Winchester, the earl of Hereford, Philip Basset, John " de Baliol, John de Verdun, John de Gray, Roger de 66 Sumery, Roger de Montalt, Hugh Despenser, Tho-" mas de Gresley, Egidius de Argenton (16)." Whether there were parliaments on this plan in October A.D. 1258, and in February and June in the year following, is uncertain; but it appears that there was one in October A. D. 1259, by which the famous provisions of Oxford, made by the twenty-four barons, were confirmed; for to these provisions or decrees the following confirmation is fubjoined: "Thefe are the provisions and decrees made " at Westminster after Michaelmas, by the king and 66 his council, and the twelve chosen by the affent of the " whole community of England, which were then at Westminster, in the year of the reign of Henry the " fon of John the fortieth and third (17)." The oftenfible reason of this great innovation was, to relieve the community or body of those who had formerly been bound to come to parliaments from the expence and trouble of personal attendance; but the real object of it unquestionably was, to perpetuate the power of the earl of Leicester and his party.

<sup>(15)</sup> Annal. Monast, Burton, p. 407-413. (16) Id. p. 414. (17) Id. p. 435.

The above plan of parliament could not fail to be un- Another popular, as it excluded all the small and many of the change in great barons from the public councils, under the specious the constipretence of relieving them from expence and trouble. tution of parliament. t was therefore foon laid aside, and another of a more comprehensive nature, and nearer to the ancient model, ubstituted in its place, by the same party. After the earl of Leicester and his partifans had obtained the victory n the battle of Lewes, May 14, A. D. 1264, and had got the king, prince Edward, Richard king of the Ronans, and his fon Henry, into their hands, they were at great pains to obtain the public approbation of their chemes for establishing their own power on the ruins of he royal authority. With this view, they obliged the ting to call a parliament, constituted in a different manher from that prescribed in the great charter, or in their own former plan. To this famous parliament, which was to meet at London, January 20, A. D. 1265, only eleven bishops, five earls, and eighteen great barons, all of the predominant party, were fummoned by particular writs (18). But to supply the places of the prelates, earls, and barons, of the royal party, who were not immoned, particular writs were directed to fixty-four abbots, thirty-seven priors, and five deans (19). very remarkable circumstance was probably owing to the high degree of favour in which the earl of Leicester flood with the clergy, who confidered him as a faint and champion of the church (20). Writs were also fent to all the sheriffs in England, commanding them to cause wo of the most discreet knights of each county to come to this parliament. Similar writs were directed to the citizens of feveral cities, and burgesses of feveral burghs, requiring each city to fend two of its most discreet and honest citizens, and each burgh two of its most wise and upright burgesses (21). Each of the cinque-ports was commanded to fend two of its barons. In what manner thefe knights, citizens, burgesses, and barons, of the cinqueports, were chosen, we have no account. But as they appeared as the reprefentatives of those by whom they were fent, their expences were to be borne by their constituents (22). We have no hint in any of our historians,

<sup>(18)</sup> Dugdale's Summons to Parliament, p. 1, 2.

<sup>(20)</sup> Chron. Melros, p. 228.

<sup>(22)</sup> Brady's Introduction, &c. p. 140, 141,

that this parliament was divided into two houses. With whatever views this plan was formed, it was a near and happy approach to that system which hath been established in England above five hundred years: a degree of antiquity to which few political arrangements can pretend.

Statute law.

Though Henry III. was certainly neither a very great nor wife king, feveral good laws were made in his reign, which are still in force, and have a place in the statutebook. By one of thefe statutes, made at Merton, A.D. 1236, a controverfy concerning bastardy, which had long fublished between the ecclesiastical and civil courts, was finally determined. By the Roman and canon laws, the fubfequent marriage of the parents legitimated the children which had been born before that marriage; but by the ancient customs and common laws of England, all children born out of wedlock were still reputed bastards, though their parents afterwards married. All the prelates in the parliament at Merton most earnestly infifted to have the regulation of the canon law, in this particular, adopted into the law of England; but all the comporal barons replied with one voice, "We will not " fuffer the ancient and approved laws of England to be " changed (23)." By another statute made in the parliament at Merton, it is enacted, "That lords who mar-" ried their wards, before they were fourteen years of age, to villains, or burgesses, to their disparagement, " should lose the wardship of their lands (24):" a proof of the contemptible light in which burgesses appeared to the haughty barons of those days, and even to their vaffals. The statutes concerning the exchequer, which were made A. D. 1266, are remarkable in feveral respects. They are the first of our statutes in the French language. This might perhaps be owing to the predilection of the persons who drew up those statutes for that language; which was much better and more generally understood in England at this time than the Latin, in which all the preceding flatutes had been penned. By the first statute of the exchequer, several very humane and equitable regulations are made for preventing too

<sup>(23)</sup> Ruff head's Statutes, vol. 1. p. 19. Barrington's Observations on the Statutes, p. 39. (24) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 18.

great severities in collecting the royal revenues. In particular, it is provided, that no man's sheep, or his beasts, which are necessary for the cultivation of his lands, shall be distrained for the king's debt, or for the debt of any other man (25): a laudable attention in the legislature to the promoting of agriculture. The fecond statute of the exchequer contains feveral prudent regulations concerning the terms and methods of accounting at the exchequer, and for preventing the king from being defrauded of his revenues, or imposed upon in the price of work done, or things provided for his use (26). The prices of the important articles of bread and ale had been fettled by very ancient statutes, in proportion to the prices of grain, to prevent the impositions of bakers and brewers. These laws were confirmed and enforced by the statute of the pillory and tumbrel, which was made in a parliament at Winchester, A. D. 1266; by which, bakers who frequently offended, were to be punished by the pillory, and brewers (who were all women) by the tumbrel, or ducking-stool (27). In the same statute, many wife regulations are made, -for afcertaining the prices of grain,-for examining weights and measures,-for preventing the fale of unwholesome meats and liquors, and for restraining various arts of imposing upon the people, and raising the prices of provisions. The last statutes in this long reign were made in a parliament at Marlborough A. D. 1267, after the restoration of the royal authority by the victory at Evesham, and were intended to put a stop to many disorders which had prevailed in the late times of anarchy and confusion. These flatutes confift of twenty-nine chapters; and contain feveral good laws,-for restraining the tyranny of the great barons, by facilitating appeals from their courts to those of the king; -for preventing cruelty, in taking distresses; and on some other subjects. By the twentythird chapter, farmers are prohibited from making wafte or fale of the woods or men upon their farms, without fpecial licence in writing (28). In a word, it cannot be denied, that Henry III. appears to greater advantage as a legislator than in any other point of view (29).

<sup>(25)</sup> Statutes, vol. 1. p. 24. (26) Id. ibid. p. (27) Id. ibid. p. 23. Barrington's Observations, p. 42. (26) Id. ibid. p. 25-28.

<sup>(28)</sup> Statutes, vol. 1. p. 30-40.

<sup>(29)</sup> Barrington's Observations, p. 57.

The common as well as the statute law of England received considerable improvements in the reign of Henry III. This will will appear evident even from a curfory comparison of the treatise of Glanville, who wrote in the reign of Henry II. with that of Bracton, who wrote in this period. This, we are told by the best authority, is no less evident from the judicial records in the time of Henry III. which are still extant, and in which the pleadings appear more perfect and orderly than in those of the preceding period (30). Several circumstances concurred to promote those improvements in the common law at this time; particularly, the fettlement of the court of common pleas at Westminster; the retreat of the clergy, who were great enemies to the common law, both from the bench and from the bar, in obedience to a canon made A. D. 1217; -the establishment of the law-colleges, the inns of court for the education of common lawyers; the decline of trials by ordeals and fingle combat, which were now much discountenanced; and the statute subjecting pleaders to a fine for abfurd and foolish pleas ding (31).

Royal prerogatives.

> Henry III. was deprived of almost all the prerogatives of his crown by the parliament at Oxford, A. D. 1258, and allowed to retain little or nothing but the name of king. He even continued in that state of depression and infignificancy for feveral years; during which the kingdom was a scene of the greatest misery, the barons of the different parties burning each others houses, and desolating each others lands. But after the fall of the earl of Leicester in the battle of Evesham, A. D. 1265, Henry was restored to the exercise of all his former prerogatives and rights, and the country to its former tranquillity and good order.

Royal revenues,

The revenues of the crown of England flowed from the same sources in this as in the former period, and, with prudent management, were abundantly fufficient for every necessary purpose (32). But Henry III. was a bad economist, and diffipated these revenues,-by his expeditions into France, his vain expensive attempt to procure the kingdom of Sicily for his fecond fon Ed-

mund

<sup>(30)</sup> Hale's History of the Common Law, ch. 7. p. 156.

<sup>(31)</sup> Statutes, vol. 1. p. 35. Barrington's Observat. p. 52. Spelman and Wilkin's Concil. c. 1217. Rymer, t. 1. p. 228.
(32) See vol. 3. chao. 3. Madox's History of the Exchequer, chap. 10.

<sup>38.</sup> p. 202 .- 535. Blackstone's Commentaries, vol. 1. ch. 8.

mund,-and chiefly by his unbounded liberality to his favourites, which involved him in an incredible load of debt, and funk him into a degree of poverty very unbecoming the royal dignity. This obliged him to make frequent applications to his people in parliament for grants of money that was not due to him by any legal title; which were often refused, and sometimes given. These grants commonly consisted of a tenth, a fifteenth, a twentieth, or some other proportion of the value of their moveable goods. When a tenth or fifteenth was granted by parliament, four knights in each hundred were chosen in the county-court of each county, to act as commissioners for afcertaining the value of the moveables of the inhabitants of their respective hundreds; and according to their valuation the tax was to be levied. On these occasions, no value was fet on the books of the clergy, the ornaments of churches, the horses and armour of knights, and the implements of husbandry (33). A fifteenth that was granted both by the clergy and laity, A. D. 1225, produced (as we are told by a contemporary historian) 90,000 marks (34): a very great sum in those times. Henry III. obtained feveral grants of this kind from his parliaments; but they were commonly given as the price of certain privileges and immunities which they claim, ed (35). By this means the improvidence of our princes contributed not a little to improve the constitution, to fecure the rights, and establish the liberties of their subjects. The Jews in England, who were very numerous and opulent, were frequently fleeced without mercy, and fometimes mortgaged for the payment of the king's debts (36). At one time a tallage of no less than fixty thousand marks was imposed upon the Jews, and exacted with great feverity (37).

Upon the whole, though the long reign of Henry III, was unfortunate in everal respects, it was not unfavourable to the interests of law and liberty. For in that reign the charters were confirmed;—the statute and common law improved;—the crown, by the great diminution of its hereditary funds, was made more dependent on

<sup>(33)</sup> Annal. Dunstap. vol. 2. p. 434. Dr. Brady's Append,

<sup>(34)</sup> M. Paris aditomenta. (35) Rymer, vol. 1. p. 543. (37) Madox, Hift. Excheq. p. 452.

the people, and the conflitution of the parliament was

brought nearer to its prefent model.

Government, &cc of Scotland

The constitution, government, and laws of Scotland, as far as we are acquainted with them, appear to have been nearly the same with those of England in this period. Alexarder II. and his nobles warmly espoused the cause of the English barons, who obtained the great charter from king John, and must therefore have been well acquainted with that famous instrument. The two British nations at no time lived on a more friendly footing, than in the reign of Henry III. owing, in some measure, to the near relation that then sublisted between the two royal families, Alexander II. having married the fifter, and his fon Alexander III. the daughter, of that prince. This gave occasion to a free and frequent intercourse between the two courts and kingdoms, by which they became acquainted with each other's laws and customs. The parliament of Scotland was conflituted exactly according to the plan of the English parliament in the great charter of king John. The laws ascribed to Alexander II. are faid to have been made, -- " with the counfel and con-" fent of venerable fathers, bischops, abbats, earls, ba-" rons, and his gude subjects (38)." By these last we are probably to understand the smaller freeholders, who were summoned in general by the sheriff of each county or shire. There is such a similarity between many of the laws of England and Scotland in this period, as demonstrates, that the one must have been copied from the other. Of this it will be fufficient to give two examples, out of the many that might be given. By the eleventh chapter of the forest-charter of Henry III. it is granted, -" whatfoever archbithop, bishop, earl, or baron, coming to us at our commandment, passing by our forest, it shall be lawful for him to take and kill one or two of our deer, by view of our forrester, if he be or else he shall cause one to blow an horn for him, that he feem not to fteal our deer; and " likewife they shall do returning from us, as it is aforesaid (39)." By the fourteenth chapter of the forest-laws of Scotland, it is enacted,-" All bishops, earles, or barones, cummand to the king, at his

" command, and paffand be the forest, may lesumlie take ane or twa beafts, at the ficht of the forestar, gif 66 he be present; otherwaies he may blaw his horne, "that he appear nocht to do the same thestouslie; and " he may do fwa as faid is returnand hame agane (40)." By the statute of Henry III. concerning the affize of bread and ale, a baker, for the third offence, is to be fet in the pillory, and a brewer is to be punished by the duckingstool (41). By the twenty-first chapter of the boroughlaws of Scotland, it is enacted, "Gif any baxter or " ane browster trespasse thrise, justice shall be done upon them, that is, the baxter shall be put upon the " pillorie, and the browfter upon the cock-stule (42)." Civil causes still continued to be tried by juries in Scotland, as well as in England; and these juries, in both countries, were liable to be tried, and feverely punished, for false or unjust verdicts (43). Trials by fire and water ordeals were discountenanced and prohibited by both nations, about the same time; but those by fingle combat were still frequent. In a word, the laws of both the British states were so much the same in this period, that a distinct delineation of those of the one may serve to convey no very imperfect idea of those of the other.

## SECTION II.

Changes in the Constitution, Government, and Laws of Britain, in the reign of Edward I. from A. D. 1272, to A. D. 1307.

EDWARD I. was illustrious as a general, but more illustrious as a legislator. In the former capacity he had many equals, and some superiors; in the latter he was equalled by few, and excelled by none of the kings of England. For this reason, the changes that were made

<sup>(40)</sup> Regiam Majestatem, p. 323.

<sup>(41)</sup> Statutes, vol. 1, p. 22.

<sup>(42)</sup> Regiam Majestatem, p. 229.

<sup>(43)</sup> Id. ibid, I. 1. c. 12. 14.

in the constitution, government, and laws of his dominions, in his reign, merit our particular attention. To prevent confusion in our views of these important objects. we shall consider the most important changes that were made in this period, 1st, In the constitution of the parliament; 2dly, In the magistrates and courts of justice; 3dly, In the statute-law; 4thly, In the common-law; 5thly, In the prerogatives of the crown; and 6thly, In the royal revenues.

As the parliaments of England have long been the chief guardians of its laws and liberties, its prosperity hath very much depended on the right constitution and proper influence of these august assemblies. Whenever parliaments were discontinued, or deprived of their due degree of power, the people had reason to tremble for their liberties; and, on the other hand, when they exceeded their bounds, and deprived the crown of its just prerogatives. they had no less reason to dread the destruction of the constitution. It is therefore of importance to attend to the various forms and circumstances of these assemblies in every period of our history.

Ancient liaments restored.

That excellent plan of a parliament which had been form of par- introduced by the earl of Leicester and his party, in the 40th of Henry III. feems to have been laid aside, and the ancient model in the great charter of king John restored, in the last years of that prince's reign, and in the first ten years of Edward I. This, at least, appears probable, from the descriptions of these assemblies both in our histories and statutes (1). The fullest and most particular description of their constituent members is to be found in the preamble to the first statutes of Westminster, which were made in a general and full parliament, as it is called, A. D. 1275: "These be the acts of king Edward, son " to king Henry, made at Westminster at his first par-" liament general after his coronation, on the Monday " of Easter Utas, the third year of his reign, by his " council, and by the affent of the archbishops, bishops, 65 abbots, priors, earls, barons, and all the commonalty of the realm, being thither fummoned (2)." By all the commonalty of the realm we are probably to understand, all who held smaller portions of land than a whole

(1) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 40.

<sup>(1)</sup> Statutes, vol. 1. p. 30-122. Brady's Introduction, p. 144-149.

barony of the king in capite, who were fummoned to parliaments in general by the sheriffs of their respective counties.

Edward I. having completed the conquest of Wales, Leicester's and taken David, the last of its princes, prisoner, called plan of parand taken David, the latt of its princes, princes, princes, parliament to meet at Shrewfbury, September 30, liaments a parliament to meet at Shrewfbury, September 30, revived. 1283, for the trial of the captive prince and the fettlement of the conquered country. This parliament appears to have been constituted according to the plan of that which met at London, January 20, A. D. 1265, commonly called Leicester's parliament. It consisted of all the great barons fpiritual and temporal, who were fummoned by particular writs; of two commissioners chosen by the smaller barons or freeholders of each county, in obedience to precepts directed to the sheriffs for that purpose; and of two commissioners from each of the following twenty-one cities and boroughs, viz. London, Winchester, Newcastle, York, Bristol, Exeter, Lincoln, Canterbury, Carlifle, Norwich, Northampton, Nottingham, Scarborough, Gremesby, Linn, Gloucester, Yarmouth, Hereford, Chefter, Shrewsbury, and Worcester (3). What motives determined Edward to adopt this form at this time cannot be discovered with certainty. It is most probable, that the general summons of the smaller freeholders by the sheriff had of late been difregarded, and that few or none of them had attended parliaments, which was too expensive for persons in their circumstances; and that for this reason they were now indulged to appear by representatives, whose expences they bore. This cause afterwards produced a similar regulation in Scotland (4). Soon after this form was introduced, great precautions were taken to fecure the attendance of these representatives; and each of them, as foon as he was chosen, was obliged to find three or four persons of credit to be fureties for him that he would attend (5).

After the above form of parliament was revived, it variations was not strictly adhered to for some time, but feveral in the forms variations took place. The famous parliament which of parlia was held at Westminster in the 18th of Edward I. feems

<sup>(3)</sup> Parliament. Hist. vol. 1 p. 86.

<sup>(4)</sup> Essays on British Antiquities, essay 2.

<sup>(5)</sup> Brady's Introduct, p. 153.

to have been differently constituted at different periods. It was composed on the 1st day of June of prelates, earls, barons, and other nobles, who granted the king an aid of forty shillings on every knight's fee (6). On the 14th of the same month the king sent letters to all the sheriffs. acquainting them, that the earls, barons, and fome other nobles, had made certain special requisitions, about which he defired to confult with others of the feveral counties; and defiring each sheriff to cause two or three of the most discreet knights of his county to be chosen and fent to parliament three weeks after Midfummer at farthest (7). We hear of no citizens or burgesses being in this parliament. While the elections of knights were making in the feveral counties, the parliament continued fitting, and the statutes called Westminster the third were made by it on July 8 (8). It doth not appear with certainty, what the affair was about which the king defired to confult the representatives of the counties; but it seems most probable, that it was the banishment of the Jews, which was a great national concern, and took place at this time (9). Some parliaments in this period were called general, and fome particular (10). In thefe last, the king consulted only with such of the great men of the clergy and laity as he thought proper to felect. Several of our ancient statutes feem to have been made by these particular parliaments (11). In some of the parliaments of this reign, the fmaller barons in each county were reprefented by two, in some by three, and in some by four commissioners; and the representation of cities and boroughs was still more unsettled (12). We even meet with one parliament in this reign, in which there was not fo much as one clergyman; and with another to which not only the archbishops, bishops, abbots, and priors, but even the archdeacons, with a reprefentative of every chapter, and two representatives of the inferior clergy of every diocefs, were called (13). In a word, nothing can be more certain than this, that the constitution of the

(6) See the record in Brady's Introduct. p. 149.

(12) Brade's Introduct. p. 151.

<sup>(7)</sup> Brady's Introduct. p. 149. (8) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 122.

<sup>(9)</sup> Kayghten, col. 2466. (10) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 401. T. Wykes, p. 112. (11) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 63. 69, &c.

<sup>(13)</sup> Chron. T. Thorn, col. 196. Brady's Introduct, p. 155.

parliament of England was far from being fixed and uniform in the reign of Edward I. In general, however, we may observe with pleasure, that the frame of these assemblies gradually approached nearer and nearer to that admirable model which hath been fo long established, and hath contributed fo much to the preservation of our rights and liberties.

This unfettledness of the form of parliaments gave the Great incrown too great an influence in these assemblies; and some the crown other circumstances still further added to that influence in parlia-As the great barons, in the times we are now delineating, ment. delighted to refide at their castles in the country, and had but little tafte for tedious political investigations, the sessions of parliament were commonly very short. This made it necessary to prepare business in such a manner, that it might be dispatched in a little time, and without much expence of thought. With this view, the laws which the king defired to have enacted, were drawn up by the councils or the judges, in the form of statutes, read in parliament, and at once either passed or rejected (14). Several of our ancient statutes bear evident marks of their having been made in this manner (15).

As one great end of parliament was to redress both ge-Triers of neral and particular grievances, especially such as could petitions. not be redressed by any other means, many petitions were presented to every parliament for that purpose. To prevent their fpending any time in reading and confidering trifling or unreasonable petitions, certain persons were appointed by the king, some time before the meeting of a parliament, to be receivers and triers of petitions from the feveral parts of his dominions. On the first day of the parliament, proclamation was made at the door of the house, and other public places, that all perfons who had any petitions to prefent, should give them in to those who had been appointed to receive them (16). As these receivers and triers of petitions were named by the king, they probably acted under his direction; and they feem to have borne a very great refemblance to the lords of the articles in the Farliament of Scotland (17).

<sup>(14)</sup> Hale's Hift. Common Law, ch. 1. p. 13, 14.

<sup>(15)</sup> Statutes, vol. 1. p. 52, 53. (16) Ryley Placita Parliamentaria, p. 240.

<sup>(17)</sup> Effays on British Antiquities, p. 49.

Only one house of parliament.

There is no evidence that the parliament of England was divided into the two houses of lords and commons, in the reign of Edward I.; and it is most probable that it still continued to form only one great assembly. But as this affembly confifted of feveral diffinct orders of men, as bishops, abbots, priors, earls, barons, knights, citizens, 'and burgesses; and as these different orders had different and fometimes opposite interests, it is highly probable, that one or more of these orders did retire into a separate room, on fome occasions, and held consultations by themfelves. This we know with certainty, that though the convocations of the clergy, in this period, made commonly only one affembly, and fat in one house, yet at fome times they divided into four troops, as they were called, of which the bishops made one troop, the deans and archdeacons another, the abbots and priors a third, and the proctors of the inferior clergy a fourth, and each troop deliberated by itself (18). The representatives of cities and burghs, who were fummoned to the parliament at Shrewsbury, A. D. 1283, appear to have met at the village of Acton-Burnel, while the rest of the parliament fat at Shrewsbury. A little before this (January 20, the fame year), there were three distinct parliaments at the same time, in three different cities, one at Northampton, one at York, and one at Durham, to each of which the king fent commissioners to represent his person, as he was then engaged in the conquest of Wales (19). When the business of a session of parliament was

Method of terminating a leftion of parliament.

finished, it was dismissed by proclamation; of which it may be proper to give one example, near the end of this reign, A. D. 1305: "All archbishops, bishops, and other prelates, earls and barons, knights of counties, citizens, burgesses, and other people of the commons, who have come at the commandment of our sovereign lord the king to this parliament; the king thanks them much for their coming; and wills, that when they please, they may return into their own countries, provided that they come back, immediately and without delay, when they are remaided; except the bishops, earls, barons, and justices, and others, who are of

<sup>(18)</sup> Hody's History of Convocations, part 3.p. 153.

" the council of our fovereign lord the king, who shall not depart without the special licence of the king. "Those also who have business may stay, and prosecute " their business. And the knights who have come for " the counties, and the others who have come for the cities and boroughs, may apply to fir John de Kir-"keby, and he will cause them to have briefs to " receive their wages in their own countries. And the " faid John de Kirkeby, in consequence of this proclamation, will deliver to the chancellor the names of the knights who have come for the counties, and the " names of the others who have come for the cities and " boroughs; and it is proclaimed, that all who defire to " have briefs for their expences, as is faid above, shall " apply there for these briefs (20)." When a fession of parliament had been terminated in this manner, the king, on the next occasion, might either call a new parliament, or command the sheriffs to fend the members of the former parliament, causing others to be elected in the room of fuch as had died or were infirm (21). The first of these methods was most commonly purfued.

The fessions of parliament, in this period, were so petitions short, and the members of them so impatient to return answered to their respective countries, that many petitions comby the king monly remained unanswered, and many appeals undetercial mined. The king, with the bishops, earls, barons, justices, and others of his council, answered these petitions and determined these appeals; which is the reason that they, together with those who had business depending, were commanded to stay until they received permission to depart. After that very session of parliament, which was terminated by the above proclamation, when it had continued about three weeks, the king and his council gave answers to no fewer than one hundred and six petitions (22).

In the preceding period, a brief description was given Courts, of the several courts, judges, and magistrates, which &c. were established in England by the Normans, for the administration of justice and execution of the laws; and

<sup>(20)</sup> Ryley's Placit. Parliament. p. 241.

<sup>(21)</sup> Brady's Introduct. p. 152.

<sup>(22)</sup> Ryley's Placit. Parliament. p. 241-265.

therefore it will be sufficient in this place to mention the most important changes that were made in these particu-

lars in the course of this period (23).

Court of Common pleas. By the seventeenth article of the Great Charter of king John, it was declared, "Common pleas shall not follow "our court, but shall be held in some certain place (24)." To carry this article into execution, a court was some time after erected, for the trial of common pleas and controversies among the subjects, called the Court of Common Bench or Common Pleas, and settled at Westminster, where it still continues (25). But as new institutions are not brought to perfection at once, many persons, for several years after the erection of this court, brought their common pleas into the exchequer, which gave occasion to the following statute, A. D. 1300: "No common pleas shall "be from henceforth holden in the exchequer, contrary to the form of the Great Charter (26)." This court, at its first institution, consisted only of three judges (27).

Court of king'shench.

About the fame time the court of king's-bench was erected for the trial of criminal actions and pleas of the crown, which, as well as common pleas, had formerly been in the exchequer. Though the persons who were fummoned to attend this court, were commanded to appear (coram ipso rege) before the king himself; the advantages of its remaining at a known and convenient place, were so many and obvious, that it continued to sit almost constantly at Westminster, except a few short occasional removes (28). A statute was indeed made, A. D. 1300, that the justices of the king's-bench should always follow him, that he might have fome fages of the law near him at all times (29). But this statute doth not feem to have produced any great or permanent effect. It was the duty and prerogative of the judges of this high court, from its first institution, " to correct the injuries and " errors of other courts and judges (30)."

Chancery.

The most important institutions are sometimes introduced by such slow and imperceptible degrees, that it is next to impossible to point out their origin. This seems

(30) Bracton, l. 3. c. 10.

<sup>(23)</sup> See vol. 3. chap. 3. (24) Id. ibid. (25) Dugdale's Origines Juridicales, ch. 18. p. 38.

<sup>(26)</sup> Statutes, vol. 1. p. 143. (27) Dugdale, p. 39.

<sup>(28)</sup> Dugdale, p. 38. (29) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 143.

to have been the case with respect to the court of chancery, as a supreme court of review and equity. When the aula regis or king's court flourished in its ancient undivided dignity, the chancellor fat as a judge in it, with the high justiciary, and other great officers of the crown; and after the courts of the king's-bench and common pleas were erected, he continued to fit as one of the judges in the exchequer; but it doth not appear, that in this reign he had any distinct court or jurisdiction of his own (31).

As the establishment of the courts of the king's-bench Exchequer, and common pleas very much diminished the business, it also impaired the power and dignity, of the exchequer, which was very much confined, as a court of law, to the trial of fuch causes as respected the revenues of the crown.

or its own officers and dependents (32).

Though the courts of exchequer, king's-bench, and These common pleas, were for the most part settled at West-courts minster in this reign; they were sometimes removed to formetimes other places, that they might be pear the him and the removed. other places, that they might be near the king, when he was engaged in the wars of Wales and Scotland. In the 6th and 11th of Edward I. they were removed to Shrewfbury; in the 26th to York; and in the 21st the court of king's-bench fat at Roxburgh in Scotland (33). But the inconveniencies which attended these removes were so fensibly felt, that they became gradually less frequent.

By the statute, commonly called Westminster the se- justices of cond, chapter 30, A. D. 1285, justices of assize and ni-assize. fi prius were appointed to go into every shire, two or three times a year, for the more speedy administration of justice (34). As these justices of affize were also judges in the courts at Westminster, they performed their circuits into the country in the times of the vacations of these courts. By another statute, A. D. 1299, the justices of affize are appointed to be justices of gaol-delivery in all places on their circuits (35).

But all these courts and judges were not sufficient to Justices of prevent the commission of many atrocious crimes, and traile-basto keep his subjects in that peace and good order which ton. Edward I. desired. With a view to put a stop to the per-

<sup>(31)</sup> Madox Hist. Excheq. ch. 21. p. 564, &c.

<sup>(32)</sup> Dugdale's Orig. Jurid. p. 36. Madox, chap. 20. p. 548. (33) Id. ibid. ch. 20. p. 552, 553. Hale's Hift. C. L. p. 200. (34) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 98. (35) Ib. p. 135.

VOL. IV. petration

petration of fuch crimes, by the fevere and fpeedy punishment of those who were guilty of them, he appointed a kind of civil inquisitors, and fent them into different parts of the kingdom, with commissions to try and punish all murderers, incendiaries, robbers, and thieves, all who beat and wounded jurymen, or others, out of malice, with all who hired, assisted, and protected them, &c. &c. These commissioners, who were commonly called justices of traile-baston, executed their commission with much spirit, put many of these audacious criminals to death, and obliged others to abandon their country to avoid the same sate (36).

Infliers of the peace.

To suppress riots and tumults, to punish small offences, and determine lesser controversies, and particularly to execute the decrees of the parliament of Winchester, this wise prince appointed conservators or justices of the peace in every county; but at the same time he abolished the office of high justiciary, as invested with too much power to be intrusted in the hands of any subject (37).

Trial of the judges. Edward I. not only made these salutary changes in 'the courts and magistrates, but he watched over them with great attention, and punished them when they were guilty of flagrant injustice or oppression. At his return from France, where he had resided three years, great complaints were made to him of the rapacity and extortions of the judges. To examine these complaints, he called a parliament at Westminster, A. D. 1290, at which all the judges being tried, were found guilty (except two) and severely fined. Sir Thomas Wayland, chief justice of the common pleas, appearing the greatest delinquent, was banished, and his whole estate confiscated (38). This transaction was exceedingly popular, and productive of the best essential.

Statute law.

Several excellent statutes were made in the reign of Edward I. which contributed not a little to the melioration of the constitution, and the more regular administration of justice. It was on account of these wise and good laws, that sir Edward Coke gave this prince the title of the English Justinian. Some of these statutes respected

<sup>(36)</sup> Ryley's Placita, p. 280. Spelman Cloff. voc. Traile-Baston. (37) Spelman Gloff. voc. Justiciarius.

<sup>(38)</sup> Chron. T. Wikes, p. 118. Chron. Dunftap. an. 1290. Ryley's Placita Parliament, 451.

the church, and were intended to fet bounds to the power of the pope, the riches of the clergy, and the encroachments of the spiritual courts (39). Others of them were calculated for explaining, confirming, and enlarging the liberties which had been granted by the great charter, and the charter of the forests; and particularly for restraining the crown from imposing taxes without the consent of parliament (40). Very prudent regulations were made by the statute of Winchester, for ordering the internal police of the country, and preventing thefts and robberies; and the statutes of Acton-Burnel, and De Mercatoribus, contain regulations no less prudent, for the encouragement of trade (41). But for a more perfect knowledge of the many excellent laws that were made in this reign, the reader must be referred to the statute book, and the works quoted below (42).

It is impossible to give a better description of the great Common improvements that were made in the common law of law. England, in the reign of Edward I. than in the following

words of fir Matthew Hale: "Upon the whole matter it appears, that the very scheme, mold, and model of

"the common law, especially in relation to the admini-" stration of the common justice between party and par-

" ty, as it was highly rectified, and fet in a much better

66 light and order by this king, than his predecessors left " it to him, fo in a very great measure it has continued

" the same in all succeeding ages to this day; so that the " mark or epocha we are to take for the true stating of

"the law of England, what it is, is to be confidered,

" ftated, and estimated, from what it was when this

" king left it. Before his time it was in a great mea-" fure rude and unpolished, in comparison of what it

was after this reduction thereof; and on the other fide.

as it was thus polished and ordered by him, fo hath it

" frood hitherto, without any great or confiderable alte-

66 ration (43)."

The prerogatives of the crown were fo unfettled in the Prerogatimes we are now considering, that they depended very crown.

<sup>(39)</sup> Statutes, vol. 1. p. 72. 118..160.

<sup>(40)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 131. 139. 156. (41) Id. ibid. p. 75. 112. 115. (42) Coke's Inflitutes, Blackstone's Commentaries, Barrington's Obfervations, Hale's Hift. C. L.

<sup>(43)</sup> Hale's History of the Common Law, p. 162, 163,

much on the character and capacity of the prince who wore it. Henry III. being a weak prince, was at fome times deprived of almost all authority by his too powerful barons; but his fon and fuccessor Edward I fupported the dignity and prerogatives of his crown with greater vigour, and repelled the attacks that were made upon them with spirit. Of this it will be sufficient to give one example. When the barons demanded, A. D. 1301, that the great officers of the crown should be named by parliament, the king returned fuch a fierce denial, as struck terror into those haughty chieftains, and brought them to beg his pardor for their presumption (44). The truth is, this prince was too fond of power, and pushed his prerogatives beyond the limits which had been prescribed by the charters. For example, it was flipulated by the 12th article of the Great Charter,-" That no scutage or aid shall be im-" posed, except by the common council of the king-" dom (45)." But Edward paid little regard to this article, and extorted money from his fubjects on many occasions, by his own authority (46). By the 39th article of the same chapter, no freeman was to be imprisoned but by the regular course of law (47). But there is the clearest evidence, that Edward and his ministers imprifoned many perfons, and detained them long in prison, on mere suspicion or ill-will. Of this the archbishop of Canterbury made the following complaint in parliament A. D. 1290: "That very many freemen of the king-"dom had, without any guilt on their part, been com-" mitted by the king's ministers to divers prisons, as if "they had been flaves of the meanest degree, therein to be kept: of which fome died in prison, with hunger, or grief, and the weight of their chains. From others "they extorted, at their pleafure, infinite fums of moor ney for their ranfoms (48)." In a word, it was declared publicly from the bench by the ministers and judges of this prince, "That, for the common utility, " the king was, in many cases, above the laws and esta-66 blished customs of the kingdom (49):" a dangerous

<sup>(44)</sup> Parliament. Hift. vol. 1. p. 118.

<sup>(45)</sup> See vol. 3. (46) Statutes, vol. 2. p. 133. 141. (47) See vol. 3. (48) Ellys's Tracts, vol. 2. p. 7.

<sup>(49)</sup> Ryley's Placit. Parliament. p. 77.

maxim, hardly compatible with a free and legal goverment.

These observations sufficiently account for the extreme History of reluctance of Edward I. to confirm the great charter, the charand the charter of the forests. This reluctance appears ters. to have been fo great, that nothing but necessity could have overcome it. Nor was he involved in this necessity till the 25th year of his reign, A. D. 1297, when being at war with France and Scotland, and in the greatest distress for money to carry on these wars, a powerful party of the English nobility, headed by the two great earls of Hereford and Norfolk, politively refused to follow him into Flanders, complained bitterly of his illegal exactions, and loudly demanded the confirmation of the charters, which had beenlong fo neglected. Edward used every art to allay this rifing form; but finding this impossible, and dreading a rebellion in England while he was in Flanders, he gave a commission to his fon prince Edward to call a parliament, for the redrefs of grievances, and confirmation of the charters; which were accordingly confirmed with great folemnity, October 10, in full parliament at London (50). The statute of confirmation being transmitted to the king, he gave his affent to it under the great seal, at Ghent, November 5. After his return he confirmed these famous instruments, March 8, A. D. 1299, in a parliament at London; and again in another parliament at the same place, March 16, A. D. 1300; and finally in a parliament at Lincoln, February 14, A. D. 1301 (51). At each of these confirmations new devices were invented to render these admired inestimable charers (which contributed fo much to establish and afcerain the liberties of England) more public, facred, and nviolable (52).

Though Edward I. was an excellent economist, the Revenues almost incessant wars in which he was engaged involved of the nim in expences which his stated revenues could not sup-crown. port. To supply this deficiency, he made frequent and commonly fuccefsful applications to his people in parlianent (53). But on some occasions he had recourse to

<sup>(50)</sup> Statutes, vol. 1. p. 131.

<sup>(51)</sup> See Judge Blackitone's most correct and valuable History of the

Charters, p. 92-115. (52) Blackstone's Hist. of the Charters, p. 92-115.

<sup>(53)</sup> Parliament, Hift, vol. 1. p. 136.

more unjustifiable methods of replenishing his coffers. From the Jews he extorted prodigious fums of money at different times; and at last he seized the whole possessions of that devoted people, banishing the owners out of the kingdom (54). Though he was really a friend to trade, yet when his want of money was great and urgent he fometimes made too free with the cash and goods of merchants. Before his departure on his expedition into Flanders, A. D. 1297, he feized great quantities of wool and leather belonging to the merchants, for no other reason, but that it was the most speedy and effectual means of procuring money (55). At the fame time he took by - mere force, without any other plea but that he had need of them, immense quantities of corn, and great multitudes of cattle, for the use of his army (56). Nor did this prince abstain from laving violent hands on the property of the church, however facred it was then esteemed. At one time he feized all the money and plate in the monasteries and churches; and at another, all the possessions of the clergy, for refusing to grant him a subfidy (57). These acts of tyranny and oppression will hardly appear credible in the present age. But nothing was more difficult than to teach even the best and wifest of our ancient kings this plain fundamental principle of the constitution, That they had no right to the property of their subjects, unless it was granted to them by parliament.

Wales.

EDWARD I. made great efforts to reduce the whole island of Britain into one kingdom, governed by the same sovereign, and subject to the same laws. With respect to Wales he succeeded in his design. After he had accomplished the conquest of that country by the sorce of arms, he was at great pains to gain a perfect knowledge of its ancient constitution and laws, and of the manners of its inhabitants. With this view, he gave a commission to the bishop of St. David's and others, to investigate these matters in the most careful and authentic manner. No sewer than one hundred and seventy-two of the most re-

<sup>(54)</sup> Walfing, p. 54. Heming, vol. 1, p. 20. Trivet, p. 266. (55) Walfing, p. 69. Trivet, p. 296. Heming, vol. 1, p. 52.

<sup>(56)</sup> Heming, vol. 1. p. 110, 111. (57) Walling, p. 65. Heming, vol. 1. p. 107.

spectable and intelligent persons were examined upon oath, by these commissioners, who, upon their evidence, formed a report (58). Having obtained this necessary information, he held a parliament at Rhuydland in Flintshire, May 24, A. D. 1282, and in it united Wales to the kingdom of England, and introduced into it as many of the English laws, customs, courts, and magnifrates, as he thought convenient at that time (59).

EDWARD was not fo fuccessful in his designs upon scotland. Scotland, though the acquifition of that kingdom feems to have been the favourite with of his heart, during the last twenty years of his life. His first scheme for uniting the two British kingdoms, by the marriage of his eldest fon prince Edward, to Margaret of Norway, heiress of the crown of Scotland, was just and honourable; but it was unhappily defeated by the death of that princefs. The various methods of art and force, which he afterwards employed for accomplishing this end, have been already related. Amongst other means, he endeavoured to introduce the English laws, customs, and modes of judicial proceedings, in those parts of Scotland where his power prevailed. "It feems very evident (fays fir " Matthew Hale), that the design of Edward I. was by all means poslible to unite the kingdom of Scotland, as he had done the principality of Wales, to the crown of England, fo that Britain might have been one entire monarchy, which could never have been better done, than by establishing one common law and rule " of justice among them; and therefore he did, as " opportunity and convenience served, translate over to that kingdom as many of our English customs and laws as within that compass of time he conveniently could (60)." But as all Edward's efforts to unite Scotland to England finally failed, they ferved only to kindle a most violent and implacable animosity between the people of these two kingdoms, which gradually rendered their manners, laws, and customs, more diffimilar than they had been in more ancient and amicable times.

<sup>(58)</sup> See Leges Wallie, Append. Judge Barrington's Observ. p. 95. (59) See Statutum Wallie, in 'h. Statutes at Large.

<sup>(60)</sup> Hale's Hift. C. L. p. 204.

## SECTION III.

Changes in the Constitution, Government, and Laws of Britain, from the accession of Edward II. A. D. 1307, to the accession of Edward III. A. D. 1327.

EW characters were more different than those of Edward I. and of his son and successor Edward II. The last of these princes being a weak indolent voluptuary, without talents for war, politics, or legislation, was the property of worthless, greedy favourites, to whom he abandoned both the treasures and government of his kingdom. In this reign we cannot expect to meet with great improvements in the constitution, government, and laws; and therefore on these heads it merits very little attention.

Constitution of parliament.

The constitution of parliament became gradually more fettled and uniform in the course of this reign; though its meetings were fometimes very tumultuary, occasioned by the violent animofities of the contending parties. When a parliament was most full and general in this period, it confished of the following classes or orders of men,-all the archbishops, bishops, abbots, priors, deans, archdeacons, two representatives from the chapter of each cathedral, and two representatives of the inferior clergy of each diocess, all the earls and greater barons, with the judges, and all the members of the king's council, both of the clergy and laity, two knights from each county, and two citizens from each city, and two burgesses from each burgh. The first parliament in this reign, which met at Northampton October 13, A. D. 1307, was constituted in this manner (1). The expences of all who were called to this parliament as reprefentatives of the clergy, as well as of the laity, were borne by their constituents (2). The clerical representatives possessed the singular privilege of

<sup>(1)</sup> Dugdale's Summons, p. 56.

<sup>(2)</sup> Hady's Hift, Convocat. p. 391.

fubilitating others in their room, when it was not convenient for them to attend (3). But all the parliaments of this reign were not fo full and general as the first; for we find that to some of them the deans, archdeacons, and the representatives of chapters, and of the inferior clergy, were not fummoned (4). In a word, the two first Edwards, and their ministers, seem to have modelled their parliaments as best suited their particular views. When they defigned to ask the advice, or demand the pecuniary assistance, of all the different orders of their subjects, they called a general parliament; but when they wanted only the counsel and contributions of their prelates and barons, who possessed the far greatest share both of the power and riches of the kingdom, they called only a particular parliament, confisting of these prelates and barons. This not only appears probable, from an attentive confideration of the circumstances in which these different kinds of parliaments were called; but is directly afferted to have been the case, by an archbishop of Canterbury, who flourished in those times, in a letter to the pope: -" It " is the custom of the kingdom of England, that in " those public contingencies which affect the state of that "kingdom, the counsel of all who are particularly con-66 cerned is required (5)." The inferior clergy, and the inhabitants of cities and towns, were fo poor, and contributed fo little to tenths and fifteenths, that fometimes no demand was made upon them, and then they were not required to fend reprefentatives to parliament. twentieth, for example, that was granted in the first parliament of Edward II. by the earls, barons, and knights, amounted, in the county of Bedford, to 720l. 12s. 7d.; while the fifteenth, granted by the citizens and burgeffes, produced in all the towns of the fame county, only 311. 18s. 44d. (6). Nor did the towns bear a much higher proportion to the counties in other parts of England. But as cities and towns increased in wealth, their contributions to the public expences, and their importance in other respects became more considerable, and then they were constantly called upon to fend their represematives to par-

<sup>(3)</sup> Hody's Hift. Convocat. p. 389. (4) Id. p. 390.

<sup>(5)</sup> M. Westmonst, an. 1301. p. 439. (6) Carte, vol. 2. p. 308, from the Rolls in the Pipe-office.

liament, in which they foon acquired a much greater influence than the counties by their fuperior numbers.

Parliament not yet divided into two houses.

The parliament of England doth not appear to have been flatedly divided into two houses in this reign; though each of the feveral orders of which it confifted, occasionally retired and confulted apart about its own particular concerns. In these separate consultations, the knights of shires commonly, if not constantly, fat with the earls and barons, as having been originally of the fame order; and always granted the fame proportion of their goods with the earls and barons. The representatives of cities and burghs, who were really citizens and burgefles, inhabitants of the places which they reprefented, formed one body, and held confultations about the affairs of trade, and about granting aids to the crown; and they commonly granted a greater proportion of their moveables than the earls, barons, and knights, because they owed their establishment and franchises to the crown, and depended upon it for further immunities (7).

Clergy in parliament nearly equal to the laity.

The clergy were nearly equal to the laity in number, as well as in wealth and dignity, in the parliaments of England in this period. The bishops, abbots, and priors, corresponded to the earls and barons, and were also fummoned in the same manner, by a particular writ directed to each of them: the deans and archdeacons correfponded to the knights of shires, and were summoned by the bishop, as the knights were by the sheriff of the county: and the representatives of the chapters of cathedrals, and of the inferior clergy, who were called the spiritual commons, corresponded to the representatives of cities and burghs (8). The clergy also granted their own money in parliament, and fometimes in a different proportion from the laity (9). These circumstances, and some others, made the favour of the clergy an object of great importance to the prince, in the times we are now confidering.

Courts.

"It feems," fays a learned historian of the law, "that the certain fixing of the court of common-pleas at "Westminster, occasioned much more resort thereto than before; for about the beginning of Edward II's reign there were so many suits therein, as that the king

(7) Carte, vol. 2. p. 246-260.

(9) Rights of an English Convocation, p. 39, &c.

<sup>(8)</sup> Dugdale's Summons, p. 92, &c. Pryn. Parl. Writ. vol. 2. p. 77.

" was necessitated to increase the number of his justices, who were to fit there, unto fix, which commonly were of not above three before that time; and fo to divide " them, that they might fit in two places (10)." judges in this court were afterwards increased to seven, and at last to nine; though they have long fince been reduced to four, who fit all in one place. In proportion as the business of the court of common-pleas increased, that of the exchequer, in which these pleas had formerly been tried, declined (11). The members of the king's council still continued to possess great judicial powers, and acted as barons of the exchequer, as well as determined many causes in the last refort, which could not be overtaken by parliaments in their short sessions (12).

Few statutes of lasting utility or great importance Statute were made in the turbulent unhappy reign of Edward II. law. By the ancient common law of England, breaking prison was a capital crime, even though the person had been committed for a flight offence. The unreasonable severity of this law or custom was corrected by a statute made in a parliament at Northampton, 1st Edward II. A. D. 1307, which decrees,-" That none from henceforth "that breaketh prison shall have judgment of life or " member, for breaking prison only, except the cause of for which he was taken and imprisoned did require " fuch judgment, if he had been convict thercupon ac-" cording to the law and custom of the realm, albeit in "times past it hath been used otherwise (13)." The prices of provisions of all kinds being very high A. D. 1314, parliament attempted to reduce and fix them at a certain rate by law; but that law produced a famine, and was foon repealed (14).

The common law, when it could be exercised, continued in the same improved state to which it had attained liw. in the preceding reign (15). But the regular administration of justice was frequently interrupted by civil broils; and the rage of party was fometimes fo violent, that feveral noble persons were deprived of their fortunes, and

(15) hale's, Hitt, C. L. ch. S. p. 166.

<sup>(10)</sup> Dugd. Origin. Jurid. p. 39. (11) Madox, Hist. Excheq. p. 548. (12) Mariov, Hirt. Excheq. p. 565, &c.

<sup>(13)</sup> Statutes, vol. 1. p. 164. (14) Parliament, Hift, vol. 1. p. 151: 153. Walfing, an. 1314.

even of their lives, without so much as the pretence or form of a trial.

Prerogarives of the crown.

The limits of the prerogatives of the crown, and the privileges of the people, were in this period fo unfettled, that they depended very much on the personal character of the king. As Edward II. was a weak prince, he was foon deprived of the most essential prerogatives of his crown, and, in the third year of his reign, compelled by a powerful faction of his barons, to give a commission to twelve great men, named by parliament, to govern both his kingdom and his household with unbounded sway (16). By this commission the royal authority was almost annihilated, and a tyrannical aristocracy established. This, like every other violent breach in the constitution, produced much consusion and misery for feveral years; the barons labouring to preferve the power they had gained, and the king to recover the authority he had loft. In the mean time, the people fuffered all the diffresses arising from anarchy and civil discord, aggravated by famine, and the destructive incursions of the Scots. The king, after a struggle of twelve years, was restored to all the prerogatives of his crown, by his victory over the earl of Lancaster and his confederates at Boroughbridge, A. D. 1322. For foon after that victory a parliament was held at York, in which all the ordinances which had been made by the twelve commissioners, and for the support of which the confederated barons had taken arms, were repealed,-" because by the things " which were ordained, the king's power was restrained in many things, contrary to what was due to his " feigniory royal, and contrary to the state of the " crown (17)." But this weak unfortunate prince, about five years after this, was deprived, first of his crown, and afterwards of his life.

Revenues.

The hereditary revenues of the crown of England, which at the accession of Edward II. were very great, were in a little time very much diminished by his unbounded liberality to his worthless infatiable favourite Piers Gavaston (18). By the same means, all the money which had been provided by his father for the relief of

<sup>(16)</sup> Ryley, Placit. Parliament. p. 526. 529,

<sup>(17)</sup> Parl. Hift. v. 1. p. 176.

the Holy Land, and for the expedition against Scotland, was consumed, and he was reduced to a state of indigence very unbecoming the royal dignity. In the course of his reign, particularly after the destruction of the earl of Lancaster and his party, many great estates came to the crown, and he also obtained several tenths and sisteenths from parliament. But all these estates and sums of money were lavished on his favourites, especially on the two d'Espensers. It must however be acknowledged, that this misguided prince never attempted to supply his wants, which were often very pressing, by imposing tallages or taxes of any kind without consent of parliament. But there is some reason to suspect, that this abstinence was rather owing to want of power, than to a conscientious regard to the constitution.

As foon as the renowned Robert Bruce found himfelf Constitufirmly feated on the throne of Scotland, by the decifive tion, &c. of victory at Bannockburn, he became to think of me day victory at Bannockburn, he began to think of re-establishing order, and the regular administration of justice in that unhappy kingdom, which had long been a fcene of the most deplorable anarchy and confusion. With this view he held a parliament at Scone, A. D. 1319, confifting of the bishops, abbots, priors, earls, barons, and other noblemen of his realm (19). In this parliament, a capitulary, or collection of statutes, confishing of thirtyfour chapters, was formed; in which there are many things remarkable. The nineteenth law, which is for nourishing peace and love, recites, that from the death of king Alexander there had been great discords and animolities among the nobles of the realm: and therefore, to put an end to these, and to nourish peace and love, it is defended and forbidden, that one noblemen do any hurt to another, or to any of his men (20). By the twentieth law, fuch as invent or fpread rumours which may occasion discord between the king and his people, are to be imprisoned during the king's pleasure (21). Another fystem of laws, confisting of thirty-eight chapters, was formed in a parliament at Glafgow, A. D. 1325. By the thirty-third chapter of these laws, it appears, that the enmity between the clergy and laity was

(21) Id. p. 345.

<sup>(19)</sup> Regiam Majestatem, p. 339. (20) Id. p. 344.

fo great, that they were not admitted to be witneffes against each other in a court of justice (22). By the twenty-fixth statute, very great precautions are directed to be taken, to prevent a woman who pretended to be with child at the death of her husband, from imposing à supposititious child on his family. She was immediately to be committed to the custody of a matron of undoubted integrity. When within a month of her delivery, the was required to invite the friends of her late husband to come and live with her during that month. As foon as her pains began, guards were to be placed at the door of her house, with orders to fearch every person who defired admittance. Three candles were to be burning in the room all the time she was in labour; and as soon as the child was born, it was to be exhibited to the view of the friends of the family (23). Many of the laws in both the capitularies of Robert I. are evidently borrowed from English, statutes of Henry III. and Edward I.: and some of them are transcribed almost verbatim (24). This is a proof both of the wisdom and magnanimity of Robert Bruce, who did not disdain to borrow useful regulations from his greatest enemies.

The parliament of Scotland, in the former part of this period, appears to have been constituted according to the model of the English parliament in the Great Charter of king John. Burgesses were introduced into that parliament, which was held by Robert I. in the abbey of Cambuskenneth, in July A. D. 1326, which consisted of the earls, barons, burgeffes, and all the other freeholders of the kingdom, who granted that illustrious prince, for his whole life, the tenth part of the rents of all their lands, according to the old extent of their lands and rents in the time of Alexander III. in confideration of the great diminution of the lands and revenues of the crown, in the course of the long war, and of the great things which the king had done and fuffered for preferving the independency of the kingdom (25). It is remarkable, that none of the clergy are mentioned as being present in this parliament, though in the record it is called a full parliament.

<sup>(22)</sup> Regiam Majestatem, p. 368. (23) Id. p. 366.

<sup>(24)</sup> Compare Westminit, 3d. stat, vol. 1. p. 122, with 2d stat, Robert I. ch. 24.

<sup>(25)</sup> Lord Kame's Law-Tracts, Append, No. 5.

This makes it highly probable, that the clergy had a convocation about the fame time, for the purpose of making a similar grant. In a word, there was still a very great resemblance between the laws of the two British kingdoms, though they had been many years in a state of the most violent and fierce hostility.

## SECTION IV.

Changes in the Constitution, Government, and Laws of Britain, from the accession of Edward III. A. D. 1327, to the accession of Richard II. A. D. 1377.

IN the long and glorious reign of Edward III. feveral important changes were made in the conflitution, government, and laws of England, which merit our attentive confideration.

As the parliaments of England have been the guardi- Parliaans of its liberties, the framers of its laws, the impofers ments of its taxes, the great counfellors of its kings, and the fupreme judges of the lives and properties of its people, in every age, the state of those illustrious assemblies, their constituent members, and other circumstances, claim the first and chief attention of all who wish to trace the history of the constitution with any degree of accuracy.

Edward III. appears to have been fond of parliaments, very freand never neglected to confult them on any affair of importance. By this means that wife prince obtained the best advice, and most hearty concurrence and support, of his subjects, in his arduous undertakings; which were generally crowned with success. His writs of summons to no fewer than seventy parliaments and great councils, are still extant; and afford a sufficient proof of his sondness for those assemblies, and that he called a far greater number of them than any other king of England (1).

<sup>(1)</sup> Dogdale's Summons to Parliament, p. 139-252.

Parliaments and great councils.

The distinction between parliaments and great councils still subsisted; and Edward III. called sometimes the one, and fometimes the other, as the state of his affairs required. When he defired only the advice and affiftance of his great barons, who still possessed the far greateft part of the power and property of the kingdom, he called a great council, confifting of all the great men, both of the clergy and laity, who held of the crown by barony. and were intitled to a particular fummons (2). When he stood in need of the counsel and aid of all his subjects, he called a full parliament, which confifted, not only of the barons, spiritual and temporal, but also of the reprefentatives of the inferior clergy, -of the smaller barons, or freeholders, -and of the citizens and burgeffes of the kingdom; and those representatives of the clergy and laity below the rank of barons, were called the Spiritual and temporal commons. But as parliaments possessed greater authority in granting supplies, making laws, and in all other things, than great councils, they were more frequently called (3).

Number of representatives.

The number of representatives sent to parliament by each county, city, and borough, in this reign, was not invariably fixed. Only one representative from each city and borough was summoned to the parliament which met at Westminster 26th Edward III.; and only one knight from each county was summoned to that which met the year after at the same place, though two representatives from each city and borough were called to this last (4). At length the general rule of sending two members from each county, city, and borough, was so uniformly observed, that by curtom it became a law.

The number of towns and boroughs which fent members to parliament, in the times we are now confidering, was still more unfixed and variable. This feems to have depended very much on the sheriffs of the several counties to whom the king's writ was directed, commanding them to cause a certain number of citizens (most commonly two) to be elected for each city, and of burgesses for each borough, within their counties. To these officers the people of small towns and boroughs, who were unable or

(4) Brady's Introduct. p. 158. 160.

<sup>(3)</sup> Pugdale's Summons to Parliament, p. 139-292. (3) Ibid.

unwilling to pay the wages of their representatives, frequently applied; and many of them, by one means or other, were excused or overlooked (5). In general, the representatives of cities and boroughs were much sewer in this period than they are at present (6). It is obvious, that this unsettled state of parliaments added much to the authority and influence of the crown in those assemblies; and we learn from history, that this influence was sometimes employed in packing parliaments for the most pernicious purposes; particularly by the queen-mother, and her favourite Mortimer, in the beginning of this

reign (7).

It is perhaps impossible to discover the precise time Parliament

when the parliament of England was divided into the two divided inhouses of lords and commons; meeting statedly in dif-to three boferent places, and forming two great and distinct assemblies. None of our ancient historians give any account of this event, fo remarkable in itself, and productive of fo many important confequences; nor is there any law concerning it in the statute-book. It is highly probable, that this custom of meeting in two separate chambers was introduced almost infensibly, and established without much noise or observation. It hath been already observed that in the two preceding reigns the feveral orders of men of which the parliament confifted, fometimes retired into feparate rooms, and deliberated by themfelves about affairs in which they were particularly concerned. This practice, we may prefume, being found convenient in many respects, became gradually more and more frequent, till at length it fettled into a custom. At first, the parliament commonly divided into three bodies, for their feparate deliberations; the clergy forming one of thefe bodies; the earls, barons, and knights of shires, another; and the citizens and burgesses a third. Of this, if it were necessary, many examples might be given. When Edward III. asked the advice of his parliament, which met at Westminster March 12, A. D. 1332, about the most effectual means of suppressing certain audacious bands of robbers which infested several parts of the kingdom, the prelates and proctors of the clergy went apart

<sup>(5)</sup> Brady of Boroughs, p. 54. 59.(6) Bithop Ellys's Tracts, vol. 2. p. 123.

<sup>(7)</sup> Rym. Fæd. t. 4- p. 453.

Proctors of

to confult by themselves, the earls, barons, and knights of shires, by themselves, and the citizens and burgesses by themselves. After some time had been spent in these feparate confultations, the whole parliament reassembled, received the reports of these several bodies, and out of them, by common confent, one general advice was formed, and presented to the king (9). The same method of proceeding was followed when the crown demanded fupplies. The demand was made in full parliament; on which each of thefe three bodies deliberated feparately, and fettled the proportion of their goods or money which they proposed to grant. This is the reason that the grants of these several bodies are not only in different proportions, but fometimes even of different kinds, one body granting a certain proportion of their corn and cattle, another a certain quantity of their wool, and a third a certain fum of money (10). While the feparate confultations of these different bodies were only occasional, it doth not appear, that the citizens and burgeffes (who may be faid to have conflituted the house of commons) had any common speaker, settled and chosen for the whole fession or parliament; but they probably chose one at each confultation.

As the above plan of parliament was not agreeable to the clergy many of its members, it was not of long duration. The no longer members of inferior clergy, in particular, were much displeased with parliament, this fystem, because they knew that they were compelled to fend their proctors to parliament, with no other view than that they might be prevailed upon, by the prefence and authority of the laity, to make more liberal grants of money to the crown than they would have done in convocation. They laboured, therefore, with the greatest earnestness, to procure exemption from fending their reprefentatives to parliament; and at length fucceeded. it plainly appears, from the records of the parliament which met at Westminster April 23, A. D. 1341, that

none of the clergy were members but fuch as held of the king by barony, i. e. archbishops and bishops, and some of the richest abbots and priors (11). The crown, it is true, did not then, or even for feveral reigns after, for-

(11) Hody Hist. Convocat. p. 411, 412.

<sup>(9)</sup> Dugdale's Summons, p. 167. Rights of Convocat. p. 58. Parliament. Hift. vol. 1. p. 213, &cc. (10) Id. ibid, p. 330.

mally renounce the right of calling the proctors of the inferior clergy to parliament, but only connived at their absence, and permitted them to grant their money in their convocations, without mingling with the laity. Thefe convocations were commonly held at the same time, and in the fame city, with parliaments; and fo strict an intercourfe was kept up between these assemblies, that many things done by the clergy in convocation were reported in

parliament (12).

The union between the great barons and the knights of The effafhires, in their private confultations, was not very natural, bliffment as the former fat in their own right, and were account houses of able to none for their conduct, and the latter fat by electords and tion, and were certainly bound to have a particular con-commons, cern for the interests, and even some respect for the sentiments, of their constituents. The inconveniency of this appeared in the parliament which met at Westminfter October 13, A. D. 1339, and no doubt on other occasions. When the barons and knights of shires in that parliament confulted together, about an aid to be granted to the king, the barons were willing to give their tenth sheaf, fleece, and lamb; but the knights declined giving fo large a grant till they had confulted their constituents; which occasioned a delay very fatal to the king's affairs. This union between the barons and knights feems to have been dissolved about that time. For the king having called a parliament at Westminster April 23, A. D. 1343, fent sir Bartholomew Burghersh to ask their advice, whether he should make a peace with the king of France, under the mediation of the pope, or not? And fir Bartholomew, having proposed this question to the whole parliament, defired the prelates and barons to deliberate upon it among themselves, and also desired the dords and knights of counties and commons to assemble in the painted chamber, and confult about the same matter; formmond and both to meet in full parliament on Thursday May 1, and report their advice (13). On this occasion we find the two houses of lords and commons completely formed; the first composed of all the clergy and laity who held of the crown by barony, and were fummoned by parti-

<sup>(12)</sup> Hody Hift. Convocat. p. 412-431,

<sup>(13)</sup> Parliament. Hift. vol. 1. p. 251.

cular writs directed to each member; the fecond, of the reprefentatives of all the smaller barons, citizens, and burgesses: an excellent institution, which hath continued, with some short interruptions and small variations, through more than four centuries.

Happy effects of this establishment.

Jealous Check

This permanent division of the parliament into the two houses of lords and commons was attended with many advantages, and contributed more than any other event to the improvement of the constitution. Each of these houses consisting of much fewer members than the whole parliament, and thefe members being nearly of the fame rank in fociety, their deliberations were conducted with greater calmness and regularity. The commons, being no longer under the eye of potent and haughty barons, in whose presence they hardly dared to fpeak, took courage, and gradually acquired greater weight and influence. Every law underwent the examination of two diffinct affemblies, jealous of each other's power, and watchful over each other's conduct, before it was presented to the king for his assent. Each of the two houses was a check upon the other; by which neither of them was permitted to encroach on the privileges of the other, or on the prerogatives of the crown. a word, by this happy division of the parliament of England into the two houses of lords and commons, with the king at their head, the rights of all ranks of people were fecured, and the English constitution acquired the peculiar advantages of the three most famous forms of government, monarchy, aristocracy, and democracy, without their disadvantages. This is one part of the polity of England, which Scotland, to its unspeakable loss, never imitated.

Cradual union of the knights

It required a considerable time to bring the union of the knights of shires with the citizens and burgesses to perfection. Many years after they were united, the members of the lower house of parliament were constantly denominated, "the knights of shires and com-"mons;" and the former were reputed a higher order in society than the latter, who were really inhabitants of the cities and boroughs they represented (14). On some occasions, the knights of shires, having sinished their

business, were dismissed, when the citizens and burgesses were detained in order to lay imposts upon certain goods, and to regulate the affairs of trade, which was confidered as their peculiar province (15). That they might be properly qualified for doing this, this king, in his writs of fummons, fometimes directed cities and boroughs to elect fuch of their members to represent them as were the most expert mariners or most intelligent merchants (16). But by degrees all these distinctions vanished, and cities and boroughs were represented by gentlemen of the best families and greatest fortunes in the kingdom.

After the knights, citizens, and burgesses, were united Humility into one affembly, and formed the lower house of par- of the comliament, they treated the prelates and great barons, who formed the other house, with the greatest respect and deference, on all occasions, and feemed to entertain very humble thoughts of their own power and political abilities. When matters of great moment, or of great difficulty, came before them, they commonly applied to the lords, and petitioned, that certain prelates and barons might be allowed to come to them, and affift them with their advice (17). In these meetings of the commons with a committee of the lords, the nature and quantity of the supplies to be granted to the crown were ordinarily fettled, and afterwards reported in full parliament.

The parliaments of this period, in regulating the fup-Millake of plies, sometimes betrayed a degree of ignorance of the parliament. state of their country; which would be perfectly incredible, if it were not fo well attested as to preclude all doubt. The parliament which met at Westminster February 24, A. D. 1371, granted the king an ai. of 50,000l. and in order to raise it, imposed a tax of 22s. 3d. upon every parish, supposing the number of parishes to be about forty-five thousand. But it was soon found, that they did not amount to a fifth part of that number; and confequently that the tax imposed would not have raifed a fifth part of the sum granted (18): A most aftenishing mistake, to be committed by so numerous an as-

<sup>(15)</sup> Parliament. Hist. vol. 1. pasim.

<sup>(16)</sup> Id. p. 314.

<sup>(17)</sup> Id. p. 315.

<sup>(18)</sup> Cotton's Abridg, from the Parliament roll, 45th Ed. III.

fembly, composed of the greatest and most intelligent

persons in the kingdom!

Singular affembly.

The method which was taken to rectify the mistake abovementioned was also very fingular. Instead of reaffembling the former parliament, or calling a new one, the king fummoned a certain number of prelates and lords, together with one half of the knights, citizens, and burgeffes, who had been members of the last parliament, all named by himfelfin his writs of fummons, to meet at Winchester June 8th (10). This very remarkable affembly affumed the authority of a parliament, and raised the tax on each parish to 51. 10s. Such a measure would not have been thought of in a more mature and fettled state of government.

Lawyers excluded from parliament.

In the days of chivalry and superstition, when disputes were more frequently determined by the fword, or by ordeals, than by law, the profession of a lawyer was neither very lucrative nor very honourable, and confequently was embraced by few men of any probity and credit. This brought the profession into such disgrace, that practifing lawyers were declared incapable of being chosen members of parliament, by a statute, 46th Edward III. A. D. 1372 (20). But the gentlemen of that profession have long fince wiped off that reproach, and recovered their place in parliament, where many of them have acted, and still continue to act, a part highly honourable to themselves and advantageous to their country.

Change in of making laws.

When the house of commons was completely formed, the manner a new mode of making statutes was introduced. The commons, towards the conclusion of every fession, prefented, in the presence of the lords, certain petitions for the redrefs of grievances to the king; which he either granted, denied, or delayed. Those petitions that were granted were afterwards put into the form of statutes by the judges, and other members of the king's council, inferted in the statute-roll, and transmitted to sheriffs to be promulgated in their county-courts (21). But this inaccurate manner of making laws was attended with many inconveniencies; and the commons had too often reason to complain that the statutes did not exactly correspond with their petitions. They had still better reason to com-

<sup>(19)</sup> Brady, vol. 2. p. 161.

<sup>(20)</sup> Carte Hill. from Records, vol. 2. p. 482.

<sup>(21)</sup> Irale's Hiti, C. L. p. 14.

plain of Edward III. for repealing a statute by his proclamation, which had been made in confequence of their petitions which he had granted, on this very strange pretence,-that he had diffembled when he had granted their petitions, to avoid the mischiefs which a denial would have produced (22). In a word, though the constitution and form of the parliament of England was much improved, and its authority much increased, in the course of this long and glorious reign, it was still very far from that degree of perfection in both these respects to which it hath fince attained.

Many statutes were made in this period, which contributed not a little to the improvement of the common Statute law, and to the fecurity of the rights and privileges of laws. the people. The Great Charter was confirmed by no fewer than ten acts of parliament; and some articles of it were explained and enlarged (23). Several good laws were made for the speedy and impartial administration of justice, and against those dangerous associations which were then common, for supporting each other in their law-fuits (24); the king's prerogative of pardoning convicts, particularly murderers, which had been very improperly exercised, was limited by various statutes (25); the institution of justices of the peace was confirmed and improved, and their power enlarged (26); the intolerable grievance of purveyance for the king's houshold was mitigated (27). The statute of 25th Edward III. chap. 2. intitled, -" A declaration, which of-" fences shall be adjudged treason," is certainly a wife and good law. The fame may be faid of 4th Edward III. chap. 14, "That a parliament shall be holden every year once;" and of 36th Edward III. chap. 15, "That " pleas shall be pleaded in the English tongue;" and of feveral others, for the knowledge of which the reader must be referred to the statute-book.

Many of the laws that were made in the reign of Ed-tutes. ward III. and still stand in the statute-book, are become impracticable, and may be faid to be repealed by those prodigious changes in the state and circumstances of the kingdom, which four centuries have produced. Such are

Impracti-

(27) Id. ibid. p. 202. 206. 209. 261, &c.

<sup>(22)</sup> Statutes, vol. 1. p. 237. (23) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 192-333.

<sup>(24)</sup> Statutes, vol. p. 195. 199. 204. 210. 223, &c. (25) Id. ibid. p. 196. 218, &c. (26) Id. ibid. p. 195. 198. 240, &c.

the laws relating to the staple of wool and other goods,—the sumptuary laws prescribing the dress and diet of persons of different ranks,—the statutes which settle the wages of labourers and the prices of provisions; and many others (28). These obsolete impracticable statutes are valuable monuments of antiquity, and ought to be carefully preserved; but the propriety of retaining them in our code of laws, which would be sufficiently voluminous without them, may be doubted.

Common law.

It seems to be impossible to give a better or shorter account of the state of the common law in this period, than in the words of its learned historian: "King Edward III. suc-"ceeded his father. His reign was long, and under it the law was improved to its greatest height. The judges and pleaders were very learned. The pleadings are somewhat more polished than those in the time of Edward II.; yet they have neither uncertainty, proslikity, nor obscurity. They were plain and skilful; and in the rules of law, especially in relation to real actions and titles of inheritance, very learned, and excellently polished, and exceeded those of the time of Edward I. So that at the latter end of this king's reign, the law seemed to be near its meridian (29).

Preregatives of the crown.

Few attempts were made to deprive the crown of its just prerogatives in the reign of Edward III. The power of pardoning was indeed confined within reasonable limits by law, which, it is probable, was not difagreeable to the king; as it relieved him from importunate petitions, that were not fit to be granted. Parliament, in the fifteenth year of his reign, taking advantage of his necessities, made a bold attack on the prerogative, by demanding that on the third day of every fession all the great officers of the crown should be divested of their offices, and called to account for their conduct by parliament, and that if any of them were found culpable, they should be finally deprived of their offices and others substituted in their room. With this demand Edward found it necessary to comply, in order to obtain a large fupply of money, of which he flood in the greatest need. But he foon recovered the power he had loft, by boldly repealing this act

<sup>(28)</sup> See Statutes at Large, temp. Ed. III.

of parliament, to which he had given his affent, declaring in a proclamation, that his affent had been involuntary, and that the act in question was inconsistent with the prerogatives of the crown, which he was bound, by his coronation-oath, to maintain (30). Nor was this the only arbitrary unconstitutional action in the administration of Edward III. In spite of the Great Charter which he had often confirmed, and of feveral other laws, he frequently extorted money from his subjects, without the consent of parliament, by his own authority (31). All the remonstrances and petitions of the house of commons could never prevail upon him, clearly and explicitly, to relinquish that prerogative; for in the very last year of his reign, he affirmed, in the face of his parliament, that he had a right to impose taxes on his subjects, when it was necessary for the defence of the realm (32).

The hereditary revenues of the crown of England Revenues during the whole of that period which is the subject of of the

this book, were derived from those sources which have crown. been described already, in the third chapter of the third book of this work (33). Edward III. it is faid, received no less than thirty thousand pounds a-year from Ireland; and, in time of peace, it is probable he received also confiderable fums from his dominions on the continent (34). These settled hereditary revenues were abundantly sufficient for defraving all the expences of the civil government, and for maintaining the royal family in affluence and splendour; but they were far from being sufficient for supporting those long expensive wars which he carried on in France and Scotland. Those wars involved him in great debts and difficulties, and obliged him to make frequent importunate applications to parliament for pecuniary aids, as well as to employ feveral other methods neither fo just nor honourable. The lustre of Edward's personal accomplishments, and great victories, rendered him so popular, that his applications to parliament for money were feldom unfuccessful; and he obtained far more frequent and liberal grants than any of his predecessors (35).

<sup>(30)</sup> Statutes at Large, vol. 1. p. 237.

<sup>(31)</sup> Cotton Abridg. p. 17, 18, 39, 47, 52, 53, &cc. &cc.

<sup>(32)</sup> Cotton. Abridg. p. 152.

<sup>(33)</sup> See vol. 3. (34) Walling, p. 350,

<sup>(35)</sup> See Parliament. Hift,

enable us to form some idea of the value of these parliamentary grants, and of the fums of money which he extorted from his subjects by other methods, it may be proper to give a very brief account of them for one year. The parliament which met February 3, A. D. 1338, granted him one half of next fummer's wool, which was collected and fold for 400,000l. (36). About the fame time he feized all the money, jewels, and other goods, of the Lombard merchants in London; and took into his own hands all the revenues of the alien priories, and retained them twenty years; and borrowed great fums of money from feveral abbeys. That parliament granted also an additional duty of two shillings on every ton of wine imported. over and above all former customs. Another parliament met that same year in October, at Northampton, and granted a fifteenth, besides the pre-emption of all the wool in the kingdom at a very low price; and the clergy in convocation granted a tenth for two years (37). The people of England never had greater reason to complain of taxes than in this memorable year, in which their king assumed the title of king of France: an event that proved fatal to the peace and prosperity of both kingdoms, and, amongst many evils of which it was productive, contributed not a little to multiply and perpetuate taxes.

Title of duke introduced. About a year before Edward III. assumed the title of king of France, he introduced a new order of nobility, to instante the military ardour and ambition of his earls and barons, by creating his eldest son prince Edward duke of Cornwall. This was done with great solemnity, in full parliament at Westminster, March 17, A. D. 1337, by girding the young prince with the sword, and giving him a patent, containing a grant of the name, title, and dignity of a duke, and of several large estates, to enable him to support that dignity (38). This high title was also conserved by Edward on his cousin Henry Earl of Lancaster, and on two of his own younger sons, the princes Lionel and John, at different times, but with the same solemnities (39).

(36) Knyghton, col. 2570.

(39) Selden, p. 642.

<sup>(37)</sup> Parliament, Hift, vol. 1. p. 225—228. (38) Selden's Titles of Honour, p. 621. Rymer, tom. 4. p. 735.

AFTER the death of king Robert I. Scotland relapfed Conflituinto a state of disorder and distress almost equal to that from Scotland. which it had been rescued by the wisdom, valour, and good fortune of that illustrious prince. The competition for the crown between the Bruce and Baliol families was again revived, and the partifans of these families alternately triumphed and were defeated. King David Bruce. who finally prevailed in this long and fatal contest, spent above nine years of his reign an exile in France, and eleven years of it a prisoner in England. It is not to be imagined, that in this unfortunate reign, which continued forty years, any great improvements could be made in the laws and government of a country in fuch unhappy circumstances. Two capitularies or fystems of laws, which are faid to have been made in the reign of David II. are published among the ancient laws of Scotland (40); but there is good reason to suspect, that the laws contained in the first of these capitularies are not genuine, All amerciaments for delinquencies are by these laws appointed to be paid in cattle, and not in money, which was not the custom of Scotland in the fourteenth century (41). It is hardly to be supposed, that the parliament of Scotland in this period could be capable of making the following law: " It is statute by the king. "that if any man kills another man's dog unjustly, he " shall watch his dunghill a year and a day (42)." Some other laws in this collection are still more abfurd. The laws contained in the fecond of thefe capitularies feem to be genuine, and some of them are of the same import with English statutes of this period (43). But it is not probable that thefe laws were made, according to the title prefixed to them, "in a parliament holden at Scone, by king "David II. November 6, A.D. 1 347;" because that prince was then a prisoner in England, and a great part of Scotland had fubmitted to Edward Baliol. It feems to be impossible to discover with certainty at what time, and by whom, the four books of laws called Regiam Majestatem were composed and published. They are by many learned men afcribed to David II. chiefly for this reason, that

(40) Regiam Majestatem, p. 370-390. (41) Id. p. 370.

<sup>(43)</sup> Compare Regiam Majestatem, p. 382-390. with Statutes of Edward III.

they do not think it probable that they were fo ancient as David I. But this argument is evidently not conclufive; and the character given in the preface to these laws of that king, at whose command they were collected. cennot, with any regard to truth, be applied to David II (44). A collection of laws made by Robert II. in a parliament at Scone, May 2, A. D. 1372, are published among the ancient laws of Scotland (45). In these statutes, the distinction between murder committed with deliberate purpose, and manslaughter committed in a fudden gust of passion, called chaudmelle, is clearly marked (46): a diffinction founded in reason, and worthy of the most ferious attention of all criminal judges. In the feventeenth and last chapter of these laws the members of the parliament of Scotland at that time are thus enumerated and described :-- "Prelates, and procurators of pre-" lates, and others of the clergy, earls, barons, and burgesses (47)." From the same statute we learn. that the king, at the conclusion of this parliament, promiled, on the word of a prince, that he would observe all the laws that had been made in it; and his eldest fon, afterwards Robert III. and all the members of the parliament, both clergy and laity, took a folemn oath on the holy gospels to the same purpose (48): a sufficient proof that laws had not a proper degree of authority, when such a ceremony was thought necessary.

(44) See Regiam Majestatem, Preface.

(45) Id. p. 391. (47) Id. p. 398.

(46) Id. p. 391—395. (48) Id. ibid.

### SECTION V.

Changes in the Constitution, Government, and laws of Britain, from the accession of Richard II.A.D. 1377, to the accession of Henry IV. A.D. 1399.

THE constitution and government of England may not improperly be compared to a ship that hath been long at sea, exposed to many violent storms, and in frequent danger of being beat to pieces. Few of those storms were more violent than that which was raised by the villains or common people in the country, A. D. 1381, and threatened the subversion of all order, law, and government (1). But as the history of that dangerous commotion hath been already given, it is sufficient to observe in this place, that it made no change in the constitution, and that the peasants engaged in it were reduced to the same state of depression and servitude under which they had formerly groaned (2).

The parliament of England having undergone many Parliament, changes, and assumed various forms, about the beginning of this reign approached very near to that happy form in which it hath almost ever since continued. It then consisted, as it doth at prefent, of the two houses of lords and commons, which regularly met, and held their delibera-

tions in two distinct apartments.

The house of lords consisted of all the great men, both House of of the clergy and laity, who held immediately of the lords. crown by barony, which comprehended all the archbishops and bishops, many abbots, and a few priors, who were the lords spiritual; all the dukes, earls, and barons, who were the lords temporal. Every spiritual and temporal lord received a particular summons to every parliament (3). The justices of the king's bench and

<sup>(1)</sup> Walfing, p. 247—279. (2) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 352. (3) Dugdale's Summons, &cc. p. 293.

common pleas, and the members of the king's privy council, who were neither prelates nor barons, were also summoned in the same manner (4). According to this scheme, the house of lords, in the first parliament of Richard II. confifted of the archbishops and bishops, twenty-two abbots, and two priors, one duke, thirteen earls, forty-feven barons, and twelve judges and privy counfellors (5). A greater number of abbots and priors were fummoned to some parliaments than to others (6). To that of 40th Henry III. no fewer than fixty-three abbots and thirty-fix priors were fummoned; whereas not a fourth part of that number were called to feveral fubfequent parliaments in this period (7) The chief reafon of this great variation feems to have been this, that these prelates, in order to be relieved from the expence and trouble of attending parliaments, laboured earnestly to procure exemptions from that fervice, in which many of them succeeded. Those of them who could plead. that they did not hold their lands per baroniam (by barony) of the crown, were immediately exempted (8). king claimed and exercifed the prerogative of calling up to the house of lords, by a particular summons to each of them, fome of the most opulent and illustrious knights, though they did not hold their lands of the crown by barony; and fuch of these knights as were regularly summoned for a confiderable time, became lords of parliament, and barons, by virtue of these writs of summons. This honour was commonly continued to their heirs, who were fummoned to parliament in the fame manner (9). In this reign the custom of creating barons by patent was introduced, conferring upon the person so created, and his heirs-male, the honour and dignity of a baron by a certain title, with all the privileges of the peer-Sir John Beauchamp of Holt, steward of the household to Richard II. was the first baron in England of this kind, who was created lord Beauchamp, baron of Kidderminster, by patent, A. D. 1388 (10). At the conclusion therefore of this period the house of lords confifted of barons of three different kinds, viz.-barons by

Book IV.

<sup>(4)</sup> Dugdale's Summons, &c. p. 296. (5) Id. ibid.

<sup>(6)</sup> Selden, Tit. Hon. p. 596—604. (7) Dugdale's Summons, p. 1, 2, (8) Selden, Tit. Hon. p. 605—608. (9) Id. p. 591—610.

<sup>(10)</sup> Selden, Tit. Hon. p. 617; 618.

tenure,—barons by writs of fummons,—and barons by

patent.

The house of commons, confishing of the knights of House of thires, with the reprefentatives of cities and boroughs, was now fo completely formed, that it was found necessary to chuse one of their own members, at the beginning of every parliament, to prefide in their debates, and communicate what they thought proper, in their name, to the king and the house of lords. The member who was chofen to perform these offices was very properly called the speaker of the house of commons. Sir Peter de la More, knight of the shire for the county of Hereford, was chosen speaker by the commons in the first parliament of Richard II. A.D. 1377, and is the first upon record who bore that honourable office (11). At his first appearance before the king in the house of lords, at the head of the commons, he made the following protestation:. " That what he had to de-" clare was from the whole body of the commons; and " therefore required, that if he should happen to speak " any thing without their confents, that it should be amended before his departure from the faid place (12)." Sir James Pickering, the fecond speaker on record, made this humble request in the name of the commons, "That " if he should utter any thing to the prejudice, damage, " flander, or difgrace of the king or his crown, or in " lessening the honour or estates of the great lords, it " might not be taken notice of by the king, and that the " lords would pass it by as if nothing had been said; for 46 it was the most ardent desire of the commons, to main-" tain the honour and estate of the king, and the rights " of the crown, as also to preferve the reverence due to the lords in all points (13)." The king, by his chancellor, or some other great officer, made a speech at the opening of every parliament, reprefenting the reasons of calling it, the greatest of which commonly was,-to obtain a grant of money; and this, it was infifted, should be made before they entered on any other business (14). The fum to be granted, and the ways and means of raifing it, were commonly fettled in a committee of lords and

<sup>(11)</sup> Cotton Abridg. p. 155. Parliament. Hift. p. 339. (12) Id. ibid.

<sup>(13)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 350.

<sup>(14)</sup> Bilhop Ellys's Tracts, vol. 2 . p. 91 from the Records.

commons, and fometimes even by the lords, at the request of the commons (15). The clergy still continued to grant their own money in convocation, and treated every attempt of the parliament to tax them as illegal and unconstitutional (16). When the parliament at Northampton, A. D. 1380, proposed to raise one hundred thousand pounds, by a capitation-tax upon the laity, provided the clergy raifed fifty thousand, which was their just proportion, fince they possessed a third part of the kingdom; the clergy, who were then met in convocation at the same place, made this haughty reply, "That their " grants were never made in parliament, nor ought to be; and that laymen neither could nor should con-" strain them in that case (17)." When the supplies were fettled, the commons were permitted to prefent their petitions to the king in the house of lords, and such of them as were granted were formed into statutes, in the manner that hath been already mentioned (18).

Modesty of the house of commons.

The house of commons, even after it was fully established, acted with much modesty and diffidence, and feems to have flood in awe of the king and the house of lords. Of this many examples might be produced; but the following one will probably be thought fufficient. One Thomas Haxey, a clergyman, and a member of the house of commons in that parliament which met at Westminster January 22, A. D. 1397, proposed to the confideration of the house, a law for reducing the expences of the king's household, and preventing too great a number of bishops and ladies from residing at court. The king being informed of this propofal, was much incenfed; and fending for the peers, told them, that he understood there was a bill brought into the house of commons, intrenching upon those prerogatives and royalties which his predecesfors had enjoyed, and which he was determined to maintain; and commanded the lords spiritual and temporal to acquaint the commons with this determination, and to charge their speaker, fir John Bussy, upon his allegiance, to deliver up the bill, with the name of the person who had brought it into the house. When the commons re-

<sup>(15)</sup> Parl, Hift, vol. 1, p. 353, 360.

<sup>(16)</sup> Parl. Hist. vol. 1. p. 361. Hody's Hist. Convoc. part 3. p. 229.

<sup>(17)</sup> Parl. Hift. p. 361. (18) See p. 343.

ceived this message, they came before the king in full parliament, delivered up the obnoxious bill, with the name of its author, and expressed the deepest concern that they had offended his majesty; most humbly praying him to excuse them, " for that it never was their intent to " fpeak, show, or act any thing which should be an of-" fence or give displeasure to his majesty." The king was graciously pleased to accept of their excuse. But the house of lords condemned Mr. Haxey to die the death of a traitor. And this most cruel fentence would probably have been executed upon him, if he had not been a clergyman. But the archbishop of Canterbury, with all the other prelates, fell on their knees before the king, and most earnestly begged his life, and the custody of his bo-

dy; which they obtained (19).

Ch. 3. § 4.

The fessions of parliament in this, as well as in former Sessions of periods, were commonly very short, which was attended parliament with many inconveniencies. Laws were made in haste, short. without due deliberation; and affairs of great importance, which ought to have been discussed in parliament, were left to be determined by the king and his council. To remedy these inconveniencies, certain expedients were fometimes employed, which were productive of still greater evils. In the tenth year of this reign, A. D. 1386, the two houses invested a committee of eleven prelates and peers with parliamentary powers, and compelled the king to grant them a commission to exercise all the pre rogatives of the crown, in order to regulate certain affairs which the parliament could not overtake (20). By this measure the constitution was quite subverted for a feafon, and before it was restored, almost all who had been concerned either in opposing or promoting the above expedient, were involved in ruin. About ten years after a fimilar method was adopted, by the parliament that met at Shrewsbury January 27, A. D. 1398. On the last day of a session that had lasted only four days, the commons presented a petition to the king in the house of lords, to this purpose,-" That "whereas they had before them divers petitions, as well for special persons and others not read and an-" fwered, and also many other matters and things had been moved in prefence of the king, which for short-

<sup>(19)</sup> Cotton's Abridg. p. 362. (20) Parl. Hift, p. 401. VOL. IV.

nefs of time could not be well determined, that it would " please his majesty to commit full power to certain lords and others, to examine, answer, and dispatch the pe-"titions, matters, and things above faid, and all depen-" dencies on them (21)." As this parliament was entirely devoted to the court, this petition was readily granted by the king; and twelve lords and fix commissioners were invested with parliamentary powers; which they abused in fuch a manner, that they brought destruction both on themselves and on their misguided sovereign, who trusted

too much to their authority. So dangerous is it for a predominant party to grafp at unconstitutional powers, which they feldom fail to abuse to their own ruin, as well as to

the hurt of their country.

Statute law.

Many laws that were made in the reign of Richard II. have still a place in our statute-book; but the far greatest part of them have been as effectually repealed by length of time and change of circumstances, as they could have been by fifty acts of parliament. Of this kind are all the laws for regulating the prices of labour and provisions, as well as many others (22). Some very wife and good laws were made in this reign for the encouragement of navigation, trade, and commerce. By one of these laws it was enacted, that the merchants of England should neither export nor import any goods in any but English ships; which Navigation act may be confidered as our first navigation-act (23). Some good laws were also made in this reign for increasing the number, and regulating the proceedings, of justices of the peace (24). Such as defire a more particular knowledge of the statutes made in this period, may have recourfe to the statute-book, and the ingenious work quoted below (25).

Common law.

The common law declined rather than improved in this period. "Richard II. (fays an excellent judge) fucceed-" ing his grandfather, the dignity of the law, together " with the honour of the kingdom, by reason of the " weakness of this prince, and the difficulties occurring " in his government, feemed fomewhat to decline, as

<sup>(21)</sup> Parl. Hift. p. 492. (22) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 333-424-(23) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 251. 398. (24) Id. p. 380. 386. 398, &c. (25) Honourable Daine's Barrington's Observations on the Statutes, p.

<sup>242-282.</sup> 

" may appear by comparing the twelve last years of Edward III. commonly called quadrage [ms, with the reorts of king Richard II. wherein appears a visible de-

" clination of the learning and depth of the judges and

" pleaders (26)."

The barbarous disorderly custom of maintenance, as it Maintewas called, contributed not a little to diffurb the peace of nance. the country, and prevent the impartial administration of justice. Maintenance, which prevailed very much through the whole of this reign, is thus defined in a statute made in a parliament at Westminster A. D. 1377:-" Divers " people of small revenue of land, rent, or other possessi-" ons, do make great retinue of people, as well of ef-" quires as of others, in many parts of the realm, giving " to them hats, and other liveries, of one fuit by year, " taking from them the value of the fame livery, or per-" case the double value, by such covenant and assurance, " that every of them shall maintain other in all quarrels,

be they reafonable or unreafonable, to the great mif-

" chief and oppression of the people (27)."

The prerogatives of the crown, and the liberties of Prerogathe people, were both in a very fluctuating unfettled state tivesof the in the reign of Richard II. In the hurling times, as they crown. were called, towards the beginning of this reign, the infurrections of the commons threatened the diffolution of all government; -about the middle of it, a powerful combination of the nobles annihilated the prerogatives of the crown, and engrossed the whole power of the state; -and towards the end of it, the court-party gained the ascendant; and the weak unhappy Richard, supported by a junto of his favourites, invested with unconstitutional powers by an obsequious parliament, acted in a manner fo arbitrary and imprudent, that he lost the affections of his fubjects, and gave an opportunity to a bold usurper to deprive him of his crown and life. It is difficult to determine, in which of the above fituations the people were most oppressed, and the greatest acts of tyranny were perpetrated.

The hereditary revenues of the crown were now be-Revenues come quite inadequate to the expences of government, of the especially when the nation was engaged in war. This crown.

Aa2

<sup>(26)</sup> Hale's Hift. C. L. p. 169. (27) Statutes, vol. 1. p. 335.

obliged Richard II. who was uncommonly expensive in his household, to make frequent applications to parliaments and convocations for supplies, which were granted almost every year, and consisted, either in additional impositions on merchandise, or in tenths and fisteenths. A tax of a new and fingular nature was imposed by parliament, A. D. 1378. This was a capitation-tax, proportioned to the different ranks and degrees of men in society; and on that account it merits our attention. The

proportions were as follows:

A duke, 10 marks; -an earl, 41.-a countefs-dowager, 41.-a baron, banneret, or knight who had as good an estate as a baron, 21.—every bachelor and esquire, who by estate ought to be made a knight, 20s .- widows of fuch bachelors and efquires, 20s .- efquires of less estate, 4s. 7d.—widows of fuch efquires, 6s. 8d.—efquires without lands, that bear arms, 3s. 3d.-chief prior of the hospital of St. John's 40s .- every commander of the order, 20s .- every knight of the order, 13s. 4d .- every brother of the order, 3s. 4d .- judges of the king's bench and common pleas, and chief barons of the exchequer, each 100s .- every ferjeant and great appentice of the law, 40s .- other apprentices of the law, 20s .- attorneys, 6s. 8d.—mayor of London, 4l.—aldermen of London, 40s .- mayors of great towns, 40s .- mayors of fmaller towns, 20s. 10s. or 6s. 8d.—jurats of good towns, and great merchants, 20s .- fufficient merchants, 8s. 4d .- lesser merchants, artificers, and husbandmen, according to the value of their estate, 4s. 8d. 3s. 4d. 2s. 1s. 6d.—every ferjeant and freeman of the country, 6s. 8d. or 40d. the farmers of manors, parfonages, and granges, dealers in cattle, and other tradefmen, according to their estate, 6s. 8d. 4od. 2s. or 1s.—advocates, notaries, and proctors, who are married, shall pay as ferjeants of the law; -apprentices of the law, or attorneys, according to their estate, 40s. 20s. or 6s. 8d.—apparitors that are married, according to their estate, 3s. 4d. 2s. 1s .- innkeepers, according to their estates, 40d. 2s. Is .- every married man above the age of fixteen, for himself and wife, 4d.-every man or woman above fixteen, and unmarried, 4d.-every flrange merchant, according to his abilities (28).

THE

# HISTORY

O F

# GREAT BRITAIN.

# B O O K IV.

## CHAP, IV.

History of Learning in Great Britain, from the death of king John, A. D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D. 1399.

THERE feems to have been a fuccession of light plan of and darkness in the intellectual as well as the material the chapter, world. How bright, for example, was the funihine of the Augustan age? and how profound the darkness of that long night which succeeded the fall of the western empire? From that darkness Britain, and some other nations of Europe, began to emerge a little in the eleventh and twelfth centuries, as hath been made appear in the fourth chapter of the preceding book of this work. In the thirteenth and sourteenth centuries, which are the subject of our present enquiries, though the state of learning was sluctuating, and some parts of it perhaps declined a little; yet, upon the whole, the circle of the sciences was enlarged, and some of them were considerably improved. This, it is hoped, will be evident

from the following very brief account—1. Of the sciences that were cultivated.—2. Of the most learned men who slourished.—3. And of the most considerable seminaries of learning that were established in Britain in the present period.

#### SECTION I.

An account of the Sciences that were cultivated in Britain, from A. D. 1216, to A. D. 1399.

ALL the following fciences were cultivated in the present period, as many of them had been in the former, viz. grammar, rhetoric, logic, metaphysics, physics, ethics, scholastic divinity, the canon law, the civil law, the common law, arithmetic, geography, geometry, astronomy, astrology, optics, mechanics, chymistry, alchymy, medicine, and surgery. And as an account hath been already given of many of them, it will not be necessary to dwell long upon them in this place (1).

Grammar.

The grammar of the Latin language was not studied with so much diligence and success in this, as it had been in the former period. I know of no British writers of the thirteenth and sourteenth centuries who wrote such pure and classical Latin as John of Salisbury, Peter of Blois, Joseph of Exeter, and several others, who slourished in the twelsth (2). The improvement of the English language, and the more frequent use of it even by scholars, both in conversation and writing, might be one reason that the Latin was not studied with so much ardour as formerly. The impatience of the youth of those times to engage in the study of the canon law, which was then the high way to wealth and honour, was probably another reason that they did not employ a sufficient portion of their time, in the study of the lan-

<sup>(1)</sup> See vol. 3.

<sup>(2)</sup> Bulgi Hat. Univers. Parisieni. p. 556.

guages (3). But, whatever might be the reasons of it, the fact is certain, that the Latin used in the most celebrated feats of learning in the thirteenth century was exceedingly barbarous and ungrammatical. Robert Kilwarby archbishop of Canterbury visited the university of Oxford, A. D. 1276, and with great folemnity pronounced a fentence of condemnation against the following phrases, which were commonly used, and even defended, in that university: " Ego currit; -tu currit; -currens est " ego," &c. (4). Nor was this fentence of the primate, though enforced by very severe fanctions, sufficient to banish those barbarisms, or silence their defenders; for when his fuccessor archbishop Peckham visited Oxford, A. D. 1284, he found it necessary to pronounce a similar fentence against the same phrases, and others equally

ungrammatical(5).

When the Latin language, which was fo much used Greek, in churches, colleges, and courts of justice, and in com-Hebrew, positions of all kinds, was cultivated with so little care, &c. we cannot suppose that much application was given to the Greek, Hebrew, and Oriental languages. The truth is, they were totally neglected except by very few; and these few were strongly suspected of being magicians, who studied these unknown languages in order to converse more secretly with the devil (6). The famous Roger Bacon, who was unquestionably the most learned man of the thirteenth century, and the best acquainted with the state of learning, assures us, that there were not more than three or four perfons among the Latins in his time who had any knowledge of the Oriental languages. That excellent person most pathetically lamented this neglect of the languages, and warmly recommended the study of them by the strongest arguments (7).

When the knowledge of the languages was fo defective, rhetoric, or the art of pleasing, affecting, and perfualive speaking, could not be cultivated to great advantage. That part of education however was not quite neglected. Lectures on rhetoric were read in every con-

<sup>(3)</sup> M. Paris, an. 1254.

Oxon. 1. 1. p. 125. (6) Id. ibid. p. 130. P. 44--56.

<sup>(4)</sup> A. Wood, Hift. Univeri.

<sup>(5)</sup> Id ibid, l. 1. p. 127. (7) R. Bacon, Opus Majus.

siderable feat of learning; and such as excelled in it were advanced to the degree of masters or doctors in that art (8). The Dominicans, Franciscans, and other mendicant friars, studied the arts of declamation with no little diligence; because the success of their begging depended very much on the popularity of their preaching. Bederic de Bury, who was provincial of the Augustinians in England in the sourteenth century, was greatly admired by his contemporaries, and is celebrated by several authors for the eloquence of his preaching (9).

Logic.

Logic was one of the fashionable and favourite studies of the times we are now delineating; but unfortunately it was that quibbling contentious kind of logic which contributes little or nothing to the detection of error, the discovery of truth, or the improvement of right reasoning. It is impossible to give an English reader any distinct ideas of this wrangling art in a few words; and it would certainly be improper to employ many on fuch a fubject. It is fufficient to fay that the logic of this period was the art of disputing without end and without meaning; -of perplexing the plainest truths, and giving plausible colours to the greatest absurdities. A logical disputant of this period was not ashamed to argue, with as much earnestness as if his life had depended on the issue of the debate,-" That two contradictory propositions might both "bestrue (10)." If any of my learned readers have a taste for this kind of erudition, they may amuse themfelves with explaining the propositions in the note below, which were keenly agitated by the logicians of this period (11). These frivolous unintelligible disputes were conducted with formuch eagerness, that from angry words the disputants sometimes proceeded to blows, and raised dangerous tumults in the feats of learning (12).

(8) A. Wood, part 2. p. 4.

(10) A. Wood, lib. 1. p. 129.

<sup>(9)</sup> Bale Script. Brit. cent. 6, n. 51. Pits de Illust, Script, Ætat. xiv; n. 657.

<sup>(11) 1.</sup> Non est suppositio in propositione tam pro propositis de unitate fermonis, quam pro significato.

Signum non disponit subjectum in compositione ad prædicatum.
 Ex negativis de prædicato finito, sequitur, affirmativa de prædidicato infinito, sine existentia subjecti.

<sup>4.</sup> Veritas cum neceffitate prædicati tamen est cum existentia subjecti \*.

<sup>(12)</sup> A. Wood. lib. 2. p. 5.

<sup>\*</sup> A. Wood. lib. 1. p. 125. 129.

This trifling contentious kind of logic flourished first Much cultiin the university of Paris, and was brought from thence vated at into the English universities, where it was cultivated with too much ardour, particularly at Oxford, which became very famous in the thirteenth century for the number and fubtilty of her logical difputants. The decay of this admired art of wrangling was thus pathetically lamented by an affectionate fon of that university, towards the end of the fourteenth century :-- "That fubtile logic and beau-" tiful philosophy, which rendered our mother, the univerfity of Oxford, fo famous over all the world, is now almost extinguished in our schools. As India anciently gloried in her precious stones, and Arabia glo-" ried in her gold, fo the university of Oxford then glo-" ried in the multitude of her fubtile logicians, and in her prodigious treasures of profound philosophy. But " alas! alas! with grief I speak it, she is now hardly able to wipe away the dust of error and ignorance from

her countenance (13)."

The metaphysics and natural philosophy of this period, Metaphy-like the logic above described, were more verbose, con-sics and tentious, and subtile than useful. Instead of investigat-physics, ing the laws of nature and the properties of things, by fagacious and well conducted experiments, the natural philosophers of those times invented a thousand abstract questions, on which they disputed with great vehemence, and wrote many tedious and useless volumes. We may form some idea of the subjects of the disputes and writings of those philosophers from the propositions in these sciences which were solemnly condemned by archbishop Peckham, in his visitation of the university of Oxford, A. D. 1284; some of which the reader will find in the note below (14). These, and some other philosophical tenets

(13) A. Wood, lib. 2. p. 6.

(14) 1. Tot sunt principia quot principiata.

2. Nulla potentia passiva seu diminuta est in materia.

Forma corrumpitur in pure nihil, (cil. forma fubitantialis.
 Privatio est pure non ens, et ipia est in superco leitibus.

5. Conversiva est generatio animalium ficat elementorum.

6. Vegetațiva et fenfitiva femel funt in embryone, et nulla prior alia.

7. Omnes formæ priores corrumpuntur per adventum ultimæ.

3. Substantia, que est genus generalistimum, non est fin.piex nec composita.

tenets of the fame kind, particularly this one,—" Quod " in homine tantummodo exissit una forma,"—That in a " man there is only one form,"—appeared so dangerous to the good archbishop, that he not only condemned them with much solemnity, and subjected such as presumed to teach them to very severe penalties; but he also wrote an account of this important transaction to the pope and cardinals (15).

Experiments.

The very learned and ingenious friar Bacon laboured with great earnestness, both by his example and writings, to give a different turn to the enquiries of his contemporaries into nature, and to perfuade them to have recourfe to experiments; which, he observed, were far more convincing and fatisfactory than abstract reasonings. This he illustrated by a very familiar example: "Though it were proved (faid he) by fufficient arguments, to a man who had never feen fire before, that it burnt and " destroyed things that were put into it, he would not be fully convinced of this truth by any arguments, till 66 he had put his hand, or fome combustible thing into "the fire; which experiment would at once remove " all doubt, and bring full conviction (16)." This excellent person, as he assures us, spent no less than two thousand pounds (a great sum in those times) in constructing instruments and making experiments, in the course of twenty years; and it is well known, that by those experiments he made many discoveries, which have excited the admiration of all fucceeding ages (17). But the example and the arguments of this extraordinary man were little regarded by his contemporaries.

Moral philolophy. Moral philosophy was taught and studied in the schools, in this period, with no little diligence; but in the same dry, contentious, and sophistical manner with the other sciences. Many sums (as they were then called) or system.

<sup>9.</sup> Minimum in prædicamento generum est specialissima.

<sup>10.</sup> Tempus non est in prædicamento quantitatis.
11. Non est idem secundum subjectum toto tempore.

<sup>12.</sup> Non habetur ab Aristotele, quod intellectiva maneat post separationem \*.

<sup>\*</sup> A. Wood, lib. 1.

<sup>(15)</sup> A. Wood, lib. 1. p. 130.

<sup>(16)</sup> R. Baconi Opus Majus, p. 445. (17) R. Bacon in Opere Miaore, ch. 17.

tems of morality were composed, by the most learned schoolmen, confisting of various subtile distinctions and divisions on the feveral virtues and vices, and of a prodigious number of curious unnecessary questions on each of these divisions. For as the logicians of those times too frequently displayed their acuteness, by perplexing the plainest truths, and giving plausible colours to the grossest errors; fo the moral philosophers often employed all their art in explaining away the obligations of the most amiable virtues, and the turpitude of the most odious vices. For example, Nicholas de Ultricuria, à famous professor in the university of Paris, A. D. 1300, laboured, in his public lectures, to convince his scholars that in some cases theft was lawful, and pleafing to God. "Suppose (said " he) that a young gentleman of a good family meets " with a very learned professor (meaning himself), who " is able in a short time to teach him all the speculative " sciences, but will not do it for less than one hundred " pounds, which the young gentleman cannot procure but by theft, in that case theft is lawful. Which is thus proved.—Whatever is pleasing to God is lawful; "-it is pleafing to God that a young gentleman learn " all the sciences,—he cannot do without this theft:— "Therefore theft is lawful, and pleafing to God (18)." Some still more curious examples of this kind of fophistry might be produced, but they are too indelicate to be admitted into this work (19).

That species of theology known by the name of school-Divinity. divinity, which had been introduced in the former period, was cultivated with uncommon ardour in the thirteenth century, which on that account is called the scholastic age (20). In that century, many of the most celebrated schoolmen flourished, who were universally admired as prodigies of learning; and honoured with the pompous titles of profound, sublime, wonderful, seraphic, angelic

doctors.

The schoolmen of the former period made the scrip-Bible doctures the chief subject of their studies, and the text of tors, their lectures, as some of them still continued to do, who for that reason were called Bible-divines. But in the

(19) Id. tom. 3. p. 442.

<sup>(18)</sup> Bulzi Hift. Univers. Parifien. tom. 4 p. 311.

<sup>(20)</sup> Cave, Historia Literaria, p. 699.

course of the thirteenth century, the holy scriptures. together with those who studied and explained them, fell into great neglect, not to fay contempt. The Bibledoctors were flighted as men of little learning or acuteness; they had few scholars, and were not allowed an apartment, or a fervant to attend them, or even a stated hour for reading their lectures, in any of the famous universities of Europe (21). The illustrious Roger Bacon inveighed very bitterly against this abuse; and his excel-Ient friend Robert Great-head bishop of Lincoln, wrote a pathetic letter to the regents in theology in the univerfity of Oxford on this fubject; earnestly entreating them to lay the foundation of theological leagning in the study of the scriptures, and to devote the morning-hours to lectures on the Old and New Tellaments (22). But all these remonstrances and exhortations had little or effect.

Sententiaries.

The far greatest number, and the most famous of the school-divines of this period, were called Sententiaries; because they studied, read lectures, and wrote commentaries on that ancient fystem of divinity called the fentences, written by Peter Lombard archbishop of Paris (22). Some of the most celebrated of those sententiaries, as John Duns Scotus, Albertus Magnus, Thomas Aquinas &c. wrote voluminous fums or fystems of divinity, confifting of an incredible number of questions and answers on a great variety of subjects (24). Many of the schooldivines applied to the study of letters with uncommon ardour; not a few of them appear to have been men of genius, possessed of great fertility of invention, and of still greater subtilty and acuteness; but want of true taste, and a right direction in their studies, rendered both their genius and application in a great measure useles, if not pernicious. They indulged themselves in a bold, or rather prefumptuous freedom of enquiry, into subjects which are beyond the reach of human investigation; which betrayed them into fo many errors, that all the fingular, whimfical, and pernicious opinions, which have been propagated by modern freethinkers, are to

<sup>(21)</sup> A. Wood, Antiq. Oxon. l. 1, p. 53. (22) Id. ibid. p. 91, 92, f23) Cave, Hist. Liter. p. 667. Bulsi Hist. Univers. Parisien. t. 3, p. 657. §24) Cave, Hist. Liter. p. 527. 732,

be found in the writings of the school-divines of this period.

Ch. 4. § 1.

The fpirit of the school-divinity, which now reigned in History of all the famous universities of Europe, also took possession preaching. of the pulpit, in this period, and a new method of preaching was introduced, much more artificial than those methods of public instruction which had been used in former times.

The clergy, before this period, chiefly used two ways Postillating.

of preaching. The first of these was called postillating; and those who used it were called postillators. This confifted in explaining a large portion of scripture, sentence after fentence, in the regular order in which the words lay, making short practical reflections on each sentence. In this age, when it was usual to give every doctor a name expressive of his peculiar excellence, cardinal Hugo excelled fo much in this way of preaching, that he got the name of the authentic postillator (25). This ancient method of public instruction is still used in foreign churches, and in the church of Scotland, under the name of lectur-

The other ancient way of preaching was called declar- Declaring. ing; because the preacher, without naming any particufar text, declared what subject he designed to preach upon; beginning his fermon with words to this purpose: In my present sermon, I design, by the grace of God, to discourse on such or such a subject, on the fear of "God, for example; and on this subject, I design to " lay down fome true and certain conclusions," &c.

popular, and was not entirely laid afide for more than a century after this period (26).

The new method of preaching, which was introduced New meabout the beginning of the thirteenth century, differed thod of from both those ancient methods in several respects. The preacher, at the beginning of his difcourse, read a text out of some book and chapter of the Old or New Testament (which had lately been divided into chapters and verses by cardinal Langton (27), as the theme or subject of his fermon. This text he divided into feveral parts, by the help of that fubtile logic and divinity, which were

This last way of preaching was most common and most

<sup>(25)</sup> A. Wood, Antiq. Oxon. 1. 1. p. 58, 59. (27) Hen. Knyghton, apud Script. col. 2430.

then fo much in vogue; and the greater dexterity he discovered in splitting his text into many parts, he was esteemed the greater divine and the better preacher. Having thus divided his text, he formed feveral heads of discourse on each of these divisions; on which heads he defcanted, one after another, fubdividing them into many particulars. This new and artificial method of preaching was greatly admired, and generally practifed, by the younger clergy of those times. But it was no less warmly opposed and condemned by some of the most learned men of this period, who represented it to be,-a childish playing upon words, -destructive of true eloquence, tedious and unaffecting to the hearers,-and cramping the imagination of the preacher. Roger Bacon, in particular, speaks of it with great contempt and aversion; and affigns a very fingular reason for its gaining ground in his time: "The greatest part of our prelates " (fays he), having but little knowledge in divinity, and " having been little used to preaching in their youth, " when they become bishops, and are sometimes oblig-" ed to preach, are under a necessity of begging and 66 borrowing the fermons of certain novices, who have " invented a new way of preaching, by endless divisions 44 and quibblings; in which there is neither fublimity of " flyle nor depth of wisdom, but much childish trifling " and folly, unfuitable to the dignity of the pulpit. May "God (adds the zealous Bacon) banish this conceited " and artificial way of preaching out of his church; 66 for it will never do any good, nor elevate the hearts of the hearers to any thing that is great or excellent " (28)." The opposition to this new method of preaching continued through the whole of the fourteenth and part of the fifteenth century. Dr. Thomas Gascoigne, chancellor of the university of Oxford, tells us, that he preached a fermon in St. Martin's church, A. D. 1450, without a text, and without divisions, declaring such things as he thought would be useful to the people. Amongst other things, he told them, in vindication of this ancient mode of preaching,-" That Dr. Augustine had " preached four hundred fermons to the clergy and the 66 people, without reading a text at the beginning of

" his difcourfe; and that the way of preaching by a text, and by divisions, was invented only about

"A. D. 1200, as appeared from the authors of the first

"fermons of that kind (29)." But this new method of preaching by a text and divisions, which met with such violent opposition, and was introduced by such slow degrees, at length prevailed universally, and still prevails.

The fupreme authority which Aristotle obtained in the Supreme fchools of theology, as well as of philosophy, in the authority of Aristotle ia course of the thirteenth century, had considerable in-the schools. fluence on the state of learning, and even of religion, in this period. The name, and fome parts of the writings, of Aristotle, were known in England, and other countries of Europe, long before this time. But it was not till about the middle of the thirteenth century that he obtained that dictatorial authority among learned men, and in the most famous feats of learning, that he fo long maintained. About that time he began to be called the philosopher, by way of eminence. "He is preferred " (favs Bacon) before all other philosophers, in the " opinion of all men of learning; whatever he hath " affirmed is received by them as true and found philoso-" phy; and, in a word, he hath the fame authority in " philosophy that the Apostle Paul hath in divinity (30)." To fuch an extravagant height was this veneration for Aristotle carried before the middle of the fourteenth century, in some of the most famous universities, particularly in that of Paris, that students were obliged to take a foleran oath, to defend the opinions of Aristotle, of his commentator Averrois, and of his other ancient com-

mentators (31).

Several causes conspired about this time to exalt Aris-How obtotle to the supreme dominion of the ideal world. Latin tained translations of different parts of his writings were published, soon after the beginning of the thirteenth century, by Michael Scot, Alured English, William Fleming, and others; which made them better known, and more generally read, than they had formerly been (32). His logics had long been studied and admired, which procur-

<sup>(29)</sup> T. Gasc. Lex Theolog. apud A. Wood, p. 59.

<sup>(30)</sup> Bacon, Opus Majus, edit, a Jebb, p. 36. (31) Bulzi Hist. Univers. Parisien. tom. 4. p. 275.

<sup>(32)</sup> Bacon, Opus Majus, p. 36, 37. Biographia Britannica, 1st. edit. vol. 1. p. 342.

ed a favourable reception to his other works, especially from the scholastic divines, to whose taste and genius they were admirably fuited. Accordingly we find, that Albert the Great, Thomas Aquinas, John Duns Scotus, and all the most famous schoolmen who flourished in this period, devoted much of their time and thoughts to the study and illustration of the works of Aristotle; and that by the authority of these works they chiefly supported their feveral fystems and opinions (33). The court of Rome had formerly discouraged the study of Aristotle's works, because they had given rise to certain unprofitable abfurdities, which disturbed the peace of the church, without adding to the honours or riches of the clergy. Such, for example, were the errors of Amaury of Charters, which were condemned by pope Innocent III. and by the council of Paris, A. D. 1209; the council at the fame time condemning the metaphysics of Aristotle to the flames,-" because they had not only given rise to "the herefies of Amaury, by their fubtilties, but might give rife to other herefies not yet invented (34)." But the court of Rome having foon after discovered, that the fame writings which had ferved to give plaufible colours to idle unprofitable errors, might do the fame friendly office to more beneficial and lucrative abfurdities, changed its conduct, and recommended the fludy of Aristotle's works in the warmest manner (35).

Pernicious

It must be obvious, that this extravagant veneration to learning, for Aristotle, and blind submission to his opinions, could not but obstruct the progress of real knowledge; especially when it is considered, that very few of his admirers, in this period, were capable of reading his works in their original language, but became acquainted with them only in very faulty incorrect translations. affured by the illustrious Roger Bacon, that there were not above four persons among the Latins in his time who understood Greek; and we have good reason to believe, that even Thomas Aquinas, the most admired of all Aristotle's commentators, did not understand that language (36). The very translators of Aristotle's works

(85) Id. ibid. p. 705, 706.

<sup>(33)</sup> Cave, Hift. Literaria, p. 695-756. (34) Du Pin, Ecclef. Hist. Cent. xiii. chap. 8. Bruckeri Hist, Philosoph. edit. 2766. tom. 3. p. 689. 685. 801.

appear to have been a kind of impostors. Bacon affirms, that Michael Scot borrowed all that he published in his own name from one Andrew a Jew; "and as for William Fleming (fays he), every body at Paris knows,
that he doth not understand the Greek language,
though he pretends to it; and therefore he translates
every thing falsely, and corrupts the learning of the
Latins (37)." It is no wonder therefore, that the same
learned person declared, "that the time and labour employed in reading these wretched translations were lost;
and that if he could have got all the Latin translations
of Aristotle's works into his hands, he would have
thrown them all into the fire, as they were the great

"cause of the increase of ignorance and error (38)."

The civil and canon laws were studied in this period by Civil and many of the clergy, with uncommon ardour; because canon laws the knowledge of these laws not only qualified them for the lucrative employment of advocates or pleaders, but also procured them preferment in the church. "The civil and canon laws, says a contemporary writer, are in our days so exceedingly profitable, procuring both riches

" and honours, that almost the whole multitude of scho" lars apply to the study of them (39)."

Several other authors of that period complain, that young scholars were so impatient to engage in the study of those laws, that they neglected the study of languages, philosophy, and divinity (40). To remedy this abuse, pope Innocent IV. directed a bull on this subject to all the prelates of France, England, Scotland, Wales, Spain, and Hungary, in which he says, "That his ears had been stunned with reports, that great multitudes of the clerity, neglecting philosophy and theology, crowded to hear lectures on secular laws; and, which was still more abominable, that bishops advanced none to besence, dignities, and prebends, in the church, but such as were either advocates or professor of law. To put a stop (adds he) to this intolerable evil, I strictly command, by this irrefragable constitution, that no advo-

" cate, or professor of laws, shall enjoy any pre-emi-

<sup>(37)</sup> Biograph. Britan. 1st edit. vol. 1, p. 342.
(39) Rob. Holcot, apud A. Wood, I. 1, p. 160.
(40) M. Paris, Hist. Ang. an. 1254.

<sup>(38)</sup> Id. ibid.

" nence on that account, or be advanced to any ecclesi-" affical dignity, prebend, parsonage, or benefice, un-" less he be competently skilled in other sciences (41." To this bull his holiness added the following very remarkable clause:-" As in France, England, Scotland, Wales, 66 Spain, and Hungary, the causes of the laity are not " determined by the Imperial laws, but by certain fecu-" lar customs; and as they might be as well determined 66 by the canons of the most holy fathers; and as a mixture of those customs with the canons doth more hurt "than good; by the advice, and at the request of our " brethren, and other religious men, we command, " that in the aforefaid kingdoms those fecular laws or " customs be no longer taught or studied, provided the " confent of their kings and princes can be obtained " (42)." A modest attempt of his holiness to abolish the municipal laws of all those countries, and substitute his own canon law in their room.

Geometry.

Geometry, and other branches of mathematical learning, were much neglected in the period we are now examining, especially in the former part of that period. Of this the famous Roger Bacon frequently complains. "The " neglect of mathematics (fays he) for these thirty or of forty years past, hath done great harm to learning " among the Latins (43)." This neglect was fo great, éas he affures us), that very few students proceeded further than to the fifth proposition of the first book of Euclid's Elements; and that there were not above five or fix perfons then alive, who had made any confiderable progress in mathematical learning (44). The truth is, that mathematical studies, in those times, brought neither honour nor profit to those who engaged in them. On the contrary, those few who profecuted them with ardour and fuccess, were strongly suspected of holding a criminal correspondence with infernal spirits, and on that account were hated and perfecuted as magicians (45).

<sup>(41)</sup> Bulei Hift. Parifien. tom. 3. p. 265.

<sup>(42)</sup> Id. ibid.

<sup>(43)</sup> K. Bacon, Opus Majus, p. 57.

<sup>(44)</sup> R. Bacon, apud A. Wood, lib. 1. p. 122. (45) Delreo Difquifit. Magic. Naude Apologie pour les grands Hommes foupconnes de Magie.

Arithmetic is fo useful and necessary in the common af- Arithmetic. fairs of life, as well as in all other arts and sciences, that the attention paid to it is generally proportioned to the necessities of society, and the state of the other sciences. The Arabian numerals were known and used in Britain in this period, and the use of them contributed very much to improve and facilitate arithmetical operations (46). These operations are thus described by Roger Bacon: "It is necessary that a theologian excel in the knowledge of numbers, and understand all arithmetical operations, viz. numeration, addition, fubtraction, mediation, multiplication, division, extraction of the roots, both integers and fractions. He must not only understand vulgar fractions, as halfs, thirds, fourths, fifths, &c. &c. but he must also understand astronomical fractions, as minutes, seconds, thirds, fourths, fifths, &c. &c. because in chronological calculations he must have recourse to the motion of the " fun and moon, in which fuch fractions are of capital confideration. He must not only understand the " fractions of the Latins and Arabians, but also of " the Hebrews, who divide an hour into one thousand " and eighty parts. Befides, it is necessary for him to " understand the reduction of fractions of different kinds " into those of one kind. For if it happens that among " integers there are fractions of different kinds, as 7-5ths, "10-7ths, 20-8ths, &c. &c. he will not be able to ma-" nage these numbers properly, unless he understand how " to reduce these different fractions into one kind of frac-"tion, and so into integers (47)." The above description, it is probable, contains a fystem of the arithmetic of the thirteenth century, when Bacon flourished; to which very many valuable additions have fince been made. John de Basingstoke, archdeacon of Leicester, who had studied several years at Athens, brought the numeral figures of the Greeks into England, and taught the use of them, in the former part of this period (48). These figures may be feen, together with a description of the manner of using them, apud variantes lectiones, in Mat. Paris, edit. 1644.

<sup>(46)</sup> Wallis's Algebra, ch. 4. p. 9-14.

<sup>(47)</sup> R. Bacon, Opus Majus, p. 138.

<sup>(48)</sup> M. Paris, Hill. Ang. A. D. 1252. p. 559. sol. 1, B b 2

Geography. Greater attention was given to geography in the prefent than in the preceding period, both by princes and men of learning and curiofity. Lewis IX. king of France, fent a friar named William into Tartary, A. D. 1253, to explore that and other countries; of which he wrote a description. Pope Innocent IV. had about seven years before fent friar John de Plano Carpini into the same countries; who also wrote a description of Tartary, and of the manners and customs of its inhabitants (49). From converfing with those and many other travellers, and from reading every thing that had been written on the subject, the indelatigable friar Bacon composed a defeription of all the countries of Europe, Asia, and Africa, that were known in the thirteenth century; and whoever will give himself the trouble to peruse that description, will find it more extensive and more correct than he could have imagined (50). It appears that this extraordinary person had adorned and illustrated his description by a map, in which the latitude and longitude of places were afcertained by meridian and parallel lines, as in our present maps (51). But unfortunately this map is not to be found in any of the copies of our author's Opus Majus vet discovered. It is still more remarkable, that Bacon laboured with great earnestness to prove, that a much greater proportion of our terraqueous globe was dry land, and habitable, especially in the southern hemisphere, than was commonly believed; and that he endeavoured to prove this by the very fame arguments which determined Columbus, two centuries after, to go in quest of the new world (52). The following description of the state of astronomy in

Aftronemy.

England in the thirteenth century, drawn by the greatest aftronomer of that age and country will be more fatiffactory than any thing that can be faid on that fubject by a modern writer. "Aftronomy is the study of the hea-" venly bodies; by which their dimensions, distances, "motions, &c. are investigated. It is either speculative or " practical. Speculative aftronomy afcertains the number of the heavens and ftars, whose dimensions can be

<sup>&</sup>quot; comprehended by instruments; and discovers their

<sup>(40)</sup> R. Bacon, Opus Majus, p. 190, 191. 233.

<sup>(5 1)</sup> Ib. ibid. p. 180-236. (51) Id. Ibid. p. 186.

<sup>(54)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 184, 185.

figures, magnitudes, altitudes, densities, risings, set-"tings, and motions, together with all the varieties and "degrees of their eclipses. It even condescends to speculate concerning the figure and dimensions of this earth which we inhabit, and of its larger divisions, which are called climates, and shews the diversity of the horizons, and of days and nights, in each of thefe climates. By speculative astronomy all these things, and many others connected with them, are determined. "Practical aftronomy teaches us to discover the places, aspects, influences, and changes of the stars and plan-" ets, at any particular time. It attends also to those 66 bodies which occasionally appear in the air, as comets " and rainbows, in order to discover their places, alti-"tudes, magnitudes, figures, and many other things which it is necessary to know. These things are done 66 by proper instruments, by astronomical tables, and " by certain rules and canons invented for that purpofe. 46 All these investigations are intended to enable the " astronomer to pronounce a judgment on what things " can be done by the power of philosophy, not only on " matter, but on all beings connected with matter, and "guided by the influences of the heavenly bodies: as alfo, to pronounce a judgment on future events, as " well as on those that are past and present; and to advance wonderful works, for promoting the prosperity, 46 and preventing the misery, of mankind, in the most 66 beneficent and illustrious manner (53)." To the above description a developement or elucidation of its feveral parts, of no lefs than two hundred folio pages, is fubjoined.

The learned reader will perceive, that what is called Aftrology. practical astronomy in the above description, is no other than judicial astrology; which was more highly admired, and more ardently cultivated, in the middle ages, than any other part of learning. In this vain fallacious fcience friar Bacon was a great adept, and fo great a believer, that he imputed all the wars and other calamities which afflicted England, Spain, Italy, and other countries, A. D. 1264, to the neglect of astrology. " O 66 how happy had it been for the church of God, and

" how many mischiefs would it have prevented, if the " aspects and qualities of the heavenly bodies had been predicted by learned men, and known to the princes " and prelates of those times! There would not then 66 have been so great a flaughter of Christians, nor would " fo many miserable fouls have been fent to hell (54)." But it should be remembered, that this was the foible of the age rather than of the man; and that though aftrology was fallacious, the fludy of it contributed not a little to preferve and improve aftronomy.

Mathematical inftruments.

Astronomical instruments, particularly the quadrant, the astrolabe, and specula, or spying-glasses, are frequently mentioned by the writers of this period. The quadrant is well known, and in daily use. The construction and various uses of the astrolabe are fully defcribed by the famous poet Geoffrey Chaucer, in a treatise composed A. D. 1391 (55). The construction of the fpecula or fpying-glasses used by the astronomers of this period is not fo well known. There is however fufficient evidence, that they were applied to the fame purpofes, and answered the same ends, with our telescopes, which are thought to be of much later invention. "Specula, " or spying-glasses (says Roger Bacon), may be erected " on a rifing ground, opposite to cities or armies, in fuch a manner that all things done by the enemy may be discovered; and this may be done at any distance we please. For according to the laws of optics, an object may be viewed through as many glasses as we "think fit, if they are properly placed; and they may 66 be placed, fome nearer, and fome more remote, fo "that the object may be feen at any diffance we defire.—Spying-glasses may be so formed, and so pla-" ced, that we thall be able to read the finallest letters " at an incredible distance, to number even the dust and so fands, and to make the fun, moon, and stars, to defeend, or at least feem to descend, from heaven (56)." From these passages, to which several others might be added, it appears to be undeniable, that this learned friar was in possession of an instrument of similar use and

<sup>(54)</sup> R. Bacon, Opus Majas, p. 243. (55) Sec Chaucer's Works, edit. 1721. p. 439-451.

<sup>(56)</sup> R. Bacon, Crus Majus, p. 357.

construction with our telescope, though not, perhaps, so

neat and portable (57).

Ch. 4. § 1.

The science of optics was not known or taught in Eng-Optics. land till about the middle of the thirteenth century. We learn from the best authority, that no lectures had been read on that subject, at Paris, or at any other place among the Latins, except twice at Oxford, before A. D. 1267; and that there were only three persons then in England who had made any confiderable proficiency in that science (58). Friar Bacon was one of those three: and that he had made great proficiency in it, we have the clearest evidence still remaining, in his admirable treatise (De Scientia Perspectiva) of the science of perspective (59). In this treatise he hath explained at great length, and with wonderful perspicuity, the theories of reflected vision or catoptrics, and of refracted vision or dioptrics, as well as of direct vision or optics; and from these theories he hath deduced many useful inventions; and amongst others, that of reading-glasses, which are thus plainly described: " If a man view letters, or " other small objects, through the medium of a chrystal or glass, which is the lesser portion of a sphere, whose convexity is towards the eye, he will see the " letters much better, and they will appear to him lareger. This instrument is useful to old men, and to " those who are weak-sighted, because by it they may " fee the smallest letters of sufficient magnitude (60)." By his skill in catoptrics, he rivalled Archimedes in the constructing of burning-glasses. " I have caused many burning-glasses (fays he) to be made, in which, as in a mirror, the goodness of nature may be displayed. "Nor are they to be accounted too expensive, when we confider the wonderful and useful things they can perof form. The first I got made cost me fixty pounds of ee Parisian money, equal to about twenty pounds ster-66 ling: but afterwards I got a better one made for ten Parifian pounds, or five marks fterling; and fince I " have become more expert, I have discovered that better ones may be made for two marks, nay for twenty

<sup>(57)</sup> See Plot's Hiftory of Oxfordfhire, p. 215.

<sup>(58)</sup> A. Wood, Hift. Oxon. l. 1. p. 134. (59) Vide Opus Majus, .p. 256-358.

"fhillings, or even cheaper. But in this great attention "and dexterity are required (61)." In a word, there is the clearest evidence in the works of this wonderful man, that he was acquainted with the construction of all the different kinds of instruments for viewing objects to advantage, which have been so much admired as modern inventions (62).

Mechanics,

The study of mechanics as a science was introduced into England about the same time with the study of optics, and probably by the fame perfons. This much at least is certain, that friar Bacon had acquired so extensive a knowledge of the mechanical powers, and their various combinations, and had thereby performed fo many furprifing things, that he was suspected of being a magician. To remove that suspicion, he wrote his famous epistle, concerning the fecret operations of art and nature, and the nullity of magic (63). In that epifle he reprobates the use of magical characters, verses, incantations, invocation of spirits, and various other tricks, as criminal impositions on the credulity of mankind; and affirms, that more wonderful works may be 'performed by the combined powers of art and nature, than ever were pretended to be performed by the power of magic. "I will 66 now (fays he) mention fome of the wonderful works of art and nature, in which there is nothing of magic, and which magic could not perform. Instruments may be made, by which the largest ships, with only one man guiding them, will be carried with greater velocity than if they were full of failors.—Chariots may be constructed that will move with incredible rapidity. " without the help of animals; -instruments of flying " may be formed, in which a man fitting at his ease, " and meditating on any fubject, may beat the air with "his artificial wings, after the manner of birds;—a small instrument may be made to raise or depress the greatest weights: -- an instrument may be fabricated, by which one man may draw a thousand men to him by force, " and against their wills; -as also machines which will

(63) Manget, Dibliotheca Chemica, tom. 1. p. 616-626.

<sup>(61)</sup> R. Bacon, Opus Majus. Præfat. p. 9. n.

<sup>(62)</sup> Vide Ola Berrick, De Orta et Progressa Chemie, apud Manget, Bibliothec Chemic, tom. 1, p. 31. Ibid. p. 620.

enable men to walk at the bottom of feas or rivers without danger :- That all those instruments are made in

our times, is most certain, and I have seen them all.

but that for flying, which I have never feen, though I

am well acquainted with the wife man who invented 66 it (64)."

Another science which was introduced into England in Chymistry. the course of the thirteenth century, was chymistry, or, more properly, alchymy; for it plainly appears from their writings, that the great object which the chymists of this period had in view was, to obtain these two things:-I. An universal medicine for the cure of all discases, and for prolonging life beyond its usual limits; -2. The philosopher's stone, the powder of projection, or grand elixir, for transmuting baser metals into gold and silver (65). That both thefe things were attainable, they feem to have been fully perfuaded; and as they are evidently very defirable, they were most ardent and indefatigable in their offorts to obtain them; and to this must be ascribed the rapid progress of chymistry, and the prodigious number of chymists who flourished in this period. The famous friar Bacon, who was one of the most active and intelligent, as well as one of the most honest and communicative, of those ancient chymists, speaks with great confidence of the reality of a medicine which would answer both the purpofes of prolonging life and transmuting metals: "That medicine (fays he) which could remove all the impuri-" rities of baser metals, and change them into the finest gold and filver, could also remove all the corruptions of " the human body, to fuch a degree, that life might be " prolonged through many ages (66)." The two greatest princes who filled the throne of England in this period. Edward I. and Edward III. were great believers in the art of alchymy, and courted or pressed the most famous alchymists into their fervice. The celebrated Raymond Lully came into England on the preffing invitation of Edward I. and is faid to have furnished that prince with a very great quantity of gold for defraying the expence of

<sup>(64)</sup> Manget, Bibliotheca Chemica, tom. 1. p. 619.

<sup>(6:)</sup> Vide Manget, Bibliotheca Chemic.

an intended expedition into the Holy Land (67). Of this last circumstance Lully himself is silent; though he mentions feveral of his transactions in England, particularly the following very remarkable one; "You faw, O king! " in thy fecret chamber of St. Katharine, in the tower of London, that wonderful projection which I made in "thy prefence on chrystal, which I changed into a mass of the purest adamant (diamond), more precious than that which is natural, of which thou causedst to be made fome little pillars for the tabernacle of God (68)". The following curious proclamation was published by Edward III. A. D. 1329, which is a fufficient evidence of his belief in the art of alchymy:-" Know all men, that we have been affured, that John Rows and Mr. William de Dalby know how to make filver by the art of alchymy; that they have made it in former times, " and still continue to make it; and considering that these ee men, by their art, and by making that precious metal, may be profitable to us, and to our kingdom, we have commanded our well-beloved Thomas Cary to c apprehend the forefaid John and William, wherever "they can be found, within liberties or without, and bring them to us, together with all the instruments of " their art, under fafe and fure custody (69)."

Alchymy.

It is more than probable, that thefe two great princes. and the other believers in alchymy, were deceived, and in the end disappointed. But it cannot be denied, that some of the alchymists of the thirteenth century, as Albert the Great, Raymond Lully, and friar Bacon, were men of great fagacity as well as industry; and that, when they were engaged in the ardent pursuit of the grand elixir and universal medicine, they made many useful and curious discoveries, which would have excited the admiration of a more enlightened age. This is acknowledged by the most capable judges: "To speak my mind 66 (favs Boerhaave) freely, I have not met with any writers on natural philosophy, who treat of the nature of bodies fo profoundly, and explain the manner of changing them fo clearly, as those called alchymists. To be

65 convinced of this, read carefully their genuine wri-

<sup>(67)</sup> Ola Borrick, apud Manget, tom r. p. 44.

<sup>(58)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 28.

<sup>(69)</sup> Rymeri Fordera, tom. 4. p 384.

"tings; for instance, the piece of Raymond Lully, which he entitles Experiments; you will find him, with the utmost clearness and simplicity, relating experiments which explain the nature and actions of animals, vegetables, and sofsils; after this you will hardly be able to name any author wherein physical things are

" treated of to fo much advantage (70)."

It will be fufficient to mention one, out of many of Discovery their discoveries. Nothing can be more certain than that of gunpowfriar Bacon had discovered the composition of gunpowder, and the terrible effects it was capable of producing, both which he hath described in several parts of his works, though these things are generally supposed to have been first discovered almost a century after his death. In one place, he fays, - "Sounds like thunder, and corrufcations, may be made in the air, and even with greater " horror than those which are made by nature. For a " dittle matter, properly prepared, about the bigness of a man's thumb, makes a horrible noife, and produces a dreadful corrufcation; and by this a city or an army " may be destroyed in several different ways (71)." In the last chapter of the same treatife, concerning the fecret operations of art and nature, he discovers the ingredients of which this terrible thundering composition is made: " By faltpetre, fulphur, and the powder of woodcoal, you may make this thunder and corrufcation, if you understand the art of compounding them (72)." It is true, that in the original, the letters which compose the words carbonum pulvere (powder of wood-coal) are not placed in their proper order. But this is evidently done to prevent the art of making this dangerous composition from being commonly known and practifed, because he knew that it might be employed to very pernicious purpofes.

Medicine was confiderably improved in the period we Medicine, are now examining, which feems to have been owing to the following causes. Much greater attention was given to the education of physicians than formerly, and stricter rules prescribed for regulating the time and manner of

<sup>(70)</sup> Boerhaave's Chymistry, vol. 1. p. 200.

<sup>(71)</sup> R. Bacon de Secretis Operibus Artis et Nature, apud Manget, toni. 1. p. 620.

<sup>(72)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 124. Blegraphia Britan, art. Bacon. Freind's History of Physic, vol. 2. Append, No. 5.

their studies. By the laws of the famous medical school of Salernum, made A.D. 1237, and afterwards adopted in other feats of learning, the scholars were obliged to spend three years in the study of philosophy, and five years in the fludy of medicine, and then to be flrictly examined by two doctors of physic, before they could receive a licence to practife (73). The distinction between physicians, furgeons, and apothecaries, was now well underflood and much regarded; which could not but contribute to render them all more expert and skilful in their profesfions (74). The works of the most famous Arabian phyficians were now translated into Latin, and read with great avidity; by which the knowledge which thefe physicians had derived from the Greeks, as well as the difcoveries they had made themselves, came to be more generally known (75). And finally the introduction of chymistry must have contributed to the improvement of medicine, by furnishing physicians with tinctures, elixirs, and other chymical preparations, unknown to their predeceffors (76).

The clergy physicians, and some of the laity.

English.

The clergy still continued to teach and practife medicine; and the greatest number of physicians were of that order in this period (77). But some of the laity now began to make a figure in this profession, and a sew of them even commenced authors. Gilbert English, who flourished in the thirteenth century, is the most ancient medical writer of England, whose works have been printed. His learning and skill in medicine are greatly extolled by Leland and Bishop Bale; but Dr. Freind, who was a much better judge in matters of this kind, is more moderate in his commendations, and contents himself with saying,—"That he wrote as well as any of his contem-"poraries in other nations; and did no more than they did, if he took the bulk of what he compiled from the writings of the Arabians (78)."

John Gad-

John de Gaddesden was the next medical writer of England whose works have been preserved and printed. He

<sup>(73)</sup> Bulei Hift. Univer. Parif. tom. 3.p. 158.

<sup>(7 )</sup> Rymeri Fad. t. 5. p. 486.

<sup>(-</sup>e) Dr. Freind's Hiftory of Physic, vol. 2. p. 231.

<sup>(16)</sup> H. ibid, p. 250. (17) Annal, Dunstap, p. 167. (18) Bale, cett. 3, p. 256. Freiad, vol. 2, p. 268. Leland, p. 356.

flourished in the fourteenth century, and was educated in Merton college, Oxford (79). "Having acquired (fays "Leland) a thorough knowledge of philosophy, he ap-" plied with great ardour to the study of medicine, in "which he made so great proficiency, that he was justly " esteemed the great luminary of his age. He wrote a " large and learned work on medicine, to which, on " account of its excellence, the illustrious title of the Medical Rose was given (80)." Our author's Medical Rose is a very curious work, containing a comprehensive fystem of medicine as it was practifed in England in the fourteenth century. In treating of each difease, he gives, 1st. The etymology of its name, and a general description of its nature; 2dly, The fymptoms; 3dly, The prognoftics; 4thly, The method of cure (81). From this last part, which abounds in receipts, it plainly appears that the physicians of this period were not sparing of their drugs, and that their prescriptions were very complicated (82). It must also be confessed, that the methods of cure recommended by our author are fome of them very whimfical, and others superstitious. What can be more whimfical than the following treatment of a patient in the smallpox, immediately after the cruption? " After this, cause "the whole body of your patient to be wrapped in red " fearlet cloth, or in any other red cloth, and command everything about the bed to be made red. This is an excellent cure. It was in this manner I treated the " fon of the noble king of England, when he had the " fmall-pox; and I cured him, without leaving any "marks (83)." The patient whom he treated in this manner must have been either Edward III. or his brother prince John of Eltham. Can any thing be more fuperstitious than the following method of attempting to cure the epilepfy, which appears to have been recommended by all the most famous physicians of those times, as well as by our author? "Because there are many children and others afflicted with the epilepfy, who cannot take medicines, let the following experiment be tried, which " is recommended by Constantine, Walter, Bernard, "Gilbert, and others, which I have found to be effectual,

<sup>(79)</sup> A. Wood, lib. 2. p. 87. (80 (81) Vide Rof. Ang. paffim, edit. 1491.

<sup>(80)</sup> Keland, p. 355. 51. (82) Ibid. ibid.

<sup>(83)</sup> Id. p. 51.

whether the patient was a demoniac, a lunatic, or an epileptic. When the patient and his parents have fast-" ed three day, let them conduct him to a church. If 66 he be of a proper age, and in his right fenfes, let him " confess. Then let him hear mass on Friday, during "the fast of quatuor temporum, and also on Saturday. "On Sunday, let a good and religious priest read over 66 the head of the patient, in the church, the gospel which " is read in September, in the time of vintage, after the " feast of the Holy Cross. After this let the priest write the fame gospel devoutly, and let the patient wear it " about his neck, and he shall be cured. The gospel is, " - This kind goeth not out but by prayer and fast-"ing (84)." The truth is, that though John de Gaddesiden was at the head of his profession, consulted by the greatest princes, and celebrated by the greatest poets of his age, he appears to have been little better than an artful, interested quack, of some reading, and surnished with a prodigious number of receipts, which he had collected from all hands, and applied often more to his own advantage than to that of his patients (85). But it ought to be remembered, that the empirical superstitious practices of our author and his contemporaries were in a great measure owing to the general ignorance, credulity, fuperstition of the times in which they flourished. To the same causes we must impute the high reputa-

Royal touch.

tion of the royal touch, at this time, for the cure of the scrophula, of which archbishop Bradwardine, A. D. 1349, wrote in these strong terms: "Whoever thou art, O "Christian! who deniest miracles, come and see with thine own eyes, come into England into the presence of the king, and bring with thee any Christian afsisted with the king's-evil; and though it be very ugify, deep, and inveterate, he will cure him in the name of Jesus Christ, by prayer, benediction, the sign of the cross, and the imposition of hands (86)."

Surgery.

It feems to be impossible to give a better account, in fewer words, of the state of surgery in this period, than that which is contained in the following passage of a sys-

<sup>(84)</sup> Vide Rof. Ang. edit. 1491. p. 78.

<sup>(85)</sup> Chaucer, p. 4. col. 2.

<sup>(86)</sup> Bradwardine de Causa Dei, l. 1. ch. 1. p. 39.

tem of furgery, composed by Guido de Cauliaco, A.D. 1363: "The practitioners in surgery are divided into five sects.—The first follow Roger and Roland, and the four masters, and apply pultices to all wounds and abscreen and in the same cases use wine only;—the third follow Saliceto and Lansranc, and treat wounds with ointments and soft plasters;—the fourth are chiefly Germans, who attend the armies, and promiscuously use charms, potions, oil, and wool;—the fifth are old women and ignorant people, who have recourse to the saints in all cases (87)." John Arden, who removed from Newark to London in the time of the great plague, A. D. 1349, was the most famous surgeon and writer on surgery who flourished in England in this period (88)."

# SECTION II.

History of the most learned men who flourished in Britain, from A. D. 1216, to A. D. 1399.

IT hath been already observed, and must always be remembered, that "the laws of general history, and the limits of this work, will admit only of a very brief account of a few who were most eminent for their

" learning in every period (1)."

Robert Grouthead or Greathead, the very learned Robert and famous bishop of Lincoln, was born at Stow in Grouthead. Lincolnshire, or (according to others) at Stratbrook in Suffolk, in the latter part of the twelfth century (2). His parents were so poor, that, when a boy, he was reduced to do the meanest offices, and even to beg his bread; till the mayor of Lincoln, struck with his appearance, and the quickness of his answers to certain questions, took

<sup>(\$7)</sup> Guido de Cauliaco, apud Freind, vol. 2. p. 320. (\$8) ld. ibid. p. 323. (1) Vol. 3.

<sup>(2)</sup> Anglia Sacra, tom. 2. p. 326. Tanner, Bibliothec. Britan. p. 345.

him into his family, and put him to school (3). Here his ardent love of learning, and admirable capacity for acquiring it, foon appeared, and procured him many patrons, by whose affistance he was enabled to profecute his studies, first at Cambridge, afterwards at Oxford, and at last at Paris (4). In these three famous feats of learning, he fpent many years in the most indefatigable purfuit of knowledge, and became one of the best and most universal scholars of the age. He was a great mafter, not only of the French and Latin, but also of the Greek and Hebrew languages, which was a very rare accomplishment in those times. We are assured by Roger Bacon, who was intimately acquainted with him, that he spent much of his time for almost forty years, in the fludy of geometry, aftronomy, optics, and other branches of mathematical learning, in all which he very much excelled (5). Theology was his favourite study, in which he read lectures at Oxford, with great applause (6). In the mean time, he obtained several preferments in the church, and was at length elected and confecrated bishop of Lincoln, A. D. 1235 (7). In this station he foon became very famous, by the purity of his manners, the popularity of his preaching, the rigour of his discipline, and the boldness with which he reproved the vices and opposed the arbitrary mandates, of the court of Rome; of this last it may be proper to give one example. Pope Innocent IV. had granted to one of his own nephews named Frederick, who was but a child, a provision to the first canon's place in the church of Lincoln that should become vacant; and fent a bull to the archbishop of Canterbury, and Innocent, then papal legate in England, commanding them to fee the provision made effectual; which they transmitted to the bishop of Lincoln. But that brave and virtuous prelate boldly refused to obey this unreasonable mandate, and sent an answer to the papal bull, containing the following fevere reproaches against his holiness, for abusing his power: " If we ex-66 cept the fins of Lucifer and Antichrist, there neither

Book IV.

<sup>(3)</sup> Ang. Sacra. p. 328, 329.
(4) Id. p. 330. Tanner, Bibliothec. Britan. p. 345, 346. A. Wood, Hilt. Oxon. I. 1. p. 82.

<sup>(5)</sup> R. Bacon, apud A. Wood Hift. Oxon. 1. 1. p. 82. (6.) Id. ibid.

<sup>(7)</sup> Tanner, p. 346. M. Paris, ann. 1235. p. 280.

is nor can be a greater crime, nor any thing more contrary to the doctrine of the gospel, or more odious and abominable in the fight of Jesus Christ, than to ruin and destroy the fouls of men, by depriving them of the fpiritual aid and ministry of their pastors. This crime is committed by those who command the benefices intended for the support of able pastors, to be bestowed on those who are incapable of performing the duties of the pastoral office. It is impossible therefore that the holy apostolic see, which received its authority from the Lord Jesus Christ, for edification, and not of for destruction, can be guilty of such a crime, or any thing approaching to fuch a crime, fo hateful to God. " and fo hurtful to men. For this would be a most " manifest corruption and abuse of its authority, which would forfeit all its glory, and plunge it into the pains of hell (8)." Upon hearing this letter, his holiness became frantic with rage, poured forth a torrent of abuse against the good bishop, and threatened to make him an object of terror and aftonishment to the whole world. "How dare (faid he) this old, deaf, doating fool, difobey my commands? Is not his mafter the king of Eng-" land my fubject, or rather my flave? Cannot he cast "him into prison, and crush him in a moment?" But the cardinals by degrees brought the pope to think more calmly, and to take no notice of this letter. "Let us not (faid they) raife a tumult in the church, without ne-" ceffity, and precipitate that revolt and feparation from " us, which we know must one day take place (9)." Remarkable words, when we reflect when and by whom they were fpoken! Bishop Grouthead did not long survive this noble stand Death and

against the gross corruptions and tyranny of the church of character. Rome: for he fell fick at his castle of Bugden that same year; and when he became fensible that his death was drawing near, he called his clergy into his apartment, and made a long discourse to them, to prove that the reigning pope Innocent IV. was antichrift. With this exertion his strength and spirits were so much exhausted, that he expired foon after, October 9, A. D. 1253 (10).

(8) M. Paris, Hift. Angl. p. 583 ann. 1253. (10) Id. ibid. p. 586.

(9) Id. ibid.

A contemporary historian, who was perfectly well acquainted with him, hath drawn his character in the following manner: "He was a free and bold repriman-"der of the pope and the king,—an admonisher of the prelates, -- a corrector of the monks; -- an instructor of "the clergy,-a supporter of the studious,-a censurer of "the incontinent,—a scourge and terror to the court of "Rome,—a diligent fearcher of the scriptures,—and a " frequent preacher to the people. At his table he was 66 hospitable, polite, and cheerful. In the church he was " contrite, devout, and folemn: and in performing all the "duties of his office he was venerable, active, and inde-" fatigable (11)." The illustrious Roger Bacon, whowas most capable, and had the best opportunities, of for ming a true judgment of the extent of his learning, by perusing his works, and by frequently conversing with him, hath given this honourable testimony in his favour: "Robert Grouthead bishop of Lincoln, and his friend friar Adam de Marisco, are the two most learned men " in the world, and excel all the rest of mankind both in " divine and human knowledge (12)."

This most excellent and learned prelate was a very voluminous writer, and composed a prodigious number of treatises on a great variety of subjects, in philosophy and divinity, a catalogue of which may be seen in the works

quoted below (13).

Roger Bacon. Though Roger Bacon was too modest to except himfelf when he gave the above character for superiority in learning to his patron Robert Grouthead, and his friend Adam de Marisco; it is very certain, that he was superior to them both, and to all his contemporaries, in genius, industry, and erudition. This extraordinary man was bern near Ilchester, A. D. 1214, and at a proper age was sent to Oxford, where he prosecuted his studies with so much ardour and success, that he gained the friendship and patronage of the greatest men in that university (14). Having spent some years at Oxford in the study of the languages, logic, and other branches of

(11) M. Paris, Hift. Angl. p. 586.

(12) R. Facon, apud Angl. Sacr. tom. 2. p. 344.

(13) R. Bacon, apud Angl. Sacr. tom. 2. p. 344. Baleus de Script. Britan. p. 304, &c.

(:4) A. Wood, Antiq. Oxon. l. 1. p. 136. Leland. de Script. Britantom. 2. p. 1.

philosophy,

philosophy, he removed, according to the custom of those times, to Paris, where he foon became famous for his uncommon proficiency in all the sciences (15). Though he was much admired and careffed at that univerfity, where many of the most ingenious men in Europe then refided, he returned into his native country A. D. 1240, being then about twenty-fix years of age (16). As the love of learning was his ruling pathon, he fettled at Oxford, and entered into the Franciscan order of monks in that city, that he might profecute his studies in tranquillity and with advantage.

Our Bacon foon abandoned the beaten track which was Manner in purfued by the scholars of that period, who spent their which he time in the study of very faulty translations of the works of Aristotle, and in reading commentaries on those works which had been written by men who did not well understand the original language. That he might not mispend his time in the fame manner, he made himself a perfect master of the Greek tongue. Not contented with this, he applied directly to the study of nature, and engaged in a course of laborious, expensive, and well-conducted experiments, as the only means of arriving at certainty and of making ufeful discoveries (17). By the generofity of his friends and patrons he was enabled to expend on those experiments, in twenty years, no less a sum than two thousand pounds, equal in weight of filver to fix thousand pounds, and in efficacy to thirty thousand pounds, of our money at prefent (18). This was indeed a great fum; but no money was ever better employed: for in the courfe of those experiments he made a greater number of ufeful and furprifing discoveries in geometry, aftronomy, physics, optics, mechanics, and chymistry, than ever were made by one man in an equal space of time.

But the world was long deprived of the advantage, and His suffer-Bacon of the honour, of those discoveries, by the igno-ings. rance, envy, and malice of the monks of his order. For believing, or pretending to believe, that he was a magician, and held a criminal intercourse with infernal spirits, they put him under close confinement, and prohibited him

<sup>(15)</sup> A. Wood, Antiq. Oxon. l. 1. p. 136.

<sup>(16)</sup> Ouden de Script. Eccles. tom. 3. p. 191. (17) Bacon, Opus Majus, p. 445, &c.

<sup>(18)</sup> A. Wood, Hift. Oxon. 1. 1. p. 136.

from fending any of his writings out of his monastery, except to the pope (19). In this confinement he languished several years; till having sent a copy of his Opus Majus to pope Clement IV. A. D. 1266, that pontiff procured him some mitigation of his sufferings, if not his full liberty (20). But he did not very long enjoy that relaxation, as he was again imprisoned by Jerom de Esculo, general of the Franciscan order, A. D. 1278; because his works, it was pretended, contained fome fufpected novelties (21). In this fecond confinement Bacon continued about eleven or twelve years, when he was fet at liberty by pope Nicholas IV. at the earnest request of several noblemen (22). Though he was now old, and no doubt much broken by his long and cruel fufferings, he still continued to profecute his studies, by polishing his former works, and composing new ones, till death put an end to all his calamities, and all his labours, at Oxford, June 11, A. D. 1292 (23).

His difcoveries.

We cannot but lament that friar Bacon met with fo many difcouragements in the pursuit of useful knowledge. If he had lived in better times, or if he had even been permitted to profecute that course of inquiries and experiments in which he engaged after his return from Paris, it is highly probable that the world would have had many valuable discoveries that are still unknown. An excellent modern writer having enumerated fome of Bacon's difcoveries, viz.-his difcovery of the exact length of the folar year, and a method of correcting all the errors in the kalendar; -his discovery of the art of making reading-glasses, the camera obscura, microscopes, telescopes, and various other mathematical and aftronomical inftruments; -his discovery of gunpowder, of the method of making elixirs, tinctures, folutions, and of performing all the chymical operations that are now in use;—his difcoveries of the nature of the mechanical powers, and of the best methods of applying and combining them in the construction of machines for performing many useful and furprifing operations;—his discoveries in medicine, for

<sup>(19)</sup> R. Bacon, Epift. ad Clement IV. apud Biograph. Britan. vol. 1. p. 343. (20) Id. ibid. p. 345.

<sup>(21)</sup> Wadding, Annal, Frat. Minor, tom. 2, p. 449. Spondan, Annal, A. D. 1278.

<sup>(22)</sup> A. Wood, Hift, Oxon. l. 1, p. 79,

<sup>(23)</sup> Id. ibid.

curing diseases, and prolonging life;—this writer, I say, having enumerated these discoveries, proceeds in the following manner: "These are wonderful discoveries for a man to make, in so ignorant an age, who had no master to teach him, but struck it all out of his own brain: but it is still more wonderful, that such discoveries should lie so long concealed, till in the next such ceeding centuries other people should start up, and lay claim to the merit of these very inventions, to which

" Bacon alone had a right (24)."

According to Leland, Bale, and other literary histo-His writrians, the writings of friar Bacon were very nume-ings.
rous (25). But it plainly appears, that these writers
have divided one work into many, and, by multiplying
titles, have represented them as much more numerous
than they really were (26). It is to be hoped, that some
man of learning, leisure, and industry, and placed in favourable circumstances, will soon arise, who, by employing his time in collecting, arranging, and publishing all
the genuine works of the illustrious Roger Bacon, will
do honour to his country, and justice to the memory of
one of the greatest men it ever produced.

Michael Scot of Balwirie was born in the last years of Michael the twelfth, or the first of the thirteenth century, at the Scot. Seat of his family, in the county of Fise in Scotland (27). Having received the first part of his education in his native country, he was sent to Oxford, where many of the Scotlish youth in those times prosecuted their studies. How long our author continued at Oxford, is unknown; but, according to the custom of other lovers of learning, he went from thence to Paris, where he obtained the highest academical honours, and the title of the Mathematician among the learned, and of the Magician among the vulgar (28). The same of his learning procured him an invitation from the emperor Frederick II. who was by far the most learned prince in Europe, and the greatest encourager and patron of learned men that flourished in

<sup>(24)</sup> Dr. Freind's Hiftory of Physic, vol. 2. p. 239, edit. 4.

<sup>(25)</sup> Leland de Script, Britan. tom. 2. p. 258. Bale, Script, Britan. cent. 4. p. 342.

cent. 4. p. 342.
(26) See Biograph, Britan. Life of R. Bacon.

<sup>(27)</sup> Dempster, lib. 12. p. 494.

<sup>(28)</sup> Bulei Hift. Univers. Paris. tom, 3. p. 701. Bale, de Script. Britan. cent. 4. p. 351.

the thirteenth century. One of the literary projects of that excellent prince was, to procure Latin translations of the works of Aristotle, and of the other philosophers and physicians of Greece; and in the execution of this project, Michael Scot was employed during some part of the time that he resided at the Imperial court. For this task he was believed to be better qualified than many other scolars, by his knowledge of Aristotle's philosophy, and of the Greek and Arabic languages. Accordingly we are told by friar Bacon, that the translations of the phyfical and mathematical works of Aristotle, and of his best commentators, that were published by Michael Scot A. D. 1230, were the cause of the high admiration and fupreme authority which that philosopher obtained among the Latins after that period (29). These translations our author dedicated to his illustrious patron the emperor Frederick II. at whose desire they had been undertaken and executed.

His studies. Michael Scot, like many of his contemporaries, spent too much of his time and thought in the study of astrology. On this vain fallacious fcience he compofed a very voluminous work, at the command of the fame emperor, to whom he was aftrologer; an office which was in those times both lucrative and honourable (30). He was also keenly engaged in the study of alchymy, or the transmutation of metals; and wrote a book on the nature of the fun and moon, which, in the mystical language of alchymists, fignify gold and filver (31). Influenced by the prevailing tafte of the times in which he flourished, he even applied to the still more frivolous studies of chiromancy and physicgnomy, which pretend to teach the art of discovering the dispositions and fortunes of men, by the lines of their hands and features of their faces. In a word, the following character of this author, drawn by one who had studied his works, feems to be very just: "He was one of the greatest philosophers, mathematicians, physicians, and linguists of his age, and, had he not been too much 44 addicted to the vain studies of judicial astrology, alchyof my, physiognomy, and chiromancy, he would have

se deserved better of the republic of letters. His too 66 great curiofity in these matters made the vulgar look

<sup>(29)</sup> R. Bacon, Opus Majus, p. 36, 37.

<sup>(30)</sup> Tanner de Script. Aug. p. 526. (31) Mackeuzie's Lives of Scots Writers, vol. 1. p. 211.

" upon him as a magician; though none speaks or writes " more respectfully of God and religion than he does " (32)." So strong were the convictions of his countrymen that he was a magician, that Dempster assures us, many people in Scotland in his time dared not fo much as to touch his works (33).

After the death of his illustrious patron, the emperor His death, Frederick II. A. D. 1250, our author returned into

Britain, where he is faid to have lived to a very great

age, and to have died A. D. 1290 (34).

John Duns Scotus was fo famous for his genius and John Dune learning, that England, Scotland, and Ireland, have Scotus. contended for the honour of his birth (35). This controversy I shall not take upon me to determine; though his name feems to favour the opinion, that he was born at Duns in Berwickshire, or the Merse, in Scotland (36). The precise time of his birth is also unknown; but from feveral circumstances it appears most probable, that it was about A. D. 1265. He entered, when he was very young, into a monastery of the Franciscans at Newcastle; who, discovering the quickness of his genius, fent him to Merton college in Oxford, to profecute his fludies (37). In this famous feat of learning, our young scholar foon became conspicuous by the rapidity and facility with which he advanced in the acquisition of all the sciences. In particular, he greatly excelled all his contemporaries in the admired art of logical disputation, by the quickness and subtilty of his distinctions, and the fecundity of his invention. He made great progress in natural and moral philosophy, and in all the different branches of mathematical learning; after which he applied to the study of the civil and canon law, and schooldivinity (38). When our author had for some time enjoyed a fellowship in his own college, he was advanced to the theological chair in the university A. D. 1301: a station for which he was admirably fitted, and in which he had opportunity of displaying, to great advantage, the immenses fores of

<sup>(32)</sup> Mackenzie's Lives of Scots Writers, vol. 1. p. 214.

<sup>(33)</sup> Tanner, p. 526. (34) Bale, cent. 4. p. 352. (35) Du Pin, cent. 14. p. 52. (36) Mackenzie's Lives, vol. 1. p. 215.

<sup>(37)</sup> Bruckeri Hift. Philof. tom. 3. p. 826.

<sup>(38)</sup> Bruckeri Hist. Philos, tom. 3. p. 826. Cave Hist. Lit. Append. p. 2.

learning which he had amassed. Accordingly we are told that his lectures on the sentences of Peter Lombard were attended by incredible multitudes of hearers, and received with great applause. For at the time when these lectures were delivered, we are assured, that there were no fewer than thirty thousand students in the university of Oxford, of whom many were attracted by the same of our professor's eloquence and learning (39). These admired lectures have been printed, and, together with some comments upon them, fill six solio volumes (40).

Removes to Paris.

Oxford was not long permitted to enjoy the advantage of fo popular a professor. For he was commanded by the general of his order, A. D. 1304, to remove to Paris, to defend his doctrine of the immaculate conception of the Virgin Mary, which was impugned by the divines of that city. This he performed with great applause, in an affembly of the university of Paris, called for the determination of that important question. The adversaries of the immaculate conception collected all their force on this eccasion, and produced no fewer, it is faid, than two hundred objections to that doctrine. "Scotus heard " them with great composure; and in his reply, he " recapitulated all their objections, and refuted them with as much eafe as Sampson broke the cords of the 66 Philistines; after which he proved, by many strong arguments, to the amazement and conviction of all his hearers, that the most holy Virgin was conceived " without the frain of original fin. The university of " Paris bestowed on him the title of the subtile Doctor, as " a reward for his victory in this famous dispute (41)." One of this illustrious affembly, who was a stranger to the person, but not to the same, of Scotus, was so much charmed, that he cried out,-" This is either an angel " from heaven, a devil from hell, or John Duns « Scotus (42)."

Removes to

When Scotus had continued about four years at Paris, he was fent by Gonsalvo, the general of the Franciscan order, to Cologne, A. D. 1308, to found an university

<sup>(39)</sup> Bruckeri Hift. Philof. tom. 3. p. 826. A. Wood, l. 1. p. 80. Cave, Append. ad Hift. Lit. p. 2.

<sup>(40)</sup> Du Pin, cent. 14. chap. 5.

<sup>(41)</sup> Bulæi Hist. Univ. Paris. tom. 4. p. 70: (42) Hago Cavillus in Vita J. Duns Secti.

in that city, in imitation of that of Paris, and to defend his favourite doctrine of the immaculate conception against the disciples of Albert the Great (43). He met with a most honourable reception at Cologne; but died soon after his arrival, November 8, A. D. 1308, in his forty-fourth year, or, according to some historians, only in the thirty-fourth year of his age (44).

Few men of learning have been fo much admired by Praised.

their contemporaries, or loaded with fuch extravagant praifes by their followers, who from him were called Scotists, as John Duns Scotus. It may not be improper to give one example of the pompous strain of these panegyrics: " He was fo confummate a philosopher, that " he could have been the inventor of philosophy, if it " had not before existed. His knowledge of all the mys-"teries of religion was fo profound and perfect, that it " was rather intuitive certainty than belief. He de-" fcribed the divine nature as if he had feen God; "the attributes of celestial spirits, as if he had been an " angel; -the felicities of a future state, as if he had " enjoyed them; - and the ways of providence, as if he " had penetrated into all its fecrets. He wrote fo many 66 books, that one man is hardly able to read them, and " no one man, is able to understand them. He would " have written more, if he had composed with less care " and accuracy. Such was our immortal Scotus, the " most ingenious, acute, and fubtile, of the sons of " men (45)." It is related of him, that he fometimes fell into fuch profound meditations, that he remained feveral hours motionless, and insensible to all external objects (46). In a word, it may be affirmed, without exaggeration, that few men ever possessed a more fertile invention, a more retentive memory, a more acute and penetrating genius, or a more unremitting application to study, than John Duns Scotus; but, unfortunately for him, and for the world, all those noble talents were misapplied and wasted on the subtilties of school-philosophy and the abfurdities of school-divinity. Confidering the fhortness of his life, he was one of the most voluminous

<sup>(43)</sup> Bulzi Hist. tom. 4. p. 970. (44) ld. ibid. Hugo Cavillus in Vita.

<sup>(45)</sup> Bruckeri Hist. Philof. tom. 3. p. 828, n.

<sup>(46)</sup> Hugo Cavillus in Vita J. D. S. ch. 3.

writers that ever lived. Many of his writings have been feveral times printed; but the most complete edition of his works is that which was published by Waddingus, at Lyons, A. D. 1639, in twelve volumes folio (47). Thefe works, which were fo highly admired that about twenty different authors wrote commentaries upon them, are now configned to dust, and almost quite neglected.

William Ockham.

William Ockham, one of the most distinguished disciples of John Duns Scotus, and the founder of a feet of schoolmen called Ockamists, was born at Ockham, in Surry, about A. D. 1280 (48). When he was very voung, he entered into the order of St. Francis, and profecuted his studies with great ardour and success, first at Oxford, and afterwards at Paris (49). In both these univerfities he was a constant hearer and great admirer of Scotus; but being of a bold inquisitive spirit, he did not yield an implicit faith to all the doctrines of his illustrious mafter. On the contrary, he impugned fome of his opinions with fo much vigour and fuccess, that he obtained many followers, who, on that account, were called Ockhamists; and sometimes Nominals, because they waged a long and fierce war against another sect of schoolmen, called Realists, about certain metaphysical fubtilties which neither of them understood (50).

Defends the emperor against the pope.

Ockham acted a very confpicuous part in those violent disputes which disquieted the christian world during the pontificate of John XXII. from A. D. 1316 to A. D. 1334; and in all those disputes he opposed the heretical principles and ambitious pretentions of the pope with great vivacity and courage. He was made provincial of the Franciscans in England, in a general assembly of the order, A. D. 1322; and in that affembly he very boldly defended the principles of that party of the Franciscans who were called the Spiritual Brethren, which the pope had condemned as heretical, by two folemn decrees (51). He alfo impugned, with much vehemence, the favourite doctrine of John XXII .- that the fouls of good men were not admitted to the vision of God, and the happiness of heaven,

Book IV.

<sup>(47)</sup> Du Pin, cent. 14.

<sup>(28)</sup> Bruckeri Hift. Phil. foph. tom. 3. p. 846.

<sup>(49)</sup> Laland de Script. Britan, tom. 2. p. 323. 1. Vide Bruckeri Hift. Phil. tom. 3. p. 904-912.

<sup>(</sup>r., 15, ibid. p. 847. Du Pin, cent. 14. ch. 3.

till after the refurrection. His holiness was so much enraged at this prefumption, that he pronounced the terrible fentence of excommunication against our author; which obliged him to live in great privacy for feveral years. In this retirement he composed some of his works, particularly his Compendium of the herefies of pope John XXII. of which he enumerated no fewer than feventy-seven (52).

Our author at length found a powerful protector in Retires to Lewis of Bavaria, emperor of Germany, in whose court the empe-

he took shelter, A. D. 1328 (53). This prince, who rer's court. had been long and cruelly perfecuted, and at last deposed and excommunicated, by the pope, received his fellowfufferer in a very gracious manner, and appointed him one of his privy counfellers. In return for these tayours, Ockham published several treatises in defence of the emperor, and in opposition to that favourite maxim of the papal court, which had been boldly avowed by Boniface VIII. A. D. 1301,—That all emperors, kings, and princes, are subject to the supreme authority of the pope in temporals as well as spirituals (54). In opposition to this dangerous doctrine, which was not very fuitable to the humble title of the Servant of Servants, Ockham maintained,-That the emperor was subject to none but God in temporals. The learned Selden gives the following high character of one of our author's political treatifes, published on this occafion.-- 66 It is a most learned and ingenious work, which " merits the highest commendations; and, in my opi-" nion, it is the very best performance published con-" cerning the limits of the spiritual and temporal powers (35)." So much did these spirited publications of our author contribute to support the emperor's cause, that he used to address that prince in this familiar manner: " If you will defend me by your fword, I will de-" fend you by my pen (56)."

During the life of the emperor, his protector, Ock-Obliged to ham, fmiled in fafety at the impotent rage of three fuc-recant. cessive popes, John XXII. Benedict XII. and Clement VI. who denounced the most direful anathemas against

<sup>(52)</sup> Tanner de Script. Angl. &c. p. 555.

<sup>(54)</sup> Bul. Hift. Univer. Parif. tom. 4. p. 7. (55) Selden de Synedriis, l. 1. c. 10. p. 228.

<sup>(56)</sup> Wharton, apud Cave, Hift, Lit. Append. p. 26,

him. But after the death of that prince, which happened October 11, A. D. 1347, he found himself no longer in a capacity to brave the papal thunders, and was conferained to court a reconciliation with the church by the most humiliating submissions. Some literary historians indeed fay, that he died about fix months before the emperer, his patron, April 10, A. D. 1347 (57). But this is evidently a mistake; for, by the intercession of the Franclean order, he obtained absolution from Clement VI. by a bull dated at Avignon, June 19, A. D. 1349, upon condition of renouncing all his former herefies, and fwearing implicit fubmission to every papal decision and mandate for the future (58). He did not long furvive this mortifying abjuration of all those opinions which he had laboured with fo much ardour to establish, dying at Capua, in Italy, September 20, A. D. 1350 (59). He was unquestionably a man of genius, industry, and learning, and would have been happier and more ufeful if he had lived in better times. A catalogue of his numcrous works may be feen in the authors quoted below (60). According to the custom of the age in which he flourished, he was honoured with the pompous title of the fingular and invincible Doctor.

Tohn Wickliff.

The most important events in the life of the famous Dr. John Wickliff, who is well intitled to a diffinguished place in the history of his country, for his noble efforts to deliver it from the intolerable tyranny of the church and court of Rome, have been already mentioned; and therefore a very brief account of his personal history, character, and literary labours, will be fufficient in this place (61). He was born in the parish of Wickliff, near Richmond, in the county of York, about A. D. 1324 (62); and educated at Oxford, where he merited the highest academical honours, obtained fuccessively the government of Baliol and Canterbury colleges, and was advanced to the professorship of divinity (63). His theological lectures were delivered to crowded audiences, and received with incredible applaufe; which contributed not

<sup>(57)</sup> Tanner, p. 556. (58) Bel. Hift. Univerf. Parif. tom. 4. ton, p. 26. Lelani, p. 324. Bal, cont. v. p. 306,
(61) See chap. 2. § 2.

<sup>(63)</sup> Wharton, p. 50.

Ch. 4. § 2.

a little to disseminate his doctrines, which were very different from those of the church at that time (64). In particular, he combated with great spirit the exorbitant power and ambitious pretentions of the court of Rome in temporals as well as spirituals; and with equal spirit he opposed the encroachments of the begging triars, who were the great supporters of the papal power (65). Having entered into holy orders, and obtained, first, the living of Filingham in Lincolnshire, and afterwards the rectory of Lutterworth in Leicestershire, he further propagated his opinions, by his frequent, eloquent, and popular preachings (66). By his numerous writings in the Finglish language he still further distused the knowledge of his doctrines, and exposed the floth, hypocrify, and other vices of the mendicant friars, together with the various corruptions of the court and church of Rome. In a word, fuch was the fuccess of the teaching, preaching, and writings, of our author, that a contemporary historian, who appears to have been his most inveterate enemy, assures us, -" that more than one half of the people of England became his followers, and embraced his doctrines (67)." The violent opposition which he encountered from the pope and clergy, the powerful support he received from the duke of Lancaster, and other great men among the laity, as well as the time and manner of his death, have been already mentioned (68). But it may not be improper to take notice in this place, that the malice of his enemies did not permit him to remain in quiet in his grave. In consequence of a decree of the council of Constance, and a bull of pope Martin V. directed to Robert Fleming bishop of Lincoln, his bones were taken up and burnt, and the ashes thrown into a rivulet (60): an act of impotent malevolence which is hardly credible!

The pope and clergy not only perfecuted the perfor Calumnies of Dr. Wickliff during life, and his after death, of the but did every thing in their power to blacken his character clergy, and destroy his works. The two monkish historians, Walsingham and Knyghton, his contemporaries, have

<sup>(64)</sup> Leland, p. 379. A. Wood, p. 181. p. 304, &c. (68) See chap. 2. § 2.

<sup>(65)</sup> Lewis's Life of Wickliff, p. 316. (66) Knyghton, col. 2663. Walding. (67) Knyghton, col. 2664.

<sup>(69)</sup> Lewis, p. 110.

given him almost every opprobrious name in the Latin language; but have not been able to accuse him of any immorality (70). His doctrines were condemned by various councils after his death; and his works which contained these doctrines were burnt whenever they could be found. Subynco archbishop of Prague in Bohemia (where the doctrines of Wickliff had made great progress), publicly burnt more than two hundred volumes of his works that were beautifully written, and finely bound and ornamented (71). About the fame time a great number of his books were publicly burnt at Oxford, by a decree of the university, and under the inspection of the chancellor (72). But all these attempts to destroy the works of Wickliff were ineffectual; and we have good reason to believe that some copies of all his numerous publications escaped. The searned bishop Bale, who flourished in the fixteenth century, affirms, "That " he had feen about one hundred and fifty treatifes of " Dr. Wickliff, some of them in Latin, and others in " English, besides his translations of several books (73)." His translation of the Bible into English was one of his greatest and most useful works: for a catalogue of which works the authors quoted below may be confulted (74).

Praises.

The endeavours of the pope and monks to blacken the character, and diminish the same, of Dr. Wickliss, were as inessection as their attempts to destroy his works. The superiority of his genius and learning was so conspicuous, that it was acknowledged by his greatest enemies. The historian Knyghton, who hated him heartily for his attempt to reform the church, is constrained to own, "that no man excelled him in the strength and "number of his arguments; and that he excelled all men in the irresistible power of his eloquence in dispusition (75)." Walden, who was his most inveterate enemy, acknowledged, in a letter to pope Martin V. "that he had often shood amazed beyond measure at the excellence of his learning, the boldness of his affertions, the exactness of his authorities, and the strength

<sup>(70)</sup> Walfing. p. 205. 208. 246. 283. Knyghton. col. 2644-2661.

<sup>(71)</sup> Aneas Sylvius Hist. Bohem. chap. 35. (72) A. Wood, l. 1. p. 204.

<sup>(73)</sup> Tanner, Bibliothec. Britan. p. 771.

<sup>(74)</sup> ld. ibid. Wharton, p. 53. Bale cent. 6. ch. r.

<sup>(75)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2664.

"of his arguments (76)." The following character of this great and good man was drawn by an able hand, and appears to be just: "Dr. John Wickliff was a man, than whom the christian world in these last ages had not produced a greater.—He excelled all his contemporaries in all the different branches of theological learning, and in the knowledge of the civil and canon law. His heart was inflamed with the most ardent love to God, and good-will to men; which excited him to the most strenuous efforts to restore the church to its primitive purity. The eminence of his piety and virtue his greatest adversaries never dared to call in question, and to the superiority of his natural and acquired abilities they have been compelled to bear testimony (77)."

Several other school-divines and philosophers flourished in Britain, in the thirteenth and scurteenth century, and for a season enjoyed a considerable share of literary same; but as their works are now neglected, it would be impro-

per to swell their section with this history.

The British historians of this period were very nume-Historians, rous; but only a very few of them were so conspicuous for their abilities as to merit a place in the general history of their country; and of these few it will be sufficient to

give a very brief account.

Though Mathew Paris was unquestionably one of the Matthew most fairhful and best informed of all the English hustori-Paris. ans of the thirteenth century, his own personal history is very imperfectly preserved; and is chiefly to be collected from his own writings. We are not informed of the particular time or place of his birth, nor from what family he was descended. The first circumstance of his life we know with certainty is, that he took the habit of a monk, in the abbey of St. Alban's, January 21, A.D. 1217 (78). In this abbey he continued long, and became so famous for his learning, piety, and virtue, that he obtained the esteem and confidence of several great princes. With his own sovereign Henry III. he appears to have been on a very friendly and familiar sooting; not only employed in his service, but entrusted with his secrets, in-

<sup>(76)</sup> Bale, cent. 6. c. t. p. 456. Wharton, p. 52.

<sup>(77)</sup> Bale, cent. 6. c. 1. p. 456. Wharton, p. 52. (78) M. Paris, edit. Parifiis, A. D. 1644. Præfat. p. 3.

vited to his table, favoured with long and frequent converfations, and even affifted in the composition of his history of England (79). "He who wrote this (fays he) was almost constantly with the king in his palace, at " his table, or in his closet; and that prince guided his of pen in writing in the most diligent and condescending 66 manner (80)." At the fame time our author stood in the highest point of favour with Haco king of Norway, a wife and learned prince, with whom he corresponded by letters, and for whom he transacted some important affairs in London, to his entire fatisfaction (81). At length. when the monks of that kingdom had become extremely ignorant and diforderly, Matthew Paris was esteemed the most proper person in the church to be employed in an attempt to instruct and reform them. Accordingly, in compliance with a bull from pope Innocent IV. and an earnest application from the king of Norway, he made a voyage into that country, A. D. 1248, where he spent about a year in restoring monastic discipline to its primitive strictness and regularity (82). During his residence in Norway, he acted also as ambassador for Lewis IX. king of France, whose friendship he had gained by his learning and integrity (83). But though our author was a favourite, he was not a flatterer, of kings. On the contrary, he expostulated with and admonished his own fovereign with much freedom, when he acted imprudently or unjustly (84). When Henry III. had granted, charter, to one of his courtiers, a liberty of hunting in the lands belonging to the abbey of St. Alban's, directly contrary to the privileges which he had before granted by charter to that abbey, our author tells us, that he went boldly to the king, and reproached him for this unjust proceeding; to which the king replied, that he had only imitated the pope, who daily revoked the privileges he had granted, and bestowed them upon others, by the clause of non obstante in his bulls (85). No historian who hath recorded the transactions of his own countrymen in his own times, can be compared with Matthew Paris for

<sup>(79)</sup> M. Paris, Hift. Angl. p. 494. 636.

<sup>(80)</sup> M. Paris, Hist. Angl. p. 494. 636. (82) Id. p. 504. col. 2.

<sup>(84)</sup> Id. p. 504. col. 2.

<sup>(85)</sup> M. Paris, Hift. Angl. p. 524. col. 2.

- 137

intrepidity. He cenfured without any ceremony, and in the plainest language, the vices and follies of persons of the highest rank and greatest power. Though he was a monk, he hath painted the infatiable avarice, intolerable tyranny, unbounded luxury, and abandoned perfidy of the court of Rome, in stronger colours than any protestant writer hath done (86). From all his writings he appears to have been a man of genius, taste, and learning. "He " was (fays a literary historian) an elegant poet, an " eloquent orator, an acute logician, a fubtile phi-" losopher, a folid divine, a celebrated historian, and, 66 which crowned the whole, a man justly famous " for the purity, integrity, innocence, and fimpli-" city of his manners (87)" In his leifure-hours he amufed himfelf with the study and practice of the fine arts; and (if we may believe the historian of his own abbey) he was an exquisite sculptor in gold, silver, and other metals, and the best painter of the age in which he flourished (88). This virtuous, learned, and ingenious person paid the last debt to nature, A. D. 1250, at St. Alban's, where he had resided above forty years, and never obtained any higher office than that of historiographer (89).

The theological works of Matthew Paris have shared the same sate with those of many of his contemporaries; but his historical labours have been more fortunate, and have secured the grateful remembrance of posterity to their author. The greatest and most valuable of these historical works is entitled Historia Major, which is a very sull history of England, from the Conquest, A. D. 1066, to the 43d of Henry III. A. D. 1259. In the first part of that work, from the Conquest to A. D. 1235, our author was much indebted to the labours of Roger de Wendover, his predecessor in the office of historiographer in the abbey of St. Alban's, and it was continued after his death to A. D. 1273, by William Rishanger his successor in that office (90). For the honour of his own abbey, our au-

(90) Id. ibid. p. 757. 634.

<sup>(86)</sup> Vide Opera M. Paris, passim. Edwardi Brown Appendix ad Fasciculum Rerum expetendarum, p. 415—436.

<sup>(87)</sup> Pit's Relat. Seviptor, script. 367. (88) Tanneri Biblioth. Britan. p. 573.

<sup>(88)</sup> Tanneri Biblioth. Britan. p. 573. (89) Id. ibid.

thor wrote the lives of the two Offas kings of Mercia (of whom Offa II. was the founder of that abbey), and also the lives of the twenty-three first abbots of St. Alban's. To these works he subjoined Additamenta (additions), containing certain facts, papers, letters, speeches, &c. which had not come to his knowledge in due time, or which he had neglected to infert in their proper places. The above historical compositions have been several times printed (91), and will be perused with pleasure by every lover of English history and antiquities, who can forgive our author for believing and introducing fo many ridiculous miracles, apparitions, predictions, &c.; because that kind of credulity was the folly of the times rather than of the man. The first part of Matthew of Westminster's Flowers of History, from the creation of the world to the conquest of England, is faid to be almost an exact transcript of a work of Matthew Paris which hath never been printed. Besides all these, our author made an abridgment of his Historia Major, or Larger History of England, with the title of Historia Minor; which is still preferved in MS (92).

Thomas Wykes. We know still less of the personal history of Thomas Wykes than of his contemporary Matthew Paris. He was a regular canon, of the order of St. Augustine, in the abbey of Osney, near Oxford, and improving his favourable situation for the acquisition of learning, became famous for the variety and extent of his erudition. Besides several other works on different subjects, he composed a history or chronicle of England, from the conquest, A. D. 1066, to A. D. 1304, soon after which period it is probable he died (93).

Walter Hemmingford.

Walter Hemmingford was a monk in the abbey of Gifburn, in Yorkshire, of the same order with Thomas Wykes, and also wrote a history of England, nearly of the same period, beginning at the Conquest, and ending A. D. 1347, in which year he died (94). We do not so much as know with certainty to what monasteries

<sup>(91)</sup> London, A. D. 1640-1684. Paris, 1644.

<sup>(92)</sup> Tanner. Bibliothec. p. 572. (93) Vide Historia Anglicana Scriptores Quinque; Oxonia, A. D.

<sup>(94)</sup> Id. ibid. Walteri Hemmingford Historia, a Tho. Hearn edit. Oxon. 1731, tom. 2.

John de Trokelowe, and Henry de Blaneforde, two monks who wrote histories of the reign of Edward II. belonged, and therefore they are mentioned here only to recommend their works, together with that of the anonymous monk of Malmsbury, on the same subject, to the attention of English antiquaries and historians, as containing many curious particulars which are nowhere else

to be found (95).

Robert de Avefbury, who was register of the arch-Robert de bishop of Canterbury's court, composed a history of England in his own times, with the following title :- " Mira-" bilia gesta Magnifici Regis Angliæ Domini Edwardi "Tertii post Conquestum, Procerumque; tactis pri-" mitus quibusdam gestis in tempore patris sui Domini Edwardi Secundi, quæ in regnis Angliæ, Scotiæ, et " Franciæ, ac in Aquitania et Britannia, non humana " fed Dei potentia, contigerunt; per Robertum de " Avesbury, Curiæ Cantuariensis Registri Custodem, " compilata."-i. e.-" The wonderful acts of the magof nificent king lord Edward the third after the Conquest, and of his nobles; to which are premifed some hints of the transactions in the time of his father Edward the fecond, in the kingdoms of England, Scot-" land, and France, as also in Aquitain and Britanny, "which happened, not by the power of man, but of "God; compiled by Robert of Averbury, keeper of " the register of the court of Canterbury."

Our author was probably prevented by death from finishing his plan; for his history reaches only to the thirtieth of Edward III. A. D. 1356. He appears to have been at great pains to procure the most authentic information; and his work is valuable for the sincerity with which it is written, and the original papers it contains

(96).

Nicholas Trivet, fon of fir Thomas Trivet of the Nicholas county of Norfolk, was born about A. D. 1258, and in Trivet. his youth became a Dominican friar in London. Having a genius and taste for learning, he prosecuted his studies with great spirit and diligence, first at Oxford, and afterwards at Paris. Soon after his return to Eng-

<sup>(95)</sup> Johannes de Trokelowe Annal. &c. a T. Hearn edit. Oxon. 1629. (96) Roberti de Avesbury Historia, a Tho. Hearn edit. Oxon A D. 1720.

land, he was chosen prior of his monastery, and discharged the duties of that office, with great honour to himself and advantage to the society, to the time of his death, A. D. 1328. He was a voluminous writer on various fubjects in philosophy and divinity; but he is introduced in this place because he was the author of Historical Annals from A. D. 1130, to A. D. 1307 (97). Of this work he gives the following account in his preface: "When I studied at Paris, I read the histories of "France and Normandy with great care, and faithfully extracted out of them every thing that related to the " English nation. From these extracts,—together with " what I collected from our English chronicles,—what " came to my own knowledge, and what I learned from "the information of men worthy of credit,—I have comof posed the following history of the kings of England of the Plantagenet family, from Henry II. to our own "times. But though I have bestowed my chief attention on the affairs of England, I have occasionally intro-"duced fuch accounts of the transactions of the contemporary popes, emperors of Germany, kings of France, and fome other princes, as had come to my knowledge, in order to render my work more " univerfally useful and agreeable (98)."

It would be tedious to many readers to peruse the short memoirs which remain of the other historians of this period, as of Matthew of Westminster. Ralph Higden, Henry Knyghton, John de Fordun, Adam de Merimuthe, Thomas Stubbs, William Thorn, &c. &c.; and therefore such as wish to be acquainted with them, are

Poets. referred to the authors quoted below (99).

Poetasters abound in every age; but real and great poets, who do honour to their country, and merit a place in its history, are commonly very few. Of such excellent poets, who were also men of uncommon worth and learning, I know only three, viz. John Gower, Geoffrey Chaucer, and John Barbour, who flourished in Britain in the present period.

John Gower That John Gower, or rather fir John Gower, was of an ancient and opulent family is highly probable; but

(99) Leland, Bale, Pits, Tanner, &c.

<sup>(97)</sup> Lekand de Script. Britan. t. 2. p. 326.

<sup>(98)</sup> Nicolai Triveti Amal. edit. Oxon. 1719. p. 2.

where that family was feated is not certainly known (100). He was born about A. D. 1320, and having received a learned education, and attained a proper age, he engaged in the study of the law at the Inner Temple, with such diligence, that he became eminent in his profession (101). His application to these severer studies did not divert him from courting the muses at his leifure-hours, and that with fo much fuccess, that he became one of the most admired poets of the age in which he flourished. Besides feveral smaller pieces, he composed three poems of confiderable length, in three different languages, Latin, French, and English. To these poems he gave the three following fanciful and pedantic titles: - Speculum Meditantis, -Vox Clamantis, - Confessio Amantis (102). Specuhun Meditantis, written in French, is a moral poem, recommending fidelity and mutual love to married persons, by examples out of various histories. Vox Clamantis, written in Latin, is an historical poem or chronicle of the infurrection of the commons in the reign of Richard II. The folemnity of the style, and lowness of the subject of this poem, give it in some places a burlesque appearance, as in the following catalogue of the leaders of the infurgents:

Watte vocat, cui Thome venit, neque Symme retardat,
Bitteque, Gibbe, simul Hykke, venire jubent.
Colle furit, quem Gibbe juvat nocumenta parantes,
Cum quibus ad damnum Wille coire vovit.
Grigge 1apit, dum Daive strepit, comes est quibus Hobbe
Lorkin, et in medio non minor esse putat.
Hudde ferit quos Judde terit, dum Tibbe juvatur
Jakke domos que viros vellit, et ense necat, &c. &c.

These two poems are still in MS. Confessio Amantis, written in English at the desire of Richard II. is a poetical system of morality, illustrated by many amusing tales, happily invented and naturally introduced. This poem hath been several times printed (103). Our author hath lest various specimens of his skill in divinity, logic, natural philosophy, and alchymy. He appears to have been

<sup>(100)</sup> Biograph, Britan. 1st edit, vol. 4. p. 2242.

<sup>(101)</sup> Tanner, p. 335. (102) Ib. ibid.

<sup>(103)</sup> Biographia, vol. 4. p. 2244.

fond of writing; and laments, in a very pathetic strain, that by the failure of his fight in his old age, he was constrained to lay aside his pen (104). He died A.D. 1402, and was buried in the conventual church of St. Mary Overie, in Southwark, which he had rebuilt chiefly at his own expence. Upon the whole, fir John Gower was evidently a man of uncommon genius, extensive learning, and amiable manners, one of the fathers of English poetry, and one of the first who wrote with any considerable success in the English language.

Geoffrey Chaucer.

Geoffrey Chaucer, the contemporary and intimate friend of Gower, was born in London about A. D. 1328; but all attempts to discover the names and rank of his parents (though they were certainly neither obscure nor indigent), have been unfuccessful (105). When he had fpent some years in prosecuting his studies, first at Cambridge, and afterwards at Oxford, for his further improvement, he visited France, and some other foreign countries; and on his return from his travels, he became a student of law in the Middle Temple (106). But this study not being agreeable to his taste, he resolved to try his fortune at court; for which he was admirably qualified, being remarkably handsome in his person, elegant in his manners, an univerfal scholar, and an admired poet, He accordingly obtained the honourable place of page to Edward III. A. D. 1359, when that illustrious prince was in the summit of his prosperity, and the English court in its highest splendour, adorned by the captive kings of France and Scotland (107). In this station he rendered himself so agreeable to his royal master, that he obtained many substantial marks of his favour, and enjoyed an income of no less than one thousand pounds a-year, equivalent to twelve thousand at prefent (108). In this flourishing state of his affairs, he married Philippa Rouet. fifter to the famous Catherine lady Swynford, then the mistress, and afterwards the wife of John of Gaunt duke of Lancaster, the king's third fon (109). By this marriage a connection which he had formed with the duke

<sup>(104)</sup> Biographia, vol. 4. p. 2246.

<sup>(105)</sup> Chaucer's Works, London, 1721, p. 486. col. 1.

<sup>(106)</sup> Bale, p. 525. Leland, p. 419.

<sup>(107)</sup> Chaucer's Life prefixed to his Works, edit. 1721.

<sup>(108)</sup> Biogra. Britan. p. 1296, (109) Life of Chaucer.

of Lancaster was much strengthened, and for some time contributed to his promotion; but afterwards involved him in no little trouble, by engaging him in all the political intrigues of that ambitious prince. In particular, the duke of Lancaster having espoused the cause of Wickliss, from political views, and out of hatred to the clergy, our author engaged with warmth, and from principle, in the fame cause. In consequence of this, having espoused the party of John Comberton mayor of London, A. D. 1382, a zealous Wickliffite, and that party having been ruined by the superior power of the court and clergy, Chaucer, with fome others, escaped to the continent. Here he lived privately feveral years, till he had fpent his whole estate in supporting himself and his fellowexiles; which obliged him to return fecretly into England. Soon after his return, he was apprehended, and put in prison; where, by threats and promises, he was prevailed upon to disclose the secrets of his party, by which he obtained his liberty, but brought upon himfelf an insupportable load of calumny (110). In this deplorable reverse of fortune, our author retired to Woodstock, and gave vent to his melancholy in that fweet plaintive performance, - The Testament of Love; - which begins in this manner: - " Alas! Fortune, alas! I that "fome tyme in delicious houres was wont to enjoy blifsful floundes, am now dryve, by unhappy heavi-" nesse, to bewaile my fondrie yvels in tene (111)." When under this cloud, A. D. 1391, he composed another of his profe works, intitled, "The conclusions of " the Astrolabie, -for the use of his second son Lewis:" -a work which discovers an extensive knowledge in aftronomy, with an admirable faculty of communicating that knowledge to a child only ten years of age (112). A few years after this, our author's affairs began to take a more favourable turn. His ancient friend and patron, John of Gaunt duke of Lancaster (now become his brother-in-law, by his marriage with lady Swynford), having, after a great variety of adventures, recovered his influence at the court of England, procured him feveral grants from the crown; which enabled him to spend the

<sup>(110)</sup> See Chaucer's Testament of Love, p. 487-495.

last years of his life in ease and plenty, at his seat of Dunnington castle, near Newbury (113). On the accesfion of Henry IV. the fon of his late brother and patron the duke of Lancaster, he found it necessary to make a journey to London, where he died, October 25, A. D. 1400, in the seventy-third year of his age (114). Whoever reads the works of Chaucer with attention, will be furprised at the variety and extent of his learning, as well as charmed with the fertility of his invention, the fweetness of his numbers (for the times in which he lived), and all the other marks of a great and cultivated genius. The writer of his life prefixed to Mr. Urry's edition of his works, hath given him the following character, and produced sufficient evidence that he deserved it: " In one word, he was a great scholar, a pleasant " wit, a candid critic, a fociable companion, a stedfast " friend, a grave philosopher, a temperate economist, " and a pious Christian." Should such a man ever be forgotten?

John Barbour.

John Barber, or Barbour, an eminent divine, historian, and poet, was born in the city of Aberdeen about A. D. 1330 (115). Having received a learned education, he entered into holy orders, and was promoted by king David II. to the archdeaconry of Aberdeen, A. D. 1356. His love of learning was fo strong, that he continued to profecute his studies after his promotion. With this view he prevailed upon his own fovereign king David Bruce, with whom he was in great favour, to apply to Edward III. for permission to study at Oxford; which was granted, in the following terms .- " Edward, &c. -Know ye, that we have taken under our protection (at the request of David de Bruce) John Barber, arch-" deacon of Aberdeen, with three scholars in his comcopany, in coming into our kingdom of England, in order to study in the university of Oxford, and perform his scholastic exercises, and in remaining there. and in returning into his own country of Scotland; and we hereby grant him our fafe-conduct, which is " to continue in force for one year. Witness the king

<sup>(113)</sup> Biographia. vol. 4. p. 13e3. (114) Id. ibid. (115) Hume's Hist, Douglas, p. 30, 31. Nicolion's Scots Hitt. p. 145.

66 at Westminster, A. D. 1357, August 13 (116)." Our archdeacon was not only famous for his extensive knowledge in the philosophy and divinity of those times, but still more admired for his admirable genius for English poetry; in which he composed a history of the life and glorious actions of Robert Bruce king of Scotland, at the defire of king David Bruce, his fon, who granted him a confiderable pension for his encouragement, which he generously bestowed on an hospital at Aberdeen (117). While he was engaged in this work, he obtained permiffion and fafe-conduct from Edward III. A. D. 1365, to travel through England into France, with fix horsemen his attendants (118). He finished his history of the heroic Robert Bruce A. D. 1373; a work not only remarkable for a copious circumstantial detail of the exploits of that illustrious prince, and his brave companions in arms, Randolff earl of Moray, and the lord James Douglas, but also for the beauty of its style, which is not inferior to that of his contemporary Chaucer (119). The time and circumstances of our author's death are not known.

#### SECTION III.

History of the chief Seminaries of Learning in Great Britain, from A. D. 1216 to A. D. 1399.

ALL the different kinds of schools which were esta-schools blished in Britain in the preceding period, continued to established flourish in the present. In general, we are assured by former pethe most learned man of the thirteenth century, Roger riod con-Bacon, that there never had been so great an appearance tinued. of learning, and so general an application to study, in so many different faculties, as in his time, when schools

<sup>(116)</sup> Rymer, Fæd. tom. 6. p. 31. (117) Tanner, p. 73. (118) Rymer, tom. 6. p. 478.

<sup>(119)</sup> Mackenzie's Lives, &c. v. 1. p. 296.

were erected in every city, town, burgh, and castle (1). But all these cathedral, conventual, Jewish, and other illustrious schools, have been already described (2).

Change in Stics.

A very great and advantageous change in the state of the univer- the two universities of England took place in the present period, and merits our attention. In former times the teachers and scholars lodged and studied in private houses or halls, which they rented from the citizens. This was attended with many inconveniencies, and gave occasion to frequent quarrels between the scholars and citizens, about the rents of houses (3). Various methods were employed to prevent these quarrels, which disturbed the peace and even threatened the destruction of the univer-In particular, Henry III. A. D. 1231, appointed two respectable citizens, and two masters of arts, to be chofen annually, and invefted with authority to determine all disputes between the citizens and scholars, about the rents of houses (4). But this, and all other methods for preserving peace between the townsmen and scholars, while this occasion of contention continued, proved ineffectual. At length, some generous persons (determined to deliver the members of the universities from their too great dependence on the townsmen) purchased or built large houses, and admitted both teachers and scholars to reside in them, without paying any Those munificent friends of learning foon difcovered, that fome ingenious scholars admitted into their houses were but ill provided with the means of rewarding their teachers, purchasing books, and procuring other necessaries; which induced them and others to enlarge their charity, and to endow those houses with lands, tenements. and revenues, for the maintenance of a certain number of studious men and youth. By these steps the building and endowing colleges became the prevailing tafte of the rich and generous in this period, as building and endowing monasteries had been in some former periods. In consequence of this prevailing tafte, feveral noble halls and colleges were erected and endowed, in both the univerfities of England, chiefly between the middle of the thirteenth, and the middle of the fourteenth century.

<sup>(1)</sup> Baconi Opus Majus, præfat.

<sup>(2)</sup> See vol. 3. chap. 4. fest. 3.

<sup>(3)</sup> A. Wood, l. 1, p. 84.86, 92. (4) Fuller's Hift, Cambridge, p. 10.

In Oxford the following colleges were founded in this Colleges in period, viz. University college, Baliol college, Merton Oxford. college, Exeter college, Oriel college, Queen's college, and New college; of each of which it is proper to give a

very brief account.

If University hall or college was founded and endowed University by Alfred the Great, that foundation was overturned, hall, and those endowments were dissipated, long before the beginning of this period. William archdeacon of Durham, who bequeathed three hundred and ten marks to the university, and died A.D. 1249, may be esteemed the founder of the present college, as some tenements on which it was built, and with which it was endowed, were purchased with that money (5). This society, when it was first formed, about A.D. 1280, was very small, consisting only of sour masters of arts; but it gradually encreased, both in numbers and revenues, by the successive donations of many generous benefactors (6).

John Baliol, father of that unfortunate prince John Baliol king of Scotland, formed and made fome progress in the college. design of founding Baliol college, about A.D. 1268; and that design was perfected by his widow the lady Dervogilla, from whom her son John Baliol derived his title

to the crown of Scotland (7).

Walter Merton, bishop of Rochester, sounded a cel-Merton lege for twenty scholars, and three priests, at Maldon in Surry, A. D. 1264, and about four years after he removed that society to Oxford, where he had provided a place for their reception, which hath ever since that time been

denominated Merton college (8).

Walter Stapleton, bishop of Exeter, began, about Exeter A. D. 1315, to execute a design which he had formed of college. founding a hall or college in Oxford; and in a few years, with the assistance of Peter de Shelton a clergyman, he accomplished that design (9). The name of this foundation was at first Stapleton hall; but it was afterwards changed to Exeter college, by a bull of pope Innocent VII. (10).

Oriel college was founded by Edward II. and his almo-Oriel colner Adam de Brom, about A. D. 1324. It was at first lege. called the hall of the Blessed Virgin of Oxford, and derived

<sup>(5)</sup> A. Wood, lib. 2. p. 56.

<sup>(6)</sup> A. Wood, lib. 2. p. 57, 58, 59. (8) ld. ibid. p. 89. (9) Id. ibid. p. 93.

<sup>(7)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 69, 70. (10) Id. ibid. p. 94.

its present name from a capital messuage bestowed upon it

by Edward III (11).

Queen's college.

Robert Eglesheld, who was descended of an ancient family in the county of Cumberland, and chaplain to queen Philippa, confort of Edward III. founded Queen's college, A. D. 1340, chiefly for the benefit of his countrymen of the counties of Cumberland and Westmorland. He gave his college its name in honour of queen Philippa, who had very much encouraged and assisted him in that expensive undertaking (12).

New col-

The illustrious William of Wykeham bishop of Winchester, soon after his advancement to that see A. D. 1366, formed the design of sounding two colleges, one at Winchester, in which young scholars might receive the first part of their education; and another at Oxsord, into which they might be transplanted, and their education persected. Having spent several years and considerable sums of money in purchasing certain tenements in Oxsord, he laid the first stone of his college there for a master and seventy scholars, March 5, A. D. 1379, and finished the sabric A. D. 1386. In his soundation-charter he gave it the name of Seinte Marie College of Wynchestre in Oxenford; but in common use it hath been constantly called New College (13). Soon after he had finished this great work, he built and endowed his college at Winchester.

Colleges in Cambridge.

Peter houle. In Cambridge the following halls and colleges were founded in this period, viz. Peter house, Michael college, University hall, King's hall, Clare hall, Pembroke hall, Corpus Christi college, Trinity hall, Gonvil hall.

Hugh Balsham sub-prior, and afterwards bishop of Ely, purchased some tenements in Cambridge, about A. D. 1256, in order to sound a college; and though he met with various difficulties, which retarded the full execution of that design, he still continued to prosecute it, and at length, about A. D. 1282, the building was sinished for the reception, and endowed for the maintenance of one master, sourteen fellows, two bible-clerks, and eight poor scholars (14).

<sup>(11)</sup> A. Wood, lib. 2. p. 103, 104. (12) ld. ibid. p. 113.

<sup>(13)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 126-130.

<sup>(14)</sup> Stow Chronicle by Hows, p. 1057. Fuller's History of Cambridge, p. 30.

Harvey de Stanton, canon of York and Wells, and Michael chancellor of the exchequer to Edward II. founded and college. endowed a college about A. D. 1324, which he dedicated to St. Michael the archangel (15). This college was taken into Trinity college, founded by Henry VIII.

University hall or college was founded by Richard Ba-University dew, chancellor of the university of Cambridge, A, D, hall. 1326. But this college was hardly ever completed, and

of short duration (16).

King Edward II. for some years maintained thirty-two King's hall. scholars at the university of Cambridge, and designed to have founded a hall for their residence. This design was executed by his fon Edward III. who built a very magnificent hall, and endowed it with lands fufficient for the support of a master and thirty-three scholars (17). hall was united to Trinity college by Henry VIII.

University hall having been burnt down, and its foun- clare hall, der Richard Badew unable to rebuild it, Elizabeth de Clare countess of Ulster, one of the sisters and coheiresses of Gilbert de Clare earl of Gloucester, raised it from its ruins about A. D. 1347, added greatly to its revenues, and gave it the name of Clare hall, in honour of her fa-

mily (18).

Pembroke hall was founded in the same year with Clare Pembroke hall, by a great but unfortunate lady, Mary de St. Paul, hall. daughter of Guido earl of St. Paul, in France, married to Avmer de Valence earl of Pembroke, who was killed in a tournament foon after his marriage, or, according to fome, on his wedding-day, June 23, A. D. 1323. afflicted widow furvived him forty-two years, spending the greatest part of her large revenues in pious and charitable works. Among others of that kind, the founded a hall in Cambridge for a master and thirty scholars, which the called by her husband's name and her own, the hall of Valence and Mary; but its most common appellation hath been Pembroke hall (19).

The united guilds of Corpus Christi and St. Mary, in Bennet Cambridge, affifted by the patronage of Henry duke of co.lege. Lancaster, founded a college, about the same time, which

<sup>(15)</sup> Stow, p. 1057. Fuller, p. 36. (16) Stow, ibid. Fuller, p. 37.

<sup>(17)</sup> Stow, p. 1057. Fuller, p. 39. (18) Stow, p. 1058. Fuller, p. 37. (19) Stow, ibid. Fuller, p. 41. Dugdale's Peerage, vol. 1. p. 777.

they called the college of Corpus Christi and St. Mary; but its most common name hath always been Bennet College, from St. Bennet's church (20).

Trinity hall. William Bateman, bishop of Norwich, founded Trinity hall, in Cambridge, about A. D. 1350, for one master, two fellows, and three scholars, who were all to be students of the civil and canon law (21).

Gonvil

About the fame time Edmond Gonvil, parson of Terrington and Rushworth, in Norfolk, sounded a college in Cambridge, for a master and twenty scholars, which he called *Gonvil hall*, and by his last will lest a considerable sum of money to William Bateman bishop of Norwich, together with directions for perfecting that soundation, which he performed (22).

Almost all the above halls and colleges in both univerfities were comparatively small at first; but by subsequent benefactions they have become the most magnificent and

opulent feats of learning in Europe.

Great number of fludents.

and Stam-

ford.

The number of scholars in the two universities of England in this period was very great. The famous Richard Fitz-Ralph, archbishop of Armaugh, in an oration against the mendicant friars, which he pronounced before the pope and cardinals, A.D. 1357, made the following declaration :- "Even in my time, there were thirty thoufand students in the university of Oxford, and at pre-66 fent there are hardly fix thousand; which prodigious "diminution is chiefly owing to the mendicant friars, " who entice and delude fo many of the young scholars " to enter into their order, that parents are afraid to fend " their children to the university (23)." We shall be more disposed to believe the above declaration, when we confider, that besides all the above colleges that had been lately founded, there were at that time between two and three hundred private halls in Oxford, in which scholars refided, and almost an equal number of schools, in which they studied and attended lectures; and when we reflect also, that this university was frequented by great multitudes of scholars from Scotland, Ireland, and the contineut, as well as by the youth of England and Wales (24).

Universities The two universities of England in this period were of Northampton frequently disturbed, and sometimes almost ruined, by

(20) Stow, p. 1058. Fuller, p. 44. (22) Stow, p. 1058. Fuller, p. 50.

(23) Bulzi Hist. Univers. Paris. tom. 4. p. 339. A. Wood, lib. 1. p. 77.

(24) A. Wood, patim.

violent

<sup>(21)</sup> Id. p. 47.

violent quarrels among the scholars, or between them and the townsmen. In the quarrels among the scholars, the southern English, Welsh, and Irish, commonly formed one party, against the northern English and Scots (25). Many of the members of both universities, being desirous of avoiding these quarrels, retired to Northampton, A. D. 1260; and, with the permission of Henry III. began to form a new university. But the people of Oxford and Cambridge sound means to prevail upon that prince to dissolve this new university, and to command the members of it to return to the places of their sormer residence, A. D. 1265 (26). About thirty years after, the university of Stamford began, and terminated in the same manner (27).

So many schools were founded, and so many sciences Third unitaught, in London and its environs, in this period, that versity of England

taught, in London and its environs, in this period, that it was (not very improperly) called a third university (28). Edward III. built a college at Westminster for the study of divinity, which was called St. Stephen's college, and was dissolved by Henry VIII. A. D. 1530 (29). Archbishop Bradwardine founded a theological lecture in St. Paul's church, in London, A. D. 1344; and the samous John of Gaunt duke of Lancaster built and endowed a college for divines in St. Paul's church-yard (30). But as it would be tedious to enumerate all the schools that were erected in London and its environs in this period, it may be sufficient to refer such readers as desire more particular information to the work quoted below (31).

Soon after the chief courts of justice were firmly fixed Law uniat Westminster, in conformity to an article in the Great versity. Charter, a famous school or university for the study of the law was gradually established in the neighbourhood of that place, consisting of several colleges, commonly called

Inns of Court and of Chancery. These inns or colleges were at first few and inconsiderable; but before the end of our present period, they were become numerous and flourishing. This appears from the following very distinct description of them by sir John Fortescue, who was

<sup>(25)</sup> A. Wood, lib. 1. p. 123, &c. Fuller, p. 12. (26) Fuller, p. 13, 14. A. Wood, lib. 1. p. 110. 113.

<sup>(26)</sup> Fuller, p. 13, 14. A. Wood, lib. 1. p. 110. 113 (27) Id. ibid. p. 156. 159.

<sup>(28)</sup> See Sir George Buc's third University of England, at the end of Stowe's Chronicle, p. 1063. (29) Id. p. 1066. (30) Id. ibid. (31) Sir George Buc's Discourse of the third University of England.

a student in one of these inns of court about A. D. 1416: "The laws are studied in a public manner and place. "It is situated near the king's palace at Westminster, " where the courts of law are held, and in which law-pro-"ceedings are pleaded and argued. Here in term-time, the students of the law attend in great numbers, as it were to public schools, and are there instructed in all " forts of law-learning, and in the practice of the courts. "The fituation of the place where they refide and study is between Westminster and the city of London.-"There belong to it ten lesser inns, and sometimes more, which are called the Inns of Chancery; in each of which "there are an hundred students at least, and in some of them a far greater number, though not constantly re-66 fiding. The students are for the most part young men. "Here they study the nature of original and judicial writs, which are the very first principles of the law. " After they have made some progress here, and are more advanced in years, they are admitted into the inns of court properly fo called. Of these there are four in number. In that which is least frequented, there are about two hundred students.—There is both in the inns of court, and the inns of chancery, a fort of an " academy or gymnasium, where the students learn singing and all kinds of music, dancing, and such other complishments and diversions as are fuitable to perco fons of their quality, and are usually practifed at court. 44 At other times out of term, the greater part apply co themselves to the study of the law. Upon festival days, and after the offices of the church are over, they employ themselves in the study of facred and profane hiftory.—I need not be particular in describing the manner and method how the laws are studied in those oplaces. But I may fay in general, that it is pleafant, " and excellently well adapted for proficiency (32)." It is hardly necessary to observe that the establishment of this law-university was one very happy consequence of fixing the chief courts of justice at one certain place, and contributed not a little to inspire the young nobility and gentry of England (who generally received fome part of their education at the inns of court) with a taste for learning.

(32) Sir John Fortescue De laudibus legum Anglia, chap. 48, 49.

THE

#### IST H R

OF

# GREAT BRITAIN.

## O O K IV.

#### CHAP. V.

History of the Arts in Great Britain, from the death of king John, A. D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D. 1399.

## SECTION I.

History of the necessary Arts in Great Britain, from A. D. 1216, to A. D. 1399.

THE most common and capital operations in agricul- New inventure, architecture, and other necessary arts, are perform-tions or ed in the fame manner, or nearly in the fame manner, great im-through many fucceeding ages, in every country into in the newhich they have been introduced. It is not necessary cessary arts. therefore, in a work of this nature, to give a description of these permanent operations in every period, which would occasion many tedious and disgusting repetitions. For this reason it is thought sufficient to give an account only of fuch new inventions, or confiderable alterations Vol. IV.

in the feveral arts, in each period, as made their first appearance, and became conspicuous in that period.

No great improvements in agriculture.

It is not to be imagined that very many and great improvements were made in agriculture in the period we are now examining, as the circumstances of the country, and manners of its inhabitants, were unfavourable to fuch improvements. The country was almost constantly involved in war, which diverted the attention of the people, and particularly of the nobility, from the improvement of their lands by agriculture. A taste for this art was even efteemed dishonourable in a person of high rank; and Edward II. was bitterly reproached, as well as much despised, for his fondness for agriculture, and neglect of military exercifes (1). The great barons and prelates, who were the chief proprietors of the foil, kept prodigious quantities of land in their own immediate possession, which they cultivated partly by their flaves or villains, and partly by their tenants, who were obliged to neglect their own farms, and labour for their lords, whenever they were called (2). Now as these slaves and tenants had little or no interest in the success of their labours, it is not to be supposed that they were very anxious about performing them in the best manner. We may form an idea of the quantity of land which fome great prelates kept in their own possession by the following account of the flock upon the lands of the bishopric of Winchester, delivered to bishop Wykeham, A. D. 1367, by the executors of his predecessor, -viz. 127 draught-horses, 1556 head of black cattle, 3876 wethers, 4777 ewes, 3541 lambs, besides the sum of 16621. 10s. equivalent to 20,000l. of our money at prefent, which they paid for the deficiency of that stock (3).

Destructive

The frequent and very destructive samines which prevailed in Britain in this period have been considered as presumptive proofs of the impersect state of agriculture. Of these I shall mention only two, which seem to have been the most severe. There was so great a samine A. D. 1258, that no sewer than sisteen thousand persons (as we are told by a writer who lived at St. Alban's at that time) died in London of hunger, besides many

<sup>(1)</sup> Monachi Malmf. Vita Ed. H. edit. a T. Hear, A. D. 1721. p. 136.

<sup>(2)</sup> Kennet's Parochial Antiquities, p. 495, &c. (3) Biograph. Britan. 1A edit. Sup. p. 207.

thousands who perished for want of food in other places (4). But that famine which began A. D. 1314, and continued to rage for three years both in England and Scotland, must have been still more destructive: for in the course of that dearth a quarter of wheat, it is said, was fold for forty shillings, equivalent to thirty pounds of our money at prefent; though in the former famine, A. D. 1258, it had never exceeded fixteen shillings (5). On this occasion the parliament of England interposed, and fixed the price of provisions of all kinds by law: but it was foon found that this law prevented the bringing provisions to market, and it was therefore repealed. The king, in a proclamation which he published at this time, prohibiting the making of malt, and brewing of ale, favs,-" that if this was not prevented immediate-" ly, not only the poor, but people of the middle rank, " would inevitably perish for want of food (6)." In a word, we learn, from the concurring testimony of several historians who lived in those times, or foon after, that prodigious multitudes of people died of hunger, or of difeases contracted by the use of unwholesome food; and that many were tempted to perpetrate acts of the most unnatural cruelty, to prolong their wretched lives (7). It may however be observed, that the historians who give an account of those deplorable famines, ascribe them to unfavourable feafons, and not to bad husbandry; and it is also true, that there may be such seasons as will baffle all the efforts of the most industrious and skilful husbandmen (8). It must likewise be acknowledged, that at fome times in this period grain of all kinds was very plentiful, and fold at a very low rate. A quarter of wheat, A. D. 1288, was fold in some parts of England for twenty pence, in others for fixteen pence, and in others for a Shilling (9).

Though I have not been able to diffcover that any Operations new operations of great importance in agriculture were in agriculintroduced in this period, it plainly appears, that all ture per-

formed better than in

(4) M. Paris, Hift. Angl. ann. 1258. p. 653.

(5) Tyrel, vol. 4. p. 263. from Rol. Par. 8th Ed. II. Parliament. riods. Hift. vol. 1. p. 151.

(6) Johannes de Trokelowe, Annal. Ed. II. p. 37, &c.

(7) Id. ibid. Monach. Malmi. p. 166. T. Walfingham, p. 108.

(8) M. Paris, p. 653.

(9) T. Walfing. Yypodigma Neuftriæ, p. 476.

those which had been before in use,—as inclosing, fallowing, manuring, &c. were now performed more univerfally, and with greater dexterity, than in former times. Inclosing was carried on fo brifkly, that the lands of England were in general inclosed with ditches and hedges, with trees planted in the hedge-rows, before the end of this period. "The feeding lands (fays fir John "Fortefcue) are likewife inclosed with hedge-rows and "ditches, planted with trees, which protect the flocks " and herds from bleak winds and fultry heats (10)." Summer-fallowing of fields for wheat was practifed as much, if not more, in England, in the thirteenth century, than it is at prefent. It was then a kind of rule among farmers, to have one-third of their arable lands in fallow (11). In the law-book called Fleta, which was composed in the reign of Edward I. very particular directions are given as to the most proper times and best manner of ploughing and dreffing fallows (12). The farmer is there directed to plough no deeper in fummer than is necessary for destroying the weeds; not to lay on his manure till a little before the last ploughing, which is to be with a deep and narrow furrow. Rules are also given,-for the changing and chusing feed ;-for proportioning the quantity of different kinds of feed to be fown on an acre, according to the nature of the foil, and the degree of richness; -for collecting and compounding manures, and accommodating them to the grounds on which they are to be laid; -for the best seasons for sowing feeds of different kinds on all the variety of foils;and, in a word, for performing every operation in hufbandry, at the best time, and in the best manner (13). In the fame work, the duties and business of the steward, bailiff, and overfeer, of a manor, and of all the other persons concerned in the cultivation of it, are explained at full length, and with fo much good fenfe, that if they were well performed, the manor could not be ill cultivated (14).

<sup>(10)</sup> Fortescue, De Laudibus Legum Anglia, chap. 29.

<sup>(11)</sup> Fleta, 10. 2. chap. 72. p. 159. (12) Id. ibid. chap. 73. p. 163.

<sup>(12)</sup> Fleta, lib. 2. cn. 72, 73. 76. (14) Ibid. ch. 72-88.

Gardening, one of the most pleasant parts of agricul-Gardening. ture, was not neglected in this period. Almost every great castle, and larger monastery, had, besides a kitchengarden, a herbary or physic-garden, a pomarium or orchard; and some of them had also vineyards. The monks of Dunstable were at much expence, A. D. 1294, in repairing the walls about the garden, and also the walls about the herbary of their priory; and the herbary mentioned in Chaucer's Nonne's priest's tale, appears to have been well stored with medicinal herbs, shrubs, &c. (15). The orchards of the great barons and prelates, as well as of the richer convents, contained a variety of fruit-trees which are commonly believed to have been brought into Britain at a much later time. The historians of this period commonly conclude the annals of every year with an account of the feafons, and of the abundance or fcarcity of corns, fruits, and herbage. Matthew Paris, in the conclusion of his history of A. D. 1257, observes, that the feafons had been very unfavourable, which had produced a famine, of which many of the common people died, "That apples were scarce, pears still " fearcer; but that cherries, plums, figs, and all kinds " of fruits included in shells, were almost quite de-66 stroyed (16)."

The historians of this period sometimes mention vine-vineyards. dressers and vineyards. The prior of Dunstaple paid into the exchequer, a sum of money for an amercement which had been incurred by Stephen and Peter his vine-dressers, A. D. 1220 (17). Ralph, abbot of St. Augustine's, Canterbury, caused vines to be planted in a field at Nordhome, A. D. 1320, which (as we are are told by the historian of that monastery, who had often seen them) did him great honour, and proved very profitable to the society (18). It is hardly credible, that these historians could be guilty of so gross an abuse of words, as to call a common gardener vinitor, and a common orchard of apple-trees vinea. An act of parliament that was made A. D. 1423, for regulating the capacity or measure of

<sup>(15)</sup> Annal, de Dunstaple, ad an. 1294. Chaucer's Works, edit. Urry, p. 170. Walpole's Anecdotes of Painting, vol. 1. p. 17.

<sup>(16)</sup> M. Paris, ad an. 1257. p. 645.

<sup>(17)</sup> Annal. de Dunstap. ad an. 1220. p. 94.

<sup>(18)</sup> Chron. W. Thorn, apud X. Script. col. 2036.

tuns, pipes, tertians, and hogsheads of wine, was framed to comprehend those for wines made at home, as well as for wines imported. "It is ordained and stablished, that " no man, after the end of twelve months from the feast 66 of Easter next coming, shall bring into the realm of " England, from what country foever it be, nor make within the same realm, a tun of wine, except it con-" tain of the English measure two hundred and fifty-two " gallons, &c. upon pain of forfeiture of the fame " wine (19)." This feems to indicate, that the wines made in England were confiderable for their quantity, and that they were of the fame kind with foreign wines. though probably of an inferior quality.

Treatifes on It is a curious circumstance, that not only treatifes written in Latin.

agriculture composed at this time for the instruction of farmers, and their fervants, down to the fwine-herd, were written in Latin; but even the accounts of the expences and profits of farms and dairies were kept in that language (20). The Latin of these accounts, it must be confessed, was not perfectly classical; as will appear from the following fhort specimen:- "Et pro uno seedcod empto " nid .- Et pro uno cartsadel uno colero cum uno pari " tractuum emptis xivd .- Et pro factura de drawgere "iiid.-Et pro uno dongecart empto xivd.-Et pro sar-" ratione et delatione unius cartbody vid (21)."

Architecin the preceding pe-

As the facred, civil, and military architecture of this ture nearly period was nearly in the same style with that which was the same as introduced towards the end of the preceding period, and which hath been already described, it will not be necessary to dwell long on that subject, in this place (22).

Sacred architecture.

Building churches and monafteries being still believed to be one of the most effectual means of obtaining the pardon of fin and the favour of heaven, prodigious numbers of both were built in Britain, in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. In the reign of Henry III. alone, no fewer than one hundred and fifty-feven abbies, priories, and other religious houses, were founded in England (23). Many of the cathedral and conventual churches were very

(19) Ruffhead's Statute at Large, vol. 1. p. 527.

(23) Grofe's Antiquities, vol. 1. preiace, p. 32.

<sup>(20)</sup> Fleta, lib. 2. chap. 72-88. Kennet's Parochial Antiquities, 0. 548. 570.

<sup>(21)</sup> Kennet's Parochial Antiquities, p. 549, &c. (22) See vol. 3.

large, lofty, and magnificent fabrics; which were raifed at a very great expence of labour, time, and money. Of this a careful inspection of the cathedrals of York, Salifbury, Litchfield, Worcester, Gloucester, Ely, Winchefter, and feveral others, which were built in this period, will afford the most fatisfactory proof; and at the fame time will give the clearest ideas of the style of facred architecture which then prevailed. This style was what is commonly called the lighter Gothic, with some variations. In the thirteenth century, the fashionable pillars in churches were of Purbic marble, very flender and round, encompassed with marble shafts a little detached, having each a capital adorned with foliage, which joining, formed one elegant capital for the whole pillar. The windows were long and narrow, with pointed arches and painted glass, which was introduced about that time, or at least became more common. In this century also they began to delight in lofty steeples, with spires and pinnacles. In the fourteenth century, the pillars confifted of an affemblage of shafts not detached, but united, forming one folid and elegant column; the windows, especially those in the east and west ends, were greatly enlarged, divided into feveral lights, by stone-mullions, running into ramifications above, and forming numerous compartments in various fanciful shapes. Those windows, filled with stained glass of the most lively colours, representing kings, faints, and martyrs, and their histories, made a most solemn and glorious appearance. There were feveral other variations, especially in the taste of the carvings and other ornaments, which are too minute for general history (24).

The opulence of the clergy, and zeal of the laity, Society of furnished ample funds for building so great a number of free-magnificent churches, monasteries, and religious houses, that it was with great difficulty workmen could be procured to execute those pious works. The popes, for very obvious reasons, favoured the erection and endowment of churches and convents; and granted many indulgences, by their bulls, to the society of masons, in order to increase their numbers. These indulgences produced their full effect in those superstitious times; and that society be-

<sup>(24)</sup> See Preface to Grose's Antiquities, Bentham's History of Ely, Wren's Parentalia.

came very numerous, and raifed a prodigious multitude of magnificent churches about this time in feveral countries: " For (as we are told by one who was well ac-" quainted with their hiftory and constitution) the Itali-" ans, with some Greek refugees, and with them French, "Germans, and Flemings, joined into a fraternity of " architects, procuring papal bulls for their encourage-" ment, and particular privileges; they flyled themfelves "Freemasons, and ranged from one nation to another, " as they found churches to be built (for very many in "those ages that were every where in building, through " piety or emulation): their government was regular; " and where they fixed near the building in hand, they " made a camp of huts. A furveyor governed in chief; " every tenth man was called a warden, and overlooked " each nine. The gentlemen in the neighbourhood, ei-" ther out of charity or commutation of penance, gave "the materials and carriages. Those who have seen the " accounts in records of the charge of the fabrics of some of our cathedrals, near four hundred years old, can-" not but have a great effeem for their œconomy, and " admire how foon they erected fuch lofty ftruc-66 tures (25)."

Construction of cassles.

The great barons and prelates of Britain still continued to refide in castles, which served them at once for dwelling and defence. The general plan of these castles hath been already described; and that plan was for the most part followed in the prefent period (26). The chief towers, commonly called the keeps, of feveral of these castles, have lately been examined with great attention; from whence it appears, that they were contrived with wonderful art to answer the following purposes, which they had in view in their construction: 1. To render the entrance or gate at once magnificent and impregnable.-2. To secure the garrison, and to enable them to annoy the befiegers.—3. To delude the befiegers to attack the strongest parts, by giving them an appearance of weaknefs .- 4. To put their prisoners, provisions, and implements of war, cut of the reach of danger .- 5. To convey the engines of war to any place of the castle with case and expedition .- 6. To communicate intelligence in

a moment to any part of the building.-7. To supply the garrifon with water. - 8. To convey away the fmoke and filth.-o. To provide a commodious and fafe habitation for the lord of the castle and his family. For the various contrivances to answer these purposes, the reader must be referred to the work quoted below (27); only, as a specimen, I shall mention the contrivance they employed to fecure a constant supply of water to every apartment. The tower was divided within into two equal parts, by a thick partition-wall of masonry, from the bottom to the top. The well for fupplying the garrison with water, was under the foundation of this partition wall; and the pipe of it was carried up in the middle of the wall to the leads of the castle, where the pully for drawing the water was fixed. The people on each floor had access to the pipe of the well, for furnishing themselves with water, by a fmall arched opening in the partition-wall. From the ground-floor to the water, little fquare cavities were cut in the fides of the pipe, at proper distances, by which a person might descend to cleanse the well. It seems to be impossible to invent a more effectual method than this to prevent the garrison from being deprived of the necessary article of water; and it may be truly faid, that the contrivances to answer their other purposes were no less artful and ingenious (28). It must, however, be confessed, that the great barons and prelates of this period facrificed their conveniency to their fecurity; which feems to have been their chief concern in the construction of their castles; the apartments of which were commonly gloomy, the bed-chambers few and fmall, the passages narrow and intricate, and the stairs steep and dark.

The arts of refining and working metals are fo useful Metallic in themselves, and so necessary to the practice of other arts, arts, that they merit some attention in every period. The keen pursuit of the philosopher's stone, in which many ingenious men were at this time engaged, contributed not a little to make them better acquainted with the nature and composition of metals, and with the arts of compounding, melting, and refining them. With the arts of tempering and polishing steel, and thereof sabricating de-

<sup>(27)</sup> Mr. King's Observations on ancient Castles.

fensive armour and offensive arms, they were well acquainted. Of copper they not only made many useful utenfils, but even statues. The sum of four hundred pounds was paid, A.D. 1395, to Nicolas Broker and Godfrey Priest, citizens of London, and coppersmiths. for two statues, one of the king and another of the queen, made of copper, and gilt, with crowns on their heads, their right hands joined, and holding scepters in their left hands (29). Statues of brass were still more common in churches, and on monuments (30). The goldfmiths and jewellers were very numerous, and some of them excelled in their profession. The goldsmiths of London represented to Edward HI. A.D. 1341, that many of their workmen had loft their fight by the heat of fire and the fumes of quick-filver; and that feveral others had become paralytic, infirm, and weak, by performing other parts of their work; and upon this reprefentation, and their petition, that prince granted them leave to found and endow an hospital for the reception of these who had lost their fight, or their health. in their fervice (31). This feems to indicate, that workmen of that kind, at that time, in London, were very numerous. That some of them excelled in their profesfion, appears from the testimony of contemporary writers, and records, and from their descriptions of many beautiful pieces of gold and filver plate. Alan de Walfingham, a monk of Ely, in the thirteenth century, and feveral others, are celebrated for their superior skill in the goldsmith's art; and it is impossible to peruse the description of the gold and filver plate and jewels taken from Piers Gavaston, the unfortunate favourite of Edward II. by the earls of Lancaster and Warwick, without admiring both the quantity and workmanship (32). Some pieces of the filver plate in that collection are faid to have been worth four times the quantity of filver which they contained (33). At the triumphant entry of Richard II. and his good queen Anne, into London, A. D. 1392, the citizens, besides many other gifts, presented a crown of gold to the king, and another to the queen, both of great va-

(30) Walpole's Anecdotes of Painting, vol. 1. p. 20.

<sup>(29)</sup> Madox Firma Burgi, p. 33, note (0).

<sup>(31)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 5. p. 246.

<sup>(32)</sup> T. Walfing, Hill. Ang. p. 104. Rvm. tom. 3. p. 388. Walles Accolotes of Painting, vol. 1. ch. 1, &cc. (33) Id. ibid. prie's Anecdotes of Painting, vol. 1. ch. 1, &cc.

lue, at the Fountain in Cheapside; and when the procesfion had advanced a little further, they prefented a table of gold, with a representation of the Trinity upon it. worth eight hundred pounds, equivalent to eight or ten thousand pounds of our money, to the king; and another table of gold, with the figure of St. Anne upon it, of equal value, to the queen (34). There is the fullest evidence, that England was very rich in gold and filver plate in this period: for, besides the immense masses of those precious metals in the cathedral, conventual, and other churches, made into images, altar-tables, veffels and utenfils of various kinds, fome of the nobles had greater quantities of plate than we could imagine. When the palace of the Savoy, belonging to John of Gaunt duke of Lancaster, was burnt, with all its rich furniture, in the great infurrection, A. D. 1381, the keeper of the duke's wardrobe declared, upon oath, That the filver, filvergilt, and gold plate, in that palace, would have loaded five carts (35). The arts of gilding works made of other metals with gold, and of emboffing and enchasing gold and filver plate, were well known in this period. Gilt plate and gilt statues are frequently mentioned by our ancient historians; and we may be certain, that the figures representing the Trinity and St. Anneupon the two tables of gold, prefented by the citizens of London to Richard H. and his queen, were embofied or enchased (26). Nor was the still more delicate art of enamelling plate and jewels unknown in the times we are now confidering. It appears, from the descriptive catalogue published by Mr. Rymer, that besides jewels there were several pieces of enamelled plate in the collection of Piers Gavafton (37).

The arts of cutting and fetting precious stones in Lapidaries crowns, rings, and other ornaments, though they are ra-art. ther ornamental than necessary, may not improperly be introduced in this place, as they are so nearly connected with the metallic arts. They were far from being unknown in Britain in this period: for it is not credible, that all the jewels (which appear to have been very numerous

<sup>(34)</sup> Knyghton, apud X Script. col. 2740. (35) Id. ibid. col. 2635. (36) Madox Firma Burgi p. 33. note (0). Anglia Sacra, tom. 1. P. 414. Knyghton, col. 2740.

and valuable in the possession of our kings, nobles, and prelates, at this time, were of foreign workmanship. Though Henry III. was one of the most indigent princes that ever filled the throne of England, he had many curious and valuable jewels, which he was fometimes obliged to pawn. Among the jewels which he gave in pawn to the king of France, A. D. 1261, for five thousand marks, and relieved A. D. 1272, there were no fewer than 324 gold rings, fet with precious stones of various kinds (38).

Art of making clocks.

It is not known to whom we are indebted for the invention of the ingenious and ufeful art of making clocks of metal for measuring time and striking the hours. The first clock we hear of in Britain was placed in the old clock-tower opposite to the gate of Westminster-hall, and is faid to have been purchased with part of a fine of 800 marks imposed upon Randolff de Hengham, chief justice of the king's-bench, A. D. 1288 (39). Soon after this (A. D. 1292) another clock, which cost 30l. equivalent to 400l. of our money at present, was fet up in the cathedral of Canterbury (40). These most ancient clocks were probably imported, or made by a foreign artist. For about feventy years after this, Edward III. invited three foreign clock-makers, viz. John Uninam, William Uninam, and John Lutnyt of Delit, to come into England, and granted them his royal protection to exercife their trade of clock-making in any part of his kingdom, without molestation (41). The defign of this protection certainly was, to increase the number of these artiffs in his dominions, that their works might be more eafily obtained. By these means, clocks were not uncommon in England, especially in cathedral and conventual churches, before the end of the fourteenth century. Chaucer compares the crowing of a cock to a churchorgan for fweetness, and to a church-clock for exactness as to time:

> His voice was merier than the merie orgon, On maffe dayis that in the churches gon, Wel fikerer was his crowing in his loge, Than is a clock, or abbaye horologe (41).

Clocks

<sup>(38)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 1. p. 730. 788. (39) Selden, Pref. to Hengham. Coke's 3d Inft. p. 72. 4th Inft. p. (40) Dart's Canterbury, Append. p. 3. (41) Rym. Fæd. tom. 6, p. 590. (42) Chaucer's Works, p. 169.

Clocks were not only numerous, but the art of making them was brought to a confiderable degree of perfection in England before the end of this period. This appears from the following description of an astronomical clock made by Richard de Wallingford, abbot of St. Alban's in the reign of Richard II. Leland, who feems to have feen and examined this famous clock, having told us that Richard de Wallingford was the greatest mathematician, astronomer, and mechanic of his age, proceeds in this manner: " After he was chosen abbot, his ardent love of " learning and intense application to study, did not in the " least abate. On the contrary, being now possessed of "wealth and leifure, he refolved to leave a lafting mo-" nument of his ingenuity, art, and learning. With "this view, he fabricated, at a great expence of money, "thought, and labour, a most wonderful clock, which " reprefents the revolutions of the fun and moon,—the " fixed stars, the ebbing and flowing of the fea,-be-" fides an almost infinite number of other lines and fi-" gures. When he had finished this astonishing piece of " mechanism, to which, in my opinion, there is nothing " in Europe comparable, he composed a book of direc-" tions for managing and keeping it in order, that it " might not be ruined by the ignorance of the 66 monks (43)."

Watches were also made, or at least used, in Britain, wutcher not long after the beginning of the sourteenth century. A making watch of that date was lately found by some labourers at Bruce-castle in Fischire, and is now in the possession of his present majesty, the illustrious descendent of its original proprietor, the heroic Robert Bruce, king of Scotland from A. D. 1306 to A. D. 1320. This very curious piece of antiquity is thus described by a learned and honourable gentleman, who examined it with attention:

"The outer case is of silver, raised, in rather a handsome pattern, over a ground of blue enamel; and I think I can distinguish a cypher of R. B. at each corner of the enchased work. On the dial-plate is written Robertus B. Rex Scottorum, and over it is a convex transparent horn, instead of the glasses which we use at present.—

<sup>(43)</sup> Leland de Scriptoribus Britannici, to n. 2. p. 104.

"This very fingular watch is not of a larger fize than "those which are now in common use (44).

Cloth mannfacture.

The people of Flanders and the Netherlands had long been the chief manufacturers of woollen cloth in Europe. and had thereby acquired immense wealth, which naturally excited the envy and emulation of other nations (45). The English in particular having great quantities of the most excellent wool, by degrees became fensible of the great advantages with which the manufacturing of it at home would be attended; and from time to time encouraged that manufactory (46). But that great and wife prince Edward III. made the most vigorous and successful efforts to that purpose. In the fifth year of his reign, A. D. 1331, John Kempe, a famous woollen-manufacturer of Flanders, came into England with his workmen and apprentices, and was most graciously received by Edward; who took him under his immediate protection. and published a proclamation, promising the like protection and favour to all foreign weavers and fullers who would come and fettle in England (47). In confequence of that invitation, no fewer than feventy families of Walloons came and fettled in England the fame year; and these were followed by many others in the succeeding years of that reign (48).

Laws for the encouragement of the mawoollen cloth.

The parliament of England feconded the prudent and patriotic views of that prince, by making feveral statutes for the encouragement of the woollen manufactory, nufacturing A. D. 1337. By one of these statutes, the exportation of wool, either by foreigners or denizens, is made felony, until the king and his council shall order it otherwife; by another, it is enacted, that no foreign cloths shall be imported into the king's dominions, under the penalty of the forfeiture of the cloths, and the importer to be punished at the king's will; by a third, none were to wear any foreign cloths except the royal family; and by a fourth, cloth-workers of all countries were invited to come into the king's dominions, by promifes of pro-

(48) Id. ibid. p. 723. 751.

<sup>(44)</sup> Archæologia, vol. 5. p. 419, 420. (45) Gervas, apud X Script. col. 1349,

<sup>(46)</sup> See vol. 3. chap. 5. (47) Rym. Fæd. tom. 4. p. 456.

tection and encouragement (49). Though these laws were premature, and could not be executed in their full extent at that time, they had a great effect, and contributed very much to the establishment of the woollen ma-

nusacture in England.

The people in general, and the weavers in particular, Foreign did not immediately perceive the falutary tendency of manufacthese measures of the king and parliament. On the turers and contrary, they were much offended to fee fuch crowds of foreign weavers fettling in all the principal towns of England, and thriving by their skill and industry. In London those hated foreigners were so cruelly insuited, that their lives were continually in danger. To put a ftop to those outrages, which threatened the disappointment of his defigns, Edward issued a mandate to the mayor and sheriffs of London, A. D. 1344, to apprehend every person who gave any disturbance to the foreign cloth-weavers, to commit them to the prison of Newgate, and fend him an account of their names, that they might be punished (50).

By these and the like means, that excellent prince Different established the manufactory of woollen cloths of many kinds of

differents kind in England, in fo effectual a manner, that cloth manufactured. before the end of his reign it was in a very flourishing state. This appears from a curious paper published by Mr. Rymer, in the seventh volume of his Fædera, containing a grant from Richard II. A. D. 1382, to Cosmo Gentilis, the collector of the pope's revenues in England. to export a great many pieces of different kinds of cloths of various colours, without paving any duty (51). The first article in that grant consists of fix pieces of tapestry of a green ground, powdered with rofes, which the king fent as a prefent to the pope. If this was the manufactory of England, which is very probable, it affords fufficient evidence, that the weaving art, and the other arts connected with it, had then attained a confiderable degree of perfection.

Though the cruel and destructive art of war was never Art of war. more necessary nor more practifed in Britain than in the presentperiod, few improvements of importance in that were

<sup>(49)</sup> Statutes at Large by Mr. Ruffhead, vol. 1. p. 221. (50) Rym. Fæd. tom. 5. p. 429.

<sup>(51)</sup> Ib. ibid. tom. 7. p. 356.

art, in the course of the thirteenth century. The armies were constituted, commanded, and armed in the same manner as in the former period, which hath been already

described (52).

Military engines.

The engines employed in battering the walls of towns and castles, acted with great force, and some of them were of an enormous fize. Those used by Edward I. at the fiege of Stirling castle, A. D. 1303, threw stones of three hundred pounds weight (53). One of these stones was thrown with fo much force (if we may believe Matthew of Westminster) that it passed through both the outward walls of the castle (54). When Edward III. invaded Britanny, A. D. 1342, he carried his engines with him from the tower of London to Sandwich, with an intention to transport them to the continent; but not being able to procure a sufficient quantity of shipping to transport both his troops and engines, he left these last behind him, and gave a commission to John de Wynewyk and William de Hurle, to press as many ships in all the ports of the kingdom as would be necessary to carry back the engines to the tower (55). This is a sufficient proof that those instruments of destruction were of a great fize, as well as very numerous. This ancient artillery continued to be used in sieges a considerable time, some of them two centuries, after the invention of gunpowder and cannon (56).

Greek-fire.

Greek-fire continued also to be employed in war, long after the introduction of fire-arms, particularly in the attack and defence of strong places. When an English army, commanded by the martial bishop of Norwich, besieged Ypres, A.D. 1383, the garrison, it is said, defended themselves so with stones, arrows, lances, Greek-fire, and certain engines called guns, that they obliged the English to raise the siege with such precipitation that they left behind them their great guns, which were of inestimable value (57). A part of that army was soon after besieged in the town of Burbourgh, by the French, who threw such quantities of Greek-fire into it, that they

(57) T. Walfing. p. 303.

<sup>(52)</sup> See vol. 3. (53) W. Hemmingford, p. 205-(54) Mat. Westminst. lib. 2. p. 448.

<sup>(55)</sup> Rym. Food. tom. 5, p. 350.

<sup>(56)</sup> P. Daniel. Histoire de la Milice Francoise, tom. 1. p. 319.

burnt a third part of the town, which obliged the English

to capitulate (58).

The cross-bow was confidered as so destructive an in- Cross-bows. strument, that the use of it amongst Christians against one another was prohibited by a canon of, the fecond council of Lateran, A.D. 1139, and by a bull of pope Innocent III. in the beginning of the thirteenth century, which for a time had their effect (59). But by degrees these prohibitions were difregarded, the cross-bow was refumed, and continued in use during the whole of this period. It was a very destructive instrument, throwing arrows or quarrels to a great distance. These quarrels were larger than other arrows, fome of them were made

of brass, and pointed with steel (60).

It may feem furprifing, that the invention of gunpow-Reafons der made fo little alteration in the art of war for fo long why fire-a time. This was owing to feveral causes. The art of arms made making gunpowder was long very imperfect, and known fo little to few; and the art of making instruments proper for the art of applying it to the purposes of war was still more imper-war. fect. In consequence of this, both gunpowder and firearms were long very scarce and very dear. We cannot fuppose that the cannons which the English left behind them when they raised the siege of Ypres, A.D. 1383, were either very large or very numerous; and yet we are told by a contemporary historian, that their value was inestimable. The same historian relates, that an English fleet, A. D. 1386, took two French ships with valuable cargoes; and a quantity of gunpowder was found in one of them, which was of greater value than all the other commodities (61). Besides this, the warriors of those times were in possession of very powerful instruments of destruction, with the management of which they were well acquainted, and therefore we may prefume that they were not very forward in adopting new ones of fo different a nature.

But though the invention of gunpowder and fire-arms did not produce immediately any very remarkable change in military matters; yet by flow degrees, and in length of time, it brought about an almost total alteration in the

VOL. IV.

Ff

ant

<sup>(58)</sup> T. Walfing. p. 304. (60) Rym. Fæd. tom. 3. p. 16.

<sup>(59)</sup> P. Daniel, tom. 2. p. 308. (61) T. Walfing. p. 323.

art of war: and therefore it may be proper to pay fome attention to the progress of this great revolution.

Invention of gunpowder.

That the ingredients of gunpowder, and the art of making it, were known to our ingenious countryman Roger Bacon, is undeniable (62). But that humane philosopher, dreading the confequences of communicating this discovery to the world, transposed the letters of the Latin words which fignify charcoal, which made the whole obscure (63). By this means he rendered it difficult to discover this dangerous fecret by the perufal of his works, and at the fame time secured to himself the honour of having known it, if it should be discovered by any other person. This accordingly happened not long after Bacon's death: for about the beginning of the fourteenth century one Barthold Schwartz, a German monk and chymist, accidentally discovered gunpowder as he was pounding saltpetre, fulphur, and charcoal in a mortar, for fome other purpose (64).

Introducarms.

It is difficult to discover the exact time when gunpowtion of fire- der and fire-arms were first employed in war by the British nations. If we may give credit to John Barbour, archdeacon of Aberdeen, in his metrical life of king Robert Bruce, Edward III. had cannon (which that author calls crakys of war) in his first campaign against the Scots, A. D. 1327. On that occasion, he acquaints us, the Scots observed two great novelties in the English army which he thus describes:

> Two novelties that day they faw, That forouth in Scotland had been nane, Timbers for helmes was the ane, That they thought then of great beautie, And allo wonder for to fee. The other crakys were of war, That they before heard never air (65).

It is probable that the archdeacon received this anecdote from fome of his countrymen who had been in the Scotch army, and heard these crakys of war; as he wrote his book only about forty years after that time. It feems to

<sup>(62)</sup> Baconi Epistola de Secretis Operibus Artis et Natura, chap. 11. (63) Sed tamen falis petræ, luru mope can whre (carbonum pulvere), et fulphuris; et sic facies tonitrum et corrutcationem, si scias artificium.

<sup>(64)</sup> Du Cange Gloff. voce Bombarda. (65) Barbour's Life of Bruce, p. 408, 409.

have been feveral years after this, when the Scots first made use of canon; which it is probable they received from France; for a fleet confifting of five large ships, loaded with men and arms, arrived in Scotland from France A. D. 1339, which encouraged the Scots to attempt the recovery of those strong places which the English still possessed in Scotland. With the assistance of these auxiliaries they took Perth, and then besieged the castle of Stirling; and being informed that an army was ready to march from England to its relief, they battered the place with cannon and other engines, and compelled the garrison to capitulate (66). That fire-arms were used in France at that time, and before it, appears from the following article in the accounts of the treasurer of war, A. D. 1338:-" To Henry de Faumichan, for gun-66 powder and other things necessary for the cannon at the " fiege of Puii Guillaume (67)." Edward III. had cannon in his army at the famous battle of Creffy, and still more famous fiege of Calais, A. D. 1346 (68). By degrees the use of cannon became more and more common, so that in a few years the consternation that was at first produced by their explosion was very much abated. This we learn from the illustrious Petrarch, in his dialogues on the remedies of good and bad fortune, which were written A. D. 1358. In one of these dialogues between G. and R. is the following remarkable passage: "G. I have crofs-bows, and other machines of war. R. I am " furprised that you have not also some of these instru-" ments which discharge balls of metal with the most tremendous noise, and flashes of fire. These de-" structive plagues were a few years ago very rare, and "were viewed with the greatest astonishment and ad-66 miration; but now (1358) they are become as com-"mon and familiar as any other kind of arms. So quick " and ingenious are the minds of men in learning the " most pernicious arts (69)!"

Cannon, or as they were called, bombards, were the Cannon. most ancient fire-arms (70). The first cannon were very clumfy and ill contrived, wider at the mouth than

<sup>(66)</sup> Froiffart, l. 1. c. 74. (67) Du Cange Gloss. voce Bombarda. (68) J. Villani, l. 12. c. 66. Froiffart, l. 1. c. 144.

<sup>(69)</sup> Petrarch, De Remediis utriusque Fortuna, Basil edit. p. 84.

at the chamber, and so like a mortar that it is probable the idea of them was fuggested by that in which Schwartz pounded his materials when he discovered gunpowder (71). This capital error in the art of making cannon was foon corrected; but others still remained. They were all made of iron, without any mixture of other metals; fome of them were too long, and others of them too fhort (72). In a word, the art of making cannon was still very imperfect long after the conclusion of this

Made in England.

Both gunpowder and cannon were made in England in the fourteenth century. This appears from a commission given to Thomas Norwich by Richard II. A. D. 1378, to buy two great and two fmall cannon in London, or any other place, and also to buy certain quantities of faltpetre, fulphur, and charcoal, for making gunpowder (73). From the same commission, as well as from other evidences, it appears, that cannon-balls were at first frequently made of stone: for the same person is therein commanded to purchase fix hundred balls of stone. for cannon and for other engines (74).

Hand-cannon.

Besides great guns, which are still named cannon, a fmaller kind of fire-arms, called hand-cannon, came into use in this period. They were so small and light, that one of them was carried by two men, and fired from a rest fixed in the ground (75). The four hundred cannon, or the greatest part of them, with which an English army befieged St. Malo A. D. 1378, must have been of this kind (76).

Wal.

Prisoners of It was a happy circumstance, that in those turbulent times avarice gave fome check to cruelty, and many persons who might have been killed in battle were faved, and taken prisoners, for the fake of their ransoms. These ranfoms were commonly as great as the captives were capable of paying; and many prisoners were obliged to facrifice their fortunes to regain their freedom. To fay nothing of the ranfoms of the kings of France and Scotland, Bertrand du Guesceline, constable of France, who was taken by the English A. D. 1368, paid no less than

<sup>(71)</sup> P. Daniel, tom. 1. p. 322. (72) Id. ibid. 6. chap. 5.

<sup>(73)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 7. p. 187. (74) Id. ibid .. P. Daniel, tom, 1. lib. 6. p. 324.

<sup>(-6)</sup> Froiffart, tom, 2. p. 34. (75) Id. ibid. p. 321.

one hundred thousand franks of gold before he could obtain his liberty (77). By this means war became a very gainful trade to those who were so fortunate as to take many or wealthy prisoners. The famous fir Walter Manny, who acquired fo much fame and wealth by war in the reign of Edward III. gained no less than 8000f. (containing as much filver as 24,000l. and equal in value to 100,000l. of our money at present) by the prisoners he had taken in one campaign, A. D. 1340 (78). Prifoners of war were fo much the property of their captors, that they fometimes fold them, and fometimes left them in legacies to their friends; and when they did not dispose of them, thry descended to their heirs (79). But to prevent dangerous prisoners from being too easily set at liberty, the king had a power to demand them from their captors, on paying a competent fum for their ranfom, or to command their captors not to ranfom them without a royal licence (80).

### SECTION II.

History of the fine and pleasing arts of Sculpture, Painting, Poetry, and Music, in Britain, from A. D. 1216, to A. D. 1399.

SEVERAL things contributed to promote the cultivation of the fine arts in the prefent period. In particular, cultivated.—the manner of building and furnishing churches,—the forms of public worship,—the opulence of the clergy,—and the splendour and munificence of the greater barons. These things furnished constant employment, and ample rewards, to the professor of the pleasing arts, and rendered a genius for sculpture, painting, poetry, and mufic, equally honourable and profitable to the professor.

Many

<sup>(77)</sup> Proiffart, tom. 2. p. 332. (78) Rym. Fæder. tom. 5. p. 183. (79) ld. ibid. p. 531. 535.

<sup>(80)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 532. Pasquier, Recherches de la France, p. 379.

Sculpture,

Many cathedral, conventual, and other churches, were built in Britain in this period, which were in general magnificent structures, ornamented on the outsides with statues of all dimensions, and with various figures of angels, faints, popes, prelates, and monks, in basso and The statues and sculptures that were executed in France, have been better preserved than those of Britain; and plates, with descriptions of many of them, have been published by father Montfaucon; who declares,-That the sculptors of the thirteenth century greatly excelled their predecessors in several respects (1). Besides those which have been defaced by time and the injuries of the weather, many of the statues and sculptures which ornamented the churches of this island were demolished by violence at the reformation, or in the civil wars of the last century; but those few which still remain confirm the truth of father Montfaucon's declaration (2).

Statues.

That fuperstitious veneration which was universally paid to crucifixes, and to the images of the Virgin Mary, the apostles, and other saints, furnished another branch of business to the statuaries of this period; and they were excited, by the most ample rewards, to exert all their skill to give those objects of the people's devotion a graceful and venerable appearance. Several of the clergy, and particularly of the monks, applied to the pious work (as it was then esteemed) of making images for their churches, and were prompted by their religious zeal, and by the prospect of obtaining both wealth and honour, to render them as attracting as possible. Walter de Colecester, facrist of the abbey of St. Alban's, is celebrated by Matthew Paris, his contemporary, and a monk of the same abbey, as an admirable statuary; and several of his works are described as exquisitely beautiful (3).

Shrines and tombs.

The shrines of saints, with the tombs of princes, prelates, barons, knights, and their ladies, afforded further employment to the statuaries and sculptors of this period; as they were generally adorned with statues, and some of them with a great number of sigures (4). Some of these

<sup>(1)</sup> Montfaucon Monumens de la Monarchie Francoise, tom. 1.

<sup>(2)</sup> Fox's Acts and Monuments, p. 366. col. 1. (3) M. Paris, Vitæ Abbatum, p. 80, 81.

<sup>(4)</sup> See Brown Willes Catheorals, Weaver's Monuments, &c.

works were probably executed by foreign artists; as, particularly, the shrine of Edward the Confessor, in Westminster abbey, by Peter Cavalini, a Roman sculptor (5). But, upon the whole, we have fufficient evidence, that this art was cultivated with care and fuccess in Britain in this period. For, besides all the statues that were used at home, we find that fome, probably considerable numbers, were exported. Richard II. granted a licence to Cosmo Gentiles, the pope's collector in England, A. D. 1382, to export three great images, one of the Virgin Mary, one of St. Peter, and one of St. Paul, and a small image of the Holy Trinity, without paying any duty or custom for them; which feems to indicate, that certain customs were then payable on the exportation of such

commodities (6).

When sculpture was cultivated, the kindred art of Painting. painting could not be neglected. On the contrary, there are the clearest proofs remaining, that painting was cultivated with still greater diligence and fuccess than the other (7). In particular, painting appears to have flourished very much in the former part of this period, under the patronage of Henry III. who was a most munificent encourager of the fine arts (8). This prince kept feveral painters constantly in his service, as William, a monk of Westminster; William, the Florentine; and Mr. Walter, who was probably Walter de Colecester, fo much celebrated by Matthew Paris for his admirable genius for painting as well as fculpture (9). By thefe and others, many historical paintings were executed for him, in his feveral palaces of Winchester, Woodstock, Westminster, the Tower of London, Nottingham, Northampton, Windfor, Guilford, and Kenelworth. One chamber in the palace of Winchester was painted green, with stars of gold, and the whole history of the Old and New Testament (10). In one room in the palace of Westminster, and in another in the Tower of London, the history of the expedition of Richard I. into the Holy

<sup>(5)</sup> Mr. Walpole's Anecdotes of Painting, vol. 1. p. 13.

<sup>(6)</sup> Rym. Fæd. t. 7. p. 357. (7) See the learned and ingenious Mr. Walpole's Anecdotes of Painting, from p. 1. to p. 32. (8) Id. p. (9) Id. p. 15, 16. M. Paris, Vitæ Abbat. (8) Id. p. 21.

Land was painted (11). These pictures (to say nothing of many others) must have contained a prodigious number of figures; but with what degree of tafte they were executed, we have no opportunity of judging. Though fome fucceeding princes were not fo fond of paintings as Henry III. had been, the art still continued to flourish; and we have reason to believe, that good painters wanted neither patrons nor employment. The coronation, wars, marriages, and funeral of Edward I. were painted on the walls of the great hall in the episcopal palace of Litchfield, A. D. 1312, by order of bishop Langton (12). Friar Simeon faw a still more curious picture in the palace of Westminster, A. D. 1322; which he thus describes:-- "Near this monastery (of Westminster) ftands the most famous royal palace of England, in " which is that celebrated chamber, on whose walls all "the warlike histories of the whole Bible are painted 65 with inexpressible skill, and explained by a regular " and complete feries of texts, beautifully written in "French, over each battle, to the no small admiration of the beholder, and display of royal magnifi-" cence (13)." So intent was Edward III. upon finishing the paintings in the chapel of his palace of Westminster, that he granted a precept, dated 18th March, A. D. 1350, to Hugh de St. Alban, master of his painters, commanding him to imprefs all the painters in the counties of Kent, Middlefex, Effex, Surry, and Suffex, to conduct them to Westminster, and keep them in his services as long as it should be necessary. Apprehending that all these would not be sufficient, he granted similar precepts, of the same date, to John Athelard and Benedict Nightingale, to impress all the painters in the counties of Lincoln, Northampton, Oxford, Warwick, Leicester, Cambridge, Huntingdon, Norfolk, and Suffolk, for the fame purpose (14). These paintings must have been numerous and extensive, whatever they were in other respects. The truth is, that the principal churches and chapels were not only furnished with portraits of the Virgin Mary, the apostles, and other faints, but the walls of fome of them were almost covered with scriptural, moral.

(11) Auecdotes, &cc. vol. 1. p. 11.

(13) Id. ibid.

<sup>(12)</sup> Wharten's Hiftory of Poetry, vol. 2. p. 216.

<sup>(14)</sup> Rymer Fund, tom. 5. p. 670.

and allegorical paintings (15). So great and general was the taste for paintings in this period, that not only the walls of churches and palaces, but even the bed-chambers of private gentlemen, were ornamented with historical pictures. When Chaucer was roused from his famous poetical dream, he expressed his surprise, that all the gay objects which he had seen in his sleep were vanished, and he saw nothing

Save on the wals old portraiture Of horfemen, haukes, and houndis,' And hart dire all full of woundis (16).

This, I am persuaded, is a real description of the poet's bed-chamber. In the same poem, Chaucer describes a church-window:

With lives of many divers seint.

And it is well known, that painting on glass was much practifed, and brought to great perfection, in the prefent period (17). The same may be said of another species of painting, which was called illuminating. This appears from manuscripts beautifully illuminated, which are still preserved in the British Museum, and other libraries, from which several prints have been published (18). Nay, so fashionable was the study of painting in this period, that it was esteemed as necessary a part of the education of a young gentleman as writing. It is said of the squire, or knight's son in Chaucer,

Songis he could make, and well endite, Juil, and eke daunce, and well portraie and write (19).

Though Britain abounded as much with poets in the Poetry. thirteenth century as in any other period, and though they were as much admired by their contemporaries as those who flourished in better times, few or none of them are

<sup>(15)</sup> Fox's Acts and Monuments, p. 370, col. 1. Warton's History of Poetry, p. 217, note (a).
(16) Chaucer's Works, by Urry, p. 587, col. 1.

<sup>(17)</sup> Chaucer's Works, by Urry, p. 584. col. 2.

<sup>(13)</sup> See Mr. Strat, vol. 2, 3. (19) Chaucer's Works, p. 2.

now famous: their names are generally forgotten, and their works neglected. This obscurity is perhaps as much owing to the antiquated nature of the languages in which they wrote, and the subjects of which they sung, as to the mediocrity of their poetical talents.

Metrical chronicles and romances. To fay nothing of fonnets, and other short pieces of poetry, the larger poems composed in the thirteenth century were either metrical chronicles or metrical romances; and the languages in which they were written were either Latin, French, or English; which last is now become almost as unintellible to a mere English reader as the two former.

Robert of Gloucester.

Robert of Gloucester, who was a monk in the abbey of Gloucester, and slourished in the reigns of Henry III. and Edward I. composed a rhyming chronicle of England, from Brutus to Edward I. which hath been printed (20). Our author, it must be confessed, was but an indifferent poet, and a worse historian, having adopted the absurdest fables of Geoffrey of Monmouth, and clothed them in tirefome inanimated rhymes. His language was the vulgar English of the age in which he wrote, is full of Saxonisms, and hardly intelligible to a modern reader. The following fabulous account of the transportation of Stonehinge from Africa to Ireland by giants, and from thence to Salifbury plain by Merlin, will justify the above strictures, and be a fufficient specimen of this work. King Arthur having confulted Merlin about erecting a monument in honour of the Britons who had been treacherously slain by the Saxons near Amesbury, the magician replied,

Sire kyng, quoth Merlin, tho' gif thou wolt here caste In the honour of men, a wurke that ever schal ylaste, To the hul of Kilar send into Yrionde, Astur the noble stones that ther habbet lenge ystonde. That was the tricke of giandes, for a quoynte work there is Of stones all wyth art ymad in the world such non ys. Nother nys nothing that me scholde myd strenghe adoune cast. Stode here, as heo doth there ever a wolde last. The kyng Somedele to lyght, though he herde this tale, How mygte, he scyde, such stones, so grete and so fale, Be ybrogt of so fer lond? And get mist of were, Me wolde wene, that in this londe no ston to wonke nere. Syre kyng, quoth Merlyn, ne make noght an ydel such lyghyng. For yt nys an ydel noght that ich tell this tythyng.

For in the farreste stude of Affric giands while fette Thike stones for medycyne and yn Yrlonde him sette, While heo wonenden in Yrlonde to make here bathes there, Ther undir for to bathi wen thic fyk were. For heo wild the stones wasch, and ther enne bath ywis. For ys no stone ther among that of grete vertu nys. The kyng and ys confeil radde the stones for to fette, And wyth gret power of batail, gif any mon him lette. Uter the kynge's brother, that Ambrose hett also, In another name, yehofe was thereto, And fifteene thousant men this dede for to do; And Merlyn for his quintife thider went also.

Ye yonge men, quoth Merlyn, cutheth now your mygte, How ye mow this itones best to the schip dygte. Hea stode and bithogte him best, and cables fette ynowe, And laddres and leveres, and fait ichow and drowe. Ac hee ne migte come for nothing to end mydhere wille. Merlyn fay thir, andlow, and bad him stonde stille. He lette bys gynnes, as he wold, and ys quoyntife dude ftille. And the folk myd tho stones ho dude all here wille; And lette him to schippes brynge, and so into this londe, Ac ther was for inchantery ther to inch understonde (21).

Peter Langtoft, a canon in the monastery of Bridling-Langtoft ton in Yorkshire, flourished at the same time with Ro- and De bert of Gloucester, and wrote a chronicle of England Brunne. from Cadwallader to Edward I. in French verse. work was properly a continuation of an ancient metrical chronicle in the same language; the first part of which had been composed by one Eustace, A. D. 1155, and the fecond part by Robert Wace, canon of Bayeux, A.D. 1160 (22). All the three parts of this chronicle were translated into English verse by Robert Manning, who is better known by the name of Robert de Brunne, from the monaftery of Brunne in Lincolnshire, in which he was a monk. He acquaints us with the motives which engaged him to make this translation in his prologue to the first and fecond parts, and of the contents of these two parts:

Lordyngs that be now here, If ye wille liftene and lere, All the story of Inglande, As Robert Manning wrytten it fand, And on Englysch has it schewed, Not for the lered, but for the lewed. And it is wildom forto wytten, The state of the land, and hef it wrytten,

<sup>(21)</sup> Robert of Gloucester, v. 1. p. 145-148.

What mannere of folk first it wan, And of what kynde it first began. And gude it is for many thynges, For to here the dedis of kynges, Whilk were foles, and whilk were wyfe, And whilk of them couth most quantyse, And whilk did wrong, and whilk ryght, And whilk mayntined pes and fyght. Of thare dedis fall be mi fawe, In what tyme, and of what law, I shall you from gre to gre, Sen the tyme of fir Noe: From Noe unto Æneas, And what betwixt tham was, And fro Æneas till Brutus tyme, That kynde he tells in this ryme. Fro Brutus to Cadweladres, The las Briton that this lande teas (23).

In his prologue to the third part, he gives the following thort account of its original author:

Pers of Langtoft, a chanon - Schaven in the house of Bridlyngton On Frankis style this storic he wrote Of Inglis kinges, &c.

Robert de Brunne's translation of Langtost's part of this chronicle hath been printed; and therefore it is not necessary to swell this section with any specimen from that

part (24).

Catalogues
of metrical
remances.

Metrical romances, celebrating the wonderful atchievements of valiant and gentle knights, were the most frequent and favourite productions of the poets of the thirteenth century. Incredible numbers of these romances were composed in France and England in that period; and hearing them repeated or sung to the rausic of the harp, in the halls of palaces and castles, formed one of the chief amusements of persons of the highest rank. The following catalogues of a few of these romances will give the reader some idea of their numbers, their heroes, and their subjects:

(23) Warton's Hift. Poet. vol. 7. p. 64, 65. (24) See Peter Langtoft's Chronicle, illustrated and improved by R bert of Brunec, 2 vols. Oxon. 1725.

Many Romayns men make new, Of good knyhtes and of trewe:
Of ther dedes men make romauns,
Both in England and in Fraunce.
Of Rowland and of Olyvere,
And of everie Dofepere,
Of Alyfaundre and Charlemayne,
Of kyng Arthur and of Gawayne;
How they wer knyghtes good and courtoys,
Of Turpen and of Oger the Danois;
Of Troye men rede in ryme,
Of Hector, and of Achilles,
What folk they flew in pres, &c (25).

#### Another.

Herkene now how my tale gothe:
Though I fwere to you no othe,
I wyll you rede romaynes none,
Ne of Partenape, ne of Ypomedon,
Ne of Aleiaunder, ne of Charlemayne,
Ne of Arthur, ne of Gawayne,
Ne of Lancelot du Lake,
Ne of Bevis, ne of Guy, of Sydrake,
Ne of Ury, ne of Octavian,
Ne of Hector, the strong man,
Ne of Jason, neither of Achilles,
Ne of Eneas, neither of Hercules, &c (26).

#### Another:

—Men that romaunces rede,
Of Bevys, Gy, and Gawayne,
Of kyng Richard, and Owayne,
Of Triftram and Percyvayle,
Of Archeroun, and of Caffibedlan,
Of Keveloke, Horne, and of Wade,
In romaunces that of him bi made,
That gestours dos of him gestes,
At mangeres, and at great festes, &c (27).

#### Another:

Men lykyn gestis for to here
And romans ride in diverse manere
Of Alexander the conquerour,
Of Julius Crefar the emperour,
Of Greece and Troy the strong strys,
Ther many a man lost his lys;
Of Brutthat baron bold of hand
The first conqueror of England,
Of king Arthur that was so ryche,
Was none in his tyme so clyche,
Of wonders that among his knyghts felle,

<sup>(25)</sup> Warton's Hist. Poet. vol. 1. p. 122. (26) Warton's Hist. Poet. vol. 1. p. 123. (27) Id. ibid. p. 119. note (y).

And Auntyrs didyn, as men her telle, As Gawayne and other full Abylle, Which that kept the round tabyll, How king Charles and Rowland faught With Sarazins, nold thei be caught: Of Trytram and Yfoude the swete How thei with love first gan mete. Of kyng John and of Isnbras Of Ydoyne and Amadas.

Stories of divers thynges
Of princes, prelates, and kynges, Many fong, of divers ryme
As English, French, and Latyne (28).

Contradict true history,

The authors of these metrical romances paid very little regard to the true history of their respective heroes, but boldly contradicted the best known and best established sacts. Nothing, for example, was better known in the thirteenth century, when the romance of our king Richard I. was written, than that he was the son of Henry II. and his queen Eleanor of Provence. But this plain story did not please the author of that romance, who opens his poem with the following siction. Henry II. having, by the advice of his barons, resolved to marry, sends messengers into many different countries, with directions that—

The fayrest woman that was on lyve They should bring him to wyve.

These messengers accidentally met at sea with a most splendid ship,

Such ne faw they never none,
For it was so gay begone,
Every nayle with gold ygrave
Of pure gold was his sklave,
Her mast was of ivory,
Of samyte her sayle wytly
Her ropes all of whyte sylk,
As whyte as ever was ony mylke.
The noble ship was without
With clothes of gold spread about,
And her loft and her wyndlace
All of gold depaynted was.

Being courteously invited, they went on board this ship, where they found Carbarryne king of Antioch, with his

(28) Warton's Hist. Poet, vol. 1. p. 123. See a catalogue of these ancient metrical romances in Dr. Percy's ingenious essay prefixed to the third volume of his Reliques of Ancient English Poetry.

daughter, a princess of the most exquisite beauty, attended by a numerous retinue of knights and ladies. The king received them with great politeness, and entertained them with a sumptuous feast.

When thei had done their mete Of adventures thei bygyn to speke. The kyng them told in his reason, How it cam him in a vysyon, In his lond that he came fro Into Engelond for to go And his daughter that was him dire For to winde with him in fire, And in this manner we be dyght Unto your londe to winde ryght.

The messengers then acquainted the king and the princess with the commission they had received from their master the king of England, and assured them,—

Further we will feek nought, To my lorde she shall be brought.

Accordingly the king and princes, with the ambassadors, arrive safe in England, the princess is married to Henry II. and the lion-hearted Richard, the hero of the romance, is said to have been the fruit of that marri-

age (29).

The metrical romances of this period contain descrip-Robert tions of the marvellous adventures of their knightly he-Langlande. roes, and abound with the Gothic machinery of dragons, giants, elves, fairies, enchanters, &c. But for a more perfect account of these curious performances than can be admitted into general history, the reader is referred to the very instructive and entertaining works quoted below (30).

The fame taste for composing, reading, and hearing Alliterametrical romances of chivalry prevailed in the fourteenth tive poetry. century, especially in the reign of that gallant magnificent monarch Edward III. About the middle of that century an attempt was made to revive, or at least to imitate the alliterative poetry of the Anglo-Saxons without rhyme, by Robert Langlande, a secular priest of Oxford,

(29) Warton's Hist. Poet. p. 151, &c.

<sup>(30)</sup> History of English Poetry by Mr. Warburton, vol. 1. §. 5. Dr. Percy's Reliques of Ancient English Poetry, vol. 3.

in his famous allegorical fatire against persons of all professions, called *The Vision of Pierce Plowman*. This poem abounds with the boldest personifications, the keenest fatire, the most expressive descriptions, and the most singular versistication; of all which the four following lines, representing the manner in which hunger treated a reduced spendthrift, must suffice as a specimen:

Hunger in hast thro' hint Wastour by the maw, And wrong him so by the wombe that both his eies watered. He buffeted the Briton about the chekes That he loked lyke a lanterne al his life after (31).

About A. D. 1390 another poem in the same kind of versification was composed, called *Pierce the Plowman's Crede*. It is a severe satire on the sour orders of mendicant friers; and the following description of an overgrown Franciscan will give the reader some idea of the language and spirit of the poem:

I fond in a freture a frere on a benche,
A great chorl and a grym, growen as a tonne,
With a face fo fat, as a full bleddere
Blowen bretful of breth, and as a bagge honged
On bothen his chekes and his chyn, with a choll lollede
So great a gos ey, growen all of grece,
That all wagged his flesh as a quick mire (32).

John Barbour. John Barbour, archdeacon of Aberdeen, was one of the best poets of Scotland, or even of Britain, in the fourteenth century. This appears from his metrical history of the life and acts of Robert Bruce, king of Scotland, which is a work of considerable merit for the time in which it was composed. Though the archdeacon styled his poem a Romans, he did not mean that it consisted of sabulous adventures; for he intended it to be (as for the most part it is) a true history of the great actions of his hero:

Stories to read are delectable, Suppore that they be nongit but fable; Then should stories that foothfast were, If they are faid in good manner, Have double pleasance in hearing. The first pleasance is the carping, And the other the foothfastness. That shews the thing right as it was. And foothfast things that are likand, To mens hearing are most pleasand; Therefore I would fain fet my will, If my wit might suffice theretil, To put in writ a foothfast story, That it hast ay forth in memory (33).

The verification of this poem, is, in general, correct and fmooth, and the fentiments just and noble. Of this it would be easy to produce many proofs, of which the following high encomium on freedom or liberty is one:

Ah Freedom is a noble thing!
Freedom makes man to have liking;
Freedom all folace to man gives;
He lives at eafe that freely lives.
A noble heart may have none eafe,
Nor nought elfe that may it pleafe,
If Freedom fail (34).

It is remarkable, that though Barbour was a Scotsman, his language is rather more intelligible to a modern English reader than that of any other poet of the fourteenth century, his great contemporary Chaucer himself not

excepted.

At the same time flourished the two princes of ancient Chaucer English poets, the great improvers of their art, and polish- and Gower, ers of the language of their country, Jeosfirey Chaucer and John Gower, whose personal histories have been briefly related (35). The shortest analysis that could be given of the numerous works of these two venerable bards would swell this section far beyond its due proportion; it is therefore hoped that the reader will be satisfied with the following characters of their poetical talents, drawn by the hand of one of the most ingenious and intelligent critics of the present age, who appears to have studied their works with great attention.

"Enough hath been faid to prove, that in elevation Their chaand elegance, in harmony and perspicuity of versish-racture as
cation, Chaucer surpasses his predecessors in an infinite poets.

proportion: that his genius was univerfal, and adapted to themes of unbounded variety; that his merit was

on the lefs in painting familiar manners with humour and

(33) Barbour, p. 1. (34) Id. p. 8. (35) See p. 404-408.

Vol. IV. G g "propriety"

or propriety, than in moving the passions, and in repre-" fenting the beautiful or the grand objects of nature with grace and fublimity. In a word, that he appeared with all the lustre and dignity of a true poet, in an age which compelled him to struggle with a barbarous " language and a national want of taste, and when to Write verses at all was considered as a singular quali-

s fication (36). " If Chaucer had not existed, the compositions of " John Gower, the next poet in fuccession, would " alone have been fufficient to refcue the reigns of Ed-" ward III. and Richard II. from the imputation of bar-" barifm. His education was liberal and uncircum-" fcribed, his course of reading extensive, and he tem-" pered his feverer studies with a knowledge of life. " By a critical cultivation of his native language, he en-"deavoured to reform its irregularities, and to establish " an English style (37)."

The history of dramatic poetry affords few authentic materials in the prefent period, and will be introduced with greater advantage in the fifth volume of this

History of mulic.

Music and poetry were more intimately united in the middle ages than they are at prefent. Many musicians were then poets, and fung verses composed by themselves, and by others of their profession, to the mufic of their instruments. The fecular musicians of those times were called minstrels, and formed a very numerous fraternity, possessed many privileges, and held in high estimation by persons of all ranks. They wore a particular drefs, and certain ornaments which procured them immediate access to the greatest personages on the most folemn occasions. Of this the following remarkable and well-attested fact is a sufficient proof: "When Edward II. " this year (1316) folemnized the feast of Pentecost, and " fat at table in royal state in the great hall of Westminster, attended by the peers of the realm, a certain woman, " dreffed in the habit of a minstrel, riding on a great " horse, trapped in the minstrel fashion, entered the " hall, and going round the feveral tables, acting the " part of a minstrel, at length mounted the steps to the

(37) Id. vol. 2. p. 1.

" royal

<sup>(36)</sup> Mr. Warton's Hiftory of English Poetry, vol. 1. p. 457.

" royal table, on which she deposited a letter. Having done this, she turned her horse, and, faluting all the company, she departed." When the letter was read, it was found to contain some fevere animadversions on the king's conduct, at which he was much offended. The door-keepers being called, and threatened for admitting fuch a woman, readily replied, "That it never was the custom of the king's palace to deny admission to miftrels, especially on such high solemnities and feast

66 days (38)."

Though the harp still continued to be the chief and fa- Musical invourite instrument of the minstrels of this period, there is strumenti. fufficient evidence that they knew and used a variety of other instruments; of which it may not be improper to name a few. The band of musicians in the household of Edward III. confisted of five trumpeters, one cyteler, five pipers, one tabret, one mabrer, two clarions, one fidler, three wayghts or hautbois (39). In a work tranflated into English in this period, the following musical instruments are mentioned and described; the organ, the harp, the fawtry, the lyre, the cymbal, the fiftrum, the trumpet, the flute, the pipe and tabor, the nakyre, the drum, and feveral others (40). Among the accomplishments of Chaucer's parish-clerk, we are told,

> In twenty manir couth he trip and daunce, After the scole of Oxenford tho And with his legges casten to and fro, And playin fonges on a small ribible, Thereto he fong sometime a loud quenible: And as well couth he play on a giterne (41).

Chaucer's miller was also a musician; but on a more vulgar instrument:

> A bagge pipe well couth he blow and fowne. And therewithal brought he us out of town (42).

<sup>(38)</sup> T. Walfing. Hift. Ang. an. 1316. p. 109. Trokelow, edit. a T. Hearne, p. 39. See Dr. Percy's excellent essay on the ancient English Minstrels, prefixed to his Reliques of Ancient English Poetry, vol. 1. (39) Sir John Hawkins's Hift. of Music, vol. 2. p. 107.

<sup>(40)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 281, &c.

<sup>(41)</sup> Chaucer, p. 26.

<sup>(42)</sup> Id. p. 5.

In one of Gower's poems are the following verses:

He taught hir, till she was certeyne, Of harpe, citole, and of riote, With many a tewne and many a note (43).

Matthew Paris mentions mufical instruments called burdons, which were used in the church of St. Alban's, and probably in other churches (44). But it is unnecessary

to make this catalogue more complete.

Mufic much admired.

To what degree of perfection music was brought by the fecular minstrels of this period, we have no opportunity of judging (45). But we have the fullest proof that it was exceedingly pleafing to those who heard it, and that it gave great delight to the greatest and best men of I those times. Robert de Brunne hath preserved the following anecdote, to this purpose of the learned and pious bishop Grofteste or Greathead of Lincoln:

> He lovede moche to here the harpe, For man's wille it makyth tharpe. Next hys chamber, befyde his ftudy, Hys harper's chamber was fast the by, Many tymes, by nightes and dayes, He hadd folace of notes and lays (46).

It is not to be imagined that kings, princes, prelates, and barons, would have conspired to load those minstrels with honours and rewards, if they had not taken much pleafure in their tuneful strains.

Church music.

de

Sacred music was now cultivated with as much ardour by the clergy as fecular music by the minstrels. The church had been long gradually departing from the primitive famplicity of the christian worship; and after the introduction of organs into churches, fo many of the public offices were fung to the found of these noble instruments. that the study of music became absolutely necessary to all who were to bear any part in the celebration of these offices. Music was accordingly taught and studied in all college, cathedrals, convents and capital churches; and we are assured by a late writer, who hath made the most

<sup>(43)</sup> Confessio Amantis, fol. 178.

<sup>(44)</sup> M. Paris, Vita Abbatum, p. 91. (45) See Sir John Hawkins, vol. 2. ch. 8.

<sup>(46)</sup> Warton Hist. Poet. vol. 1. p. 61.

laborious refearches into the history of music, "that the clergy, in the thirteenth century, were by much the most able proficients, as well in instrumental as vocal music (47). The truth is, that in great churches some of the public offices were considered as musical exhibitions, and frequented for amusement rather than devotion. To the various diversions of hunting, hawking, feasing, dancing, which a king proposed to his daughter to divert her melancholy, he added:

Then shall ye go to your even fong, With tenoures and trebles among, Your quire nor organ songe shall want, With countri note and discaunt, The other halfe on orgayns playing, With yong chyldren ful fayn syngyng (48).

Chaucer's nun and friar were both proficients in music; —of the former it is faid,

Full wele she fong tho the service divine.

Of the latter, that

Wele couth he fing and playin on a rote.

Though Guido Aretini's invention of the musical scale, Musical already mentioned, was very valuable, it was imperfect, characters, because it had no marks to denote the different lengths or measurof sounds (49). This imperfection was afterwards removed by the invention of several characters for representing the various lengths of musical sounds; and music delineated by these characters, was called cantus mensurabilis or measured song. But when or by whom this great improvement of delineating measured music was invented, is not agreed; some ascribing it to Franco, a scholastic of Liege, who slourished towards the end of the eleventh century; and others to John de Muris, an Englishman, who slourished in the former part of the sour-

<sup>(47)</sup> Sir John Hawkins, vol. 2. p. 43. (48) Warton Hift. Poet, vol. 1. p. 179.

<sup>(49)</sup> See vol. 3.

teenth century (50). This invention, whoever was the author of it, was much admired, many treatifes were written to explain, improve, and recommend it, and it certainly contributed not a little to facilitate the communication and prefervation of musical knowledge (51).

(50) Sir John Hawkins, vol. 2. p. 15, &cc. (51) Id. ibid. p. 154.

THE

# HISTORY

OF

# GREAT BRITAIN.

## B O O K IV.

CHAP. VI.

History of Commerce, Coin, and Shipping, in Great Britain, from the death of king John, A. D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D. 1399.

COMMERCE hath contributed fo much to the Commerce prosperity, power, and wealth of Britain, that it is well merits a intitled to a distinct and conspicuous place in its history, place in history, in every period; and as coin and shipping are the two chief instruments of commerce, they also merit a share of our attention.

The internal commerce of Britain, and particularly Internal of England, was unquestionably an object of great im-commerce. portance in the present period; but it doth not seem to have been managed to the best advantage. It is a sufficient proof of this, that the prices of the most valuable and necessary commodities were sometimes more than double in some places to what they were in others. We are informed, for example, by a contemporary author, that A. D. 1258, a quarter of wheat cost twenty shillings at Northampton, when it was fold for eight shillings

lings and fix-pence at Dunstaple (1). This could not have happened, if intelligence had been regular, and commercial intercourse safe and casy.

Loaded with feveral imposts.

Internal trade was loaded, at this time, with a great number of petty taxes and impositions, as lastage, paiage, passage, passage, stallage, and several others whose names are now become unintelligible (2). These taxes, or some of them, were demanded by every town, and by every baron through whose boundaries traders conveyed their goods, and at every place where they exposed them to sale.

Transacted in fairs.

The greatest part of the domestic trade of Britain was still transacted in sairs. Some of these sairs were of long duration, frequented by prodigious multitudes of people from different countries, and ftored with commodities of all kinds. The fair of St. Giles's hill, near Winchester, continued fixteen days, during which time all trade was prohibited in Winchester, Southampton, and every place within feven miles of the fair, which very much refembled a great city, laid out into many regular streets of tents, inhabited by foreign and domestic traders, who exposed their various commodities to sale (3). To such fairs our kings, prelates, and great barons, fent their agents, and others went in person, to purchase jewels, plate, cloths, furniture, liquors, spices, horses, cattle, corn, and provisions of various kinds, and in a word, every thing they needed, men and women not excepted. For we are affured, by a contemporary writer of undoubted credit, that men and women flaves were publicly fold in the fairs of England, like beafts, near the conclusion of the fourteenth century (4).

Foreign trade.

The foreign trade of England, in the prefent period, was more confiderable and extensive than is commonly imagined. This will appear from the following very brief review of the several countries with which the people of England had commercial intercourse, and of the several sovereigns and states with whom the kings of England had commercial treatics. For we may reasonably con-

(1) Annal. Dunstap, an. 12;8.

(2) Anderson's Hist. Commerce, vol. 1. p. 110.

(3) Warton's History of Poetry, vol. 1. p. 279. note b.
(4) Bartholemeue de Profrictatibus Reram, apud Sir John Hawkins's
History of Muhe, vol. 2, p. 126

Ch. 6.

clude, that a trade existed when it was regulated by treaties.

Genoa, Venice, Pifa, Florence, and some other free With Italy. cities of Italy, were at this time the chief feats of trade in Europe; and their merchants furnished their own and other countries with the filks, spices, and other precious commodities of the east. There is the fullest evidence, that all these cities now carried on a trade with England, and some of them with Scotland. In a letter from Edward II. dated July 18, 1316, to the state of Genoa, he expostulates with them for permitting some of their citizens to carry on a trade with the traitor Robert Bruce, and the people of Scotland; and in order to engage them to prohibit that trade, he puts them in mind that a very ancient and friendly intercourse had subsisted between their state and his ancestors, kings of England, and their fubjects (5). Several commercial treaties were concluded between Edward III. and the Genoesc (6). The trade between the Venetians and the English was very considerable, as appears from the following incident. A quarrel happened between the crews of five Venetian ships lying at Southampton, and the people of that town, in which feveral persons were killed on both fides. Edward II. dreading that this might deter the Venetians from continuing their trade with England, published a manifesto, granting a full pardon to all who had been concerned in that unhappy quarrel, and promising the most perfect fecurity and friendly treatment to all Venetian merchants and mariners who should come into England (7). The commercial compacts of the kings of England with the cities of Florence and Pifa, are fufficient evidences of their mutual trade (8).

The merchants of Majorca, Sicily, and fome other Mediterraislands in the Mediterranean, carried on a trade with Eng-neanistands, land in this period. Edward II. who was a zealous promoter of the commerce of his subjects, made a commercial compact with the ambassadors of Sancho king of Ma-

jorca, A. D. 1323 (9).

(9) ld. tom. 3. p. 1028.

<sup>(5)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 3, p. 565.
(6) Id. tom. 5, p. 569, 703.
(7) Id. tom. 3, p. 1011.

<sup>(8)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 2. p. 953; tom. 5. p. 734.

Spain.

Several commercial treaties were concluded between the kings of England and Spain at this time; and, like many other treaties, were often violated by mutual captures of each other's ships; which produced mutual complaints and new treaties. In a truce for twenty years, concluded between Edward III. and the plenipotentiaries of the sea-ports of Castile and Biscay, A. D. 1351, the most perfect reciprocal freedom of trade is stipulated; after which the following remarkable article is added:—"Item, "The fishers in the dominions of the king of Castile and Biscay may come and fish freely and safely in the harbours of England, and in all other places where they please, paying the king his duties and customs (10)."

Portugal.

A trade was carried on between England and Portugal in this period, to their mutual fatisfaction and advantage, till it was interrupted by the Spaniards or Castilians; who, carrying Portuguese colours, took and plundered several English ships; and the English before they discovered the deceit, made reprisals upon the Portuguese. But as soon as the imposition was found out, the two nations returned to their former friendly intercourse; which was confirmed by a commercial treaty A. D. 1308 (11).

English provinces in France.

The commerce of the English with their own French provinces of Aquitaine and Gascony, was very considerable. Of this it is a sufficient proof, that two hundred merchant-ships from England were sometimes seen together in

the harbour of Bourdeaux (12).

France.

The trade between the English and the subjects of the crown of France, in this period, was not so great as might have been expected. This was owing to various causes. Several of the maritime provinces of France were then in the possession of other powers;—the French were not much addicted to commerce;—and the most violent national animosities, and very frequent wars, substituted between the two nations. Their commercial intercourse was so inconsiderable, that it was never mentioned in any of their treaties. Even in the samous treaty of peace at Bretigny, A. D. 1360, commonly called the great peace, there is not so much as one word concerning trade (13). There is, however, sufficient evidence, that some trade

<sup>(10)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 5. p. 719. (11) Id. tom. 3. p. 107: (12) Barne's Hift. Ed. III. (13) Rym. Fæd. tom. 6. p. 178—196.

was carried on between the French and English in times of peace. Philip king of France complained, in very strong terms, to Edward II. A. D. 1314, that the merchants of England had desisted from frequenting the fairs in his dominions with their wool and other goods, to the great loss of his subjects; and entreated him to persuade, and, if necessary, to compel them to frequent the fairs of France as formerly, promising them all possible security and encouragement (14).

Edward II. at the request of John duke of Brabant, Brabant, Lorrain, and Luxemburg, granted permission to the &c. subjects of that duke to come with their ships and merchandises into England, promising them protection and

feveral privileges (15).

A commercial treaty was concluded between Edward Bretagne. II. and John duke of Bretagne, A. D. 1317, in which each of the contracting parties promised protection and friendly treatment to the mercantile subjects of the other

in his dominions (16).

Certain disputes having arisen between the merchants Holland, of England and those of Holland, Zealand, and Frise-&c. land, Wolliam earl of Holland, Zealand, and Hanneau, and lord of Friseland, sent ambassadors into England, A. D. 1310, to settle these disputes: which was accomplished; and a balance of 13 ol. sterling was found due to two companies of English merchants. To pay this balance, the earl of Holland agreed, that certain additional duties should be laid on the ships and goods of his subjects in the ports of England (17).

As the great manufacturing towns of Flanders were Flanders, the chief markets for English wool, the commercial intercourse between England and these towns was very great, and regulated by many treaties (18). So necessary was this intercourse esteemed by both parties, that it was not interrupted even when the earls of Flanders were at

war with the kings of England (19).

The trade between Germany and England, in this Germany, period, was chiefly carried on by the famous confederacy and the Hanfe towns. This confederacy was very anci-towns.

(19) Id. tem. 5. p. 38,

<sup>(14)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 3. p. 482. (15) Id. tom. 3. p. 656. (17) Id. tom. 3. p. 650.

<sup>(18)</sup> Rym. Fad. tom. 2, p. 32, 536, 740, tom. 3, p. 647.

ent, and by degrees became the greatest maritime power, as well as the greatest trading company, in Europe. Before the end of this period, the Hanseatic confederacy confished of fixty-four cities and great towns, chiefly situated on the shores of the Baltic, and the banks of the Rhine, and of other navigable rivers of Germany. The trade which these Hanse towns carried on with England was very great, and was chiefly managed by a company settled in London, and invested with various privileges, called,—the German merchants of the steel-yard (20).

Pruffia.

The knights of the Teutonic order, or, as they called themselves, the Dutch knights of St. Mary's hospital at Jerusalem, having made themselves masters of Prussia, Conradus de Zolner, grand master of that order, concluded a commercial treaty with Richard II. A. D. 1388, in which protection and friendly treatment were stipulated to the English merchants in Prussia, and to the Prussian merchants in England (21).

Sweden.

Before the conclusion of this period Sweden began to make some figure as a commercial state; and the great queen Margaret published, A. D. 1396, some very wise regulations for the encouragement of trade, in which she promised protection to all foreign merchants, particularly to the English, from whose king, Richard II. she had borrowed three large ships of war (22).

Denmaik.

The Danes, who had long been the scourge and terror of Europe by their piratical expeditions, had now lost much of their ferocity, as well as of their power, and traded peaceably with other nations, and particularly with the English. This appears by a letter from Eric king of Denmark to Edward I. A. D. 1304, promising protection and friendly treatment to all English merchants in his dominions (23).

Norway.

The most ancient commercial treaty between a king of England and a foreign prince, with which we are acquainted, is that which was concluded between Henry III. in his minority, A. D. 1217, and Haquin king of Norway. In this treaty, which is plain and short,

<sup>(20)</sup> Anderson Hist. Com. vol. 1. p. 81. 87. 108, 109. 122, 123, 124. 198, 199, &c.

<sup>(21)</sup> Hakluvi's Voyages, vol. 1 p. 150.

<sup>(22)</sup> Meursii Historia Danica, lib. 5. Rym. Fæd. tom. 7. p. 744.

<sup>(23)</sup> Id. tom. a. p. 942.

agreeable to the manners of the times, these princes promife protection and favour to each other's mercantile fubjects in their dominions (24). The commercial intercourse between England and Norway was secured and regulated by a more prolix and particular treaty A. D. 1269 (25).

The people of Blackney in Lincolnshire carried on a Iceland. confiderable trade with Iceland in this period, and on that account they obtained a charter from Edward III. exempting their failors and ships from being impressed into the

king's fervice (26).

Though the trade of Ireland appears to have been re-Ireland. gulated by English laws in the thirteenth century, these laws did not confine it within narrow limits. By the statute of Ireland, A. D. 1288, the king's officers are prohibited from feizing foreign ships, or molesting foreign merchants, in the ports of Ireland: and the Irish are permitted to export their corn, provisions, and other commodities, to any country not at enmity or war with the king of England (27). The freedom of trade to and from Ireland was still further fecured by another law A. D. 1360 (28).

That violent national animofity with which the minds Scotland. of the two British nations began to be inflamed against each other, foon after the unfortunate death of Alexander III. of Scotland, put an end to the friendly intercourse which had subsisted between them in the first part of this period. From that time thefe two nations hardly exchanged any thing but wounds and injuries for one hundred years. During this hostile period, the three Edwards, fuccessively kings of England, not only prohibited their own fubjects from trading with the Scots, but laboured with the greatest earnestness to prevent other nations, and particularly the Flemings, from having any commerce with that people. This they could not accomplish: for the earls of Flanders constantly replied to all the folicitations of these powerful princes,-" That 66 they did not encourage the Scots in their wars, but 66 that they could not exclude them from their ports, " without doing a great injury to their own fubjects,

<sup>(24)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 1. p. 223. (25) Id. tom. 1. p 858.

<sup>(16)</sup> Hakluyt, vol. 1. p. 122.

<sup>(27)</sup> Statutes at Large, vol. 1. p. 120. (28) Id. ibid. p. 304.

" who depended very much upon trade (29)." This animofity between the two British nations proved as permanent as it was violent; and no less than a whole century elapsed before any regular commercial intercourfe between them was renewed. This was at length reflored by the following article, in a truce concluded between the wardens of the marches of both kingdoms A. D. 1386:-" Item, it is acordit, that special assur-" ance sal be on the see, fra the water of Spie to the "water of Tamve, for all marchands of bath the " roialms, and here godes (30)."

Imprudent laws.

The many laws that were made in England, in our commercia! prefent period, for the regulation and encouragement of trade, afford a further proof of its importance. Some of these laws were wife and useful, while others of them were imprudent and hurtful. Of the last fort was the law of Edward II. A. D. 1314, fixing a certain price upon provisions of all kinds, which produced a famine, and was foon repealed (31). Of the fame kind was the law of Edward III. A. D. 1363, commanding that no English merchant should deal in any more than one commodity, either by himself or by a factor in any manner; and requiring every merchant to fix upon the commodity in which he resolved to trade, before the term of Candlemas (32). This abfurd law was also soon repealed. It may be questioned whether the remarkable laws and constitutions of the staple, which required all English traders to bring the chief commodities of the kingdom. viz. wool, wool-fells, leather, lead, and tin, to certain towns, to be there fold to merchant strangers, were prudent or useful; but there can be no doubt, that the law which made it felony for any Englishman, Welshman, or Irishman, to export any of those commodities, was most imprudent and pernicious (33). Of the fame pernicious tendency was that law of Edward III. made A. D. 1368, prohibiting English merchants to import wine from Gascony, or to buy fuch winetill it was landed in England by a merchant-stranger (34). Nothing could be more unjust

(34) Id. 42d Edward III. ch. 8.

<sup>(29)</sup> Statutes at Large, vol. 2. p. 963. vol. 3. p. 770, &c.

<sup>(30)</sup> P.ym. Fæd. tom. 7. p. 527. (31) T. Walfing. Hift. Ang. p. 107.

<sup>(32)</sup> Statutes, 37th Ed. III. p. 314. (33) Id. 24th Ed. III. ch. 3.

and cruel, as well as impolitic, than the famous law or custom which long prevailed in England, of making every foreign merchant responsible for the debts, and even punishable for the crimes, of any of his countrymen who had become infolvent or had escaped from justice. This most unreasonable law was abrogated by the seventeenth chapter of the statute of the staple, A. D. 1353 (35). Several other laws were made in this period, which difcover the anxiety of the kings and parliaments of England about commerce, and at the fame time betray their ignorance of its real interests.

But fome commercial laws were also made of a more Wife falutary tendency. Such were the feveral laws for the commeruniformity of weights and measures (36). But un-ciallaws. happily these laws were not so well contrived and executed as to prove effectual. The navigation acts made in the reign of Richard II. commanding English merchants to freight none but English ships, were evidently wife, and probably contributed to the encrease both of ships and failors in England in fucceeding periods (37). But it feems to have been the chief object of the English legislature in this period, to invite foreign merchants to import the commodities of their respective countries, and export those of England. With this view, many statutes were made, promising protection and friendly treatment, together with various privileges and immunities, to merchants of all countries, upon condition that they paid their debts, and the king's customs punctually (38).

These laws for the encouragement of foreign mer-Many fechants were not ineffectual. Great numbers of foreign reign mers traders, then called merchant-strangers, were settled in chants settled in London and other great towns of England, and formed in England. to companies, some of which were a kind of corporations. As these companies of merchant-strangers almost wholly engroffed the foreign trade, and had a confiderable share of the internal commerce of England, a few of

the chief of them may be mentioned.

(35) Statutes at large, 27th Ed. III. ch. 17. (36) Id. p. 187. Henry III. 14th Ed. III. ch. 12. 27th Ed. III. ch. 10. 34th Ed. III. ch. 6. 13th Richard II. ch. 9.

(37) Id. 5th Richard II. ch. 3. 14th Rich. II. ch. 6.

<sup>(38)</sup> ld. 9th Henry III. ch. 30. 2d Edward I. 13th Ed. I. ch. 1.14th Ed. III. ch. 2. 25th Ed. III. ch. 2. 2d Rich. II. ch. 7. 5th Rich. II. ch. I.

Merchants of the fleel-yard.

The German merchants of the steel-yard in London formed the most ancient, and for several centuries, the most slourishing of these foreign companies. This company had been settled in England even before the conquest; but it became much more powerful and opulent in the course of this period, than it had been before (39). This was owing to its connection with the samous consederacy of the Hanse towns, and to the additional privileges conferred upon it by all the English monarchs of those times (40).

Merchants of the staple.

The company of the merchants of the flaple was formed about the beginning of this period; and in the course of it became very considerable for the number of its members and importance of its transactions. The views with which this company was established, and the privileges with which it was invested, are worthy of our attention, as they discover the ideas that were then entertained of trade. It was established to answer these two ends: 1st, to purchase and collect all that could be spared of the chief commodities of the kingdom; which were thefe five, wool, wool-fells, leather, lead, and tin; and to convey them to certain towns, which were called flaple-towns, that the king's customs might be collected with ease, and that foreign merchants might know where to find these commodities in sufficient quantities: 2dly, To export these staple-wares to foreign countries, and to import returns for them in goods, coin, or bullion. Natives as well as foreigners might be, and were employed in executing the first of these ends; but no natives of England, Ireland, or Wales, could be concerned, directly or indirectly, in exporting any of these staple-commodities (41). The staple-towns for England, Wales, and Ireland, appointed by the statute, were-Newcastle upon Tyne, York, Lincoln, Norwich, Westminster, Canterbury, Chichester, Winchester, Exeter, Bristol, Caermarthen, Dublin, Waterford, Cork, and Drogheda (42). Merchants of the staple were exempted from the jurisdiction of the ordinary magistrates, and subjected only to the authority of a mayor and constables of the staple, chosen

<sup>(39)</sup> See vol. 2.

<sup>(40)</sup> Rym. Foed. tom. 2. p. 161. tom. 3. p. 268:

<sup>(41)</sup> Statutes, 27th Ed. III. (42) Id. ibid.

annually, in each of these towns, who were to judge in all disputes by the merchant-law, and not by the common law (43). A certain number of correctors were chosen in each staple-town, whose office it was to register all bargains, for which they received a small fee from the parties (44). There were also fix mediators, two Germans, two Lombards, and two Englishmen, in every stapletown, who were to determine all disputes referred to them, in the presence of the mayor and constables (45). Many privileges and immunities were conferred by law on this famous company, which formed a kind of distinct commonwealth; and it was made felony to attempt to deprive it of any of these privileges (46).

Another mercantile fociety, called the brother-hood of Brother-St. Thomas Becket, flourished in the former part of this head of St. Thomas. period, and was afterwards incorporated with the company of merchant-adventurers, which made a great figure for

feveral centuries (47).

It will be fufficient to name fome of the companies of Companies Italian merchants that were fettled in England in this pe- of Italian riod, for managing the trade of the feveral states and cities to which they belonged. Of these the Lombards were the most numerous and opulent; but, becoming odious for their usurious practices, they were fometimes severely treated (48). The Caursini of Rome have been already mentioned (49). They feem to have been as great extortioners as the Lombards; for (if we may believe Matthew Paris, a contemporary historian) they fometimes exacted no less than fixty per cent. interest per annum (50). This, together with their oftentatious display of their riches, drew upon them a very severe prosecution, A. D. 1251 (51). We find the fociety of the Peruchi, and the fociety of the Scali of Florence, residing in London in the reign of Edward II (52). The companies of the Friscobaldi of Florence, and of the Ballardi and Reisardi of Lucca, were also settled in England in the same reign (53). Edward III. acknowledges himself indebted to the

<sup>(43)</sup> Statute, 27th Ed. III. ch. 6. 8. 21. (44) Id. ch. 22. (46) Id. ch. 25. (45) Id. ch. 24. (47) Anderson's History of Commerce, vol. 1. p. 189.

<sup>(48)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 167. 181. (49) See vol. 3. (50) M. Paris, p. 286. (51) Id. p. 550,

<sup>(52)</sup> Madox Firma Burgi, p. 275.

<sup>(53)</sup> Id. p. 96, 97. Rym. Foed. tom. 2. p. 705.

company of the Bardi of Florence twelve thousand marks; and grants them a prefent of two thousand pounds for their good fervices (54). These examples are sufficient to prove, that feveral companies of Italian merchants were fettled in England in this period, for managing the trade of the states, cities, and companies, with which they were connected.

TOWS.

The Jews may be reckoned among the strangers settled in England on account of commerce. In the former part of this period they were numerous; and many of them had acquired great fums of money by trade and usury. But their fituation was unhappy, being frequently plundered by the fovereign and univerfally hated by the people. At length the clamour against them for their extortions, for their debasing and diminishing the coin, and for other crimes, became fo vehement, that they were banished out of England, A. D. 1290 (55).

Foreign merchants people, but encouraged. by our kings and barons.

It was not agreeable to the English to fee fo great a fhare of the commerce of their country in the hands of hatedby the strangers: on the contrary, these strangers were hated and maltreated by them, and their expulsion most earnestly defired. But they found powerful protectors in our kings, prelates, and barons (to whom they were in many respects useful), who made many laws for their security and encouragement (56). In particular, when the city of London presented a petition to Edward I. A. D. 1289, for the expulsion of all merchant-strangers, that great prince replied,-" I am of opinion, that merchant-" strangers are useful and beneficial to the great men of " the kingdom; and therefore I will not expel them (57)." One of our ancient historians of the best credit expresses his abhorrence of the jealoufy of the Londoners, and their cruelty to foreign merchants; of which he gives the following example. A very rich merchant of Genoa presented a petition to Richard II. A. D. 1379, for permission to deposit his goods in the castle of Southampton, promising to bring so great a share of the trade of the East into England, that the price of a pound of pepper

<sup>(54)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 4. p. 387. (55) Anderson, vol. 1. p. 133. (56) Statutes, 9th Henry III. ch. 30. 2d Ed. I. 13th Ed. I. ch. 1. 14th Ed. III. ch. 2. 25th Ed. III. ch. 2. 2d Rich. II. ch. 7. 5th Rich. II. ch. 1.

<sup>(57)</sup> Anderson, vol. 1. p. 131.

would be reduced to four pence, and the prices of all other spices in the same proportion. But the Londoners (fays the historian), enemies to the prosperity of their country, hired affassins, who murdered the merchant in the street. " After this (exclaims he) what stranger will "trust his person among a people so faithless and so cruel? Who will not dread our treachery, and abhor

our name (58)?"

Foreign trade was frequently interrupted in this period Piracy inby the ferocious piratical disposition of the mariners of all terrupted nations, who were too apt, when an opportunity offered, trade. to plunder friends and foes without diffinction. We have a lively picture of this, and of its fatal confequences, in the following account of the conduct of the feamen of the Cinque-ports, A. D. 1264, by a contemporary historian. "The mariners of the Cinque-ports, "having provided a powerful fleet, fcoured the feas, and greatly interrupted trade; feizing every ship they met, and barbarously butchering their crews, whether they were foreigners or their own countrymen; they threw "their bodies into the fea, and applied the ships and cargoes to their own use. More cruel than Scylla or "Charybdis, they murdered all who brought necessary commodities into their country, without distinction. 66 By this means all kinds of goods, in which England had formerly abounded, became fo fcarce and dear, that a " quantity of wine or wax which had been usually fold 66 for forty shillings, now cost eight or ten marks, or even of more; a pound of pepper, which used to be fold for 66 fixpence, was now fold for three shillings; in a word, " falt, iron, steel, cloths, and goods of all kinds, became fo scarce, that the people suffered much want, and the merchants were reduced to beggary (59)." But these destructive violences were never carried to so high a pitch, but when the affairs of the public were in great

The chief feats of trade in England were the same in The chief this as in the preceding period, with a few additions. I ame as The burgesses of Newcastle upon Tyne, having obtained rethe forliberty of digging coals in the Castle-muir from Henry III. mer pe-

confusion, as they were A.D. 1264.

<sup>(58)</sup> Tho. Walfing, Hift. Ang. p. 227. (59) Chronicon Tho. Wykes, ad ann. 1264.

A.D. 1234, and afterwards the property of that muir from Edward III. A. D. 1357, they foon after began to export coals to London, and other places, in confiderable quantities (60). Encouraged and enriched by that commerce, the people of Newcastle engaged in foreign trade; and we find a ship of theirs of the burden of two hundred tons, and valued at 400l. equal in weight of filver to 1000l. of our money, exclusive of her cargo, was seized in the Baltic, on her voyage to Prussia, A.D. 1394 (61). Though Kingston upon Hull was not founded till A. D. 1296, it increased so fast, that in less than one century it had become a large, rich, and populous town, engaged in foreign trade. In the treaty between Henry IV. and the Hansc-towns, A. D. 1400, it appears that the mariners of those towns had plundered four ships belonging to Hull, near the coast of Norway, some years before time (62).

Exports and imports nearly the fame as in the former period.

The exports and imports of England confifted nearly of the fame commodities in this as in the preceding period; and therefore need not be here enumerated (63). I have not met with any evidence, that flaves formed an article of exportation from England in the present period. In the annals of the priory of Dunstable, we find the following short entry, A. D. 1283:—" This year, in the "month of July, we fold our flave William Pyke, and "received one mark from the buyer (64)." But for what purpose this unhappy man was purchased, we are not informed. If one mark was the whole of his price, men must have been cheaper than horses, or Pyke must have been a worthless fellow.

Balance of trade in favour of England. That the balance of trade was very greatly in favour of England, in this period, is evident to a demonstration. If this had not been the case, it would have been impossible for a country, without gold or silver mines of any great value, to have supplied those prodigious incessant drains of treasure to the court of Rome, and to foreign ecclesiastics, who possessed many of the best benefices of the kingdom; and those still greater drains occasioned by the frequent and ruinous expeditions of her princes and nobles

<sup>(60)</sup> Anderson's Hist. Com. vol. 1. p. 111. 188. 207.

<sup>(61)</sup> Hakluyt's Voyages, vol. 1. p. 166.

<sup>(62)</sup> Id. ibid. p. 167. (63) See vol. 3.

<sup>(64)</sup> Annal. de Dunstap, ann. 1283.

to the continent; and by various other means. Henry III. (for example) fent out of the kingdom in a few years, in prefents to his foreign favourites, and in profecuting the vain project of making his fecond fon prince Edmund king of Sicily, the enormous fum of 950,000 marks, containing as much filver as 1,000,000l. and of as much value as 5,000,000l. of our money. This account the historian, who was fecretary to the king, received from a clergyman of credit, who had examined all the rolls, and carefully calculated the fums. About two years after (A. D. 1257) that king's brother, Richard earl of Cornwall, carried out of England at once 700,000l. containing rather more filver than 2,000,000l. of our money; all which, together with the annual income of his great estate, for several years, he spent in Germany, to no effect, in attempting to support his election to be king of the Romans (65). The annual revenues of the Italian clergy in England, the greatest part of which was carried out of the kingdom, were found, A. D. 1245, to amount to 60,000 marks, or 120,000l. of our money (66). From these few examples we may be convinced that the fums carried out of England in the course of this period were immensely great; and yet the balance of trade in favour of England supplied thefe fums, and also gradually enriched the kingdom.

The greatness of this balance feems to have been ow- Caufes of ing to the following circumstance. The imports into Eng-this. land, in this period, confifted almost wholly of silks, fine cloths, wines, spices, and a few other articles of luxury, which were used only by the royal family, and a small number of rich prelates and great barons; and therefore, though the prices of these commodities were high, the quantity used being trifling, the whole amount was inconfiderable. It appears upon record, that the value of all the goods imported into England A. D. 1354, was no more than 38,770l, 3s. 6d. (67). The nominal pound at that time containing only 46s. 6d. of our money, this fum contained only as much filver as is now coined into 90,3551. 5s. If we suppose that any given quantity of silver would then have purchased five times as much of any commodity as the fame quantity will do at prefent, it will follow, that

<sup>(65)</sup> M. Paris Hift, Ang. p. 639.

<sup>(67)</sup> Anderson Hill. Com. vol. 1. A. D. 1354.

as many goods of all kinds as were imported into England A: D. 1354 might now be imported for 451,776l. 5s.-2 very contemptible fum indeed when compared with the value of our present imports. But, on the other hand, the exports from England confifted of commodities of general use, as wool, wool-fells, leather, lead, tin, corn, butter, cheefe, coarfe cloths, &c. which were exported in great quantities to feveral countries, where they found a ready market. Accordingly, it appears from the same record, that in the same year 1354 the value of the four articles of wool, wool-fells, leather, and coarfe cloths, exported, amounted to no less than 294,184l. containing as much filver as 683,977l. and of as great efficacy as 3,419,8851. of our money. This alone, fet in opposition to the whole imports of that year, yielded a balance in favour of England of 255,214l. containing as much filver as 593,370l. and of as great efficacy as 2,966,850l. of our money at prefent (68): a very great balance, though we have no account of the lead, tin, corn, and other articles exported.

Most effectual means of turning the balance of trade in

From the above state of the trade of England in this period it plainly appears, that though it was trifling in comparison to what it is at present; yet in proportion to its extent, it was unspeakably more advantageous to the our favour. nation. From hence also it is evident, that the most effectual means which any people can employ for turning the balance of trade in their own favour are thefe two, to be sparing in the use of imported luxuries, and to be diligent in preparing articles of general utility for exportations.

Bills of exchange.

That most excellent device for the payment of accounts between merchants reliding in different countries. by bills of exchange, without the actual transmission of cash, was not unknown in England in the present period, We find Peter Egiblanke bishop of Hereford employing this contrivance, A. D. 1255, to a very pernicious purpose. Henry III. had contracted an immense debt to the pope in profecuting the abfurd project of making his fon Edmund king of Sicily; and his holiness, who was much indebted to certain Italian merchants, who had

advanced money for carrying on the war, had become importunate for payment. In this extremity the bishop of Hereford fuggested to Henry the following curious scheme for the payment of all his debts without money. -That the Italian merchants to whom the pope was indebted should draw bills in favour of their creditors in England, on all the rich bishops, abbots, and priors, in that kingdom, for certain large fums of money alleged to have been lent by them to these prelates for the use of their respective churches: that these bills should all be fent to the pope's legate in England, who should compel the prelates to accept and pay them, by threats of ecclesiastical censures. This iniquitous scheme was adopted by the king; and the bishop was sent to Rome to procure the pope's confent and concurrence. Thefe were eafily procured: the bills, to the amount of 150,540 marks, were drawn and prefented; and the prelates, after many remonstrances, were compelled to pay them, by threats of excommunication (69). The answer of the pope to the bishop, when he had explained his scheme to him, affords a curious specimen of the morality of the infallible head of the church in the thirteenth century: "Go (faid his holinefs), my dearest friend and " brother, and do what feemeth best to your own industry, which I very much commend (70)." As mercantile transactions increased, the use of bills of exchange became more common; and a law was made A. D. 1381, encouraging, or rather commanding the use of them, in making remittances to foreign countries (71).

Money or coins are of fo much use in commerce, that Money the state of them must be briefly delineated in every period of this work. As none of our writers who flour-ished in the thirteenth or sourteenth century make mention of living money, we may conclude, that coins made of the precious metals were now become the only representatives of all commodities. It is only money of that kind therefore with which we are here concerned.

The coins of both the British kingdoms continued in Changes in the same state in which they had been in the former the coin.

<sup>(69)</sup> M. Paris Hift. Angl. p. 612.

<sup>(71)</sup> Statutes, A. D. 1381. chap. 2.

period, during the whole of the thirteenth, and fome part of the fourteenth century (72). Edward III. made a very material alteration in the state of the coin of England A. D. 1346, by commanding 22s. 6d. to be coined out of the tower-pound of filver. By this regulation the weight of the filver penny, which was still the largest real coin, was reduced from 22 to 20 Troy grains, and the pound to 51s. 8d. of our money (73). The fame prince made a still greater change A. D. 1351, by coining greats and half-greats, the greats weighing 72 Troy grains, and 60 of these groats making a nominal pound sterling, containing only as much silver as 46s. 6d. of our money (74). This fecend diminution of the weight of the coin is faid to have been made by the persuasion of William Edington bishop of Winchester, and treasurer of England (75).

Gold coip.

The coinage of gold was one of the greatest alterations made by Edward III. in the state of the coin. By the advice of his council, A. D. 1344. January 20, he commanded florins of gold to be coined, and to pass for 6s. half storins for 3s. and quarter florins for 1s. 6d. of the money of that time (76). But Edward, aiming at too much profit by this coinage, had fet too high a value upon these pieces, which prevented their currency. To remedy this, he coined that fame year gold nobles, half nobles, and farthing nobles, the noble to pass for 6s. 8d. the half noble for 3s. 4d. and the farthing noble for Is. 8d. which he made known by a proclamation, dated 9th July A. D. 1344, commanding those coins to be taken in payment at these rates (77). By another proclamation, dated August 20, the same year, he commanded all the gold of the first coinage to be brought to the mint, and fold for its real value (78). In the first coinage a pound of gold was rated at 15 pounds of filver, in the second only at 131. 3s. 4d (79). This coin was called a noble, either on account of its value and beauty, being the largest and fairest then known, or on account of the honourable occa-

<sup>(72)</sup> See vol 3. chap. 6.

<sup>(73)</sup> Martin Folkes on English Silver Coins, p. 11.

<sup>(74)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 5. p. 708.

<sup>(75)</sup> Stow Annal. p. 251. T. Walfing, p. 169.

<sup>(76)</sup> Rym. Pad. tem. 5, p. 403. (77) Id. ibid. p. 416. (78) Id. ibid. r. 424.

<sup>(79)</sup> Stephen Martin Leake's History of English Money.

sion on which it was struck, the great naval victory over the French, obtained by Edward in person, A. D. 1340: for on that coin Edward appears completely armed, in a ship, with a naked fword in his right hand. These nobles, half and quarter nobles, continued to be the chief

gold coins of England to the end of this period.

The method of coining money in this period was very Method of simple. The metal was cast from the melting-pot into coining. sheets or long thin bars; these were cut with sheers into fquare pieces of exact weights, according to the species of coin intended; these pieces were formed into a round shape by the hammer, after which those of filver were blanched or made white by boiling; and, last of all, they were stamped or impressed by a hammer, which finished

the operation (80).

It was not fo eafy a matter, in the times we are now Royal exconfidering, to exchange gold and filver coins for each change. other as it is at prefent; and therefore Edward III. and feveral of his fuccessors, took this office into their own hands, to prevent private extortion, as well as for their own advantage: and they performed it, by appointing certain persons, furnished with a competent quantity of gold and filver coins, in London and other towns, to be the only exchangers of money, at the following rate. When these royal exchangers gave silver coins for a parcel of gold nobles, for example, they gave one filver penny less for each noble than its current value; and when they gave gold nobles for filver coins, they took one penny more, or 6s. 9d. for each noble; by which in every transaction they made a profit of 1 1-5th per cent (81). These royal exchangers had also the exclufive privilege of giving the current coins of the kingdom in exchange for foreign coins, to accommodate merchantstrangers, and of purchasing light money for the use of the mint. As feveral laws were made against exporting English coins (82), the king's exchangers at the feveral fea-ports furnished merchants and others who were going beyond feas, with the coins of the countries to which they were going, in exchange for English money, according to a table which hung up in their offices for pub-

<sup>(80)</sup> Stephen Martin Leake's History of English Money, p. 76.

<sup>(81)</sup> Rym. Foed. tom. 5. p. 416.

<sup>(82)</sup> Statutes, 9th Ed. III. chap. 1. 9, 10, 11.

lic inspection (83). By these various operations they made confiderable profits, of which the king had a certain share. The house in which the royal exchanger of any town kept his office was called the Exchange; from which, it is probable, the public structures where merchants meet for transacting business derive their name.

Clipping, &zc. prevailed.

The crimes of clipping and counterfeiting the current coin of England, and of importing base money of various denominations, as pollards, crokards, mitres, leonines, rofaries, staldings, steepings, and eagles, prevailed very much in the present period, though several severe laws were made against them (84). The Jews are said to have been remarkably guilty of these pernicious practices; and their guilt must have been very great indeed, if it was equal to their punishment: for no fewer than 280 of them were put to death for these crimes, in one year (1279), in London alone, besides many others in other parts of England (85). At the same time, all the goldsmiths in the kingdom were seized and thrown into prison, on fuspicion of being guilty of the same crine (86).

State of the

Though the difference in weight between a real pound coin of Scot- of filver and a nominal pound in coin feems to have commenced in both the British kingdoms nearly about the fame time, yet that difference foon became confiderably greater in Scotland than in England. The following proclamation, issued by Edward III. A. D. 1355, is an unquestionable evidence of both these facts: "The ancient " money of Scotland was, till these times, of the same weight and alloy as our sterling money of England; " and therefore did always pass current in England. But " because new money of the same form and denomination with the old, but of inferior weight and fineness, " hath been lately coined in Scotland, and is current in " our kingdom, it is necessary to prevent this, which would be a manifest loss to our people. We command, 66 therefore, that proclamation be made, in all cities,

(86) T. Wykes Chron. ann. 1279.

<sup>(83)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom 4. p. 500. Statutes, 9th Ed. III. chap. 7. (34) Statutes, 20th Ed. I. ann. 1292; 27th Ed. I. ann. 1299; 9th

Ed. III. chap. 2. (85) Anderson's Hist. Com. vol. 1. p. 129. T. Walsing. Hist. Angl. P. 48. Hemingford, Hift, Ed. I. p.6.

"towns, &c. That none of our subjects take that new "money of Scotland in payment, except for its real va-" lue as bullion to be brought to our mint; and that the " old money shall have the same currency as usual (87)." How much this new money of Scotland differed from English money, we are not informed; but it is probable the difference was not very perceptible, fince a royal proclamation was necessary to put the people upon their guard against taking it in payment. But the difference increased fo fast, that before the end of the century, the coins of Scotland were not above half the value of those of England of the same denomination. This appears from the 12th chapter of the statutes made at Westminster, A. D. 1300,-" The groat of Scotland shall pass only for two 66 pence in England; the half-groat for one penny, the "penny for a half-penny, and the half-penny for a far-

66 thing (88).

The high premiums that were usually paid for the use High inteof money borrowed, must have been a great obstruction rest of moto trade in this period. The church of Rome still continued to prohibit lending money on interest, declaring it to be usurious and heretical. Though this could not prevent fuch transactions, it prevented their being regulated by law; and therefore the rate of interest varied according to the necessities of the borrower, the avarice of the lender, and many other circumstances. It hath been already obferved, that the Caursini, who were agents for the pope in England, fometimes extorted no less than fixty per cent. per annum. For this, it is true, they were excommunicated by Roger bishop of London, A. D. 1235; but they were protected by the pope, who, fays the historian, was be suspected of being their accomplice; and none, we may prefume, who had not fo powerful a protector, would have dared to be guilty of fuch intolerable extortion (89). In general, therefore, we may be certain, that the premium demanded for the use of money was commonly much lower, most probably about twenty per cent. per annum, or under (90). In the marriage-contract of Margaret daughter of Alexander III. king of Scotland, with Eric king of Norway, A. D. 1281, it is stipulated, that

<sup>(87)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. c. p 813.

<sup>(88)</sup> Statutes, 14th Richard II. ch. 12.

<sup>(90)</sup> Anderson's Hist. Com. v. 1. p. 142. (89) M. Paris, p. 286.

if any part of the princess's fortune (which was 14,000 marks) was not paid at the terms agreed upon, the king of Norway should be immediately put in possession of estates in Scotland, as a fecurity for the money, and for payment of the interest; and that an estate given him in security for a thousand marks should vield at least one hundred marks of yearly rent, being an interest of ten per cent. per annum (91). But as this was an amicable transaction between two princes, contracting a near alliance. and the fecurity was a real estate, it is probable, that the interest was much lower than the ordinary rate exacted by private money-lenders on perfonal fecurity. It may be observed, in passing, that the greatness of the portion of this princess is one proof, amongst many others, that the wealth of Scotland bore a much greater proportion to that of England before the death of Alexander III. than ever it did before that fatal event.

Comparative value of money, of living.

So much hath been faid in the 6th chapter of the 3d book of this work, concerning the comparative value of and expence money, and expence of living, in the eleventh and twelfth centuries; and in the prefent times, that it will not be necessary to fay much on these subjects here, as no very remarkable change in these particulars feems to have taken place in the prefent period (92). To near the middle of the fourteenth century, a nominal pound sterling in cein was a real pound of filver, or about three of our nominal pounds; and the fame quantity of filver, as an ounce, or a pound, would have then purchased as many of the necessaries of life, as five ounces, or five pounds, will do at present. That the above computation is not far from the truth, might be proved from many facts mentioned by our ancient hutorians; but the two following, it is hoped, will be thought fufficient. One of these historians speaking of fir William de Lisle, the tyrannical sherist of Northumberland, A. D. 1256, says,-"He was rich, having an estate which was reckoned worth one hundred and fifty pounds a-year (93)." According to the above computation, fir William was as rich as a gentleman is at prefent who hath a clear estate of 2250l. a year; who may indeed be called rich, though

<sup>(</sup>or) Rym. Fæd. tom. 2. p. 1080.

<sup>(93)</sup> M. Paris, p. 627. (92) See vol. 3. ch. 6.

many private gentlemen are much richer. Another hiftorian, who flourished in the fourteenth century, acquaints us, that the ordinary falaries of curates, before the great pestilence, A. D. 1348, were four or five marks a-year; equivalent, according to the above supposition, to forty or fifty pounds at present, which may be called the ordinary falaries of curates in our times (94). It is true, indeed, that in the year after the pestilence curates demanded ten or twelve pounds a-year: but these demands were owing to the great scarcity of clergymen; they were thought exorbitant, and were restrained by law (05).

Sailors and ships being the great instruments of foreign Sailors. trade, the prosperity of every commercial country, especially of an island, must depend very much on the multitude and dexterity of its failors, and the number and goodness of its ships. The English failors preserved, through the whole of this period, that character of fuperior skill in navigating their ships, and superior courage in combating their enemies, which they had long possessed, and which they still possess. This is evident from their exploits, and from the testimony of contemporary historians. The victory near Sluys, A. D. 1340, was certainly one of the greatest ever obtained by the English over the French at fea; and that victory is faid to have been chiefly owing to the superior dexterity of the English failors in the management of their ships (96). The monk of Malmsbury, who wrote the history of Edward II. in whose reign he flourished, gives the following character of English sailors, A. D. 1315:- " English ships " vifit every coast; and English failors excel all others, both in the arts of navigation, and in fighting (97)."

It is difficult or rather impossible to discover the exact The shipstate of the shipping of England in this period, at this ping of distance of time, though we have some reason to think no increase that it did not increase either in the size or number of but rather ships, if it did not decrease. We learn from an authentic decreased, record, that the largest ship of war in England, A. D. 1304, in this period. had only a crew of forty men; and in the fleet of Edward III. at the fiege of Calais, A. D. 1346, the complement of

<sup>(94)</sup> H. Knyghton, col. 2600. (95) Id. ibid. (96) R. de Avesbery, p. 54-56. T. Walfing. p. 148. Campbell's Lives of the Admirals, v. 1. p. 197.

<sup>(97)</sup> Mon. Malmi. Vita Ed. II, an. 1315. p. 157.

each ship, on an average, was only twenty men (98). Some of the kings of England had very large fleets under their command in this period, which might make us imagine that ships were then very numerous. Edward III. at the above fiege had a fleet of feven hundred English, and thirty eight foreign ships; and the same prince, when he invaded France A. D. 1359, is faid to have had no fewer than eleven hundred ships (99). But these great fleets confisted of all the ships in all the ports of England, which, on fuch emergencies, were impressed, together with their crews, into the king's fervice. It appears from many of the press-warrants of those times, that the persons to whom the execution of them was committed, had authority, not only to feize all ships and vessels, great and fmall, in the feveral ports, but all that came in from fea during the continuance of their commission; to cause those that were loaded to be immediately unloaded, though they had not reached their intended port, and to conduct the whole with all their crews, to a certain place, for the king's fervice (100). Besides all this, Edward III. called a kind of naval parliament, A. D. 1344, commanding each fea-port to fend a certain number of commissioners to London, to give him an exact account of the state of shipping in his kingdom (101). From this naval parliament, as well as from other evidences, it appears, that Yarmouth abounded more in shipping, at that time, than any other port in England, London perhaps excepted. For London and Yarmouth were required to fend each four commissioners, while Bristol, Newcastle, and other great trading towns, were required to fend only two, and many others only one (102). When all these circumstances are considered, it seems not improbable, that our kings had fometimes one half at least of all the ships of England in their service; particularly Edward III. when he invaded France, A. D. 1359. But the complaints of the commons in parliament on this head, afford the clearest proof of the decrease of shipping; and it was to remedy this great evil.

<sup>(98)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 2. p. 943. Hakluyt's Voyages, p. 118. (99) Id. p. 121. Walfing. Ypodigma Neuftriæ, p. 523.

<sup>(100)</sup> Rym. Fxd. tom. 5. p. 3. 6. 12. 23, 24. 243. 300. 304; tom. 6. p. 167. 169, &c.

<sup>(101)</sup> Id. tom. 5. p. 4, 5, 6. (102) Id. ibid.

that the first navigation-act was made, A. D. 1381, as appears from the preamble (103). By that act all English merchants were commanded to freight none but English ships, under the penalty of forfeiting all the goods they embarked in foreign bottoms. But it was foon found that this act could not be executed without interrupting and diminishing the trade of native English merchants, and therefore permission was granted, by another act, A. D. 1382, to freight foreign vessels when

they could not procure English ships (104).

It is not difficult to discover the causes which pre-Causes of the vented the increase, and even occasioned a diminution, decrease of of the shipping of England in this period. The chief shipping. cause of this unquestionably was, the great encouragement given to merchant-strangers, who carried on a great part of the trade in foreign bottoms. The frequent feizure of English failors and ships by government, was also a disadvantage, from which foreigners were exempted by the most folemn stipulations (105). Upon the whole, the abounding of merchant-strangers was more convenient to our kings (to whom they advanced great fums of money) than beneficial to their subjects; and the violent clamour of the English against them was not so unreasonable as it hath been represented by some of the historians of those times.

The failors of this period enjoyed a great advantage Mariner's above their predecessors in the use of the mariner's com- compass. pass, which encouraged them to venture more boldly on the open sea, and to steer a more direct course to their intended port. The principles of that instrument were not quite unknown before this time, and fome faint attempts had even been made to apply them to navigation; but a convenient method of doing it was not then difcovered (106). The honour of inventing the mariner's compass hath been given to several different persons; but upon the whole it feems to be most probable, that the world is indebted for this most useful invention to Flavio de Gioca of Amalphi, who, about A. D. 1302, constructed a compass with only eight points, which was

(106) Sec vol. 3.

<sup>(103)</sup> Statutes at Large, an. 1381. (104) Id. A. D. 1382. ch. 8. (105) Anonymi Historia Edwardi III. an. 1337.

afterwards improved at different times and in different

countries (107).

Few difcoveries of unknown countries made in

But notwithstanding this advantage, few discoveries of unknown countries were made in this period, either by British or foreign sailors. Nicholas de Lenna, a Carmelite friar, is faid to have made five voyages for difthis period, covery towards the north pole, in the reign of Edward III. and to have prefented a description of the countries which he had discovered to that king; and it is also reported that one Macham an Englishman discovered the island of Madeira, A. D. 1344 (1308). But it must be confessed, that the relations we have of these discoveries are very imperfect, and in fome particulars not very probable. Pope Clement VI. November 15th, A. D. 1344, created Lewis of Spain king of the Fortunate Islands, supposed to be the Canaries, after his holiness had preached a sermon to prove, that he had the fole right of creating kings and bestowing kingdoms (100). But so imperfect were the hints which had been received of these islands, that this new monarch was never able to discover in what part of the world his dominions were fituated. Canaries, however, were actually discovered A. D. 1305. by fome Spanish and French adventurers; and this feems to have been the furthest point towards the fouth-west to which any Europeans had proceeded by fea at the end of the fourteenth century (110).

(107) Anderson's Hift. Com. v. 1. p. 144.

(108) Campbell's Lives of the Admirals, vol. 1. p. 252. Hakluyt, vol. 1. p. 121, 122. v. 2. part 2. p. 1.

(109) W. Hemingford, vol. 2. p. 376. (110) Hakluyt, v. 2. part 2. p. 1.

THE

## HISTORY

O F

## GREAT BRITAIN.

## B O O K IV.

## CHAP. VII.

History of the Manners, Virtues, Vices, remarkable Customs, Language, Dress, Diet, and Diversions of the people of Great Britain, from the death of king John, A.D. 1216, to the accession of Henry IV. A. D. 1399.

THE Romans, Saxons, Danes, and Normans, by their Changes of conquests and settlements in this island, made great and manners, conspicuous changes in the manners, customs, &c. of the great in this inhabitants of those parts of it in which they settled as informer These changes have been described in their proper places periods, in the preceding volumes of this work. But as no foreign nations made any conquests or settlements in any part of Britain in the present period, the alterations in manners, &c. which then took place, were only such as were naturally brought about by the instability of the humours, sashions, circumstances, and affairs of mankind, and by the gradual progress of society. These alterations, however, upon an attentive examination, Vol. IV.

will be found confiderable and worthy of a brief delineation.

Sudden changes in manners, &c.

The manners and characters of nations fometimes change very fuddenly with their circumstances. Of this we meet with feveral firiking examples in the history of England in the present period. The natitional character and manners of the English during the civil wars and great relaxation of the reins of government in the reign of Henry III. are thus described by a contemporary historian, A. D. 1267: "In these five years past there have been so many battles, both by " land and fea, so much slaughter and destruction of " the people of England, fo many devastations, plunder-"ings, robberies, thefts, facrileges, perjuries, treache-" ries, and treasons, that the nation hath lost all sense of distinction between right and wrong, virtue and vice. "In a word, fuch hath been the infignificancy of the laws, through the weakness of the king, that every one 66 did whatever feemed good in his own eyes (1)." No part of the national character of the English is more unquestionable than their valour; and yet (if we may believe the best of our ancient historians) they were so much dispirited by their great defeat at Bannockburn, A. D. 1314, that they lost all their wonted courage for a feafon, and degenerated into dastardly poltroons. The consternation of the English, on that occasion, is painted by one of these historians in the following mournful strain: "O day of vengeance and misfortune, odicus accurfed 66 day, no longer to be computed in the circle of the year, which stained the glory of the English, spoiled us, and enriched the Scots to the value of two hundred thousand pounds! How many illustrious barons and valiant youths, how many noble horses and beau-" tiful arms, how many precious vestments and golden vessels, were carried off in one cruel day (2)?" "At " that time (favs another of these historians) many of " the English fled to the Scots, and joined with them in their invasion of Northumberland, plundering towns and castles, desolating the whole country with fire and " fword, and carrying away the wretched inhabitants into captivity, with their horses, herds, and flocks,

<sup>(1)</sup> Chron. T. Wykes, an. 1267. p. 33.

<sup>(2)</sup> Monarch. Malmf. Vita Edwardi II.

" without meeting with any refistance. For the Eng-" lish at that time had fo entirely fallen from their antient valour, that a hundred of them used to fly at the " approach of two or three Scotch men (3)." But this eclipse of the native bravery of the English was not of long duration, and nothing could be more unreasonable. than to form our opinion of the national character of any

people from its appearance in a scason of anarchy or despair. Neither would it be fafe to form our notions of the na- National tional character of the people of England in this period, characters from the pictures which are drawn of it by some of the not to be monkish historians of those times. The monk of Malms- fome monkbury, in particular, who wrote the life of Edward II. ith historipaints his countrymen and contemporaries in the blackeft ans. colours. "What advantage (fays he) do we reap from " all our modern pride and infolence? In our days the " lowest poorest wretch, who is not worth a halfpenny, " despises his superiors, and is not afraid to return them curse for curse. But this, you say, is owing to "their rufticity. Let us fee then the behaviour of those " who think themselves polite and learned. Where do " you meet with more abuse and insolence than at court? "There every one, fwelling with pride and rancour, " fcorns to cast a look on his inferiors, disdains his equals, and proudly rivals his fuperiors. The fquire endeavours to outshine the knight, the knight the baron, " the baron the earl, the earl the king, in drefs and mag-" nificence. Their estates being insufficient to support "this extravagance, they have recourse to the most opof preflive arts, plundering their neighbours and stripping their dependents almost naked, without sparing even " the priests of God .- I may be censured for my too es great boldness, if I give an ill character of my own countrymen and kindred; but if I may be permitted 66 to speak the truth, the English exceed all other nations in the three vices of pride, perjury, and dishonesty. "You will find great numbers of this nation in all the countries washed by the Greek sea; and it is com-"monly reported that they are infamous over all thefe countries for their deceitful dealings (4)." But we

(3) T. Walfing. p. 106. (4) Monach. Malmf. an. 1315. p. 153. 160. must remember, that this picture was drawn by a peevish monk, in very unhappy times, when faction raged with the greatest fury, both in the court and country.

Nor from enemies,

Nor would it be proper to take the national character of the people of Britain, in this period, from their contemporaries on the continent. The French were enemies to the English; and the Italians of those times affected to confider all other nations as barbarians. Even the illustrious Petrarch, the politest scholar, as well as the greatest poet, of the fourteenth century, could not divest himself of this prejudice. "In my youth (favs he), the " inhabitants of Britain, whom they call English, were " the most cowardly of all the barbarians, inferior even " to the vile Scots (5)." Sir John Froissart, famous for his frankness and fincerity, who was well acquainted with the English, doth justice to their valour, on many occasions; but blames them for their infolent and difgusting behaviour to the people of other nations. When I was at Bourdeaux, a little before the departure of the prince of Wales on his expedition into "Spain, I observed, that the English were so proud 46 and haughty, that they could not behave to the peoof other nations with any appearance of civility. Even the gentlemen of Gascony and Aquitaine, who " had loft their estates in fighting for them, could not obtain the smallest place of profit from them, being constantly told, that they were unfit for, and unworthy of preferment. By this treatment they loft the love, and incured the hatred, of these gentlemen; which they discovered as soon as an opportunity offered. In a word, the king of France gained these gentlemen, " and their countries, by his liberality, and condescension, and the English lost them by their haughtiness 66 (6)." This character was written by a Frenchman, not long after the glorious victory of Poictiers; on which we need not wonder that the English were elated. But though some degree of haughtiness in such circumstances may be excusable, it is always offensive and imprudent. Sir John Froislart's character of the Scots is still more unfavourable. When John de Vienne, admiral of

(6) Froissart, tom. 3. ch. 20. p. 75.

<sup>(5)</sup> Petrarchi Opera, Epist. samil. l. 22. Ep. 3. Memoires pour la Vie de Petrarque, tom. 3. p. 553.

France, conducted a gallant troop of one thousand knights and efquires, the very flower of chivalry, into Scotland, A. D. 1385, to excite and affift the Scots to invade England, the noblemen and gentlemen of that troop complained bitterly of the poverty of the country, and of the rudeness and incivility of the people. "The Scots " (fays he), being naturally fierce and unpolished, hated and despised the French, and gave them the most con-66 temptuous names they could invent. For in Scotland there is little or no politeness, the people in general 66 being a kind of favages, envying the riches of others, " and tenacious of their own possessions (7)." But it plainly appears, that the Scots at that time did not wish to renew the war with England, in the course of which their country had been almost ruined and depopulated. This made these French auxiliaries very unwelcome guests, and their own infolent rapacious behaviour did the rest. We have even reason to suspect, that there never was any cordial friendship between the Scots and French; and that their common dread of the English was the only cement of their union.

Religion, and the characters of its ministers, have a Covruption confiderable influence on the manners of mankind in all of the paral ages. Their influence in this period was most pernicious. court. Nothing could be more corrupt, and unfriendly to virtue, than that fystem of Christianity that then prevailed in Britain, and all the nations of Europe, except the lives of the generality of its teachers. It is impossible to read without horror the descriptions given by Petrarch (who was himself a priest), of the profligacy of the papal court in the fourteenth century, while it resided at Avignon. If there be any truth in these descriptions, of which we have no reason to doubt, that city was then the most odious unhallowed scene that ever the fun beheld. You imagine (wrote he in a letter to a friend) that the city of Avignon is the same now that it was when " you resided in it :- No; it is very different.-It was then, it is true, the worst and vilest place on earth; but it is now become a terrestrial hell, a residence of fiends and devils, a receptacle of all that is most wicked and abominable. What I tell you is not from hear-

<sup>(7)</sup> Froissart, tom. 2. ch, 160. p. 282 .

fay, but from my own knowledge and experience. In " this city there is no piety, no reverence, or fear of "God, no faith or charity, nothing that is holy, just, equitable, or humane. Why should I speak of truth, where not only the houses, palaces, courts, churches, 46 and the thrones of popes and cardinals, but the very earth and air, feem to teem with lies. A future state. " heaven, hell, and judgment, are openly turned into 66 ridicule, as childish fables. Good men have of late been treated with fo much contempt and fcorn, that " there is not one left amongst them to be an object of " their laughter (8)." To confirm the truth of these, and other reproaches no less severe, Petrarch relates several curious anecdores of the diffimulation and debauchery of the cardinals which are too indelicate to be admitted into this work (9).

Profligacy of the clergy. When the manners of popes, cardinals, court-prelates, and their retainers, were so corrupted, those of the clergy in general could not be pure; especially when (as we are assured by the same author) the more wicked any one was, the more certain he was of preferment in the church (10). Accordingly we find, that the vices of the clergy were the chief subjects of satire in every country in Europe, and particularly in England, in the fourteenth century. The poems of Chaucer abound in such satire; and the Plowman's Tale is one continued invective against the clergy for their gross ignorance, cruelty, covetousness, simony, vanity, pride, ambition, drunkenness, gluttony, lechery, and other vices; of which the following are a few examples:

Their gross ignorance. Suche as cannat yfay ther crede, With prayer shul be made prelates; Nother canne thei the gospell rede, Suche shul now weldin hie estates.

Cruelty.

There was more mercy in Maximine And Nero that never was gode.
Than there is now in some of them, Whan he hath on his surred hode.

(10) Id. ibid.

<sup>(8)</sup> Fran. Petrarch. Epist. fine titulo, lib. 1. p. 10, &c.

<sup>(9)</sup> Fran. Petrarch. Epist. fine titulo, lib. 1. p. 7. 10, &c.

They balowe nothing but for hire, Ne churche, ne font, ne vestement; They tayith out thir large nettes, For to takin filver and golde.

Thei fillin coffers and sackes fettes There as they catchen soules sholde.

Ne usin their no simonie
But selle churches and priories.

With purse they purchase parsonage.

Simony.

Of fearlet and grene gaie gounes,
That mote be shapen for the newe,
To clippen and kissen in townes
The domosiles that to the daunce sewe.
Cuttid clothis to sewe ther hewe,
With long pikis on ther shone,
Or Gode's gospel is not true,
Either thei serve the devill or none.

Vanity in drefs.

Lordes also more to them loute, Obeysaunt to ther brode bleffing, Theiridin with ther royal route On a coursir, as it were a king, With salle of golde glittering, With curious harness quaintly crallit (11), Stiroppisgaie of golde mastling (12). Pride.

These han more might in Englande here,
Than hath the king and all his lawe;
Thei han purchased such powere
To takin 'hem whom list not knawe.
The king'is law wol no man deme
Angerlich, withoutin answere;
But if any man these misqueme,
He shall be baighted as a bere.

Ambition and tyranny.

Thei fide of many manir metes,
With fong and folas fitting long;
And filleth ther wombe, and fast fretes (13),
And from the mete unto the gong (14).
And after mete with harp and fong,
And hot spices ever among;
And fille ther wombe with wine and ale,

Luxury.

Mennis wivis thei wollin hold, And though that thei bin right fory, To fpeke thei shull not be so bold, Lechery.

<sup>(11)</sup> Crallit, engraved.

<sup>(13)</sup> Fast fretes, eat voraciously,

<sup>(12)</sup> Mastling, shining.

<sup>(14)</sup> Gong, a jakes,

For fompning to the confiftory, And make them faie with mouthe I lie, Though thei it fawin with ther eye, His lemman holden openly, No man fo hardy to aske why.

Other vices.

They wie horedom and harlottrie,
And covetife, and pompe, and pride,
And floth, and wrathe, and eke envie,
And flwine time by every fide.
As Goddes godtines no man tell might,
Ne write, ne fpeke, ne think in thought
So ther flashed, and ther unright,
Maie no man tell that ere God wrought (15).

The times of Antichrist believed to be at hand.

The dissoluteness of the clergy in our present period was fo conspicuous, that it gave rise to an opinion that universally prevailed, that the times of Antichrist were drawing near. "It is believed by all wife men (fays Ro-" ger Bacon), that the times of Antichrift are near at "hand (16)." Dr Nicholas Crem, a celebrated preacher, in a fermon before the pope and cardinals, A. D. 1364, proposed to prove that Antichrist would shortly make his appearance in the world, from the following figns of his approach.—1. The Christian church was become more corrupt than that of the Jews was in the days of Christ, of which he gave many examples .-- 2. The great inequality in the state of the Christian clergy, "of whom some be fo high that they exceed all princes of the earth; " fome again be so base, that they are under all rascals." -3. The pride of prelates, which doth excite indignation in many, and respect only in few .- 4. The intolerable tyranny of the governors of the church, which was fo violent that it could not be lasting .- 5. The promoting the most vicious and unworthy in the church, and neglecting the most worthy. - 6. The princes and rulers of the church hate them that tell them truth, and refuse to hear their faults (17). Even Petrarch, though he doth not feem to have had any scruples about the doctrines and ceremonies of the church, was fo much shocked at the gross corruption of manners in the papal court, that he applied the predictions in the book of the Revelations of St. John, relating to Babylon, the mother of harlots, and abomi-

<sup>(15)</sup> Chaucer's Works, published by Urry, p. 179-189.

<sup>(16)</sup> Bacon Opus Majus, p. 254. (17) Fox's Acts and Monuments. p. 383, &cs.

nations of the earth, to the city of Avignon, which was then the residence of the pope and cardinals (18). At length Dr. John Wickliff in England, and feveral eminent persons in other parts of Europe, openly affirmed, that the pope was Antichrift; and that it was the duty of emperors, kings, princes, and nobles, to refume the lands and donations that had been granted to the church by their ancestors, for the support of the clergy; because they were possessed by Antichrist and his ministers (19).

This too general profligacy of the clergy could not fail Profligacy to have an ill effect on the manners of the laity. For the of the clergy in those times possessing immense wealth and great clergy corpower, had many followers and dependents, who were no laity. doubt ready enough to imitate their example, to flatter them in their vices, and to minister to their pleasures. We have reason therefore to suspect, that the laity in general were not more virtuous than their teachers, though, from the difference of their circumstances, their vices were in some respects different. The cruel unnatural law of the celibacy of the clergy, for example, involved many of that body in various vices, to which the laity had not the fame temptations.

But though there is fufficient evidence that rational re-Religion ligion and real virtue did not greatly flourish among the strongly people of Britain in the thirteenth and fourteenth centu-tinctured with furies, we must not imagine that the national virtues which perstition. prevailed in their ancestors were now quite extinct in their posterity (20). No! they still possessed the same kind of piety, strongly tinctured with superstition, and would have been no less liberal to the church than their forefathers, if they had not been restrained by laws, which they laboured to elude. A passion for holy wars, pilgrimages, relics, &c. was also very general, and esteemed one of the strongest evidences of eminent piety. Henry Spencer, the warlike bishop of Norwich, raised a great army in England, and conducted it to the continent, A. D. 1383, to support the election of pope Urban VI. and put to death all the adherents of his antagonial Clement VII. The bulls of Urban, promifing a plenary remission of their fins, and a place in paradife to all who fought in his cause,

<sup>(18)</sup> Revelat, chap. 17. Petraschi Opera, edit. Basil. 7, 729.

<sup>(19)</sup> Hen. Knyghton, col. 2707. T. Walfing. p. 191.

<sup>(20)</sup> See vol. 3.

or contributed money to support it, were the chief instruments employed to raife that army, and to collect money for its pay, and the other expences of that holy war (21). 46 As foon (fays the historian), as these bulls were pub-" lished in England, the whole people were transported with joy, and thought that the opportunity of obtain-" ing fuch inestimable graces was not to be neglected (22)." Pilgrimages were frequently and univerfally performed by perfons of all ranks; and those that were longest and most dangerous were believed to be most meritorious. That an excessive veneration for relics was no less universal, is evident from the following curious transaction, recorded by an eye-witness. Henry III. fummoned all the great men of the kingdom, A. D. 1247, to come to London on the festival of St. Edward to receive an account of a certain facred benefit which heaven had lately bestowed on England. The singular strain of this summons excited the most eager curiofity, and brought great multitudes to London at the time appointed. When they were all affembled in St. Paul's church, the king acquainted them, that the great mafter of the knights-templars had fent him, by one of his knights, a phial of chrystal, containing a fmall portion of the precious blood of Christ, which he had fhed upon the cross for the falvation of the world, attested to be genuine by the seals of the patriarch of Jerufalem, of feveral archbishops, bishops, abbots, and other great men of the Holy Land. This, he informed them, he designed to carry the next day, in solemn procession, to Westminster, attended by them, and by all the clergy of London, in their proper habits, with their banners, crucifixes, and wax candles; and exhorted all who were prefent to prepare themselves for that sacred folemnity, by spending the night in watching, fasting, and devout exercises. On the morrow, when the proceffion was put in order, and ready to fet forward, the king approached the facred phial with reverence, fear, and trembling, took it in both his hands, and holding it up higher than his face, proceeded under a canopy, two affiftants supporting his arms. Such was the devotion of Henry on this occasion, that though the road between St. Paul's and Westminster was very deep and miry, he kept

his eyes constantly fixed on the phial, or on heaven. When the procession approached Westminster, it was met by about one hundred monks of that abbey, who conducted it into the church, where the king deposited the venerable relic, which (fays the historian) made all England shine with glory, dedicating it to God and St. Edward, to the church of St. Peter's Westminster, and the monks of that abbey (24). An aftonishing display of mistaken piety, or rather of the most sottish superstition and credulity!

Courage and bravery may very fafely be reckoned Valour. among the national virtues of both the British nations in

this period; of which the history of their martial atchievements affords the clearest proofs. The two glorious victories of Cressy and Poictiers are incontestable evidences of the heroic valour of the English; and the obstinate invincible fortitude with which the Scots afferted the independency of their country, against the repeated efforts of their too powerful neighbours to fubdue them, is a demonstration that they were then a brave and

valiant people.

A noble spirit of liberality and munificence prevailed Generosity. in this period, especially among the great martial barons; of which it may be proper to give one example: the lord James Audeley, one of the first knights of the garter, obtained permission from the prince of Walcs to begin the battle of Poictiers; and, attended by his four faithful efquires, performed prodigies of valour. As foon as the action was over, and the victory complete, the prince inquired for the lord Audeley; and being informed that he lay dangerously wounded at a little distance, commanded, if it could be done with fafety, to bring him to his tent. When lord Audeley, carried in a litter, entered, the prince embraced him in the most affectionate manner; declared, that he had been the best doer in arms in the business of that day; and made him a grant of five hundred marks yearly (equivalent to about 8,000l. at prefent), as a reward of his valour. Lord Audeley accepted this noble grant with the warmest expressions of gratitude; but as foon as he was carried to his own tent, he bestowed it on his four brave and faithful esquires, without referving any share of it to himself. The prince applauded this generous action, and rewarded it with another grant of fix hundred marks a-year (25). The generosity of those times was not always so wisely directed, but often degenerated into vain abfurd extravagance. Alexander III. king of Scotland, being present at the coronation of Edward I. rode to Westminster, attended by one hundred knights, mounted on fine horses, which they let loofe, with all their furniture, as foon as they alighted, to be feized by the populace as their property. In this he was imitated by the earls of Lancaster, Cornwall, Gloucester, Pembroke, and Warrenne, who each paid Edward the same expensive unprofitable compliment (26). The extravagant ruinous liberalities of Henry III. and Edward II. are fo well known, that they need not be mentioned.

Hospitality.

An almost unlimited hospitality reigned in the palaces of princes, and the castles of great barons, in the times we are now delineating. The courts of fome of the kings of England in this period are faid to have been splendid and numerous, to a degree that is hardly credible, and of which no examples have been feen for feveral centuries. That of Richard II. is thus described by an historian of the greatest integrity: " His royalty was fuch, that wherefoever he lay, his person was guarded by two hundred Cheshiremen; he had about him thirteen bishops, besides barons, knights, esquires, and other moe than needed; infomuch, that to the 66 household came every day to meat ten thousand peoof ple, as appeared by the messes told out of the kitchen 66 to three hundred fervitors, &c. (27)" We may form fome idea of the magnificence and hospitality of the opulent and powerful barons of those times, from an account of the household expences of Thomas earl of Lancaster for A. D. 1313 (28). From that account it appears, that this great earl expended in house-keeping that year no less than 7,309l. containing as much filver as 21,927l. equal in efficacy to 109,635l. of our money at present. The surprising cheapness of some of the ar-

<sup>(25)</sup> Froiffart, tom. r. ch. 165. 167.

<sup>(26)</sup> H. Knyghton, col. 2461.

<sup>(27)</sup> Stow's Annals, p. 323. (28) Anderion's History of Commerce, an, 1313.

ticles in that account gives us reason to think, that it would even require a much greater fum than 109,6351. to purchase an equal quantity of provisions at this time. The pipe of French wine cost only 17s. which, according to the above computation, was equivalent to 41. 5s. of our money; a very inconfiderable part of its price at present. We may judge also of the grandeur and hospitality with which this earl lived, and of the immenfe quantities of provisions of all kinds that were confumed in his family in one year, from the quantity of wine, which was no less than three hundred and seventy-one pipes (29). Other earls and barons in general fpent almost all their revenues, and the produce of their large domains, in hospitality at their castles in the country, which were ever open to strangers of condition, as well as to their own vaffals, friends, and followers. This profuse expenfive hospitality, it would feem, began to decline a little towards the conclusion of this period; and some barons, instead of dining always in the great hall with their numerous dependents, according to ancient cuftom, dined fometimes in private parlours, with their own families, and a few familiar friends. But this innovation was very unpopular, and fubjected those who adopted it to much reproach (30).

A splendid oftentatious kind of gallantry, expressive of Romantic the most profound respect and highest admiration of the gallany. beauty and virtue of the ladies, was studied and practifed by the martial barons, knights, and efquires of this period. This gallantry appeared in its greatest lustre at roval tournaments, and other grand and folemn festivals, at which the ladies shone in their brightest ornaments, and received peculiar honours. When Edward III. A. D. 1344, celebrated the magnificent feath of the round table, at Windfor, to which all the nobility of his own dominions, and of the neighbouring countries, had been invited, queen Philippa, and three hundred ladies, illuftrious for their birth and beauty, uniformly dreffed in the richest habits, adorned that solemnity, and were treated with the most pompous romantic testimonics of respect and admiration (31). Many of the most magnificent

<sup>(29)</sup> Anderson's History of Commerce, an. 1313. (30) Warten's Hist. of Poetry, vol. 1. p. 276.

<sup>(31)</sup> Walting. p. 164. Froitiart, toni, 1. ch. 101. p. 116.

tournaments of those times were the effects of this kind of gallantry, and were defigned for the honour and entertainment of the ladies, who appeared at these solemnities in prodigious numbers, and from different countries (32). Sometimes a few brave and gallant knights published a proclamation in their own, and in feveral other countries. afferting the fuperior beauty and virtue of the ladies whom they loved; and challenging all who dared to difpute that superiority, to meet them at a certain time and place to determine the important controversy by combat (33). These challenges were constantly accepted, and produced tournaments, to which princes, knights, and ladies of different nations crowded. This romantic gallantry displayed itself in times of war, as well as peace, and amorous and youthful knights fought as much for the honour of their mistresles as of their country. A party of English and a party of French cavalry met near Cherburgh, A. D. 1379, and immediately prepared for battle. When they were on the point of engaging, fir Lancelot de Lorres, a French knight, cried aloud, that he had a more beautiful mistress than any of the English. This was denied by fir John Copeland, who run the Frenchman through the body with his spear, and laid him dead at his feet (34). When Edward III. raifed a great army to affert his claim to the crown of France, a confiderable number of young English gentlemen put each of them a patch upon one of his eyes, making a folemn vow to his mistress, that he would not take it off till he had performed fome notable exploit in France. to her honour; and thefe gentlemen (fays Froisfart) were much admired (35).

Chivalry.

The revival of chivalry by Edward I. and Edward III. contributed not a little to promote valour, munificence, and this splendid kind of gallantry, among persons of condition, who aspired to the honours of knighthood, which were then objects of ambition to the greatest princes. An ingenious writer, who had studied this subject with the greatest care, affirms positively, that "all the heroic virtues which then existed in the several states of christendom, were the fruits of chival-

<sup>(32)</sup> Walfing. p. 197. Froissart, tom. 1. ch. 101. p. 116.

<sup>(33)</sup> Id. tom. 4. p. 26. 90. (34) Froissart, tom. 2. p. 50.

<sup>(35)</sup> Id. tom. 1. ch. 29.

" rv (36)." This affertion may be too strong; but it cannot be denied, that the spirit and laws of chivalry were friendly to the cause of virtue. By these laws none but persons of unfullied characters could obtain the honours of knighthood, which were conferred with much folemnity, on the most public occasions, and in the prefence of the most august assemblies. After the candidate had given fufficient proofs of his prowefs, and other virtues, to merit that distinction, and had prepared himfelf for receiving it, by fasting, confesting, hearing masses, and other acts of devotion, he took an oath confishing of twenty-fix articles, in which, amongst other things, he fwore, that he would be a good, brave, loyal, just, generous, and gentle knight, a champion of the church and clergy, a protector of the ladies, and a redreffer of the wrongs of widows and orphans (37). Those knights who acquitted themselves of these obligations in an honourable manner, were favoured by the fair and courted by the great; but those who were guilty of base dishonourable actions, were degraded with every possible mark of infamy. All this could hardly fail to have fome influence on the conduct of those who were invested with that dignity; though, from the rudeness of the times, and the general diffolution of manners which then prevailed, that influence was probably much lefs than might have been expected.

Chivalry declined in England during the inglorious Revived in reigns of king John and Henry III. but revived under Ed-England. ward I. That prince was one of the most accomplished knights of the age in which he flourished, and both delighted and excelled in feats of chivalry. It is a sufficient proof of this, that when he was on his return from the Holy Land, after his father's death, and knew that his presence was ardently desired in England, he accepted an invitation to a tournament at Chalons in Burgundy. At that famous tournament, which terminated in a real battle, he displayed his valour and dexterity to great advantage, and gained a complete victory (38). Edward III. was no less fond of chivalry, and encouraged it both by

<sup>(36)</sup> M. de la Curne De Sainte Palaye, sur l'Ancienne Chevalerie, tom. 1. p. 215.

<sup>(37)</sup> Id. ibid. part 2. p. 67-180.

<sup>(38)</sup> Mat, Westminster, l. 2. p. 354. Annal. Nu. Trivite, ad. ann. 1272.

his example and munificence. In this he was influenced by policy, as well as inclination. Having formed the design of asserting his claim to the crown of France, he laboured to inspire his own subjects with a bold enterprifing spirit, and to entice as many valiant foreigners as possible into his fervice. With this view he celebrated feveral very pompous tournaments, to which he invited all strangers who delighted in feats of arms, entertained them with the most flowing hospitality, and loaded such of them as excelled in these martial sports with honours and rewards, in order to attach them to his person and engage them to fight in his cause (39). With the same view, and about the fame time, he founded the most honourable order of the garter; of which his own heroic fon the black prince was the first knight, and all the first companions were persons famous for their victories at tournaments, and in real wars (40). Philip de Valois, king of France, was fo much alarmed at these proceedings of his powerful rival, that he fet up a round table at Paris, in opposition to that at Windsor, and endeavoured to render his tournaments more splendid than those of Edward, in order to attract a greater number of foreign knights, that he might retain them in his fervice (41). In a word, chivalry, which is now an object of ridicule, was, in those times, a matter of the greatest moment, and had no little influence on the manners of mankind and fate of nations.

Follies and vices.

It is unnecessary to give a long detail of the national foibles and vices of the people of Britain in the present period, as they were nearly the same with those of their ancestors in that immediately preceding (42). A most absurd irrational credulity still reigned in all the nations of Europe, not only among the vulgar, but among persons of the highest rank and best education. Pope Innocent VI. firmly believed that Petrarch was a magician, because he read Virgil (43). Many miracles were reported and believed to be wrought in different places, on the most trisling occasions, and are recorded by our gravest

(39) Froissart, tom. 1. ch. 90. 101.

(43) Petrarchi Opera, Basil, edit. p. 739.

<sup>(40)</sup> See the Lives of the founder, and of all the first knights, in Ashmole's History of the Garter, chap. 26.

<sup>(41)</sup> T. Walling. p. 164. (42) See vol. 3.

historians as unquestionable facts (44). No prince engaged in any undertaking of importance till his astrologers had consulted the stars, and discovered the auspicious moment for carrying it into execution. Of this we meet with a very curious example, in the account given by Matthew Paris of the marriage of Frederic emperor of Germany, and Isabella, sister of Henry III.

A. D. 1235 (45).

The administration of justice, even in the king's suffice ill courts, was very corrupt and oppressive in this period. administer-This was partly owing to the venality of the judges, and ed. partly to unlawful confederacies among the fubjects, to fupport each other in their law-fuits. The venality of the king's ministers of justice at length became so intolerable and notorious, that they were tried by a parliament held at Westminster, A. D. 1289, found guilty, and fined according to the degrees of their delinquencies. Sir Adam de Stratton, chief baron of the exchequer, was fined in no less than 34,000 marks, equivalent to 340,000l. of our money at prefent; and this, with the fines of the other judges, amounted to a fum equivalent to one million in our times (46). Sir Thomas Weyland, chief justice of the common pleas, having been found guilty of exciting some of his followers to commit a murder, and of protecting them after they had committed it, was condemned to be hanged; but the king, in consideration that he was a knight (a character which Edward I. much revered, spared his life, banished him out of the kingdom, and confiscated his whole estate (47). But all this feverity doth not feem to have put an end to this evil; for we meet with very loud complaints of the corruption of the judges long after this time. The monk of Malmsbury, A. D. 1319, assures us, that there was not fo much as one of the king's ministers and judges who did not receive bribes, and very few who did not extort them (48). The eight statutes made in this period against champerty, as it was called, or forming confederacies

<sup>(44)</sup> M. Paris, p. 140, 141, 142. 146. passim. T. Walfing p. 340. (45) Nocte vero prima qua concubuit Imperator cum ea, noluit eam carnaliter cognoscere, donec competens hora ab astrologis ei nunciaretur. M. Paris, p. 283. ad an. 1235.

<sup>(46)</sup> T. Wykes, Chron. ann. 1282. (47) Annal, Dunftap, an. 1289. (48) Monach. Malmf. ad. an. 1316. Vol. IV. K. k.

for supporting each other in all quarrels and law-suits, affords fufficient evidence, that this evil very much prevailed, and was very hard to be eradicated (49).

Robberv prevailed.

Robbery was the reigning vice, not only in Britain, but in all the nations of Europe, in the prefent period; and robbers were then more numerous, cruel, and destructive, than at any other time. These pests of human fociety were frequently formed into companies under the protection of powerful barons, who sheltered them in their castles, and shared with them in their booty. During the feeble reign of Henry III. many strong castles belonging to great men were no better than dens of thieves and robbers, who from thence infested the whole country. In Hampshire their numbers were for great, that the judges could not prevail upon any jury to find any of them guilty; and the king himfelf complained, that when he travelled through that county, they plundered his baggage, drank his wine, and treated him with contempt. It was afterwards discovered, that feveral members of the king's household were in confederacy with the robbers (50). Even under the more vigorous administration of Edward I. a numerous band of robbers affaulted the town of Boston, A. D. 1285, in the time of the fair, fet it on fire in three places, and carried off an immenfe booty in money and goods. Their leader Robert Chamberlan, a gentleman of great power and wealth, was taken, tried, and executed; but he could not be prevailed upon to discover so much as one of his accomplices (51). The robbers of those times plundered all who came in their way without distinction. A troop of them, commanded by Gilbert Middleton and Walter Selbey, affaulted two cardinals, who were efcorted by the bishop of Durham and his brother lord Beaument, attended by a numerous retinue of gentlemen and fervants, near Darlington, A. D. 1316. Having robbed the cardinals of their money and effects, they allowed them to proceed on their journey; but they carried the biftion and his brother prisoners, the one to the castle

(51) H. Kaygiston, p. 2465.

<sup>(19)</sup> Statutes at Large, 1st Ed. I. ch. 25.; 13th Ed. I. ch. 49.; 28th Fd. I. ch. 2.: 33d Fd. I. ch. 1.; 1ft Ed. HI. ch. 14.; 4th Ed. HI. ch. 2. ( 1st Richard II. ch. 4.; 7th Richard II. ch. 7. 150) M. Paris, Vitæ Abbatum, p. 78. M. Paris Hist. p. 225, &c.

of Morpeth, and the other to the castle of Mitford, and there detained them till they had paid their ranfoms (52). Peter king of Cyprus and Jerusalem, who visited England A. D. 1363, was robbed on the highway, and stripped of his money and baggage (53). As the robbers of this period were very numerous, fo fome of them were very cruel; and the character which one of their chiefs wore embroidered upon his coat in letters of filver, might have been applied to feveral others,-" I am Captain Warner, commander of a troop of robbers, an enemy

" to God, without pity and without mercy (54)."

When those audacious plunderers dared to rob kings, Mierable cardinals, bishops, and lords, and even to pillage popu-fixed of common lous towns, we may presume, that they were very terri-people. ble to ordinary travellers, and the inhabitants of the open country. That they really were fo, we learn from the historians of those times, who assure us, that travelling was very dangerous, and that the people in the country lived under continual apprehensions of being plundered (55). Besides this, many other things conspired to render the condition of the great body of the people of Britain, in this period, uncomfortable and unhappy. They were almost necessarily condemned to live in ignorance, and had hardly any means of acquiring either civil or religious knowledge. Religious liberty was quite unknown; and the clergy enflaved the minds of the laity, as well as preyed upon their fortunes, in many different ways. The common people, and even those in the middle ranks of life, enjoyed but a very fmall share of civil liberty; and all the protection they received from law and government was frequently infufficient to defend them from the oppression of the too powerful barons, who were many of them petty tyrants. The long bloody and destructive wars between England and Scotland, and England and France, involved the people of all these countries in very great calamities. The wars between England and Scotland were carried on with uncommon animofity; and in the course of them much of

<sup>(52)</sup> Walfing. Ypodigma Neuftriæ, p. 503.

<sup>(53)</sup> T. Walfing. Hift. p. 179.

<sup>(54)</sup> Memoires de Petrarque, tom. 3. p. 185. (55) M. Paris, p. 508, 509. Vitæ Abbatum, p. 78. Rym. Fæd. tom. 2. p. 284. Annal. Dunstap. vol. 1. p. 255. Heming. 1. 1. p. 209. Knyghton, col. 2628.

Language.

the best blood in Britain was spilt, many populous towns and villages were reduced to ashes, and the borders of both kingdoms were almost desolated. The devastations of war, and the imperfection of agriculture, occasioned frequent famines, in which many of the common people perished (56). Some of these famines were so severe, that many mothers, it is faid, committed the most unnatural acts of cruelty to prolong their miferable lives (57). Some of these famines were followed by epidemical difeases, or rather plagues, which swept off still greater multitudes. "This year, A. D. 1316 (fays Walfing-"ham), the famine gradually increased; and about the " beginning of August a quarter of wheat fold at Lonof don for forty shillings (equivalent to 301. of our mo-" ney at prefent). The famine was followed by fo great " a mortality, especially among the poor, that the li-"ving were hardly able to bury the dead." For a dy-" fentery, accompanied by an acute fever, occasioned 66 by unwholesome food, became universal, and very 66 foon proved mortal (58)." The dreadful pestilence which raged over all Britain A. D. 1349, was still, if possible, more destructive. The accounts given of the ravages of this plague, by the best contemporary historians, are hardly credible, some affirming, that it carried off one half, and others a much greater proportion of the whole people (59). When all these circumstances are confidered, few will be disposed to envy the happiness of their ancestors who flourished in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, or to think that those times were better than the present.

A kind of confusion of tongues prevailed in England for several centuries after the Norman conquest, when the different orders of the people made use of different languages. This was so much the case, even in the former part of the sourteenth century, that public speakers were sometimes obliged to pronounce the same discourse three times to the same audience, once in Latin,

once in French, and once in English (60).

(60) Wilkin, Concilia, tem. 2. p. 333. col. 2.

<sup>(56)</sup> M. Paris, p. 652, 653, 655. Monach, Malmf. an, 1316, p. 166, T. Walfing, p. 54, 63, 108, Knyghten, cel. 2435, 2436, 2444, 2502, 2737. (57) T. Walfing, p. 108. (58) Id. ibid.

<sup>(59)</sup> T. Walfing, p. 168. Knyghton, col. 2598, 2599, 2560.

Latin was the language of the church, of the schools, Latin. of the courts of justice, and in general of the learned of all pre essons, who frequently conversed and corresponded with one another in that tongue. Divines, philosophers, historians, and even poets, composed the far greatest part of their works in Latin, especially before the middle of the fourteenth century. All acts of parliament to A. D. 1266, and many of them long after, were in that language. It was not till A. D. 1258, that the Great Charter itself was translated into English, and read to the people in their mother-tongue (61). To the very end of this period the royal proclamations were for the most part in Latin, a language which was understood by none of the common people, and by very few of the nobility or gentry (62). But it is very probable that these proclamations were translated or explained to the people when they were published.

The Norman or French was the language of the court French,

of England, of the nobility, and of all who wished to be thought persons of rank and fashion, for about three centuries after the conquest. To the truth of this we could produce the testimony of several unexceptionable witnesses; but that of Ralph Higden, author of the Policronicon, and his translator John de Trevisa, who flourished under Edward III. and Richard II. will be sufficient: "Gentilmen's children ben lerned and taught "from theyr youthe to speke Frenshe. And uplondish " men will counterfete and liken himself to gentilmen, " and arn befy to speke Frenshe, for to be more sette 66 by; wherefore it is favd by comyn proverbe, Jack " wold be a gentilman if he coude speke Frenshe." To this, Trevisa the translator adds, "This mannar was " moche used tofore the great deth (1349), but fyth it " is fomedele chaunged (63)." The following curious and well-attested fact seems to indicate that Edward I. and his nobility did not very well understand either Latin or English. Pope Boniface VIII. having issued a bull, A. D. 1300, commanding Edward I. in a very imperious tone, to defift from troubling the kingdom of Scotland, and to refer all his disputes with the people of

<sup>(61)</sup> Annal. Danslap. p. 336. ad an. 1258. (62) See Rym. Fæd, from vol. 1, to 9.

<sup>(63)</sup> Trevisa's Translation of Higden, lib. 2. fol. 55.

that kingdom to his holiness, he fent it to the archbishop of Canterbury, with a mandate to deliver it to the king. The archbishop wrote a letter to the pope, in answer to that mandate, acquainting him, that he had taken a very long and fatiguing journey into Scotland, and had found the king in his camp near New-Abbey in Galloway, who summoned a great council of his nobility to hear his message; that he received the bull with great reverence, commanded it to be read aloud before the council, (which consisted of prince Edward and all the earls, barons, and knights of the army), and afterwards ordered it to be fully explained in the French language (64).

Anglo-Saxon.

Anglo-Saxon or English was the language of the great body of the people of England. This language they derived from their ancestors the Anglo-Saxons, and retained with great steadiness, in spite of all the efforts of the Conqueror and his fuccessors to substitute the Norman in its place. It even gradually gained ground, and in the course of this period forced its way into the courts of justice, from which it had been excluded almost three hundred years. An act of parliament was made, A. D. 1362,—that all pleadings in all courts, both of the king and of inferior lords, should be in the English tongue, because French was now much unknown in the realm, and that the people might know fomething of the laws, and understand what was faid for and against them (65). But this victory was far from being complete; for that very act of parliament was, and many others long after were, in French: a sufficient proof, that persons in the higher ranks of life still retained a predilection for that language.

Anglo-8axon pure. The Anglo-Saxon that was spoken in England about two hundred years after the conquest was surprisingly pure, with very little mixture of Latin, French, or any other language. Of this the reader will be convinced, by perusing the specimen of that language which he will find in the Appendix, with a translation into modern English words interlined (66).

English.

In the course of the fourteenth century, the Anglo-Saxon gradually changed into what may be called Eng-

<sup>(64)</sup> Wilkin. Concit. tom. 2. p. 262.

<sup>(65)</sup> Statutes at Large, A. D. 1362. ch. 15.

<sup>(66)</sup> Append. No. 3.

lish. This was owing to various causes. That animosity which had long subsisted between the posterity of the Normans and of the Anglo-Saxons, was now extinguished, and they were in a great measure confolidated into one people, by intermarriages and other means. Many of the Normans who were engaged in agriculture, trade, and manufactures, though they had been taught French by their parents in their vouth, found it necessary to speak the language of the multitude, into which they introduced many French words and idioms to which they had been accustomed. Besides this, Chaucer, Gower, Wickliff, and feveral others, composed voluminous works, both in profe and verfe, in English; and being men of learning, well acquainted with French and Latin, and fome of them with Greek and Italian, they borrowed many words and idioms from those languages, with which they adorned and enriched their own. By thefe means, the Anglo-Saxon tongue was greatly changed before the end of this period, and the language of the best writers approached much nearer to modern English than that of Robert of Gloucester, and others who flourished in the thirteenth century.

'It must, however, be confessed, that the English of Very diffethe fourteenth century was still so different from that of rent from the eighteenth, that a mere English reader cannot always English. understand it without a glossary. The mode of spelling was unsettled, and very different from the modern. In general, they delighted much in vowels, and avoided the multiplication of confonants more carefully than we do at present. Many words were then in common use, and perfectly well understood, which are now become obsolete, and confequently unintelligible to the bulk of readers. The meaning of feveral words was very different then from what it is at prefent. A knave, for example, fometimes fignified a male, in opposition to a female:- "The "time is come, and a knave child she bare (67);" but most frequently a fervant, in opposition to a freeman. Its modern meaning is well known. The poets of those times used extraordinary freedoms (which would not be now allowed) in fhortening, lengthening, dividing, uniting, and changing words, to fit them for their purposes;

which renders their language obscure and difficult to a modern reader. The above observations might have been confirmed and illustrated by examples; but that would have been tedious, and too minute for general history. The truth of them is well known to all who are in the least acquainted with the authors of those times.

Different dialects.

Drefs.

Various dialects and different modes of pronouncing the English of this period prevailed in different districts: "Hit semeth a grete wonder that Englyssimen have so grete dyversyte in theyr owin langage in sowne and in "spekyin of it, which is all in one ilonde (68)." If we may form a judgment of these modes of pronunciation from the words used by a contemporary writer in describing them, they were harsh enough: "Some use straunge "wlassing, chytryng, harring, garryng, and grysbyting.—"The langages of the Northumbres, and specyally at Yorke, is so sharpe, slytting, frotyng, and unshape, "that we sothern men maye unneth understande that

" langage (69)."

been subjects of complaint and fatire in every age, and in none more justly than the period we are now delineating. In the remaining monuments of those times, we meet with many descriptions of the splendid expensive dresses of the great, and many complaints of the ridiculous, deforming, inconvenient fashions adopted by persons of all ranks. The magnificent costly dresses of the barons and knights who attended the marriage of Alexander III. king of Scotland, and Margaret, eldest daughter of Henry III. at York, A. D. 1251, are thus mentioned by Matthew Paris, who

The extravangancies of dress and follies of fashion have

was prefent at that folemnity: "The royal marriage" was folemnized privately, and very early in the morning, to avoid being incommoded by the multitudes of

" nobles of England, France, Scotland, and other countries who were then in York, and ardently defired to

" fee it. It would raife the furprise and indignation of

" my readers to the highest pitch, if I attempted to deferibe at full length the wantonness, pride, and vanity,

" which the nobles displayed on this occasion, in the

" richness and variety of their dresses, and the many fan-

(68) Trevifa, I. 1. fol. 55.

(69) Id. ibid.

"tastical ornaments with which they were adorned. To mention only one particular:—The king of England was attended on the day of the marriage by at thousand knights, uniformly dressed in silk robes, which we call cointises; and the next day these knights appeared in new dresses, no less splendid and expensive (70)." This taste for too great expense in dress was not peculiar to the great but insected all the different ranks in society. For though there might be some exaggeration, there was certainly also some truth, in the passage already quoted from the monk of Malmsbury, in his life of Edward II.—"the squire endeavours to outshine the knight, the knight the baron, the baron the earl, the earl the king, in dress (71)." The clergy were no less vain and extravagant in their dress than the laity.

They hie on horse willeth to ride, In glitterande golde of grete arai, Painted and portrid all in pride, No common knight maie go so gaie; Chaunge of clothing every daie, With golden girdels great and small.—

Miters thei werin mo than two,
Iperlid as the quen'is hedde,
A staff of gold, and pirrie lo!
As hewie as it were made of ledde,
With cloth of golde both newe and redde (72).

This humour increased remarkably in the reign of Edward III. "In this year, 1348 (writes an annalist of those times), England enjoyed great prosperity, plenty, and tranquillity, in consequence of her many victories. Such quantities of furred garments, fine linens, jewels, gold and silver plate, rich furniture and utensils, the spoils of Caen, Calais, and other foreign cities, were imported, that every woman of rank obtained a share of them, and they were seen in every mansion. Then the ladies of England became proud and vain in their attire, and were as much elated by the acquisition of all that finery as the ladies of France were dejected by the loss of it (73)."

At length the legislature found it necessary to interpose, Regulated by making sumptuary laws, for regulating the dress of all by law.

<sup>(70)</sup> M. Paris, p. 555.

<sup>(71)</sup> Mon. Malmf. p. 153. (73) T. Walfing. p. 168.

<sup>(72)</sup> Chaucer's Works, p. 179.

ranks of people, in a parliament held at Westminster A. D. 1363. In the preamble to these laws they are said to have been made,—" to prevent that destruction and poverty with which the whole kingdom was threat—ened, by the outrageous excessive expenses of many persons in their apparel, above their ranks and for—tunes (74)."

These laws had little effect.

But these laws seem to have had little or no effect. In the reign of Richard II. extravagance in drefs became greater, and more univerfal, than it had ever been in any former period. "At this time (1388) the vanity of "the common people in their dress was so great, that it was impossible to distinguish the rich from the poor, "the high from the low, the clergy from the laity, by their appearance. Fashions were continually changing, and every one endeavoured to outshine his neighbour 66 by the richness of his dress or the novelty of its " form (75)." This was partly owing to the example of the king, who was exceedingly fond of pomp, and fo expensive in his dress, that he had one coat which cost him thirty thousand marks (76): an immense sum in those times. The king was imitated by his courtiers, and fome of them even exceeded him in the splendour and variety of their dreffes. Sir John Arundel, it is faid, had no fewer than fifty-two complete fuits of cloth of gold (77). This extravagance descended from one rank to another till it reached the very lowest of the people.

Fashions.

The writers of this period complain as much of the fafhions as of the too great expence of dress. These fafhions frequently changed; and some of them appear to
have been very fantastical, inconvenient, and indecent.

The Englishmen haunted so moche unto the folye of
frawngers, that every yire thei chawnged them in divirse schappes and disgistings of clothingge; now
longe, now large, now wide, now straite; and every
day clothingges newe, and destitute and disirte from
alle honeste off old array, and gode usage; and another time to schorte clothes and streite waisted, with
full slives, and tapetis of curtotes, and hodes over
longge and large, alle to nagged and knet on every

<sup>(74)</sup> Statutes at Large, tom. 1. p. 315.

<sup>. (75)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2729. (77) Ibid. p. 1015.

<sup>(76)</sup> Holing, Chron. p. 1110.

<sup>&</sup>quot; fide

fide, and alle to flatteredde, and also bottenedde, that iff I weth shall fey, they weren more lyke to turmentours and deviles in their clothingge, and also in their 6 fchoying (fhoeing), and other array, than they femed "to be lyke men. And thette the wemmenne weren "more nycely arraiedde, and passed the menne in all "maner of araies and curious clothing (78)." Geoffrev Chaucer's account of the dreffes of his age is not more favourable. " Alas! may not a man fi as in our "daies the finnefull costlewe arraie of clothing, and namely in to moche superfluite, or else in to disordiate fcantinesse? As to the first-Superfluite in clothing, that maketh it fo dire, to the harm of the peple, not only the cost of embrowdering, the difguised indenting or barring, ounding, paling, winding, or binding and femblable wast of clothe, in vanite: but there is also the costlewe furring in ther gounes, so much " pouncing of chefel to make holes, fo moche dagging " with shires foorth, with the superfluite in length of " the forfaied gounes, trailing in the dong and in the " mire, on horse and also on sote, as well of man as of woman. Upon that other fide, to speke of the hor-"rible difordinate scantness of clothing, as ben these cuttid floppes or handfelines (breeches), that through their " shortness cover not the shamefull members of manne, to wicked intent. Alas! fome of hem skewe the bosse of their shape, and the horrible swole members, in "the wrapping of ther hofen, and also the buttokes of them, as farre as it were the hinder part of a she ape in the fullness of the mone. Now as to the outra-" gious aray of women, God wote, that though the vi-" fages of fome of hem feme full chafte and debonaire, e yet notify in ther aray or attire licorousness and or pride (79)." Some other parts of this description are too indelicate to be admitted into this work. Petrarch expressed his disapprobation of the dresses of his time in still stronger terms, in a letter to the pope, A. D. 1366: Who can fee with patience the monstrous fantastical fa-" shions which the people of our times have invented to " deform, rather than adorn, their persons? Who can

(79) Chaucer's Works, by Urry, p. 198.

<sup>(78)</sup> Douglas, Monk of Glastenbury, apud Strutt, vol. 2. p. 83.

"behold without indignation, their long-pointed shoes;
"their caps with feathers;—their hair twisted, and
hanging down like tails;—the foreheads of young men
as well as women, formed into a kind of surrows with
ivory-headed pins; their bellies so cruelly squeezed
with cords, that they suffer as much pain from vanity,
as the martyrs suffered for religion;—and especially
sthose indecent parts of their dress which are extremely
offensive to every modest eye? Our ancestors would
not have believed, and I know not if our posterity will
believe, that it was possible for the wit of this vain
generation of ours to invent so many base, barbarous,
horrid, ridiculous fashions (besides those already mentioned), to disfigure and disgrace itself, as we have the
mortification to see every day (80).

Long-pointed shoes.

These strictures on the dresses of this period (to which others might be added) are indeed severe; but a slight attention to a few of the inconvenient, ridiculous, indecent modes which then prevailed, will convince us that they were not unjust. What could be more inconvenient than their long-pointed shoes, with which they could not walk till they were fastened to their knees with chains (81)? The upper parts of these shoes were cut in imitation of a church window. Chaucer's spruce parish-clerk Absolute

Had Paul'is windowes corven on his shofe (82).

These shoes were called *crackowes*; and continued in fashion about three centuries, in spite of the bulls of popes, the decrees of councils, and the declamations of the cler-

gy against them.

Description of a beau of the fourteenth century. What could exhibit a more fantastical appearance than an English beau of the fourteenth century? He wore long-pointed shoes, fastened to his knees by gold or silver chains; hose of one colour on one leg, and of another colour on the other, short breeches, which did not reach to the middle of his thighs, and disclosed the shape of all the parts included in them; a coat, one half white, and the other half black or blue; a long beard; a silk hood buttoned under his chin, embroidered with grotesque si-

(80) Opera Petrarchi, edit. Bafil. p, 812.

<sup>(81)</sup> Camden's Remains, p. 295. (82) Chaucer's Works, p. 26. gures

gures of animals, dancing men, &c. and fometimes ornamented with gold, filver, and precious stones (83). This dress, which was the very top of the mode in the reign of Edward III. appeared so ridiculous to the Scots (who probably could not afford to be such egregious sops), that they made the following satirical verses upon it:

Long beirds hirtilefs,
Peynted whoods witles,
Gay cotes gracelies,
Maketh Englond thriftelies.

The drefs of the gay and fashionable ladies who fre-Female quented the public diversions of those times was not more dress. decent or becoming. It is thus described by Knyghton, A. D. 1348: "These tournaments are attended by " many ladies of the first rank and greatest beauty, but not always of the most untainted reputation. These " ladies are dreffed in party-coloured tunics, one half being of one colour, and the other half of another; "their lirripipes or tippets are very short; their caps " remarkably little, and wrapt about their heads with " cords; their girdles and pouches are ornamented with "gold and filver; and they wear short swords, called " daggers, before them, a little below their navels: they are mounted on the finest horses, with the richest furof niture. Thus equipped, they ride from place to place, " in quest of tournaments, by which they dissipate their "fortunes, and fometimes ruin their reputations (84)." The head-dresses of the ladies underwent many changes in the course of this period. They were sometimes enormoufly high, rifing almost three feet above the head, in the shape of sugar-loaves, with streamers of fine silk flowing from the top of them to the ground (85). Upon the whole, I am fully perfuaded, that we have no good reason to pay any compliments to our ancestors of this period, at the expence of our contemporaries, either for the frugality, elegance, or decency, of their drefs.

The common people in Wales (where the arts had Dress of made little progress) were very imperfectly clothed in the Welth.

<sup>(83)</sup> Camden's Remains, p. 194, &c. Strut, vol. 2. p. 83, &c.

<sup>(84)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2597. (85) Montfaucon Monument de la Monarchie Francoife, tom. 2. p. 234. 256.

Diet.

this period. The Welshmen in the army of Edward II. were known in their flight from the battle of Bannockburn, by the meanness of their dress.

> Sir Maurice alfo, the Barclay, Fra the great battle held his way, With " great rout of Walishmen. Where'er they yied men m ght them ken; For they well near all naked were, Or linen clothies had but mare (86).

We have no reason to suppose, that the common people in the highlands of Scotland (where the arts were as imperfect as in Wales) were better clothed than the Welsh. The Scots in the low country imitated the dress and fashions of the French and English, as their circumftances and knowledge of the arts permitted. Matthew Paris, who was prefent at the fplendid marriage of Alexander III. with the princess Margaret of England, at York, A. D. 1251, acquaints us, that about fixty barons and knights, and many other gentlemen, who attended the young king of Scotland on that occasion, were

elegantly dreffed (37).

The people of England, in this period, were not more moderate in their diet than in their dress; and the interpolition of government was thought necessary to restrain them from excesses in the one as well as in the other. Edward II. issued a proclamation on this subject, A. D. 1216, to the following purpose: "Edward, by " the grace of God, king of England, lord of Ireland, and duke of Aquitaine, to the sheriffs of London, wisheth health. Whereas, by the outrageous and ex-" cessive multitude of meats and dishes, which the great es men of our kingdom have used, and still use, in their cafeles, and by perfons of inferior rank imitating their es example, beyond what their flations require, and their circumftances can afford, many great evils have come upon our kingdom, the health of our fubjects " hath been injured, their goods have been confumed, and they have been reduced to poverty: we being willing to put a stop to these excesses, have, with the advice and confent of our council, made the following

(86) Barbour, p. 276.

(87) M. Paris, p. 555.

" rules and ordinances, - 1 mo, That the great men of our kingdom shall have only two courses of flesh " meats ferved up to their tables, each course confishing "only of two kinds of flesh meat, except prelates, earls, barons, and the greatest men of the land, who may have an intermeat of one kind, if they pleafe. "On fish days, they shall have only two courses of fish, each confifting of two kinds, with an intermeat of "one kind, if they please. Such as transgress this ordinance shall be severely punished (88)." This proclamation was iffued in the time of a deplorable famine, and we may conclude, that, if the prelates and barons indulged themselves in so great a number and variety of dishes at their tables, when the poor were perishing for want around them, they would be still more profuse in times of plenty (89). In the reign of Edward III. A. D. 1363, several sumptuary laws were made for regulating the drefs and diet of persons of different ranks; and in particular it was enacted, that the fervants of gentlemen, merchants, and artificers, should have only one meal of slesh or fish in the day, and that their other meal should confift of milk, butter, cheefe, and fuch other things as were fuitable to their station (90). But a contemporary historian assures us that these laws had no effect, though a fevere famine raged at the time (91).

The feafts, in this period, at the coronation of kings, the installation of prelates, the marriages of great barons, and on some other occasions, were exceedingly profuse, the numbers of dishes served up, and of guests entertained, sometimes amounting to many thousands. The coronation-feast of Edward III. cost 28351. 18s. 2d. equivalent to about 40,000l. of our money (92). At the installation of Ralph abbot of St. Augustine, Canterbury, A. D. 1309, six thousand guests were entertained with dinner, consisting of three thousand dishes, which cost 2871. 5s. od. equal in efficacy to 4300l. in our times (93). It would require a long treatise (says Matthew Paris) to describe the association, anguiscence,

<sup>(88)</sup> Ryley's Pleadings in Parliament, p. 552.

<sup>(89)</sup> Monach, Malmf, Vita Ed. II. an. 1316. T. Walfing, p. 108.

<sup>(90)</sup> Statutes at Large, v. 1. p. 315. (91) T. Wailing, p. 170. (92) Annal, de Dundap, p. 652. (93) Chron, W. Thorn, col. 2010.

and festivity with which the nuptials of Richard earl " of Cornwall, and Cincia daughter of Reimund earl of Provence, were celebrated at London, A. D. 1243. To give the reader some idea of it, in a few words, " above thirty thousand dishes were served up at the " marriage-dinner (94)." The nuptials of Alexander III. of Scotland, and the princess Margaret of England, were folemnized at York, A. D. 1251, with still greater pomp and profusion. " If I attempted (fays the fame 66 historian) to display all the grandeur of this folemnity, -the numbers of the noble and illustrious guests,-66 the richness and variety of the dresses,—the sumptuousness of the feasts,—the multitudes of the minstrels. es mimics, and others whose business it was to amuse and divert the company, those of my readers, who were not prefent, would imagine that I was imposing " upon their credulity. The following particular will enable them to form a judgment of the whole. The archbishop of York made the king of England a preee fent of fixty fat oxen, which made only one article of provision for the marriage-feast, and were all confumed at that entertainment (25)."

Cookery,

The art of cookery was as much cultivated, and much improved, in this period, as any of the other arts. The cook in the Canterbury Tales was no mean proficient in his profession:

A coke thei hadde with them for the nones,
To boyle the chickens and the marie-bones,
And pouder marchaunt, tarte, and galengale:
Well couth he know a draught of London ale.
He couth roste, boile, grille, and frie,
And make mortries, and well bake a pie.
For blank-manger that made he with the best (96).

Chaucer, in the Parson's Tale, complains of the too laboured and artificial cookery of those times:

Pride of the table apereth also full ofte: for certes riche men be called to sestes, and pore solke ben put away and rebuked. And also in excess of divers metes and drinkes; and namely such maner bake metes and dishe metes brenning of wild fire, peynted

(95) Ibid. p. 555.

<sup>(94)</sup> M. Paris, p. 411. (96) Chaucer's Works, p. 4.

" and castelled with paper and samblable waste, so that

it is abusion to think (97).

One of the most expensive fingularities attending the Intermeats. royal feasts in this period confisted in what they called intermeats. These were representations of battles, sieges, &c. introduced between the courses, for the amusement of the guests. The French excelled in exhibitions of this kind. At a dinner given by Charles V. of France to the emperor Charles IV. A. D. 1378, the following intermeat was exhibited. A ship with masts, sails, and rigging, was feen first: she had for colours the arms of the city of Jerusalem: Godfrey de Bouillon appeared upon deck, accompanied by feveral knights armed cap-a-pee: the ship advanced into the middle of the hall, without the machine which moved it being perceptible. Then the city of Jerusalem appeared, with all its towers lined with Saracens. The ship approached the city; the Christians landed, and began the affault; the befieged made a good defence: feveral fealing ladders were thrown down; but at length the city was taken (98). Intermeats at ordinary banquets confifted of certain delicate dishes, introduced between the courses, and defigned rather for gratifying the taste than for satisfying hunger (99).

Persons of rank and sortune, in this period, indulg-Driaks, ed themselves in a very liberal use of a variety of liquors. Ale and cyder were the most common drinks of the people of England (100). But besides these, great quantities of wines of various kinds were imported. The following lines of a poet who wrote in this period contain an ample enumeration of the wines then

known and used in England:

Ye shall have rumney and malespine,
Both ypocrasse and versage wyne;
Mountrese and wyne of Greke,
Both algrade and despice eke,
Antioche and bastarde,
Pyment also, and garnarde,
Wine of Greke and Muscadell,
Both clare, pyment, and rochell (101).

<sup>(97)</sup> Chaucer's Works, p. 198. (98) Essays on Paris, vol. 2. p. 71.

<sup>(99)</sup> Ryley's Placita Parliamentaria, p. 552.

<sup>(100)</sup> Opera Petrarchi, tom. 3. p. 3. (101) Warton's Hift, Poet. vol. 1. p. 177.

Some of these liquors, as ypocrass, pyment, and claret. were compounded of wine, honey, and spices of different kinds, and in different proportions. These were confidered as delicacies, and were chiefly thed by persons of the highest rank. This appears from the following precepts of Henry III :" We hereby command you, the " keepers of our wines at Winchester, to deliver to Ro-66 bert de Monte Pessulano, such wines, and in such guantities, as he shall require, of our wines in your custody, to make delicate and precious drinks, for our own use. Witness the king, at Lutegareshall, 26th "November 1250." The other precept contains a more particular description of these delicate drinks: "We hereby command you, the keepers of our wines at York, that of the best wines in your custody, you de-" liver to Robert de Monte Pessulano two tons of white white to make garhiofilac, and one ton of red wine to 66 make claret, for our own use at the approaching feast " of Christmas. We command also the said Ro-66 bert to go with all speed to York, to make the said " garhiofilac and claret, as he used to do in former 66 years (102)."

In our present period, people of all ranks made only two stated meals a-day, dinner and supper, the former in the forenoon, the latter in the evening. When Henry duke of Lancaster took Richard II. prisoner in Flint castle, on the morning of August 20, A. D. 1399, he asked the king, Hath your majesty broke your fast? To which Richard answered, I have not; for it is too early in the morning. The duke then said, I entreat you to dine immediately; for you have a long journey to go: and the king, after some hesitation, commanded the table to be covered, and made a short dinner (103). These two meals, and the times at which they were taken, are

mentioned in the following lines of Chaucer:

For every day, when Beryn rose, unwash he wold dyne, And draw hym to his seleship, as even as a lyne, And then come home, and ete and soop, and selept al nyht (104).

<sup>(102)</sup> Walpole's Anecdotes of Painting, vol. 1. p. 2.

<sup>(103)</sup> Proiffart, tom. 4. chr4. 110. (104) Chaucer's Works, p. 603. col. 1.

Kings, princes, and other persons of high rank and The wines, great fortunes, commonly took a kind of collation immediately before they went to bed, called the wines, confissing of delicate cakes, and wine warmed and mixed with certain spices. Sir John Froissart reckoned it a piece of great good fortune, that he had spent the greatest part of his life in the courts of princes, and thereby had an opportunity of receiving the wines, which had contributed much to his comfort and repose. The wines were sometimes given immediately after dinner; and at the ceremonious visits of the great at any hour (105). The following lines contain an enumeration of some of the spices known and used in this period:

There was ike wexing many a spice, As clowe, gilofre, and licorice, Gingiber, and grein de Paris, Canell at setewale of pris, And many a spice delitable
To eten whan men rise fro table (106).

The prevailing amusements of the people of Britain Diversions. of all ranks, in this period, appear to have been nearly the fame with those of their ancestors in the former period, which have been already described. Some of the favourite diversions of the common people of England are mentioned in a proclamation of Edward III. A. D. 1363, and prohibited, because they prevented them from exercifing archery. "In former times, the peo-" ple of our kingdom, at their hours of play, commonly exercised themselves in archery, from which " we derived both honour and advantage. But now that art is neglected, and the people spend their time in "throwing stones, wood, or iron; in playing at the " hand-ball, foot-ball, or club-ball; in bull-bating and " cock-fighting, or in more useless and dishonest games (107)." A fimilar proclamation was iffued two years after, in which the fame games are mentioned (108). Wrestling for a ram is described by Chaucer, and feems to have been a common diversion in those times (109). A famous wrestling-match, A. D. 1222,

(109) Chaucer's Works, p. 5.40.

<sup>(105)</sup> Froissart, tom. 2. chap. 81.; tom. 3. chap. 59. 84. (106) Chaucer's Works, p. 224. col. 2.

<sup>(107)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 6. p. 417. (108) Id. p. 468.

between the citizens of London on one fide, and the inhabitants of Westminster and the neighbouring country on the other, for a ram, terminated in a real battle, in which much blood was spilt, and the Londoners were put to flight (110). By dishonest games in the proclamations of Edward III. we are probably to understand fuch games of chance as cross and pile, &c. to which the common people, and fome of their superiors, were even then too much addicted. That weak and frivolous prince, . Edward II. fpent both his time and money in these trifling amusements, as appears from the following curious articles of account: "Item, Paid there to Henry, "the king's barber, for money which he lent to the "king to play at crofs and pile, five shillings. Item, Paid "there to Pires Bernard, uther of the king's chamber, " money which he lent to the king, and which he loft " at cross and pile to monsicur Robert Wattewille, " eight pence (111).

Tournaments.

As a general account of tournaments, the favourite diversions of the great and brave in the middle ages, hath been already given, a brief description of one, out of • many that were celebrated in Britain in this period, will be fufficient to give the reader a distinct idea of those renowned amusements. For this purpose I shall make choice of that which was held at London in October A. D. 1389 (112). Richard II. his three uncles, and his great barons, having heard of a famous tournament at Paris, at the entry of Isabel queen of France, resolved to hold one of equal splendour at London, in which fixty English knights, conducted to the scene of action by fixty ladies, should challenge all foreign knights. They fent heralds into all parts of England, Scotland, Germany, Italy, Flanders, Brabant, Hainault, and France, to proclaim the time, place, and other circumstances of the intended tournament, and to invite all valorous knights and squires to honour it with their presence. This (fays the historian) excited a vehement defire in the knights and squires of all these countries to go to this tournament, fome to fee the manners and equipages of the English, and others to tourney. In the mean time, the lifts

<sup>(110)</sup> M. Paris, ad an. 1222.

<sup>(111)</sup> Antionarian Repertory, vol. 2. p. 58.

<sup>(112)</sup> See vol. 3.

were prepared in Smithfield, and chambers credted around them, for the accommodation of the king, queen, princes, lords, ladies, heralds, and other spectators. When the time approached, prodigious numbers of great. persons of both sexes, attended by numerous retinues, arrived in London. On the first Sunday of October, which was the first day of the tournament, between two and three o'clock afternoon, fixty fine horfes, with rich furniture, for the justs, issued one by one from the tower, each conducted by a fquire of honour, and proceeded in a flow pace, through the streets of London to Smithfield, attended by a numerous band of trumpeters and other minstrels. Immediately after, fixty young ladies, richly dressed, riding on palfries, issued from the same place, and each lady leading a knight completely armed, by a filver chain, they proceeded flowly to the field. When they arrived there, the ladies were lifted from their palfries, and conducted to the chambers provided for them; the knights mounted their horses, and began the justs, in which they exhibited such feats of valour and dexterity as excited the admiration of the spectators. When the approach of night put an end to the justs, the company repaired to the palace of the bishop of London, in St. Paul's street, where the king and queen then resided, and the fupper was prepared. The ladies, knights, and heralds, who had been appointed judges, gave one of the prizes, a crown of gold, to the earl of St. Paul, as the best performer among the foreign knights, and the other, a rich girdle, adorned with gold and precious stones, to the earl of Huntington, as the best performer of the English. After a sumptuous supper, the ladies and knights spent the whole night in dancing. The tournaments, with nearly the fame folemnities, were continued on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday. On Saturday, the court, with all the company, removed to Windsor, where the justs, feasting, and other diversions, were renewed, and lasted several days. At length, the king, having prefented the foreign ladies, lords, and knights, with valuable gifts, they returned to their feveral countries, highly pleafed with the entertainment they had received (113). This was

evidently more fplendid and more expensive than any of the diversions of the present age. These tournaments were admirably calculated to instance the young nobility and gentry with an ardent desire of excelling in martial exercises, as they gave them an opportunity of displaying their accomplishments in the most public manner, and thereby acquiring the applause of the great and the favour of the fair.

Dancing.

Dancing was a favourite diversion on all festive occasions in this period; and persons of the highest rank and gravest characters did not disdain to mingle in the dance. It appears, from the record of the coronation of Richard II. that after the coronation-dinner, the king, prelates, nobles, knights, and the rest of the company, spent the afternoon in dancing in Westminster-hall, to the music of the minstrels (114).

Difguifing.

Mummeries and disguisings, the masquerades of the middle ages, were introduced in this period. They are mentioned by Matthew Paris, in his account of the marriage of Alexander III. of Scotland, with the princess of England, at York, A. D. 1252, and made commonly a part of the diversions at the great festivals in the courts of kings in those times (115). In the year 1348, eighty tunics of buckram, forty-two vifors, and a great variety of other whimfical dreffes, were provided for the difguifings at court at the feast of Christmas (116). A most magnificent mummery or difguifing was exhibited by the citizens of London, A.D. 1377, for the amusement of Richard prince of Wales, in which no fewer than one hundred and thirty persons were disguised (117). A most fatal accident happened at one of these mummeries at the court of France, A. D. 1388. Charles VI. who was then young and frolicksome, and five young noblemen, appeared like favage men, clothed in robes of linen, exactly fitted to their bodies, covered from head to foot with a reprefentation of long hair, made of linent threads fixed to their linen robes with pitch. A flambeau accidentally fet fire to the counterfeit hair of one of thefe Gerning favages, and in a moment, five of them, who were near each other, were all in flames. Four of them

<sup>(114)</sup> Rym. Fæd. tom. 7. p. 160. col. 2.

<sup>(115)</sup> M. Paris, ad. ann. 1252.

<sup>(116)</sup> Warton's Hift, Poet, vol. 1. p. 238. . (117) Stow's Survey of London, p. 71. quarto, A. D. 1599.

were burnt to death, and the fifth preserved his life by throwing himself into a large vessel full of water, which happened to be near: the king was faved by being fortunately at a little distance (118). At these great festivals, the whole company fometimes wore masks; and on these occasions no regard, it is said, was paid to decency (119).

Pageants, at the triumphant entries of princes into Pageants, their capitals, were not unknown in this period. The citizens of London expended great fums on pageants, as well as in prefents, at the public entry of Richard II. and his queen, A. D. 1392 (120). Those exhibited at Paris, at the entry of Isabel of Bavaria, queen to Charles VI. were numerous and magnificent, but strongly tinctured with the gross superstition of the age. When the queen approached the gate of St. Dennis in her litter, she beheld a reprefentation of heaven, with clouds and fears, and many children, in imitation of angels, finging most melodiously, and in the midst of them an image of the Virgin Mary, with the infant in her arms, playing with a little mill made of a large nut. At the next gate she beheld another heaven, more glorious than the first, in which were many angels finging, and an image of God the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, sitting in Majesty on his throne. When the came near the gate two angels descended and placed a crown of gold, adorned with precious stones, upon her head, and then ascended, singing certain verses in her praise (121).

At grand festivals, the palaces of princes, and the cas- Multitude tles of great barons, were crowded with hundreds of min- of minstrels, mimics, jugglers, tumblers, rope-dancers, &c. who exhibited, in their different ways, for the amufement of the company. Some of their exhibitions were abundantly ridiculous. At one time, for example, a horse danced upon a rope, and two oxen rode upon horses, and

founded trumpets (122).

The fondness of princes, nobles, and gentlemen, for sports of the fports of the field, was as great in this as it had been the field. In the former period. These sports were the chief joy and business of their lives; horses, hounds, and hawks, were the favourite topics of their conversation; and some

(118) Froisfart, tom. 4. ch. 52.

(119) Memoires sur la Chevalerie, tom. 2. p. 68.

<sup>(120)</sup> Knyghton, col. 2740. (121) Froiffart, tom. 4. ch. 2. (122) Memoires sur la Chevalerle, tom. 1. p. 247. M. Parin au. 1230.

of them, we are told, kept no fewer than fixteen hundred dogs for the chace (123). A royal hunting was as fplendid, and almost as expensive, as a royal tournament. When the kings of France, Scotland, and Cyprus, were in England, A. D. 1363, Edward III. proclaimed a royal hunting, to which he invited those kings, all the French hostages, and all his own nobility. If we reflect on the number and quality of the persons invited, the greatness of their retinues, and their fondness for this kind of sport, we may form fome idea of the magnificence of this hunting. The scenes of this famous sport were, the forests of Rogyngan, Clyne, Schyrewood, and feveral other forests, woods, and chaces, from which we may conclude, that it continued a considerable time (124). Wolves were not extirpated out of England fo early as is commonly believed. This appears from a commission granted by Edward I. A. D. 1281, to his faithful and wellbeloved fervant Peter Corbet, to hunt and destroy all the wolves he could find in the counties of Gloucester, Worcefter, Hereford, Salop, and Stafford (125).

Theatrical diversions.

There is sufficient evidence, that certain amusements or sports, which are called by the historians of those times theatrical, were known and admired in this period. The monk of Malmsbury, who wrote the life of Edward II. acquaints us, that Walter Reynolds, made archbishop of Canterbury A. D. 1214, was not a man of much learning; but that he had gained the favour of the king by his great skill in theatrical plays, of which he was superintendent (126). But those theatrical exhibitions were probably no other than the awkward representations of scripture-histories, which were called mysteries and miracles, and have been already described (127). These mysteries were originally a kind of religious, or rather fuperstitious ceremonies, exhibited in monasteries and churches, by the monks and clergy; but they afterwards became also fecular amusements, and were acted by the laity. The most interesting historical passages, both of the Old and New Testament, were represented, at Chester, A. D. 1327, at the expence of the different incorporated companies of that city, and probably by the members of these compa-

<sup>(123)</sup> Froissart, tom. 4. ch. 27.

<sup>(124)</sup> Hnyghton, col. 2627.

<sup>(125)</sup> Rym. Fed. tom. 2. p. 168. (126) Monach, Malmi, Vit. Ed. II. p. 142.

<sup>(1-7)</sup> Ste (ol. 3.

nies and their fervants. In the mystery of the creation. which was acted by the drapers, the persons who reprefented Adam and Eve appeared quite naked, without blushing themselves, or giving any offence to the spectators (128). The mystery of the deluge, which was acted by the dyers, contained a violent altercation between Noah and his wife, who absolutely refused to enter the ark; and when she was forced into it, gave her husband a hearty blow on the ear (129). Moralities were a kind of interludes, in which the virtues and vices, the human faculties and passions, &c. were personified, and speeches formed for them, illustrating and recommending a certain moral.

The words comedy and tragedy occur in some of the au-Tragedies thors of this period: but it plainly appears, that by co- and comemedies they meant only pleafant facetious stories, calculated to produce laughter; and by tragedies, tales of woe, adapted to excite terror, grief and pity. Many of Chaucer's Canterbury Tales are in the facetious strain, and are therefore called comedies; fome of them are mournful stories, and are called tragedies. He gives this last name to his poem of Troilus and Crefide:

Go, litil boke, go litil tragedie, There God my makir, yet er that I die, So fende me might to make fome comedie (130).

Tragedy is thus described by Chaucer's monk in the prologue to his tale:

> Tragedy is to tell a certaine story, As olde bokis makin ofte memory, Of 'hem that stode in grete prosperity, And be fallin out of ther hie degre In to mifery, and endid wretchedly; And ther ben verfifyid comenly, Of fixe fet- whiche men clepen hexametron: In prole eke ben enditid many one, And in metre, many a fondry wife, Lo! this ought enough you for to fuffice (131).

The monk proposed to tell a few tragedies, of which he had one hundred in his cell; and his tale accordingly con-

(128) Warton's Hilt. Poet, vol. 1. p. 143.

(124) ld. vol. 2. p. 179. (130) Chancer's Works, p. 332.

(131) Ibid. p. 161,

fifts of feventeen short stories of persons who had fallen

from great prosperity into great adversity.

Tragetours

Tragetours, as they were then called, or jugglers, conor jugglers, tributed to the amusement of those who could afford to pay them for their exhibitions, which tended to excite furprife and admiration, by certain tricks and appearances which imposed upon the fenses of the spectators. Several of these exhibitions are described by Chaucer, of which it will be fufficient to produce one example:

> For I am fikir there be sciences, By which men make divers appearances, Soche as these sotill tragetores plaie; For oft at festis have I well herd faie, That tragitors within an halle large, Have made to come in watir and a barge, And in the halle rowin up and doun; Sometime hath femid come a grim lioun; And fometime flouris spring as in a mede; Sometimes a vine, and grapis white and rede; Sometimes a castill alle of lime and stone, And whan 'hem likid voidin 'hem anon; Thus femid it to every mann'is fight (132).

· Cames of chance.

Games of chance appear to have been nearly the fame in this and the preceding period, and to have been purfued with equal ardour in both. Cards, which have long been the chief instruments of gaming, both for gain and for amusement, were invented towards the conclusion of the fourteenth century, by Jaquemin Gringonneur, a painter in Paris; but as I have met with no evidence that they were used in Britain before the end of our present period, their history must be referred to the seventh chapter of the fifth book of this work (133).

> (132) Chaucer's Works, p. 110, 111. (133) Effays upon Paris, vol. 1. p. 228.

## APPENDIX

TO THE

## FOURTH BOOK.

## NUMBERI.

Magna Carta Regis Henrici III. xii die Novembris MCCXVI, anno regni i.

TENRICUS Dei Gratia Rex Anglie Dominus Hybernie Dux Normannie Aquitanie et comes Andegavie archiepifcopis episcopis abbatibus comitibus baronibus justiciariis forestariis vicecomitibus prepofitis ministris ballivis et omnibus fidelibus suis falutem Sciatis nos intuitu Dei et pro falute anime nothre et omnium antecessorum et successorum nottrorum ad honorem Dei et exaltationem fancte ecclefie et emendationem regni nostri per confilium venerabilium patrum nostrorum domini Gualonis titulo fancti Martini presbiteri cardinalis apostolice sedis legati Petri Wint' R. de fancto Afapho J. Bathon' et Glaston' S. Exon' R. Cicestr' W. Coventr' B. Roff' H. Landav' Menevens' Bangor et S. Wygern' episcoporum et nobilium virorum Willielmi Marescalli comitis Penbroc' Rapulfi comitis Ceftr' Willielmi de Ferrat' comitis Dereb' Willielmi comitis Albemarle Huberti de Burgo justiciarii nostri Savarici de Malo Leone Willielmi Brigwert' patris Willielmi Brigwerr' filii Roberti de Curtenai Falkesti de Breante Reginaldi de Vautort Walteri de Lascy Hugonis de Mortuo Mari Johannis de Monemute Walteri de Bello Campo Walteri de Clifford Roberti de Mortuo Mari Willielmi de Canulug No. I. tilup' Mathei filii Hereberti Johannis Marifcalli Alani Baffet Philippi de Albiniaco Johannis Extranei et aliorum fidelium noftrorum Inprimis concellisse Deo et hac presenti carta nostra confirmasse pro nobis et heredibus nostris inperpetuum quod Anglicana ecclesia libera sit et habeat jura sua integra et libertates suas illesas Concessimus etiam omnibus liberis hominibus regni nostri pro nobis et heredibus nostris imperpetuum omnes libertates subscriptas habendas et tenendas eis et here libus suis de nobis et heredibus nostris Si quis comitum vel barenum nostrorum five aliorum tenentium de nobis in capite per fervitium militare mortuus fuerit et cum decessent heres suus plene etatis suerit et relivium debeat habeat hereditatem fuam per antiquum relevium scillcet heres vel heredes comitis de baronia comitis integra per centum libras heres vel heredes baronis de baronia integra per centum libras heres vel heredes militis de feodo militis integro per centum folidos ad plus et qui minus debuerit minus det secundum antiquam consuetudinem feodorum Si autem heres 3 alicujus talium fuerit infra etatem dominus ejus non habeat custodiam ejus nec terre sue antiquam homagiam ejus ceperit et postquam talis heres fuerit in custodia cum ad etatem pervenerit scilicet viginti unius ann' habeat hereditatem suam sine relevio et sine sine ita tamen quod si iple dum infra etatem fuerit fiat miles nichilominus terra remaneat in custodia domini sui usque ad terminum predictum Custos terre hujusirodi heredis qui infra etatem suerit non capiat de terra heredis nin rationabiles exitus et rationabiles confuetudines et rationabilia servicia et hoc sine destructione et vasto hominum vel rerum et si nos commiserimus custodiam alicujus talis terre vicecomiti vel alicui alii qui de exitibus terre illius nobis respondere debeat et ille destructionem de custodia secerit vel vastum nos ab illo capientus emendam et terra committatur duobus legalibus et discretis hominibus de feodo illo qui de exitibus nobis respondeant vei ei cui illos assignaverimus et si dederimus vel vendiderimus alicui custodiam alicujus talis terre et ille destructionem inde fecerit vel vastum amittat ipsam custodiam et tradetur duobus legalibus et discretis hominibus de feodo illo qui similiter nobis respondeant sicut predictum est Custos autem quamdiu custodiam terre habuerit fultentet domos parcos vivarios stagua molendina et cetera ad illam terram pertinentia de exitibus terre ejusdem et reddat heredi cum ad plenam etatem pervenerit terram suam totam instauratam de carrucis et omnibus allis rebus ad minus secundum quod illam recepit Hec omnia obterventur de custediis archiepiscopatuum episcopatuum abbatiarum prioratuum ecclefiarum et dignitatum vacantium excepto quod custodie hujusmodi vendi non debent Heredes maritentur absque disparagatione Vidua post mortem mariti sui statim et fine difficultate aliqua habeat marita gium fuum et hereditatem fuam nec aliquid det pro dote fua vel pro maritagio

vel

vel hereditate sua quam hereditatem maritus suus et No. I. ipla tenuerint die obitus iplius mariti et maneat in domo mariti fui per quad raginta dies post mortem ipsius mariti fui infra quos ei affignetur dos fua nifi prius ei suerit affignata vel nisi domus illa sit caltrum et si de castro recesserit statim provideatur ei domus competens in qua possit honeste morari quousque dos sua ei assignetur secundum quod predictum est Nulla vidua distringatur ad se maritandum dum voluent vivere sine marito ita tamen quod securitatem faciat quod se non maritabit fine assensu nostro si de nobis tenuerit vel sine assensu domini sui si de alio tenuerit Nos vero vel ballivi nostri non faissemus terram aliquam nec redditum pro debito aliquo quamdiu catalla debitoris presentia sufficient ad debitum reddendum et ipsi debitor paratus sit inde satissacere nec plegsi iplius debitoris distringantur quamdiu iple capitalis debitor fushciat ad folutionem debiti et si capitalis debitor defecerit in folutione debiti non habens unde reddat aut reddere nolit cum possit plegii respondeant de debito et si voluerint habeant terras et redditus debitoris quousque sit eis satissactum de debito quod ante pro eo solverint nisi capitalis debitor monstraverit se inde esse quietum versus eosdem plegios Civitas Lordon' habeat omnes antiquas libertates et liberas confuetudines fuas Preterea volumus et concedimus quod omnes alie civitates et burgi et ville et barones de quinque portubus et omnes portus habeant omnes libertates et liberas confuetudines suas Nullus distringatur ad saciendum majus servicium de feodo militis nec de alio libero tenemento quam inde debetur Communia placita non fequantur curiam nostram sed teneantur in aliquo certo loco Recognitiones de nova disseissa de morte antecessoris de ultima presentatione non capiantur nisi in suis comitatibus et hec modo Nos vel si extra regnum fuerimus capitalis justiciarius noster mittemus duos justiciarios per unumquemque comitatum per quatuor vices in anno qui cum quatuor militibus cujuslibet comitatus electis per comitatum capiant in comitatu in die et loco comitatus affilas predictas Et si in die comitatus assise predicte capi non possint tot milites et libere tenentes remaneant de illis qui interfuerint comitatui die illo per quos possint sufficienter judicia sieri secundum quod negotium fuerit majus vel minus Liber homo non amercietur pro parvo delicto nifi fecundum modum ipfius delicti et pro magno delicto fecundum magnitudinem delicti falvo contenemento suo et mercator eodem modo falva mercandifa sua et villanus eodem modo amercietur falvo wainnagio suo si inciderit in misericordiam nostram et nulla predictarum misericordiarum ponatur nisi per sacramentum proborum et legalium hominum de visneto Comites et barones non amercientur nifi per pares fuos et non nisi secundum modum delicti Nullus clericus amercietur nifi fecundum formam predictorum et non fecundum quantitatem beneficii fui ecclesiastici Nec villa nec homo distringa-

10

T F

12 13

15

16

17

No. I. tur facere pontes ad riparias nisi qui ab antiquo et de jure facere debet Nullus vicecomes constabularius coronatores vel alii 19 ballivi nostri teneant placita corone nostre Si aliquis tenens de 20 nobis laicum feodum moriatur et vicecomes vel ballivus noster ostendat literas nostras patentes de summonitione nostra de debito quod defunctus nobis debuit liceat vicecomiti vel ballivo nostro attachiare et imbreviare catalla defuncti inventa in laico feodo ad valentiamillius debiti per vifum legalium hominum ita tamen quod nichil inde amoveatur donec perfolvatur nobis debitum quod clarum fuerit et residuum relinquatur executoribus ad faciendum testamentum desuncti et si nichil nobis debeatur ab ipso omnia catalla cedant defuncto salvis uxori ipsius et pueris suis rationabilibus partibus suis Nullus constabularius vel ejus ballivus capiat blada vel alia catalla alicujus qui non fit de villa ubi castrum suum est nisi statim inde reddat denarios aut respectum inde habere possit de voluntate venditoris si autem de villa fuerit 22 teneatur infra tres feptimanas precium reddere Nullus conftabularius diffringat aliquem militem ad dandum denarios pro custodia castri si ipse eam facere voluerit in propria persona sua vel per alium probum hominem si ipse eam facere non possit propter rationabilem caufam et si nos duxerimus vel miserimus eum in excercitum erit quietus de custodia secundum quanti-23 tatem temporis quo per nos fuerit in exercitu Nullus vicecomes vel ballivus noster vel alius capiat equos vel carectas alicujus pro cariagio faciendo nisi reddat liberationem antiquitus statutam fcilicet pro carecta ad duos equos decem denarios per diem et pro carecta ad tres equos quatuordecim denarios per diem Nec 24 nos nec ballivi nostri capiemus alienum boscum ad castra vel alia agenda nostra nisi per voluntatem ipsius cujus boscus ille 25 fuerit Nos non tenebimus terras eorum qui convicti fuerint de felonia nisi per unum annum et unum diem et tunc reddantur 26 terre dominis feodorum Omnes kydelli de cetero deponantur penitus per Thamisiam et Medeweiam et per totam Angliam nisi per costeram maris Breve quod vocatur Precipe de cetero 27 non fiat alicui de aliquo tenemento unde liber homo amittere possit curiam suam Una mensura vini sit per totum regnum 28 nostrum et una mensura cervisie et una mensura bladi scilicet quarterium London' et una latitudo panorum tinctorum et rusfettorum et haubergettorum scilicet due ulne infra listas De 29 ponderibus autem fit ut de menfuris Nichil detur de cetero pro brevi inquisitionis de vita vel membris sed gratis concedatur et non negetur Si aliquis teneat de nobis per feodifirmam vel foka-30 gium vel per burgagium et de alio terram teneat per servicium militare nos non habebimus custodiam heredis nec terre sue que est de feodo alterius occasione illius feodifirme vel fokagii vel burgagii nec habebimus custodiam illius feodifirme vel fokagii vel burgagii nisi ipsa feodisirma debeat servicium militare Nos non habebimus custodiam heredis vel terre alicujus quam tenet de alio

alio per fervicium militare occasione alicujus parve serganterie quam tenet de nobis per servicium reddendi nobis cultellos vel fagittas vel hujufmodi Nullus ballivus ponat de cetero aliquem ad legem simplici loquela sua fine testibus sidelibus ad hoc inductis Nullus liber homo capiatur vel imprisonetur aut disseifiatur aut utlagetur aut exulet aut aliquo alio modo destruatur nec super eum ibimus nec super eum mittemus nist per legale judicium parium suorum vel per legem terre Nulli vendemus nulli negabimus aut differemus rectum aut justiciam Omnes mercatores nisi publice ante prohibiti fuerint habeant salvum et securum exire de Anglia et venire in Angliam et morari et ire per Angliam tam per terram quam per aquas ad emendum et vendendum fine omnibus malis toltis per antiquas et rectas consuetudines preterquam in tempore guerre et si sint de terra contra nos guerrina et si tales inveniantur in terra nostra in principio guerre attachientur fine dampno corporum vel rerum donec sciatur a nobis vel a capitali justiciario nostro quomodo mercatores terre nostre tractentur qui tunc invenientur in terra contra nos guerrina et si nostri salvi sint ibi alii salvi sint in terra nostra quis tenuerit de aliqua escaeta sicut de honore Walingesord Notingeham Bolon' Lancastr' vel de aliis escaetis que sunt in manu nostra et sunt baronie et obierit heres ejus non det aliud relevium nec faciat nobis aliud servicium quam faceret baroni fi terra illa effet in manu baronis et nos eodem modo eam tenebimus quo baro eam tenuit Homines qui manent extra forestam non veniant de cetero coram justiciariis nostris de foresta per communes fummonitiones nisi fint in placito vel plegii alicujus vel aliquorum qui attachiati sunt pro foresta Omnes barones qui fundaverint abbatias unde habent cartas regum Anglie vel antiquam tenuram habeant earum custodiam cum vacaverint ficut habere debent et ficut supra declaratum est Omnes foreste que afforestate sunt tempore regis Johannis patris nostri statim deafforestentur et ita siat de ripariis que per eundem Johannem tempore suo posite sunt in desenso Nullus capiatur vel imprisonetur propter appellum semine de morte alterius quam viri sui Et si Rex Johannes pater noster dissaisserit vel elongaverit Wallenses de terris vel libertatibus vel aliis rebus sine legali judicio parium suorum in Anglia vel in Wallia eis statim reddantur et si contentio super hoc orta fuerit tunc inde siat in marchia per judicium parium fuorum de tenementis Anglie fecundum legem Anglie de tenementis Wallie fecundum legem Wallie de tenementis marchie fecundum legem marchie idem facient Wallenses nobis et nostris Omnes autem istas consuetudines predictas et libertates quas nos concessimus in regno nostro tenendås quantum ad nos pertinet erga nostros omnés de regno nostro tam clerici quam laici observent quantum ad se pertinet erga suos Quia vero quedam capitula in priore carta continebantur que gravia

No. I.

32

33

35

36

37

38

39

40

13

42

No. I. gravia et dubitabilia videbantur scillicet de scutagiis et auxiliis assidendis de debitis Judeorum et aliorum et de libertate exeundi de regno nostro vel redeundi in regnum et de forestis et forestariis warennis et warrennariis et de consuetudinibus comitatuum et de ripariis et earum custodibus placuit supradictis prelatis et magnatibus ea esse in respectu quosque plenius confilium habuerimus et tunc faciemus plenissime tam de his quam de aliis que occurrerint emendanda que ad communem omnium utilitatem pertinuerint et pacem et statum nostrum et regni nostri Quià vero figillum nondum habuimus presentem cartam figillis venerabilis patris nostri Domini Gualonis titulo Sancti Martini presbiteri cardinalis apostolice sedis legati et Willielmi Marifcalli Comitis Penbrok' rectoris nostri et regni nostri fecimus figiflari Testibus omnibus prenominatis et aliis multis Dat' per manus predictorum domini legati et Willielmi Marifcalli Comitis Penbr' apud Briftollum duodecimo die Novembris anno regni nostri primo.

## NUMBERII.

Translation of the Great Charter of King Henry III. granted November 12th, A. D. 1216, in the first Year of his Reign.

I ENRY, by the grace of God, King of England, Lord of Ireland, duke of Normandy and Aquitaine, and Earl of Anjou, to all his archbifhops, bifhops, abbots, earls, barons, justiciaries, foresters, sheriffs, commanders, officers, bailiffs, and all his faithful fubjects, wisheth health. Know ye, that we, from our regard to God, and for the falvation of our own foul, and of the fouls of all our ancestors and successors, to the honour of God, and the exaltation of holy church, and amendment of our kingdom, by the advice of our venerable fathers, Gualo, cardinal prefbyter, by the title of St. Martin's, legate of the apostolic see, Peter of Winchester, R. of St. Asaph, L. of Bath and Glastonbury, S. of Exeter, R. of Chichester, W. of Coventry, B. of Rochester, H. of Landass, -of St. David's, - of Bangor, and S. of Worcester, bishops; and of these noblemen, William Marischal earl of Pembroke, Ralf earl of Chester, William de Ferrars earl of Derby, William earl of Albemarle.

Albemarle, Hubert de Burgh, our chief justiciary, Savary of No. II. Meauleone, William Brigwere the father, William Brigwere the son, Robert de Courtenay, Fawks de Breante, Reginald de Vautort, Walter de Lasey, Hugh Mortimere, John de Monmouth, Walter Beauchamp, Walter Clifford, Robert Mortimer, William de Cantelupe, Matthew Fitz-herbert, John Marischal, Allan Basset, Philip de Albiniaco, John Stranger, and others of our faithful fubjects, have granted to God, and by this our prefent charter, have confirmed, for us, and our heirs for ever: - First, - That the church of England shall be free, and shall have her whole rights entire, and her liberties inviolated. We have also granted to all the free-men of our kingdom, all the underwritten liberties, to be enjoyed and held for ever by them and their heirs, of us and our heirs. If any of our earls, or barons, or others, who hold of us in chief by military fervice, shall die, and at his death his heir shall be of full age, and shall owe a relief, he shall have his inheritance for the ancient relief, viz. the heir or heirs of an earl, a whole carl's barony, for one hundred pounds; the heir or heirs of a baron, a whole barony, for one hundred pounds; the heir or heirs of a knight, a whole knight's fee, for one hundred shillings at most; and he who owes less shall give less, according to the ancient custom of fees. - But if the heirs of any such be under age, his lord shall not have the custody of his land till he hath accepted his homage; and after fuch an heir hath been in wardship, when he hath attained the age of one and twenty, he shall have his inheritance, without relief, and without fine, but fo, that though he shall be made a knight while he is under age, his land shall remain in the custody of his lord till the aforesaid term. The warden of the lands of such an heir who is under age, shall not take of the lands of that heir any but reasonable issues, and reasonable customs, and reafonable fervices; and that without destruction or waste of the men or goods: and if we commit the custody of any such land to a sheriff, who is bound to answer to us for the issues of them. and he shall make destruction or waste upon the lands in his custody, we will recover damages from him, and the lands shall be committed to two legal and discreet men of that fee. who shall answer for the issues to us, or to him to whom we have affigned them; and if we shall have granted or fold to any one the custody of any such lands, and he shall have made destruction or waste, he shall lose the custody, and it shall be committed to two legal and discreet men of that fee, who shall answer to us as aforesaid. - Besides, the warden, as long as he hath the custody of the lands, shall keep in order the houses, parks, warrens, ponds, mills, and other things belonging to them, out of their issues; and shall deliver to the heir,

No. II. when he is at age, his whole lands, provided with ploughs, and all other things, at least as well as when he received them.

All these rules shall be observed in the custody of archbishoprics, bishoprics, abbacies, priories, and vacant ecclesiastical

dignities, except that the custody of such shall not be fold.

Heirs shall be married without disparagement.

A widow, after the death of her husband, shall, immediately and without dissiculty, have her marriage-goods and her inheritance; nor shall she pay any thing for her dower, or her marriage-goods, or her inheritance, which her husband and she had on the day of his death; and she may remain forty days in her husband's house after his death, within which time her dower shall be assigned her, if it had not been assigned before, unless that house be a castle; and if the remove from the castle, a competent house shall immediately be provided for her, in which she may live decently, until her dower shall be assigned her, as a storesaid.

No widow shall be compelled to marry,

as aforefaid. No widow shall be compelled to marry, while she chuses to live without a husband; but so that she shall give security that she will not marry without our consent, if she holds of us, or without the consent of her lord, if she holds of another. Neither we nor our bailists shall seize any

land or rent for any debt, while the chattels of the debtor are fufficient for the payment of the debt, and the debtor is willing to pay it; nor shall the fureties of the debtor be distrained while the principal debtor is able to pay the debt; and if the principal debtor fail in the payment of the debt, not being able to pay it, or not willing when he is able; the sureties shall answer for the debt; and if they please they shall have the lands and rents of the debtor, until satisfaction be made to them for the debt which they had before paid for him, unless the principal debtor can shew that he is discharged from it by the said sureties.——

The city of London shall have all its ancient liberties and free

The city of London shall have all its ancient liberties and free customs. We also will and grant, that all other cities, burghs, and towns, the barons of the cinque ports, and all other ports, shall have all their liberties and free customs.——Let no man

be compelled to do more fervice for a knight's fee, or for any other free tenement, that what is due from thence. Common pleas shall not follow our court, but be held in some

certain place.—Afflixes of mortdancester, novel dissessing and darrein presentment, shall not be taken but in their own counties, and in this manner. We, or, if we are out of the kingdom, our chief justiciary, shall send two justiciaries into each county, four times a year, who, with four knights of each county, chosen by the county, shall take the foresaid affizes, within the county, at the time and place of the county-court.

And if the foresaid affizes cannot be taken on the day of the county-court, let as many brights and stecholders, of those

who

who were present at the county-court, remain as may be sufficient to take these affizes, according to their importance. A freeman thall not be amerced for a finall offence, but only according to the degree of the offence; and for a greater delinquency, faving his freehold; a merchant in the fame manner. faving his merchandise; and a villain, saving his implements of husbandry. - If they fall into our mercy, none of the forefaid amerciaments shall be affested but by honest men of the vicinage. - Earls and barons shall not be amerced but by their peers, and that only according to the degree of their delinguency. No clerk shall be amerced but according to the form aforefaid, and not according to the quantity of his ecclesiastical benefice. -- Neither a town nor a particular person shall be compelled to build bridges over rivers, except those who anciently and of right are bound to do it. - No theriffs, commanders of castles, coroners or other bailiffs of ours, shall hold pleas of our crown. ———If any one holding of us a lay fee dies, and our sheriff or bailiff shall shew our letters patent of funumons for a debt which the defunct owed to us, it shall be lawful for our sheriff or bailiff to attach and register the chattels found on that see at the fight of lawful men, fo that nothing shall be removed from thence until our debt which is clearly due to us is paid; and the refidue shall be left to the executors, to fulfil the last-will of the defunct; and if nothing shall be owing to us by him, let all the chattels sall to the defunct, faving to his wife and children their reasonable shares. No commander of castle, or his bailiff, shall take the corns or goods of any one who doth not belong to the town where his castle is, without immediately paying money for them, unless he can obtain a respite with the free content of the seller; but if he do not belong to that town, he shall be obliged to pay the price within three weeks.—No commander of castle shall compel any knight to give money for castle-guard, if he is willing to perform it in his own perfon, or by another fusicient man, if he cannot perform it himself, for a reasonable cause; and if we shall have carried or fent him into the army, he shall be free from castle-guard, according to the space of time he shall have been in the army by our command. ---- No sheriff or bailiff of ours, or of another, shall take the horses or carts of any one to perform carriage, unless he pay the price anciently fixed by the statute, viz. for a cart with two horses ten pence aday, and for a cart with three horses fourteen pence aday. Neither we nor our bail of shall take another man's wood for our castles, or other uses, without the consent of him, to whom the wood belongs .- We shall not retain the lands of those who have been convicted of felony, longer than one year and one day, and then they shall be given up to the lord of the fee. All wears for the future fliall be quite removed

No. II.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

23

20

No. II. out of the Thames, the Medway, and through all England, except on the sea-coast. The writ which is called precipe, for the future, shall not be granted to any one, concerning any tenement, by which a freeman may lofe his court. There 28 shall be one measure of wine through all our kingdom, and one measure of ale, and one measure of corn, viz. the quarter of London; and one breadth of dyed cloth, and of russets, and of halberjects, viz. two ells within the lifts. It shall be the fame with weights as with meafures. --- Nothing shall be 29 given, for the future, for the writ of inquisition of life and limb; but it shall be given gratis, and not denied .- If any hold 30 of us by fee-farm, or foccage, or burgage, and holds an estate of another by military fervice, we shall not have the custody of the heir, or of his land, which is of the fee of another, on account of that fee-farm, or foccage, or burgage; nor shall we have the custody of that fee-farm, foccage, or burgage land, unless it owes military service. We shall not have the custody of the heir or of the land of any one, which he holds of another, by military fervice, on account of any petty fergeantry, which he holds of us, by the fervice of giving us knives or arrows, or the like. No bailiff, for the future, shall put any man to 31 his law, upon a verbal complaint, without credible witneffes produced to that effect. No freeman shall be seized or 32 imprisoned, or diffeifed, or outlawed, or banished, or in any other way destroyed, nor will we go upon him, nor will we fend upon him, except by the legal judgment of his peers, or by the law of the land (a). To none will we fell, to none will 33 we deny, to none will we delay right and justice. - All 34 merchants, unless they have been before publicly prohibited, shall be fafe and fecure, in going out of England, coming into England, staying in and travelling through England, as well by land as by water, to buy and to fell, without any unjust exactions, according to ancient and right cuftoms, except in time of war; and if they belong to a country at war with us; and if such are found in our territories at the beginning of a war, let them be apprehended without injury of their bodies or goods, until it be known to us, or to our chief justiciary, how the merchants of our country are treated who are found then in the country at war with us; and if ours are not molested there, 35 the other shall not be molested in our dominions. If any one holdeth of any escheat, as of the honour of Wallingford, Nottingham, Boulogne, Lancaster, or of other escheats, which are in our hands, and are baronies, and he shall die, his heir shall not pay any other relief, or do any other service to as, than he would have done to the baron, if the lands had been in the hands of the baron; and we shall hold it in the same manner that the baron held it. - Men who reside without a No. II. forest, shall not, for the future, come before our justices of the forest, on a common summons, unless they be parties in a plea, or fureties for some person or persons attached for the forest. All barons who have founded abbies, of which they have charters from the kings of England, or ancient tenures, shall have the custody of them when they are vacant, as of right they ought to have, and as it is declared above. All forests which were made in the time of king John, our father, shall be immediately disforested: the same shall be done with rivers which were appropriated by the fame king John in his time. ----No man shall be apprehended on the appeal of a woman for the murder of any other than her husband. - If king John, our father, disseised or dispossessed any Welshimen of their lands, liberties, or other things, without a lawful trial by their peers, in England or in Wales, let them be immediately restored to them; and if any dispute shall arise about it, then let it be determined in the marches, by the judgment of their peers, if the tenement be in England, according to the law of England; if in Wales, according to the law of Wales; if in the marches, according to the law of the marches. The Welsh shall do the fame to us and our subjects: - All the above customs and liberties which we have granted in our kingdom, to be warranted by us to our people, shall be observed by all our subjects, both clergy and laity, towards those that hold of them. - But because some chapters contained in the former charter, seemed of great importance, and of a doubtful nature, viz. of the manner of affelling fcutages and aids, -- of the debts of the Jews and others, --- of the liberty of going out of the kingdom and returning into it, --- of forests and foresters, warrens and warreners, - of the customs of counties, - of rivers and their keepers, it feemed good to the aforefaid prelates and nobles, that these should be suspended till further deliberation be had, and then we shall do, in the most ample manner, concerning thefe, and all other things which may occur to be amended, what may tend to the common benefit of all, and to the peace and prosperity of us and our kingdom. But because we have not yet a great feal of our own, we have commanded this prefent charter to be sealed with the seals of our venerable father lord Gualo cardinal prefbyter, by the title of St. Martin, and legate of the Apostolic see, and of William Marischal earl of Pembroke, governor of us and of our kingdom, all the before named, and many others, being witnesses. Given by the hands of the aforefaid lord legate and William Marifchal earl of Pembroke, at Bristol, the twelfth day of November, in the first vear of our reign.

37

38

39

40

42

NUM

## NUMBER III.

No. III. Provisions, &c. at the Installation-feast of Ralph de Borne, abbot of St. Austin's abbey, Canterbury, with their prices, A. D. 1309 (a).

Wheat, 53 loads, price	£ 19	0	0
Malt, 58 loads	17	10	0
Wine, 11 tuns	24	0	0
Oats, 20 loads	4	0	0
Spices	28	0	0
Wax, 300 pounds	8	0	0
Almonds, 500 pounds	3	18	0
Carcasses of beef, 30 -	27	0	0
Hogs, 100 -	16	0	0
Sheep, 200	30	0	0
Geete, 1000 -	16	0	Õ
Capons and hens, 500	6	5	0
Chickens, 463	3	14	0
Pigs, 200	5	0	0
Swans, 34	7	0	0
Rabbits, 600 -	15	0	0
Shields of braun, 17 -	3	5	0
Partridges, mallards, bitterns, larks -	18	0	0
Earthen pots, 1000	0	15	0
Salt, 9 loads	0	10	3
Cups, 1400, dishes and plates, 3300, besoms, &c.	8	4	0
Fish, cheefe, milk, garlic	2	O	0
Eggs, 9600	4	10	0
Saffron and pepper -	I	14	0
Coals, casks, furnaces	2	8	0
Making tables, treftles, dreffers -	1	14	0
Canvas, 300 ells	4	0	0
To cooks and their boys	6	0	0
To minstrels	3	10	•

(a) Chron. T. Thorn, col. 2010.

NUM-

## NUMBER IV.

A Charter of Henry III. A. D. 1258, in the No IV, vulgar English of that time, with a literal translation interlined.

HENRY, thurg Godes fultome, king on Engleneloande, Henry, through God's fupport, king of England,

lhoauerd on Yrloand, duk on Normand, on Acquitain, lord of Ireland, duke of Normandy, of Acquitain,

eorl on Anjou, fend I greting, to alle hise holde, ilærde earl of Anjou, fends greeting, to all his subjects, learned

and ilewede (a) on Huntindonn-schiere. That witen ge and unlearned (a) of Huntington-shire. This know ye

wel alle, that we willen and unnen, that ure radesimen alle well all, that we will and grant, what our counsellors all

other the moare del of heom, that beoth jchosen thurg us and or the more part of them, that be chosen through us and

thurg that loandes-folk on ure kuneriche, habbith idon, and through the land-folk of our kingdom, have done, and

schullen don, in the worthnes of God, and use treowthe, for shall do, to the honour of God, and our allegiance, for

the freme of the loande, thurg the befigte of than the good of the land, through the determination of these

tosoren iseide rædesmen, beo stedesæst and ilestinde in alle before said counsellors, be stedsfast and permanent in all

thinge abutan ande, and the heaten alle ure treewe, in the things without end, and we enjoin all our lieges, by the

No. IV. treowthe thet heo us ogen, thet heo stede-festliche healden and allegiance that they us owe, that they stedfastly

healden and werin to healden and to fwerien the bold and sovear to hold and to maintain the

isetnesses that be makede and beo to makien, thurg ordinances that be made and be to be made, through

than to foren iseide rædesmen, other thurg the moare the before said counsellors, or through the more

del of heom alfwo, alse hit is beforese iseide. And thet part of them also, as it is before said. And that

each other help that for to done bitham ilche other, against all

men, in alle thet heo ogt for to done, and to foangen. And wen, in all that they ought for to do, and to promote. And

noan ne of mine loande, ne of egetewher, thurg this besigte,

muge been ilet other iwersed on oniewise. And gif oni

ether onie cumen her ongenes, we willen and heaten, that er any woman comell them against, we will and enjoin, that

all our lieges them hold deadlichistan. And for that we

willen thet this beo ftedefæst and lestinde, we senden gew this will that this be stedfast and lasting, we send you this

writ open, iseinde with ure seel, to halden amanges gew ine hord writ open, sealed with our seal, to keep amongst you in store.

Witnes us-selven at Lundanthane, egtetenthe day on the Witness ourself at London, the eighteenth day of the

month of October, in the two and forestigthe geare of ure

crunning.

crowning.

FND OF THE POURTH VOLUME.







